## **Armed Robbery**

ss 392 and 393 *Criminal Code*Divided by immediate and suspended imprisonment

## From 1 January 2014

**Transitional Sentencing Provisions:** This table is divided into thirds based on the three relevant periods of Sentencing Provisions:

- Post-transitional provisions period
- Transitional provisions period
- Pre-transitional provisions period

These periods are separated by a row which shows when the transitional provisions were enacted, and another showing when they were repealed.

#### Glossary:

imp	imprisonment
susp	suspended
conc	concurrent
cum	cumulative
PG	plead guilty
agg	aggravated
burg	burglary

AOBH assault occasioning bodily harm

GBH grievous bodily harm

att attempted

EFP eligible for parole
TES total effective sentence

ct count

SIO suspended imprisonment order

# Part A – Immediate custodial sentence upheld or imposed on appeal

No.	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal
16.	Mamkin v The	18 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Armed robbery.	Ct 1: 4 yrs 9 mths	Dismissed.
	State of Western	19 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Stealing.	imp (reduced from 7	
	Australia		Ct 3: Agg robbery.	yrs imp).	Appeal concerned
		Convicted after PG (25% discount for	Ct 4: Att agg robbery.	Ct 2: 1 mth imp	totality and discount for
	[2017] WASCA	cts 1 and 7).	Ct 5: Agg burg.	(conc).	cooperation.
	61		Ct 6: Steal motor vehicle.	Ct 3: 12 mths imp	
		Current offending are the first	Ct 7: Agg armed robbery.	(conc).	At [34]the appellant's
	Delivered	convictions as an adult.		Ct 4: 10 mths imp	admissions were not
	31/03/2017		<u>Ct 1</u>	(conc).	made as a consequence
		Extensive prior criminal history as a	The victim parked his car at a shopping centre and	Ct 5: 2 yrs 6 mths	of genuine remorse or
		juvenile, including sanctions of	remained in the driver's seat. Mamkin approached	imp (conc).	contrition. They did not
		detention.	the victim, produced a long knife and told him,	Ct 6: 12 mths imp	involve the provision of
			'Don't do anything or I'm going to stab you'.	(conc).	useful information to the
		On bail for cts 1-6 at time offending	Mamkin got into the car, behind the victim, and	Ct 7: 5 yrs 3 mths	police The admissions
		for ct 7.	asked what he had on him. The victim handed a	imp (reduced from 8	were made in confined
			mobile and \$50 cash to Mamkin.	yrs imp) (to	parts of the video-
				commence 1 yr 7	recorded interview
		• ^	On Mamkin's instruction, the victim drove to an	mths after	during which the
			ATM to withdraw cash. While holding the knife	commencement of ct	appellant repeatedly, but
			against the victim's ribs, Mamkin demanded the	1).	unsuccessfully,
			victim's PIN for his bankcard and said, 'If you lie		endeavoured to mislead
		4.60	I will stab you'.	TES 6 yrs 10 mths	the police as to the truth
				imp.	about the serious
		C. VY	On Mamkin's instructions, the victim drove to a		offences in which he
			cul-de-sac and got out of the car. Mamkin patted	EFP.	was involved as a
		O y	the victim's pockets and took his car keys and		principal offender.
			house keys. Mamkin fled in the car which	Sentences on cts 1	
			contained the victim's property.	and 7 reduced for PG	At [35] The appellant
		-CAU		and youth. Sentence	made no admissions of
		CX	<u>Ct 2</u>	on ct 7 also reduced	any significance

On the same date as ct 1, Mamkin and his associates stole fuel to the value of \$76.46.

#### Cts 3 and 4

On the same date as ct 1, Mamkin and his associates parked the stolen car behind the victims who were attempting to withdraw cash at an ATM. Mamkin approached the victims and said 'Do you want to pull some money out or get mobbed?'. Mamkin took a wallet from one victim. The other victim attempted to prevent Mamkin from taking the wallet and Mamkin punched the second victim to the face. A violent confrontation ensued and the victims escaped on foot.

#### Cts 5 and 6

The following day, Mamkin entered the victim's house while the victim was asleep. He stole the victim's handbag which contained her wallet, car keys and the keys to a vault at her work. Mamkin then stole the victim's car.

### Ct 7

The victim was a taxi driver. On another date, Mamkin arranged for the victim to collect him from Bassendean. As Mamkin could not pay a deposit, the victim refused to drive him to his destination but offered to drive him, without charge, to a train station.

As the victim drove around the corner, Mamkin produced a long knife and held it at the victim's throat. He threatened to kill the victim if he did not hand over his money, his mobile and the passcode for the mobile. The victim complied with those demands. His wallet contained \$450

for time in custody.

Sentencing judge took into account PG, youth and cooperation with police (admissions to police) for cts 2-6.

PSR indicated no real appreciation of the effect which Mamkin's conduct must have had on his victims, or a willingness or real capacity to deal with the issues which led to his offending.

Sentencing judge commented that the current offences indicate a serious escalation in the level of violence involved in Mamkin's offending.

No remorse or contrition.

Very serious risk of re-offending.

concerning ct 1. His cooperation with the police when they searched his premises was insignificant. His insubstantial admissions and cooperation were not of any material weight for sentencing purposes. In any event, a different individual sentence for ct 1 should not have been imposed.

At [36] His Honour did not state the discount he applied but his Honour was not bound to do so. In any event, a different sentence should not have been imposed for any of cts 2, 3 or 4.

At [37] The appellant's overall offending was, no doubt, extremely serious... The offences involved some planning... The actual or threatened violence associated with the commission of cts 1 and 7 was significant. The victims must have feared for their lives. They would have suffered

			cash.		emotional trauma The
			Casii.	X	victim of ct 7 was a taxi
			Mamkin's two associates approached the taxi,		driver. People who work
			opened the door and told Mamkin to take the		as taxi drivers are
			mobile and cash and get out of the taxi.		vulnerable to attacks of
			into the and cash and get out of the taxi.		this kind.
					ulis kiliu.
					At [48] The egregious
					character of the
					appellant's offending,
			110		and the very serious risk that he will reoffend,
					reduced the extent to
					which he could be given
					credit in the sentencing
					process for his youth.
15.	Williams v The	31 yrs at time offending (cts 1-7).	Ct 1: Steal motor vehicle.	Ct 1: 1 yr imp (conc).	Dismissed.
15.	State of Western	34 yrs at time offending (ct s 1-7).	Ct 1. Stear motor venicle. Ct 2: Armed robbery.	Ct 1. 1 yr mp (conc). Ct 2: 4 yrs imp	Dishinssed.
	Australia	34 yrs at time offending (ct 8).	Ct 2. Affiled foodery. Ct 3: Att armed robbery.	(cum).	Appellant appealed
	Australia	Convicted after trial.	Ct 3. Att affiled fobbery. Ct 4: Stealing.	Ct 3: 2 yrs 6 ths imp	totality principle,
	[2016] WASCA	Convicted after trial.	Ct 4. Steamig. Ct 5: Agg armed robbery	(conc).	individual sentences not
	232	Lengthy criminal history. Ct 8	Ct 5. Agg affied fobbery Ct 6: Robbery.	Ct 4: 3 mths imp	challenged.
	232	committed when on bail.	Ct 0. Robbery.  Ct 7: Armed robbery.	(conc).	chanenged.
	Delivered	committed when on ban.	Ct 7. Affiled followry. Ct 8: Att armed robbery.	Ct 5: 5 yrs imp	At [36] The existence of
	23/12/2016	Troubled childhood, father died when	Ct o. Att arrica robbery.	(conc).	a causal relationship
	23/12/2010	very young. Cared for her seriously	Williams stole a car (ct 1). With her face	Ct 6: 2 yrs imp	between a mental illness
		ill mother until her death several	concealed by a hat, sunglasses and bandana she	(conc).	and the offences does
		months before offence of ct 8.	went to a hotel bottle shop and threatened staff	Ct 7: 3 yrs imp	not automatically result
		months scrote officies of ct o.	with a knife, yelling for the till be opened. She	(cum).	in the offender receiving
		Abused from age 14 yrs. Left home	stole \$500 (ct 2).	Ct 8: 3 yrs imp	a lesser sentence. While
		at 16 yrs.	σιοίο φοσο (οι 2).	(conc).	the existence of a causal
		at 10 Jib.	Armed with a knife Williams went to a petrol	(Cone).	connection might reduce
		Irregular school attendance.	station and demanded the keys to a vehicle. The	TES 7 yrs imp. EFP.	moral blameworthiness
			mechanic ran and called police (ct 3). Williams	,,,,,,,,,,	and the importance of
		No vocational skills.	rummaged through the car and took a mobile	The sentencing judge	general deterrence, it
		1.5 . Odditolidi birliibi	phone (ct 4).	noted the offences as	might also, in some
	l		I buone (et .).	noted the offences us	mgm uiso, in some

		T= 444 4 15 1			<del></del>
		Four children; all cared for by others.		'extremely serious'	cases, increase the
			Williams approached a 75 yr-old female and	but found her	importance of specific
		Entrenched history of illicit drug and	demanded her car keys. Grabbing the keys from	judgment was	deterrence or the need to
		alcohol abuse.	the victim's hand she then held a knife to her	impaired and her	protect the public. This
			neck. Pushing the victim aside she got into the	ability to control her	is such a case.
		Diagnosed with schizophrenia.	car and drove away, narrowly missing the victim,	actions reduced due	
		Impaired insight into her mental	who was pulled from the path of the reversing car	to mental illness.	At [37] The protection
		illness and tendency to avoid	by a passerby (ct 5).	This reduced her	of the public was an
		psychiatric treatment.	Y	moral	important sentencing
			With her jumper pulled over her head and wearing	blameworthiness.	factor in this case,
			sunglasses Williams entered a bank. With her		having regard to the
			hands concealed in her jumper she told a teller to	Risk of re-offending	nature of the offending,
			put money into a bag. The teller handed her \$700	'medium to high'.	its repetitive nature and
			(ct 6).		the risk of reoffending
					posed by the appellant.
			With her face concealed by a jumper, sunglasses		
			and a cloth Williams entered a bank. She		
			produced a knife and repeatedly yelled at a teller		
			to give her money. When given money she		
			demanded more and produced another knife. She		
			left taking \$1,450 (ct 7).		
			Holding a knife Williams demanded the victim		
		• 💉	get out of his vehicle. She tried unsuccessfully to		
			open the car door when the victim refused (ct 8).		
14.	Marshall v The	34 yrs at time sentencing.	1 x Armed robbery.	4 yrs 2 mths imp.	Dismissed.
	State of Western			_	
	Australia	Late PG (8% discount).	Armed with a large knife and a jumper over his	EFP.	Appellant challenged
			face Marshall went to the reception desk of a		length of sentence.
	[2016] WASCA	Extensive prior criminal history,	hotel. Brandishing the knife he demanded money.	High risk of re-	
	171	including convictions for armed	The staff member ran into a rear office so he took	offending.	At [13] long history
		robbery; stealing; weapon and firearm	\$30 cash from an envelope before fleeing the		of persistent offending
	Delivered	offences.	premises.		that offending
	29/09/2016				demonstrates that the
		Raised by his grandparents.	Marshall was identified from his DNA.		appellant has little
		CX			regard for the law and

