

## Year 10 High Court Decisions - Activity

Commonly known name of the case	The Franklin Dam Case 1983
Official legal title	Commonwealth v Tasmania ("Tasmanian Dam case") [1983] HCA 21; (1983) 158 CLR 1 (1 July 1983)
Who are the parties involved?	
Key facts - Why did this come to the High Court?	
Who won the case?	
What type of verdict was it?	
What key points did the High Court make in this case?	
Has there been a change in the law as a result of this decision?	
What is the name of this law?	
Recommended Resources	<ol> <li>Envlaw.com.au. (2019). Environmental Law Australia   Tasmanian Dam Case. [online] Available at: <u>http://envlaw.com.au/tasmanian-dam-case</u> [Accessed 19 Feb. 2019].</li> <li>High Court of Australia. (2019). Commonwealth v Tasmania ("Tasmanian Dam case") [1983] HCA 21; (1983) 158 CLR 1 (1 July 1983). [online] Available at: <u>http://www7.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/viewdoc/au/cases/cth/HCA/1983/21.html</u> [Accessed 19 Feb. 2019]</li> <li>Aph.gov.au. (2019). Chapter 5 – Parliament of Australia. [online] Available at: <u>https://www.aph.gov.au/parliamentary_business/committees/senate/legal_and_constitutional_affairs/completed_inquiries/pre1996/treaty/report_/c05</u> [Accessed 20 Feb. 2019. GO TO 5. 31 to find details on this case]</li> </ol>



## Year 10 High Court Decisions - Activity

Commonly known name of the case	Madeli Case
Official legal title	Mąbasis vQDieeQsland[(200.2]) [10992]4HCA 23; (1992) 175 CLR 1 (3 June 1992).
Who are the parties	
Wholweel ?he parties	
involved?	
Key facts - Why did	
this came to the did	
thigh converto the	
High Court?	
Who won the case?	
Who won the case?	
What type of verdict	
Wate at the period of verdict	
WansattRey points did	
What igery Cocunt snake	
inehisgtaseurt make	
<b>Hlais</b> ht <b>a cra</b> sbelen a	
<b>elasnige</b> ri <b>e blee</b> rhaav as	
ehransget of theslaw as	
dereiseutenof this	
Weicas is nthe name of	
Whataw ?he name of	
Resolation free data with a second se	1. Reconcilliation.org. (2019). 3 June: The Mabo decision. [online]. Available at: https://www.reconciliation.org.au/wp-
Resourcesended	1. <u>APP15:7/UD23:35/2A117/11/03b2od9993973i30207913973i20/03/28329</u> page/
Resources	2. https://aiatsis.gov.au/publications/products/case-summary-mabo-v-queensiand IAccessed 01/03/2019/ https://www.abc.net.au/news/2016-09-01/namoralqudsi-sentenced-six-years-aloing-islamic-state-lighters/7804214
	12. https://aiatsis.gov.au/publications/products/case-summary-maho-y-queensiand [Acconsed_01/03 /2019] 3. https://aiatsis.gov.au/sites/getault/files/products/research_outputs_statistics_and_summares/overturning-the-doctrine-of-terra-nullius_0.pdf 4. https://aiatsis.gov.au/sites/getault/files/products/research_outputs_statistics_and_summares/overturning-the-doctrine-of-terra-nullius_0.pdf 4. https://aiatsis.gov.au/sites/getault/files/products/research_outputs_statistics_and_summares/overturning-the-doctrine-of-terra-nullius_0.pdf 4. https://aiatsis.gov.au/sites/getault/files/products/research_outputs_statistics_and_summares/overturning-the-doctrine-of-terra-nullius_0.pdf 4. https://aiatsis.gov.au/sites/getault/files/products/research_outputs_statistics_and_summares/overturning-the-doctrine-of-terra-nullius_0.pdf 4. https://aiatsis.gov.au/sites/getault/files/products/research_outputs_statistics_and_summares/overturning-the-doctrine-of-terra-nullius_0.pdf 4. https://aiatsis.gov.au/sites/getault/files/products/research_outputs_statistics_and_summares/overturning-the-doctrine-of-terra-nullius_0.pdf
	<ol> <li><u>Apple://www.inter.com.au/news/2016/AT227-article.html</u></li> <li><u>Apple://www.appl.Act.au/news/2016/AT227-article.html</u></li> <li><u>Apple://www.appl.Act.au/news/2016/AT227-article.html</u></li> </ol>
	4. attraged/vary/varbranet/abs/cuevre/2016/2016/2016/2016/2016/2016/2016/2016
	bin/sinodisp/au/cases/cth/high_ct/175clr1.html?stem=0&synonyms=0&query=~mabo [Accessed 20/02/2019].



## Year 10 High Court Decisions - Activity

Commonly known name of the case	Marriage Equality
Official legal title	Commonwealth v Australian Capital Territory [2013] HCA 55
Who are the parties involved?	
Key facts - Why did this come to the High Court?	
Who won the case?	
What type of verdict was it?	
What key points did the High Court make in this case?	
Has there been a change in the law as a result of this decision?	
What is the name of this law?	
Recommended Resources	<ol> <li><u>https://www.aph.gov.au/About_Parliament/Parliamentary_Departments/Parliamentary_Library/FlagPost/2013/December/High_Court_deciates the ACTs_same-sex_marriage_law_is_invalid</u></li> <li><u>https://www.abc.net.au/news/2013-12-13/fact-file-high-court-decision-on-act-same-sex-marriage/5155754</u></li> <li><u>http://www.hcourt.gov.au/assets/publications/judgment-summaries/2013/hca55-2013-12-12.pdf</u></li> <li><u>https://www.ag.gov.au/marriageequality</u></li> <li><u>https://www.theguardian.com/australia-news/2017/nov/15/australia-says-yes-to-same-sex-marriage-in-historic-postal-survey</u></li> </ol>