		I oft cohool of ym 0		• ()	that paragral datamana
		Left school at yr 8.			that personal deterrence
		N1			is of particular important
		Never employed.			in this case.
		Birth of his first child while in			At [15] has said that
		custody for this offence.			he is motivated to
					address his substance
		Long history of heroin abuse.			abuse through
		Affected by drugs at time of	Y		programmatic
		offending.	<b>Y</b>		intervention, that
			A°A ()		assertion has appeared in
					most of the previous
			a jolic Pro		pre-sentence reports
					without any lifestyle
					changes being
			C. X		subsequently made.
13.	Gittos v The State	29 yrs at time offending.	Indictment	Indictment	Dismissed – on papers.
	of Western		Ct 1: Agg armed robbery.	Ct 1: 4 yrs imp.	
	Australia	Conviction after PG (10% discount	Ct 2: Agg armed assault with intent to rob.	Ct 2: 3 yrs 6 mths	Appeal concerned
		for indictable offences; 15% for		imp to start 6 mths	totality principle.
	[2016] WASCA 7	section 32 offences).	Section 32 Notice	after Ct 1 (conc).	Individual sentences
			Ch 1: Criminal damage.		were not challenged.
	Delivered	Criminal history, including violent	Ch 2: Agg assault.	Section 32 Notice	
	13/01/2016	offences.	Ch 3: AOBH.	Ch 1: 8 mths imp.	At [30] Both indictable
			Ch 4: Drive MV with number plates not issued for	Ch 2: 6 mths imp.	offences
		Dysfunctional childhood; ADHD as a	that vehicle.	Ch 3: 10 mths imp.	involvedan apparent
		child.	Ch 5: Poss drug paraphernalia containing methyl.	Ch 4: \$200 fine.	element of
				Ch 5: 2 mths imp	premeditation and
		Left school at age 14; good	<u>Ct 1</u>	(cum).	planning, albeit of a
		employment history.	The appellant was the front seat passenger in a car		simple kind. They were
			that drove up and parked outside the victim's	Ch 1-3 conc with	calculated to force the
		No contact with three children.	house. The appellant demanded \$150 from the	each other, but cum	first complainant to pay
			victim, through the open car window. The victim	with sentence on ch	to the appellant money
		Supportive new partner.	stated that he did not have any money.	5.	he considered he was
					owed from a drug
		Substance abuse from age 13.	The appellant pointed a double-barrelled shotgun	TES 5 yrs imp.	transaction. Both
·		1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	The appellant pointed a dodote carrened briotgan	122 U Jib iiip.	transaction, Dom

at the victim at very close range, through the open car window. He demanded the victim give all property he was carrying. The victim complied. The appellant then stated "Bring the \$150 in cash to [a stated address] within the hour, or I'll blow your fucking head off".

### Ct 2

40 minutes later, the victim attended the stated address with two others, to give the appellant \$100. The appellant aimed the shotgun at the victim and then pressed the barrels of the shotgun against his head. The appellant demanded an additional \$300 from the victim and made similar threats as earlier.

The victims left and reported the incidents to police.

## Section 32 Notice

The second victim is the mother of the appellant's 10-mth-old son.

In attempt to gain entry to the victim's house, the appellant caused substantial damage to the garage door (ch 1). The appellant gained entry through a window and, in the presence of their son, repeatedly punched and kicked the second victim's mother (ch 2). The appellant then punched the second victim in the face while she was carrying their son (ch 3).

On another date, the appellant drove a car with number plates that were not issued for that car (ch 4). A glass pipe containing traces of methyl was found in the car (ch 5).

EFP.

Sentencing judge found that the appellant's acceptance of responsibility and remorse for cts 1 and 2 were qualified by the appellant showing little insight into his offending.

Sentencing judge found significant qualifications on the appellant's prospects of rehabilitation. involved the use of a firearm which was not simply brandished by the appellant ... Each act was accompanied by what was, in effect, a threat to kill. .. The fact that a firearm was used, and the manner in which it was used, make these offences particularly serious.

At [32] The [section 32] offences ... were also serious offences. Again, these offences were not the result of a momentary aberration ... Given the nature of the assaults, it is only a matter of good fortune that the victims did not suffer more serious injuries.

At [33] In relation to these [section 32] offences, there appears on the part of the appellant to have been no acceptance of responsibility, remorse or insight, apart from the pleas of guilty and the appellant's

				CILIO	understanding of his anger management problem.
					At [34] there is cause
			C		for concern about the
					appellant's prospects of
					rehabilitation and that
					without substantial
			Y		change on the
			A A O		appellant's part there is a
					real risk that he will reoffend.
12.	Wallam v The	19 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Stealing a motor vehicle.	Ct 1: 9 mths imp	Allowed.
14.	State of Western	19 yis at time sentencing.	Ct 1. Steaming a motor venicle. Ct 2: Agg assault with intent to rob.	(conc).	Allowed.
	Australia	Convicted after PG.	Ct 2: Agg assault with ment to roo.	Ct 2: 5 yrs 6 mths	Resentenced to:
			Coloring minor record,	imp.	Ct 1: 6 mths imp (conc).
	[2015] WASCA	Lengthy criminal history, including	<u>Ct 1:</u>	Ct 3: 4 yrs 6 mths	Ct 2: 4 yrs 9 mths imp.
	132	violent offending.	The appellant was a passenger in a stolen car. He	imp (conc).	Ct 3: 4 yrs imp (conc.
			travelled in it knowing it to be stolen and became	Breach of CSIO: 12	
	Delivered	Parents separated when aged 14;	a party to the offence of stealing by that conduct.	mths imp (cum).	Requirement to serve
	29/06/2015	talented footballer; educated to yr 10;			previously susp sentence
		no employment history.	<u>Ct 2:</u>	TES 6 yrs 6 mths	was unaffected.
		•	The stolen car was driven through the car park of	imp.	
		Appellant had a chronic major	a shopping centre. The appellant got out of the car		TES 5 yrs 9 mths imp.
		depressive episode with significant	and yelled out to a young woman demanding that	Sentencing judge	
		anti-social personality traits.	she hand her handbag to him. The appellant tried	accepted that the	At [34]-[40] Discussion
		Heim also de	to pull the bag away from her and in the ensuing	appellant's mental	of comparable cases.
		Using alcohol and drugs at time	struggle he struck her to the side of the head with a clenched fist. He continued to demand the	illness diminished his ability to think	At [47] The first two
		offending.	handbag and struck the victim to the head several	rationally.	offences were
		At time offending, appellant serving a	times as she lay on the ground. He was then	ranonany.	committed within two
		12 mth CSIO for offence of agg rob.	joined by the driver of the vehicle who also	Psychiatric report	weeks of that [CSIO]
		Order breached by bail offence and	assaulted the victim and a female friend of the	noted that the risk of	sentence being imposed.
		failing to attend supervision	victim who was trying to assist. The appellant and	reoffending was	To offend in these
		appointments.	his co-offender ran off without the bag.	assessed as being at	circumstances shows
	l	1 11	1		1

				the higher end of the	contempt for the law.
			The appellant subsequently identified his cousin	spectrum.	_
			as being driver of the car.		At [56] In respect of ct 2
					his Honour reduced the
			<u>Ct 3:</u>		sentence by 18 mths, but
			The appellant entered a liquor store armed with a		this is less that the 25%
			machete and approached the counter demanding		that he said he would
			money. The attendant began to open the tills to get		allow.
			out money and while the appellant menaced him		
			with the machete. After being given a quantity of		At [57] it is apparent
			cash the appellant stole a four pack of pre-mixed		that the discounts for PG
			alcoholic drinks and left the store.		were the only reductions
					allowed in respect of all
					three cts. This is not
					consistent with the fact
			C		that the sentencing judge
					acknowledged that the
			( ) <sup>y</sup>		appellant's youth,
			A. C.		limited cooperation and
					mental illness were
			XO		deserving of some
44	TI MI C.	27		G 1 2 1 ( )	weight.
11.	Fisher v The State	27 yrs at time sentencing.	Armed robbery x 6.	Ct 1: 3 yrs im (cum).	Dismissed – on papers.
	of Western	Consists to the few DC	A11 - 66-	Ct 2: 1 yr imp (cum).	A4 [26] 4b - f - 4 4b - 4
	Australia	Convicted after PG.	All offences were committed over a 13 hr period.	Ct 3: 2 yrs 9 mths	At [26] the fact that
	[2015] WA CCA	Min an animin of third and in the first	A + 0 + C = + 1 1 - 1 + 1 - 1	imp (conc).	there is no weapon that
	[2015] WASCA 114	Minor criminal history including assault, obstructing police officer and	At 8.46pm, the appellant entered a bottle shop and while brandishing an unused syringe, demanded	Ct 4: 1 yr imp (cum). Ct 5: 2 yrs 9 mths	could be used to inflict harm needs to be seen in
	114	trespass.	that the attendant give him money from the till.	imp (conc).	the context that an
	Delivered	despass.	The attendant handed over \$830 (ct 1).	Ct 6: 2 yrs 9 mths	offender who pretends to
	02/06/2015	Stable and supportive family.	The attendant named over \$650 (ct 1).	imp (conc).	be armed intends that
	02/00/2013	Stable and supportive failing.	At 12.45am, the appellant went to a service	mip (conc).	those he confronts will
		Drug and alcohol addiction.	station. He placed his right hand under his jumper	TES 5 yrs imp.	believe that he is armed
		Dias and account addiction.	pretending to be armed with a gun and demanded	1200 yrs mip.	and will comply with his
		Accumulated significant drug debt	cash from the attendant, threatening to shoot him	Remorseful; low risk	demands out of fear for
		immediately preceding the offences;	if he did not comply. He repeated the threat and	of re-offending.	their safety. The
	l	immediately preceding the offences,	if he did not comply. He repeated the threat and	or to offending.	then surety. The

abducted, assaulted and threatened by men seeking repayment of debt.

History of depression and anxiety.

Appellant completed some programmes in custody prior to sentencing.

the attendant handed over \$900 (ct 2).

At 3.55am, the appellant went to another service station. He placed his right hand under his jumper and pretended to be armed with a gun. He demanded cash from the attendant threatening to shoot him if he did not comply. The attendant handed over \$150 (ct 3).

Between 3.50am and 4.10am, the appellant attended another service station. He placed his right hand under his jumper pretending to be armed with a gun and demanded that the attendant give him cash from the till. The attendant refused and the appellant jumped on the counter and reached through the security wiring in an attempt to grab cash from the till. The attendant handed over \$1000. In order to leave the store, the appellant kicked at the glass doors until one was dislodged from its mountings, and pushed on the door until the glass shattered (ct 4).

At 9.33am, the appellant attended another service station. He placed his right hand under his jumper pretending to be armed with a gun and demanded that the attendant give him cash from the till. The attendant opened the till and started taking out money. The appellant reached over and attempted to take money from the till. The attendant tried to stop him by pushing his hand away. The appellant managed to grab \$150 from the attendant's hand before leaving the store (ct 5).

At 9.46am, the appellant attended another service station. He placed his right hand under his jumper pretending to be armed with a gun and demanded Robberies committed on premises that were very vulnerable.

Sentencing judge found that the appellant committed the offences out of desperation as a result of the threats made to him.

appellant clearly had such an intention and reinforced it making verbal threats... The use of a syringe in the first offence was correctly described by the sentencing judge as an aggravating factor.

At [29]-[35] Discussion of comparable cases.

At [37] In my view it is not reasonably arguable that the TES of 5 yrs imp infringed the totality principle. There is no challenge to the individual sentences imposed in this case and they clearly fell within the range customarily imposed for such offences. Some degree of accumulation was appropriate to reflect the number of offences and the persistence of the offending.

that the attendant give him cash from the till. The	
attendant handed over \$280 (ct 6).	
<b>10.</b> Williams v The 19 yrs at time offending and Ct 1: Armed Robbery. Ct 1: 4 yr.	s imp. Allowed.
State of Western sentencing. Ct 2: Armed Robbery. Ct 2: 4 ys	s imp.
Australia Ct 3: Armed Robbery. Ct 3: 4 yr	rs imp. Ct 1: 3 yrs imp.
Convicted after PG. Ct 4: Robbery. Ct 4: 2 yr.	rs imp. Ct 2: 3 yrs imp.
[2015] WASCA All conc,	but cum on Ct 3: 3 yrs imp.
16 Offending breached bail. Ct 1: existing to	erm of 3 yrs Ct 4: 20 mths imp.
On 18 September 2013, the appellant approached 10 mths.	All conc, but cum on
Delivered Significant criminal history, including the victim in the street and demanded money two	existing term of 3 yrs 10
22/01/2015 conviction for aggravated armed or three times and began to yell at her. He then	mths.
robbery. removed an object from his belt which the victim TES 7 yrs	s 10 mths
believed was a knife or a tool. He threatened to imp.	TES 6 yrs 10 mths imp.
Raised by grandmother after mother harm her if she did not give him the money. The	
received severe injuries from victim gave him \$150.	EFP.
domestic violence.	
<u>Ct 2:</u>	At [20] It is apparent
Education to year nine; no  At about 1.30pm on 5 October 2013, the appellant	from the sentencing
employment since stealing from blocked the victim's path on the street and asked	judge's remarks that he
employer in year nine. her to give him money. She said that she did not	did not in fact give the
have any money. He then produced a screwdriver	appellant 25% discount.
History of alcohol and substance and repeatedly said that he needed her money.	The reduction that he
abuse; counselling failed to  The victim gave him \$40. He yelled at her	made in the sentences on
rehabilitate him. demanding she give him all her money. She	each count equate to a
showed him her empty wallet. He rode away.	20% discount. This
	appears to be a simple
<u>Ct 3:</u>	mathematical error. It
At about 3.30pm on 6 October 2013, the appellant	would also seem that,
approached the victim as he was arriving home.	notwithstanding his
The appellant raised a screwdriver and pointed it	Honour's reference to
at the victim's face and demanded money. The	youth being a factor that
victim said he did not have any money. The	would further reduce the
appellant made stabbing motions with the	sentence, no allowance
screwdriver and repeated his demands. The victim	for that factor appears to
gave the appellant \$20. The appellant demanded	have been given.
all his money. The victim gave another \$10 and	

			then, in compliance with a demand, showed the appellant his empty wallet. The appellant thanked the victim and rode away.  Ct 4: At about 10.15pm on 6 October 2013, the appellant approached another man as he arrived	SCITIO	
			home. The appellant told the victim that he would 'beat him up' if he did not hand over his money.		
			The appellant became aggressive and continued		
			demands when the money was not handed over. The appellant searched the victim's pants for a		
			wallet or money. The victim gave the appellant		
			\$50 in notes and \$5 in coins. The appellant took the victim's mobile and said that he would give it		
			back if he gave him more money. When the		
			victim demanded his phone back, the appellant told him to lower his voice and not to call the		
			police as he knew where he lived. The appellant		
			gave the phone back to allow the victim to remove		
			the memory card. The appellant fled the scene when the victim's housemate came out of the		
			house.		
9.	QJS v The State of	20 yrs at time of most offending.	Indictment	<u>Indictment</u>	Dismissed – on papers.
	Western Australia	G	Ct 1: Agg burg (dwelling).	Ct 1: 1 yr 9 mths imp	A . [0,5] [7]
	[201 <i>5</i> ] WASCA 0	Conviction after PG.	Ct 2: Steal motor vehicle.	(conc).	At [35] The rationale for
	[2015] WASCA 9	Offending breached ISO.	Ct 3: Agg armed robbery. Ct 4: Accessory after the fact to agg armed	Ct 2: 4 mths imp (conc)	treating offending whilst on bail or parole as
	Delivered	Offending breached 150.	robbery.	Ct 3: 3 yrs 3 mths	being an aggravating
	15/01/2015	Significant criminal history, including	Ct 5: Agg armed robbery.	imp (cum).	factor applies equally
		convictions for stealing, burg,	Ct 6: Agg robbery.	Ct 4: 1 yr 4 mths imp	where a person commits
		breaches of bail, stealing motor		(conc).	offences whilst on some
		vehicle and common assault.	Section 32 notice	Ct 5: 3 yrs 3 mths	other form of
		Bioti C. C.	18 charges.	imp (conc).	conditional release, such
		Difficult upbringing; attended numerous schools; never had	Indictment	Ct 6: 2 yrs 4 mths	as an ISO The commission of an
		numerous schools; never had	marchient	imp (conc).	COMMISSION OF AN

significan	t employment.	<u>Ct 1 -2:</u>		offence whilst on an ISO
		At about 3.50am on 17 December 2013 the	Section 32 notice	not only exposes the
Significan	t substance abuse problem.	appellant went to a house in company with a co-	The appellant	offender to resentencing
	_	offender. He forced the garage door open and	received various imp	for the original offence,
Offending	on indictment occurred	used an internal door to access the kitchen. He	terms for various	it is a factor relevant to
shortly aft	ter the Department of Child	took a car key from the kitchen and used the keys	charges, 2 yrs 9 mths	the sentencing for the
	took the appellant's young	to steal a car from the garage.	of which was ordered	breaching offences.
	into their care.		to be served cum.	8
		Ct 3:		At [50] The offences
		Approx. one hour later, the appellant and co-	TES 6 yrs imp.	contained on the
		offender saw a woman walking along the street.	~ · J - ~ F ·	indictment were serious
		They formed an intention to snatch her bag. The	EFP.	offences of their type.
		co-offender threatened the victim with a		oriences or enem type.
		screwdriver. He pushed the tip into her cheek and	Cooperated with	
		demanded her handbag. The victim gave her	police by giving	
		handbag to the co-offender. The appellant drove	names of co-	
		them away.	offenders.	
		them away.	offenders.	
		Ct 4:	Limited insight into	
		At about 3.30pm on the same day the appellant	offending and effect	
		and a co-offender were driving through a	on victims; remorse;	
		shopping centre car park. The co-offender decided	victim empathy.	
		to steal the handbag of a passing shopper. The co-	victini empatity.	
		offender got out of the car and grabbed the		
	_^^	victim's handbag. There was a struggle until the		
		co-offender raised a box cutter knife above the		
	· ·	victim's head causing her to let go. The co-		
	A	offender got back in the car and the appellant		
		drove the co-offender away in order to help him		
	C 72	escape.		
		C+ 5.		
		Ct 5:		
		About 30 minutes later, the appellant and a co-		
	$\sim$	offender formed an intention to steal a handbag		
CAL	_	from a shopper at another shopping centre car		
CX		park. The appellant stopped the car behind the		

victim who was seated in her parked car. The co- offender opened the victim's car door and, while brandshing a screwdriver, demanded her handbag. The victim handed her hag to the co- offender. The appellant drove them away.  Ct. 6: At about 9.30am on 19 December 2013, the appellant and co-offender formed an intention to steal a handbag from a shopper at a shopping centre car park. The appellant spope the car in close proximity to the victim. The co-offender got out and pashed the victim from behind causing her to stumble. The co-offender attempted to steal her handbag dragging her as lie did so. After a struggle he obtained ploss of the bag and ranto the car.  The appellant was arrested on the same day, He made admissions to the offences, but denied entering the house in ct 1.  Section 32 Notice Between 8 August 2012 and 19 December 2013 the appellant committed multiple offences including agg burg on a liquor shop, breach of bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to especipeursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  8. Trustore v The State of Western Australia  Convicted after PG at earliest opportunity.  4p yrs at time of sentencing. Convicted after PG at earliest opportunity.  Appellant went into a bank, approached a teller and demanded cash.  Sentencing judge Dismissed.		I	1			,
brandishing a screwdriver, demanded her handbag. The victim handed her bag to the co- offender. The appellant drove them away.  Ct. 6: At about 9.30am on 19 December 2013, the appellant and co-offender formed an intention to steal a handbag from a shopper at a shopping centre car park. The appellant stopped the car in close proximity to the victim. The co-offender got out and pushed the victim from behind causing her to stumble. The co-offender attempted to steal her handbag dragging her as he did so. After a struggle he obtained poss of the bag and ran to the car.  The appellant was arrested on the same day. He made admissions to the offences, but denied entering the house in ct 1.  Section 32 Notice Between 8 August 2012 and 19 December 2013 the appellant committed multiple offences including agg burg on a liquor shop, breach of bail, stealing, wiltul damage, rrespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to secape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  I x Armed robbery.  2 yrs 8 mths imp. Appeal solely in relation to refusal of parole eligibility.						
handbag. The victim handed her bag to the co- offender. The appellant drove them away.  Ct 6: At about 9.30am on 19 December 2013, the appellant and co-offender formed an intention to steal a handbag from a shopper at a shopping centre car park. The appellant stopped the car in close proximity to the victim. The co-offender got out and pushed the victim from behind causing her to stumble. The co-offender attempted to steal her handbag dragging her as he did so. After a struggle he obtained poss of the bag and ran to the car.  The appellant was arrested on the same day. He made admissions to the offences, but denied entering the house in ct 1.  Section 32 Notice Between 8 August 2012 and 19 December 2013 the appellant committed multiple offences including agg burg on a liquor shop, breach of bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  I x Armed robbery.  Appeal solely in relation to refusal of parole eligibility.						
offender. The appellant drove them away.  Ct 6: At about 9.30am on 19 December 2013, the appellant and co-offender formed an intention to steal a handbag from a shopper at a shopping centre car park. The appellant stopped the car in close proximity to the victim. The co-offender got out and pushed the victim from behind causing her to stumble. The co-offender attempted to steal her handbag dragging her as he did so. After a struggle he obtained poss of the bag and ran to the car.  The appellant was arrested on the same day. He made admissions to the offences, but denied entering the house in ct 1.  Section 32 Notice Between 8 August 2012 and 19 December 2013 the appellant committed multiple offences including agg burg on a liquor shop, brached of bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  8. Truslove v The State of Western Australia  49 yrs at time of sentencing.  1 x Armed robbery.  2 yrs 8 mths imp.  Appeal solely in relation to refusal of parole eligibility.						
C16: At about 9.30am on 19 December 2013, the appellant and co-offender formed an intention to steal a handbag from a shopper at a shopping centre car park. The appellant stopped the car in close proximity to the victim. The co-offender got out and pushed the victim. The co-offender got out and gushed the						
At about 9,30am on 19 December 2013, the appellant and co-offender formed an intention to steal a handbag from a shopper at a shopping centre car park. The appellant stopped the car in close proximity to the victim. The co-offender got out and pushed the victim from behind causing her to stumble. The co-offender attempted to steal her handbag dragging her as he did so. After a struggle he obtained poss of the bag and ran to the car.  The appellant was arrested on the same day. He made admissions to the offences, but denied entering the house in ct 1.  Section 32 Notice  Between 8 August 2012 and 19 December 2013 the appellant committed multiple offences including agg burg on a liquor shop, breach of bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  8. Truslove v The State of Western Australia  49 yrs at time of sentencing.  1 x Armed robbery.  2 yrs 8 mths imp. Appeal solely in relation to refusal of parole eligibility.				offender. The appellant drove them away.		
At about 9,30am on 19 December 2013, the appellant and co-offender formed an intention to steal a handbag from a shopper at a shopping centre car park. The appellant stopped the car in close proximity to the victim. The co-offender got out and pushed the victim from behind causing her to stumble. The co-offender attempted to steal her handbag dragging her as he did so. After a struggle he obtained poss of the bag and ran to the car.  The appellant was arrested on the same day. He made admissions to the offences, but denied entering the house in ct 1.  Section 32 Notice  Between 8 August 2012 and 19 December 2013 the appellant committed multiple offences including agg burg on a liquor shop, breach of bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  8. Truslove v The State of Western Australia  49 yrs at time of sentencing.  1 x Armed robbery.  2 yrs 8 mths imp. Appeal solely in relation to refusal of parole eligibility.				C		
appellant and co-offender formed an intention to steal a handbag from a shopper at a shopping centre car park. The appellant stopped the car in close proximity to the victim from behind causing her to stumble. The co-offender attempted to steal her handbag dragging her as he did so. After a struggle he obtained poss of the bag and ran to the car.  The appellant was arrested on the same day. He made admissions to the offences, but denied entering the house in ct 1.  Section 32 Notice  Between 8 August 2012 and 19 December 2013 the appellant committed multiple offences including agg burg on a liquor shop, breach of bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  8. Truslove v The State of Western Australia  49 yrs at time of sentencing.  1 x Armed robbery.  2 yrs 8 mths imp.  Appeal solely in relation to refusal of parole eligibility.						
steal a handbag from a shopper at a shopping centre car park. The appellant stopped the car in close proximity to the victim. The co-offender got out and pushed the victim from behind causing her to stumble. The co-offender attempted to steal her handbag dragging her as he did so. After a struggle he obtained poss of the bag and ran to the car.  The appellant was arrested on the same day. He made admissions to the offences, but denied entering the house in ct 1.  Section 32 Notice  Between 8 August 2012 and 19 December 2013 the appellant committed multiple offences including agg burg on a liquor shop, breach of bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  8. Truslove v The State of Western Australia  49 yrs at time of sentencing.  1 x Armed robbery.  2 yrs 8 mths imp. Appeal solely in relation to refusal of parole eligibility.						
centre car park. The appellant stopped the car in close proximity to the victim. The co-offender got out and pushed the victim from behind causing her to stumble. The co-offender attempted to steal her handbag dragging her as he did so. After a struggle he obtained poss of the bag and ran to the car.  The appellant was arrested on the same day. He made admissions to the offences, but denied entering the house in ct 1.  Section 32 Notice  Between 8 August 2012 and 19 December 2013 the appellant committed multiple offences including agg burg on a liquor shop, breach of bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  8. Truslove v The State of Western Australia  49 yrs at time of sentencing.  1 x Armed robbery.  2 yrs 8 mths imp. Appeal solely in relation to refusal of parole cligibility.				appellant and co-offender formed an intention to		
close proximity to the victim. The co-offender got out and pushed the victim from behind causing her to stumble. The co-offender attempted to steal her handbag dragging her as he did so. After a struggle he obtained poss of the bag and ran to the car.  The appellant was arrested on the same day. He made admissions to the offences, but denied entering the house in ct 1.  Section 32 Notice Between 8 August 2012 and 19 December 2013 the appellant committed multiple offences including agg burg on a liquor shop, breach of bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  8. Truslove v The State of Western Australia  49 yrs at time of sentencing.  1 x Armed robbery.  Appellant went into a bank, approached a teller opportunity.  Appellant went into a bank, approached a teller and demanded cash.				steal a handbag from a shopper at a shopping		
out and pushed the victim from behind causing her to stumble. The co-offender attempted to steal her handbag dragging her as he did so. After a struggle he obtained poss of the bag and ran to the car.  The appellant was arrested on the same day. He made admissions to the offences, but denied entering the house in ct 1.  Section 32 Notice  Between 8 August 2012 and 19 December 2013 the appellant committed multiple offences including agg burg on a liquor shop, breach of bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  8. Truslove v The State of Western Australia  49 yrs at time of sentencing.  1 x Armed robbery.  Appellant went into a bank, approached a teller opportunity.  Appellant went into a bank, approached a teller and demanded cash.				centre car park. The appellant stopped the car in		
her to stumble. The co-offender attempted to steal her handbag dragging her as he did so. After a struggle he obtained poss of the bag and ran to the car.  The appellant was arrested on the same day. He made admissions to the offences, but denied entering the house in ct 1.  Section 32 Notice  Between 8 August 2012 and 19 December 2013 the appellant committed multiple offences including agg burg on a liquor shop, breach of bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  8. Truslove v The State of Western Australia  Convicted after PG at earliest opportunity.  Appellant went into a bank, approached a teller and demanded cash.  Not EFP.				close proximity to the victim. The co-offender got		
her handbag dragging her as he did so. After a struggle he obtained poss of the bag and ran to the car.  The appellant was arrested on the same day. He made admissions to the offences, but denied entering the house in ct 1.  Section 32 Notice Between 8 August 2012 and 19 December 2013 the appellant committed multiple offences including agg burg on a liquor shop, breach of bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  8. Truslove v The State of Western Australia  49 yrs at time of sentencing.  1 x Armed robbery.  2 yrs 8 mths imp. Appeal solely in relation to refusal of parole eligibility.				out and pushed the victim from behind causing		
struggle he obtained poss of the bag and ran to the car.  The appellant was arrested on the same day. He made admissions to the offences, but denied entering the house in ct 1.  Section 32 Notice Between 8 August 2012 and 19 December 2013 the appellant committed multiple offences including agg burg on a liquor shop, breach of bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  8. Truslove v The State of Western Australia  49 yrs at time of sentencing.  1 x Armed robbery.  2 yrs 8 mths imp.  Appeal solely in relation to refusal of parole eligibility.				her to stumble. The co-offender attempted to steal		
car.  The appellant was arrested on the same day. He made admissions to the offences, but denied entering the house in ct 1.  Section 32 Notice Between 8 August 2012 and 19 December 2013 the appellant committed multiple offences including agg burg on a liquor shop, breach of bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  8. Truslove v The State of Western Australia  Convicted after PG at earliest opportunity.  Convicted after PG at earliest opportunity.  Car.  The appellant was arrested on the same day. He made will be made admissions to the section 32 offences in cluding agg burg on a liquor shop, breach of bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  2 yrs 8 mths imp.  Appeal solely in relation to refusal of parole eligibility.				her handbag dragging her as he did so. After a		
The appellant was arrested on the same day. He made admissions to the offences, but denied entering the house in ct 1.  Section 32 Notice Between 8 August 2012 and 19 December 2013 the appellant committed multiple offences including agg burg on a liquor shop, breach of bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  8. Truslove v The State of Western Australia  Convicted after PG at earliest Appellant went into a bank, approached a teller opportunity.  Appeal solely in relation to refusal of parole eligibility.				struggle he obtained poss of the bag and ran to the		
made admissions to the offences, but denied entering the house in ct 1.  Section 32 Notice Between 8 August 2012 and 19 December 2013 the appellant committed multiple offences including agg burg on a liquor shop, breach of bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  8. Truslove v The State of Western Australia  Convicted after PG at earliest opportunity.  Appellant went into a bank, approached a teller and demanded cash.  Not EFP.				car.		
made admissions to the offences, but denied entering the house in ct 1.  Section 32 Notice Between 8 August 2012 and 19 December 2013 the appellant committed multiple offences including agg burg on a liquor shop, breach of bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  8. Truslove v The State of Western Australia  Convicted after PG at earliest opportunity.  Appellant went into a bank, approached a teller and demanded cash.  Not EFP.				O		
entering the house in ct 1.  Section 32 Notice Between 8 August 2012 and 19 December 2013 the appellant committed multiple offences including agg burg on a liquor shop, breach of bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  8. Truslove v The State of Western Australia  Convicted after PG at earliest opportunity.  entering the house in ct 1.  Section 32 Notice Between 8 August 2012 and 19 December 2013 the appellant committed multiple offences including agg burg on a liquor shop, breach of bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  1 x Armed robbery.  Appeal solely in relation to refusal of parole eligibility.				The appellant was arrested on the same day. He		
Section 32 Notice Between 8 August 2012 and 19 December 2013 the appellant committed multiple offences including agg burg on a liquor shop, breach of bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  8. Truslove v The State of Western Australia  49 yrs at time of sentencing.  1 x Armed robbery.  2 yrs 8 mths imp. Appeal solely in relation to refusal of parole eligibility.  Appellant went into a bank, approached a teller opportunity.  Not EFP.				made admissions to the offences, but denied		
Between 8 August 2012 and 19 December 2013 the appellant committed multiple offences including agg burg on a liquor shop, breach of bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  8. Truslove v The State of Western Australia  49 yrs at time of sentencing.  1 x Armed robbery.  2 yrs 8 mths imp. Appeal solely in relation to refusal of parole eligibility.  Appellant went into a bank, approached a teller opportunity.  Not EFP.				entering the house in ct 1.		
Between 8 August 2012 and 19 December 2013 the appellant committed multiple offences including agg burg on a liquor shop, breach of bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  8. Truslove v The State of Western Australia  49 yrs at time of sentencing.  1 x Armed robbery.  2 yrs 8 mths imp. Appeal solely in relation to refusal of parole eligibility.  Appellant went into a bank, approached a teller opportunity.  Not EFP.						
the appellant committed multiple offences including agg burg on a liquor shop, breach of bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  8. Truslove v The State of Western Australia  49 yrs at time of sentencing.  1 x Armed robbery.  2 yrs 8 mths imp.  Appeal solely in relation to refusal of parole eligibility.  Appellant went into a bank, approached a teller and demanded cash.				Section 32 Notice		
the appellant committed multiple offences including agg burg on a liquor shop, breach of bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  8. Truslove v The State of Western Australia  49 yrs at time of sentencing.  1 x Armed robbery.  2 yrs 8 mths imp.  Appeal solely in relation to refusal of parole eligibility.  Appellant went into a bank, approached a teller and demanded cash.			• 💉	Between 8 August 2012 and 19 December 2013		
bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  8. Truslove v The State of Western Australia  Convicted after PG at earliest opportunity.  bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug.  The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  2 yrs 8 mths imp.  Appeal solely in relation to refusal of parole eligibility.  Appellant went into a bank, approached a teller and demanded cash.				the appellant committed multiple offences		
bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  8. Truslove v The State of Western Australia  Convicted after PG at earliest opportunity.  bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug.  The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  2 yrs 8 mths imp.  Appeal solely in relation to refusal of parole eligibility.  Appellant went into a bank, approached a teller and demanded cash.			\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \	including agg burg on a liquor shop, breach of		
traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  8. Truslove v The State of Western Australia  Convicted after PG at earliest opportunity.  traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  2 yrs 8 mths imp. Appeal solely in relation to refusal of parole eligibility.  Appellant went into a bank, approached a teller and demanded cash.						
The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  8. Truslove v The State of Western Australia  Convicted after PG at earliest opportunity.  The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  1 x Armed robbery.  2 yrs 8 mths imp. Appeal solely in relation to refusal of parole eligibility.  Appellant went into a bank, approached a teller and demanded cash.				vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit,		
The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  8. Truslove v The State of Western Australia  Convicted after PG at earliest opportunity.  The appellant made admissions to the section 32 offences when interviewed.  1 x Armed robbery.  2 yrs 8 mths imp. Appeal solely in relation to refusal of parole eligibility.  Appellant went into a bank, approached a teller and demanded cash.				traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug.		
8. Truslove v The State of Western Australia Convicted after PG at earliest opportunity.  49 yrs at time of sentencing. 1 x Armed robbery. 2 yrs 8 mths imp. Convicted after PG at earliest and demanded cash.  Appellant went into a bank, approached a teller and demanded cash.  Not EFP.  Appeal solely in relation to refusal of parole eligibility.				The appellant made admissions to the section 32		
State of Western Australia Convicted after PG at earliest opportunity.  Appellant went into a bank, approached a teller and demanded cash.  to refusal of parole eligibility.			X	offences when interviewed.		
Australia Convicted after PG at earliest opportunity. Appellant went into a bank, approached a teller and demanded cash. Not EFP. eligibility.	8.	Truslove v The	49 yrs at time of sentencing.	1 x Armed robbery.	2 yrs 8 mths imp.	Appeal solely in relation
opportunity. and demanded cash.		State of Western				to refusal of parole
		Australia	Convicted after PG at earliest	Appellant went into a bank, approached a teller	Not EFP.	eligibility.
[2015] WASCA 1 Sentencing judge Dismissed.			opportunity.	and demanded cash.		
		[2015] WASCA 1	CX		Sentencing judge	Dismissed.

	Long criminal record commencing	Appellant was not armed, but held one hand out of	noted similarity to	
Delivered	age 11 yrs. Had offended one or more	sight by way of pretence.	offence in 2010.	At [20] His Honour
09/01/2015	times each year since 1979, except			concluded that in the
	when imprisoned.	The teller put \$950 cash into the appellant's bag,	Considered appellant	light of the appellant's
		and the appellant left.	would probably die	persistent offending
	Present offence committed within	_ C	in prison.	primary concern must be
	three weeks of release from imp	Appellant was apprehended later the same day,		protection of the public.
	imposed for similar offence in 2010.	and admitted the offence. The money was not		In our respectful
		recovered. Appellant claimed he had given the		opinion, that was plainly
	Neglected and abusive childhood.	money to a motorcycle gang in repayment of a		correct.
	Record of drug and alcohol abuse	drug debt.		
	from age of 13 yrs. Formerly addicted			At [23] The range of
	to heroin, but currently on the			sentences commonly
	methadone programme.			imposed for a single
				offence of armed
	Had made little effort to rehabilitate	C		robbery, excluding
	himself while in prison where			matters of mitigation, is
	majority of adult life had been spent.	( ) <sup>y</sup>		4 to 6 years'
		A. Comment of the com		imprisonment: Forkin v
	Appellant suffering from a number of			The State of Western
	medical conditions including severe	XO		Australia [2013]
	pulmonary hypertension and cirrhosis			WASCA 51 [15].
	which were described as end-state	ector of Pin		A4 [24] In this area
	conditions.			At [24] In this case,
		<b>y</b>		there was nothing by
				way of mitigation beyond the appellant's
	Q. Y			early plea of guilty, for
	4,40			which his Honour
	X			allowed the maximum
	C. Vy			discount of 25%. The
				appellant evinced no
				remorse.
	conditions.			Temorse.
				At [25] In our view, it
	2010			was plainly within the
				was planify within the

					T
				SCHILLE	proper exercise of his Honour's discretion under s 89(4) of the Sentencing Act to refuse to make a parole eligibility order.
7.	Wilson v The State	47 yrs at time of sentencing in 2000.	7 x Armed robbery.	Cts 1-6: 8 yrs imp on each	Application for leave to
	of Western Australia	Convicted after early PG.	s 391, 393 <i>Criminal Code</i> (as in force 1999).	conc.	appeal out of time (which expired 2000) on
	Tiusii www	Convicted arter early 1 G.	5 551, 555 Criminal Code (as in local 1555).	Ct 7: Life imp (non-	Ct 7 only.
	[2014] WASCA	Criminal record including armed	Over a period of three weeks in 1999, the	parole 8 yrs).	
	236	robbery.	appellant committed 7 similar robberies.	Sentencing judge	Allowed.
	Delivered	Appellant suffered abuse as a child.	He entered banks, Insurance Fund premises, a	noted long-term	Re-sentenced to TES 15
	22/12/2014		shop and a restaurant. He held his hand under his	addiction to heroin.	yrs backdated to original
		Trained as a psychiatric nurse in WA.	clothes as if armed with a weapon and demanded	Considered appellant	sentencing date. EFP.
		Twice attacked by patients in 1980 and 1981, suffering injuries that left	cash. He obtained between \$250-\$3,300 on each occasion. No money was recovered, save for	'would not be able to live in the community	At [27] and [38],
		him unable to continue nursing.	\$300 which he abandoned as it was stained with	without a great deal	application depended
			anti-theft dye.	of supervision and	'on whether there would
		Subsequently became addicted to		without constant risk	be a substantial
		heroin, and was imprisoned in NSW for offences committed to finance his	Only Ct 7 was subject of appeal against sentence.	to other people.'	miscarriage of justice.'
		drug habit.	Ct 7 represented a second robbery of the same		At [40] The State
		( ) )	Insurance Fund premises which he had robbed		accepts that the
		Appellant returned to WA, and in 1992 committed 2 armed robberies.	one week before.		imposition of a life sentence on count 7 was
		While on work release from prison,			an error. That
		he committed further offences that			concession is properly
		included 6 armed robberies similar to			made.
		those on current indictment.			At [42] As sarious as the
		The appellant was released on parole			At [43] As serious as the offence constituted by
		in 1999, and shortly after committed			count 7 was, it clearly
		present offences.			does not fall within the

	While in prison pending appeal, suffered various medical problems.		worst category of cases of armed robbery.
	r		At [47] The range of
	Released on parole in 2010 after		sentences commonly
	serving almost 9 yrs of life sentence		imposed from robbery
	(other terms having been completed).		after trial in 2000 was
	While on parole, he committed 2		six to nine years' imprisonment, without
	further similar offences, and was		taking mitigating and
	sentenced to 5 yrs imp.	, · , C) ′	aggravating factors into
			account: Miles v The
	Parole was revoked.	30 Y	<b>Queen</b> (1997) 17 WAR
			518.
			At [53] and [54] Unless
		X Y	again granted parole on
			the life sentence he faces
			the prospect of being in
			prison for the rest of his
			natural life. If an
			extension of time to appeal is not granted
	- AT		there would be
			significant prejudice to
			the appellant.
	cace of the Di		
	4.00		At [70] At the time the
	X		appellant was sentenced in 2000 the pre-
	Č /		transitional sentencing
			regime applied. A
			sentence of 8 years'
			imprisonment imposed
	CAU		at that time is the
	CX		equivalent of 5 years

			LAO'	and 4 months'
				imprisonment under the
				current law. Whilst
				count 7 was not more
		A		serious than the other
		C		counts on that
				indictment, to impose a
				sentence on that count
				that was wholly
		Y		concurrent with the
		A"A O		other sentences and
				produced a total
				effective sentence of 8
				years would not be
				appropriate. Such a
		KOJ OJ		sentence would be
				manifestly inadequate. This suggests that some
				degree of accumulation
		A Comment of the Comm		is appropriate. However,
				any resentencing needs
				to take into account the
				different sentencing
				regime that now applies.
				regime that now applies.
				Re-sentenced
				<u>rte senteneed</u>
				1999 indictment
				Ct 1: 5 yrs imp (cum)
	X			Cts 2-6: 5 yrs imp (conc)
				Ct 7 5 yrs imp (cum)
				_
				2010 indictment:
	2.0			
	CX			Ct 1: 5 yrs imp (conc)

				K/0'	Ct 2: 5 yrs imp (conc)
					2010 sentence cum upon 1999 sentence.
					TES 15 yrs EFP.
6.	Adams v The State	44 yrs at time sentencing.	Indictment	TES 10 yrs imp.	Allowed – Grounds 3 &
	of Western		Deprivation of liberty x 1.		6.
	Australia	Convicted after PG.	Att armed robbery x 1.	EFP.	
			Armed robbery x 1.		Section 32 notice
	[2014] WASCA	No relevant criminal history.	Fraud x 9.	\$300 fine.	Ct 1 varied – release
	191	•	Attempted fraud x 9.		after serving 7 mths of it
		Parents separated when 3 ys old;	Possess identification material w/i to commit an	Remorse; victim	on recognizance in the
	Delivered	raised by his mother; very difficult	offence x 1.	empathy; acceptance	sum of \$10,000.
	28/10/2014	upbringing.		of responsibility.	
			Section 32 Notice		At [8] It is very difficult,
		Previously married; long term	Stealing Commonwealth property x 1.	Sentencing judge	for the purposes of
		relationship; no children.	Bringing stolen goods into State x 1.	described robberies	comparison in the
			Stealing x 2.	and sexual offences	context of the first limb
		Former AFP, Customs and	Poss prohibited weapon x 3.	as involving 'a	of the totality principle,
		Immigration officer.	Poss controlled weapon x 1.	significant measure	to identify any relevant
			Unlicensed ammunition x 1.	of premeditation,	total effective sentences
			Possess stolen or unlawfully obtained property x	sexual motivation	imposed in previous
		• . A	2.	and planning';	cases. The nature, extent
			Possess false number plates x 1.	described fraud as	and diversity of the
		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	-	'deliberate,	appellant's overall
			Sometime before the appellant left the AFP in	systematic and	offending, by a person
			2006, he dishonestly appropriated a number of	planned criminality	with his antecedents, is
			items belonging to his employer, including a	over a significant	very unusual. No
			police radio, a ballistic vest & a container of OC	period'.	previous case is truly
		X	spray.		comparable.
				Low - moderate risk	
			Between 2006 and 2010 the appellant resided and	of re-offending in a	At [61] The past, present
			was employed as a customs officer in Darwin.	sexual way;	and likely future
		2.0	Whilst his neighbours were on holiday the	moderate – high risk	conditions of the
		CX	appellant broke into their unit and stole property	of committing further	appellant's

and identification. The appellant subsequently dishonesty offences. transferred to Perth between November 2010 and January 2011 and took with him these items. In 2011 the appellant became and immigration officer. During this time he applied online for credit cards using the stolen identity details as well as incorrect information as to his employment, assets and liabilities. Some of the false information as to his employment came from documents he had accessed through his employment. The applications were approved. The appellant also attempted to apply for further credit cards but when asked for further documentation he did not proceed or did not collect the card. In 2011 the appellant stole a cheque from a letterbox and deposited into one of his false accounts, withdrew money from the credit account he had opened and stole cheques from a cheque deposit box at a bank and then deposited the cheque into an access account he had opened. In 2012 the appellant rented a self-storage unit and post office box under the false name and address previously stolen. The box was used as a mailing address for invoices for the rented storage unit and applications for bank accounts.

imprisonment, by reason of his status as a former police officer, were a relevant sentencing consideration that his Honour was bound to take into account.

At [138] The appellant's overall offending was self-evidently very serious. It was varied and substantial. It involved deliberate, systematic and planned criminality executed with considerable sophistication... The appellant used the skills he had gained in the work he had undertaken in the banking and law enforcement sectors to commit the offences. and went to considerable lengths to avoid detention.

Discussion on the scope of section 32 notices and Commonwealth offences.

At [174] Ground 3 is capable of affecting the total effective sentence

In March 2012 the appellant received two parking

infringements for failing to display an unexpired

ticket. Affixed to the vehicle were registration plates from another vehicle. The purpose being he

would avoid paying the parking fees.

	I		T		1
			On 30 March 2012 the victim, a 19 yr old Finnish		imposed by his Honour.
			national, was at a bus stop waiting for a bus. The		However, having regard
			appellant approached the victim, armed with a BB		to all of the
			gun and demanded money. He forced the victim		circumstances of the
			to a secluded location where he digitally		case and particularly to
			penetrated her and performed cunnilingus. The		the seriousness of the
			victim tried to attempt to remove the handgun	7	appellant's overall
			however the appellant produced a large black-		offending and the need
			handled knife from his backpack and threatened to		for deterrence, I would
			slash her throat.		not impose a different
			, °, C)		sentence.
			One month later the appellant approached another		
			female victim. He exposed a handgun tucked into		
			his shorts. Terrified, the victim threw her handbag		
			at the appellant and ran.		
			A search warrant was executed on the appellant's		
			house where police located 38 items of mail stolen		
			by the appellant from addresses in Perth. A further		
			search warrant was executed at the storage facility		
			where nine items of stolen mail was located. Also		
			found were unlicensed registration plates,		
			weapons and unlicensed ammunition.		
5.	Hill v The State of	28 yrs at time offending.	Indictment	Indictment	Dismissed.
	Western Australia		Ct 1:Agg armed robbery.	Ct 1: 4 yrs imp.	
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Convicted after PG.	Ct 2:Agg armed robbery.	Ct 2: 4 yrs imp	At [62] In multiple
	[2014] WASCA		Ct 3:Agg Armed robbery.	(conc).	offending of this kind,
	150	Long and persistent history of serious	Ct 4:Agg burg (residential).	Ct 3: 4 yrs imp	comparison with
		offending including numerous	66 14 6 ( 14 14 14 1)	(conc).	sentences imposed in
	Delivered	convictions for burglary and stealing.	Ct 5:Agg burg (residential).	Ct 4: 3 yrs imp (conc	other cases is difficult
	19/08/2014	convictions for ourganty and stemmig.	County Control of the	& cum).	because of the very great
	157 007 201 .	Highly dysfunctional upbringing		Ct 5: 3 yrs imp (conc	variations in the number
		exposed to domestic violence,		& cum).	of possible offences and
		alcoholism and was provided	Section 32	Section 32	the possible
		substances to use.	Breach of bail.	4 mths imp (cum).	combinations of
		substances to use.	Brown of our.	i muis mip (cum).	offences.
	l .			L	offenees.

	T	T =	Γ		
		Long history of alcohol and illicit	<u>Indictment</u>	TES 7 yrs 4 mths	
		substances abuse.	The appellant, in company with four others were	imp.	At [79] the appellant's
			travelling on Tonkin Highway. On seeing a black		prospects of
		Four significant dysfunctional	Audi they decided to steal it and to steal from the	Made full admissions	rehabilitation through
		personal relationships; Father to one	Audi's passengers. When stopped at a red traffic	in ROI; co-operation	eligibility of parole were
		child.	light, the driver deliberately drove into the back of	with police was	outweighed by the need
			the Audi. Both vehicles pulled into a side street	limited.	for the protection of the
		Unemployed.	where the appellant and co-offender provided		community.
			false personal details to the driver. The co-	Remorse and victim	
		Failed to make any positive changes	offender produced a crowbar and struck the side	insight; acceptance	At [85] Discussion about
		as a result of completing programs in	of the Audi. The offenders demanded money and	of responsibility to	determining discount for
		prison.	stole the handbags of passengers. A co-offender	some extent;	co-operation.
			then drove off in the Audi.	minimised his level	•
		Poor record of compliance and		of responsibility.	At [91] the offending in
		completion of previous orders and	Section 32		this case was very
		parole; failure to engage in no-	These two offences occurred two months after the	The sentencing judge	serious.
		custodial treatment programs.	agg armed robberies.	was not satisfied that	
				the appellant's	
			The appellant and another broke into an	prospects of	
			unoccupied residence and stole property. They	rehabilitation were at	
			then went to another residence. The appellant	all substantial.	
			acted as a lookout while the co-offender forced his		
			way in. An elderly occupant heard the entry and	Moderate to high risk	
		• 40	confronted the co-offender. Both ran from the	of violent re-	
			scene.	offending and high	
			Y	risk of 'generalist re-	
			The appellant breached his bail by not appearing	offending'.	
			before the Magistrates Court.		
4.	Pilling v The State	30 yrs at time sentencing.	s 392 <i>Criminal Code</i> armed robbery x 3.	TES 10 yrs imp.	Dismissed – on papers.
	of Western		s 552, 392 <i>Criminal Code</i> att armed robbery x 1.		r ir
	Australia	Convicted after very late PG.	s 392 <i>Criminal Code</i> agg armed robbery x 4.	EFP.	At [37] the sentencing
			,	·	judge's failure to
	[2014] WASCA	Significant prior criminal history	The appellant went on a crime spree in just over a	Admitted committing	quantify the s9AA
	146	including burglary and stealing.	three week period. Four of the offences were	several armed	discount was not a
			committed while the appellant was in company	robberies although	material error and did
	Delivered	Committed first of the present	who either entered the premises or drove a	reluctant to provide	not invalidate the
	1	Province	T	1 <b>F</b>	1

	1		T		,
	12/08/2014	offences less than two weeks after	getaway car.	details; committed	sentence imposed.
		release from prison.		offences to obtain	
			The appellant entered small businesses including	money to fund his	At [44] A failure to
		Parents separated when 12 yrs old	pharmacies disguising his face and head.	drug addiction.	order a pre-sentence
		and caused marked instability in his			report, psychiatric or
		life.	The appellant made threats and demanded money,	Little by way of	psychological report
			Sudafed or pseudoephedrine from staff whilst	mitigation.	does not in itself
		Been intermittently in detention and	armed with either a screwdriver, small replica	intigation.	indicate any error in the
		prison since 13 yrs old.	handgun or tyre leaver.	Sentencing judge	sentence.
		prison since 13 yrs ord.	nunugun of tyre leaver.	concluded was a	Sentence.
		Did not perform well at school and	On fleeing the final armed robbery, police had	'seasoned criminal'	At [45] It must be
		was frequently truant.	obstructed the appellant's vehicle which stopped.	and had an	recognised that the
		was frequently truant.	He and his co-offender escaped. The appellant	'unregulated and	mitigating effect of
		Illicit drug addiction.	used a tyre lever to smash a window of the police	raging substance	mental illness may be
		infert drug addiction.	vehicle and demanded the officer get out. His co-	abuse problem'.	offset by other factors
		Suffers an antisocial personality	offender pointed a replica handgun at the officer	abuse problem.	•
				Cionificant mists	eg. where a particular condition or illness
		disorder and borderline personality	through the window. The officer pulled his own	Significant risk	
		traits.	firearm and pointed it at the co-offender. The co-	factors in relation to	raises the risk of re-
			offender was distracted by another officer who	re-offending.	offending.
		Co-offender in relation to two of the	was approaching with his firearm drawn. The co-		
		offences Jason Hapke pleaded guilty	offender turned towards the other officer, pointed		
		and sentenced to 4 yrs 6 mths imp.	the replica gun at him and attempted to flee on		
			foot. The appellant also attempted to flee. Both		
		• A	were apprehended by police.		
3.	Pryor v The State	36 yrs at time offending and	Ct 1: Agg burg (dwelling).	Ct 1: 2 yrs imp.	Dismissed – on papers.
	of Western	sentencing.	Ct 2: Steal MV.	Ct 2: 3 yrs imp	
	Australia			` '	At [27] The aggravated
		Convicted after early PG.			-
	[2014] WASCA		Ct 5: Agg burg (dwelling).	(conc).	committed by the
	143			Ct 4: 1 yr imp (conc).	appellant was a serious
			Ct 7: Agg burg (place).	Ct 5: 2 yrs imp	example of its type.
	Delivered	stalking, drug possession and		(conc).	
	06/08/2014	burglary.	The appellant went on a crime spree over an eight	Ct 6: 4 yrs imp.	At [32] Although the
			day period.	Ct 7: 1 yr imp (conc).	burglaries were not the
		Breached various community and			most serious cases of
		suspended imprisonment orders.	<u>Ct 1 &amp; Ct 2:</u>	Ct 1 cum on Ct 6.	their type, they were
	Delivered	burglary.  Breached various community and	day period.	Ct 5: 2 yrs imp (conc). Ct 6: 4 yrs imp. Ct 7: 1 yr imp (conc).	armed robbery committed by the appellant was a serio example of its type.  At [32] Although the burglaries were not the most serious cases of

		The appellant entered the victim's house through		serious enough.
Unstable childhood.		an unsecured rear door. The victim was home but	TES 6 yrs imp.	
		distracted. The appellant took a set of car keys,		
Father of 4 children	from previous	left the house and using the keys stole the victim's	EFP.	
relationship; relation		motor vehicle.		
by domestic violence		Ċ	Remorseful.	
the appellant.	riri	Ct 3 & 4:		
The state of the s		Five days later the appellant entered the victim's	Made full and frank	
Current partner is su	pportive of	garage. The victim was home and busy with her 2	admissions.	
appellant.	pportive or	small children. The appellant saw the victim had	udiliissiolis.	
пропан.		left the keys in her motor vehicle to which he got	Committed the	
Entrenched substance	e ahuse problem	in and started it. The victim heard this, ran to the	offences in the	
Entrenened substance	e abase problem.	garage and attempted to open the car door. The	context of a methyl	
Made efforts toward	s his reformation	appellant drove away. During her efforts to stop	binge.	
however not success		the appellant the victim fell to the ground and	onige.	
nowever not success	iui.	grazed her left leg.	Sentencing judge	
		grazed ner tett teg.	noted that the only	
		Ct 5:	significant matter in	
		The appellant and another entered the victim's	mitigation was the	
		residence through an unsecured door. Inside they	plea of guilty.	
		searched and located items to take. While	pica of guilty.	
		committing the offence the victim arrived home.		
		As a result, they fled the scene. No property was		
		taken.		
	- ^ ^	taken.		
		C+ 6:		
		Ct 6:		
	C Y	Early the next day the appellant and his		
	1	accomplice drove to a service station in the stolen		
		motor vehicle. Carrying a lighter and a plastic		
C	7	bottle which contained petrol, he approached the		
		counter while his accomplice stole a bottle of soft		
		drink. The appellant threatened set fire to the		
		victim if he did not give him money. Fearing for		
		his safety, the victim retreated to the office.		
-CAU		0.7		
CX		<u>Ct 7:</u>		

			The appellant and his accomplice then drove to a		
			business which was closed. The appellant used a		
			brick to smash a glass door and the two entered.		
			Inside they stole food and drink.	6,0,	
2.	The State of	31 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Agg armed robbery.	Ct 1: 2 yrs 6 mths	Allowed.
	Western Australia		Ct 2: Unlawful wounding.	imp.	
	v Walley	Convicted after early PG.		Ct 2: 12 mths imp	Re-sentenced to 4 yrs
	-		The respondent attended the BWS liquor store in	(conc).	imp Ct 1.
	[2014] WASCA	Criminal history including	Palmyra with her 14 year old daughter and		_
	85	manslaughter.	another adult female. The respondent was armed	TES 2 yrs 6 mths	Respondent conceded
		_	with a black handled knife with a 10 cm long	imp.	appeal should be upheld.
	Delivered	Exposed to violence in early	serrated blade. She brandished the knife,	-	
	23/04/2014	childhood and during relationships	approached an employee and threatened him with	EFP.	At [16] The sentencing
		with male sexual partners.	the knife.		judge's statement that
				Vague recollection of	the respondent did not
		Left school at 12 yrs old.	The employee backed away and the responded	the offence due to	have a history of serious
			walked behind the service counter and picked up a	intoxication.	violence is surprising in
		Engaged in substance abuse and	bottle of bourbon and dropped it which caused it		view of the conviction
		criminal behaviour in teenage yrs.	to smash.	Remorseful although	of manslaughter I which
				limited	she used a knife and
		Consumed methyl for some time.	She picked up another bottle and was then	understanding of	fatally stabbed her
			approached by another employee who confronted	impact to victim.	partner in a drunken
		Mother of 5 children; do not reside	and challenged her. The responded lunged at this		argument.
		with her.	employee with the knife, striking him underneath	Moderate to high risk	
			the shoulder blade. This caused a 1 cm deep	of re-offending in a	At [16] This was a
		Respondent's 14 yr old daughter PG	penetration wound. He later attended hospital and	violent manner.	serious case of
		to agg robb and sentenced to 6	the wound was sutured.		aggravated armed
		months YCBO.		Admitted in PSR that	robbery. The offending
			After the knifing the two employees retreated. The	she formed a plan	was not spontaneous and
		Charge against adult co-offender did	respondent took more bottles of alcohol and	with the others while	she armed herself with
		not proceed because of identification	placed them on the service counter. She then	drinking to commit	and was willing to use a
		issues.	picked up several bottles and threw them at one of	the offence to obtain	knife.
			the employees. While the respondent was taking	more alcohol.	
			the bottles and throwing them, the respondent's		At [19] The sentence
		6.0	adult female companion and the respondent's 14		imposed for the robbery
		CX	year old daughter entered the store, took the		charge was manifestly

bottles of alcohol and ran.    Sinclair v The State of Western Australia   18 yrs at time offending.   20 yrs at time sentencing.   Ct 1: Agg armed robbery.   Ct 2: AOBH   Allowed.   Ct 2: Convicted after Trial.   Ct 2: Convicted after PG.   Extensive criminal record; minor Delivered   29/01/2014   Diagnosed with ADHD at 8 yrs;   Diagnosed with ADH
Sinclair v The State of Western Australia  1. Sinclair v The State of Western Australia  1. Ct 1: Convicted after Trial.  [2014] WASCA [22] Extensive criminal record; minor Offences of dishonesty and public disorder and common assault.  Delivered 29/01/2014  Parents separated prior to birth; father shown only intermittent interest in him; mother supportive of him.  Delivered 29/01/2014  The appellant knew the victim and held a grudge against him.  The appellant knew the victim and held a grudge against him.  Ct 1: Agg armed robbery.  Ct 2: AOBH  The appellant knew the victim and held a grudge against him.  Ct 2: s11 no sentence.  EFP.  At [32] a sentence of immediate imprisonment is imposed for an offence of armed robbery.  Ct 2: s11 no sentence.  EFP.  At [32] a sentence of immediate imprisonment is imposed for an offence of armed robbery.  Ct 2: nowicted after PG.  On the night of the incident the appellant was in company with his two co-offenders. The co-offenders had made an arrangement to meet the victim at a park for a drug transaction. When the appellant and co-offenders got to the park, the appellant recognised the victim.  The appellant and co-offenders chased the victim.  The appellant and co-offenders chased the victim.  Described by judge
Sinclair v The State of Western Australia   Sinclair v The State of Western Australia   Sinclair v The State of Western Australia   Ct 1: Convicted after Trial.   Ct 2: AOBH   Ct 2: S11 no Sentence.   Sentence of Sentence.   Since of Sentence of Sentence of Sentence.   Since of Sentence
1. Sinclair v The State of Western Australia  1. Ct 1: Agg armed robbery.  20 yrs at time sentencing.  Ct 2: AOBH  Ct 1: Convicted after Trial.  [2014] WASCA  22  Extensive criminal record; minor Offences of dishonesty and public disorder and common assault.  Delivered  29/01/2014  Delivered  Delivered  29/01/2014  Delivered  Delivered  18 yrs at time offending.  Ct 2: AOBH  The appellant knew the victim and held a grudge against him.  The appellant knew the victim and held a grudge against him.  The appellant was in company with his two co-offenders. The co-offenders had made an arrangement to meet the victim at a park for a drug transaction. When the appellant and co-offenders got to the park, the appellant recognised the victim.  The appellant and co-offenders chased the victim.  The appellant and co-offenders chased the victim.  The appellant and co-offenders chased the victim.  Described by judge  Ct 1: 3 yrs 11 mths imp.  Ct 2: s11 no sentence to 2 yrs 9 mths imp.  EFP.  At [32] a sentence of immediate imprisonment is imposed for an offence of armed robbery.  Ct 2: s11 no sentence.  EFP.  At [32] a sentence of immediate imprisonment offence of armed robbery.  Ct 2: s11 no sentence.  The appellant was in company with his two co-offenders. The co-offenders of a drug transaction. When the appellant and co-offenders got to the park, the appellant recognised the victim.  The appellant and co-offenders chased the victim.  Described by judge
State of Western Australia  Ct 1: Convicted after Trial.  Ct 2: AOBH  The appellant knew the victim and held a grudge against him.  The appellant knew the victim and held a grudge against him.  The appellant knew the victim and held a grudge against him.  The appellant knew the victim and held a grudge against him.  Extensive criminal record; minor offences of dishonesty and public disorder and common assault.  Delivered 29/01/2014  Delivered 29/01/2014  Delivered 29/01/2014  Delivered 29/01/2014  Described by judge  Ct 2: AOBH  The appellant knew the victim and held a grudge against him.  The appellant knew the victim and held a grudge against him.  EFP.  At [32] a sentence of immediate imprisonment offence of armed offence of armed offence of armed appellant and co-offenders got to the park, the appellant and co-offenders chased the victim.  The appellant and co-offenders chased the victim.  Described by judge
Australia  Ct 1: Convicted after Trial.  Ct 2: Convicted after PG.  Extensive criminal record; minor offences of dishonesty and public disorder and common assault.  Delivered  29/01/2014  Define the incident the appellant was in company with his two co-offenders. The co-offenders had made an arrangement to meet the victim at a park for a drug transaction. When the appellant and co-offenders got to the park, the appellant and co-offenders chased the victim.  The appellant knew the victim and held a grudge against him.  EFP.  At [32] a sentence of immediate imprisonment is imposed for an offence of armed robbery. A non-immediate custodial disposition is exceptional.  The appellant and co-offenders chased the victim.  The appellant and co-offenders chased the victim.  Described by judge
Ct 1: Convicted after Trial.  22  The appellant knew the victim and held a grudge against him.  The appellant knew the victim and held a grudge against him.  The appellant knew the victim and held a grudge against him.  Extensive criminal record; minor offences of dishonesty and public disorder and common assault.  Delivered 29/01/2014  Delivered 29/01/2014  The appellant knew the victim and held a grudge against him.  EFP.  At [32] a sentence of immediate imprisonment is imposed for an offence of armed victim at a park for a drug transaction. When the appellant and co-offenders got to the park, the appellant and co-offenders got to the park, the appellant recognised the victim.  The appellant and co-offenders chased the victim.  The appellant and co-offenders chased the victim.  Described by judge
Extensive criminal record; minor offences of dishonesty and public disorder and common assault.  Delivered 29/01/2014  Delivered 29/01/2014  Delivered 329/01/2014  Delivered 429/01/2014  Delivered 529/01/2014  Delivered 64 Ct 2: Convicted after PG.  Extensive criminal record; minor offences of dishonesty and public company with his two co-offenders. The co-offenders had made an arrangement to meet the victim at a park for a drug transaction. When the appellant and co-offenders got to the park, the appellant and co-offenders chased the victim.  The appellant and co-offenders chased the victim.  Described by judge  To the appellant and co-offenders chased the victim.  Described by judge
Extensive criminal record; minor offences of dishonesty and public disorder and common assault.  Delivered 29/01/2014  Extensive criminal record; minor offences of dishonesty and public disorder and common assault.  Delivered 29/01/2014  Described by judge  EFP.  At [32] a sentence of immediate imprisonment is imposed for an offence of armed robbery. A non-immediate custodial disposition is exceptional.
Delivered 29/01/2014  Extensive criminal record; minor offences of dishonesty and public disorder and common assault.  Parents separated prior to birth; father shown only intermittent interest in him; mother supportive of him.  On the night of the incident the appellant was in company with his two co-offenders. The co-offenders. The co-offenders had made an arrangement to meet the victim at a park for a drug transaction. When the appellant and co-offenders got to the park, the appellant recognised the victim.  On the night of the incident the appellant was in company with his two co-offenders. The co-offenders had made an arrangement to meet the victim at a park for a drug transaction. When the appellant and co-offenders got to the park, the appellant recognised the victim.  The appellant and co-offenders chased the victim.  Described by judge
Delivered 29/01/2014 offences of dishonesty and public disorder and common assault. offenders had made an arrangement to meet the victim at a park for a drug transaction. When the appellant and co-offenders got to the park, the shown only intermittent interest in him; mother supportive of him. offenders had made an arrangement to meet the victim at a park for a drug transaction. When the appellant and co-offenders got to the park, the appellant recognised the victim. offenders. The co-offenders. The co-offenders. The co-offenders and company with his two co-offenders. The co-offenders arrangement to meet the victim at a park for a drug transaction. When the appellant and co-offenders got to the park, the appellant recognised the victim. offending.  The appellant and co-offenders chased the victim. Described by judge
disorder and common assault.  Described by judge  disorder and common assault.  disorder and common assault.  offenders had made an arrangement to meet the victim at a park for a drug transaction. When the appellant and co-offenders got to the park, the appellant recognised the victim.  The appellant and co-offenders chased the victim.  offence of armed robbery. A non-immediate custodial disposition is exceptional.  The appellant and co-offenders chased the victim.  Described by judge
Parents separated prior to birth; father shown only intermittent interest in him; mother supportive of him.  victim at a park for a drug transaction. When the appellant and co-offenders got to the park, the appellant recognised the victim.  victim at a park for a drug transaction. When the appellant and co-offenders got to the park, the appellant recognised the victim.  ADHD was a contributor to the offending.  disposition is exceptional.  The appellant and co-offenders chased the victim.  Described by judge
Parents separated prior to birth; father shown only intermittent interest in him; mother supportive of him.  Parents separated prior to birth; father shown only intermittent interest in him; mother supportive of him.  The appellant and co-offenders got to the park, the appellant recognised the victim.  The appellant and co-offenders chased the victim.  Described by judge immediate custodial disposition is exceptional.
shown only intermittent interest in him; mother supportive of him.  appellant recognised the victim.  appellant recognised the victim.  The appellant and co-offenders chased the victim.  Described by judge
shown only intermittent interest in him; mother supportive of him.  appellant recognised the victim.  appellant recognised the victim.  offending.  disposition is exceptional.  The appellant and co-offenders chased the victim.  Described by judge
The appellant and co-offenders chased the victim. Described by judge
The appellant and co-offenders chased the victim. Described by judge
Diagnosed with ADHD at 8 yrs: The co-offenders, who were armed one with a last 'a serious example At [48] [the judge]
untreated since 15 yrs. screwdriver and the other a pole, intended to rob of a serious offence'. having decided that the
the victim. The appellant, who was armed with a plea of guilty to count 2
History of alcohol and substance brick and motivated by his grudge, intended to Found criminal merited some mitigation
abuse; efforts so far failed to assault him. Each offender used their implements responsibility of of the penalty on count
rehabilitate him. to rob and inflict serious injury on the victim. The appellant was less 1, needed only to have
appellant came to know his co-offenders were than his co-offenders taken it into account as
Poor history of Children's Court robbing the victim and assisted and encouraged although not vast. part of the intuitive
order compliance. them. synthesis of all of the
Moderate risk of relevant circumstances
Co-offenders not apprehended and The victim received lacerations to his face, a future violent of the case His honour
not dealt with. fractured nose and broken elbow. The appellant offending. was not required to
derived no benefit from the robbery. express the amount of
any discount for this
The sentencing judge was unable to make a factor.
finding attributing particular injuries to each
offender; however found the appellant's assault
'undoubtedly' contributed to the injuries.

Transitional Provisions Repealed (14/01/2009)				
Transitional Provisions Enacted (31/08/2003)				

## Part B – Suspended custodial sentence upheld or imposed on appeal

No.	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal		
			Transitional Provisions Repealed (14/01/2009)				
	<u> </u>						
	Transitional Provisions Enacted (31/08/2003)						
				T			
			C V				