Armed robbery

from an individual eg bag snatch, ATM, car-jacking

ss 392 and 393 Criminal Code

From 1 January 2014

Transitional Sentencing Provisions: This table is divided into thirds based on the three relevant periods of Sentencing Provisions:

- Post-transitional provisions period (from 14/01/2009 31/08/2003)
- Transitional provisions period (between 31/08/2003 and 14/01/2009)
- Pre-transitional provisions period (pre 31/08/2003)

These periods are separated by a row which shows when the transitional provisions were enacted, and another showing when they were repealed.

Glossary:

imp	imprisonment
susp	suspended
conc	concurrent
cum	cumulative
PG	plead guilty
agg	aggravated
burg	burglary

sex pen sexual penetration without consent AOBH assault occasioning bodily harm

GBH grievous bodily harm dep lib deprivation of liberty

Att attempted

EFP eligible for parole
TES total effective sentence

ct count

No	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal
14.	Greeney v The	41 yrs at time offending.	Cts 1-4 & 6: Criminal damage.	Cts 1-4 & 6: 3 mths imp	Dismissed – on papers.
	State of		Ct 5: Steal motor vehicle.	(conc).	1 1
	Western	Convicted after PG (20% discount).	Cts 7-8 & 12: Stealing.	Ct 5: 6 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned totality
	Australia		Cts 9 & 11: Armed robbery.	Cts 7-8 & 12: 6 mths	principle.
		Very extensive criminal history;	Ct 10: Armed so as to cause fear.	imp (conc).	
	[2020] WASCA	subject to susp sentence at time	Cts 13-16: Fraud.	Ct 9: 3 yrs imp (cum).	At [40] The overall
	135	offending.		Ct 10: 1 yr imp (cum).	criminality involved in the
			The offending occurred over two days.	Ct 11: 2 yrs 6 mths imp	appellant's offending was
	Delivered	Indigenous; disadvantaged	4,40	(cum).	undoubtedly very serious.
	04/09/2020	background; alcoholic father;	<u>Cts 1-4</u>	Cts 13-16: 2 mths imp	Although the offences
		childhood marred by domestic	At around midnight Greeney threw bricks	(conc).	were all committed over
		violence; assaulted by his father;	through the windows or door panes of four		two days, there were
		lived with his father after parents'	business premises.	TES 6 yrs 6 mths imp.	separate incidents
		divorce when a teenager;			involving the production
		grandparents significant impact	<u>Ct 5</u>	EFP.	of weapons and two
		upon him.	Several hrs later Greeney was at the victim's		separate armed robbery
			address. Without permission he took a set of	The sentencing judge	offences. It was an
		No contact with his mother and	car keys and the victim's vehicle. He used	found the fact the	aggravating feature of the
		younger sister after parents'	the vehicle during the commission of cts 6 to	appellant was on a susp	overall offending that it
		divorce.	9 before driving it off road, bogging it and	sentence at the time of	was committed while the
			causing it significant damage. He made no att	his offending an	appellant was subject to a
		Partner some 20 yrs subjected to	to notify the victim of where the car was.	aggravating factor; the	susp sentence.
		domestic violence; two children;		damage he caused was	
		one grandchild at time sentencing;	<u>Ct 6</u>	wanton and senseless;	At [42] it is not
		partner supportive.	Greeney drove the stolen vehicle into the	he caused significant	reasonably arguable that
		100	sliding door of a service station, smashing it.	damage and	the TES failed to bear a
		Some work history mid-20s.		inconvenience to local	proper relationship to the
			<u>Cts 7 & 8</u>	businesses in a small	overall criminality
		Loss of his father whilst on remand;	Greeney then drove the vehicle to another	regional town.	involved in all the
		suffered greatly with death of his	service station and put \$30 worth of petrol		appellant's offences,
		grandparents whilst previously in	into the car. He left without paying for the	The sentencing judge	viewed in their entirety
		custody.	fuel.	characterised the	and having regard to the

Entrenched drug addiction; cannabis from aged 14 yrs; intravenous methyl use from aged 19 yrs.

Greeney entered an unlocked vehicle belonging to the second victim and stole a wallet, containing a credit card.

Ct 9

Greeney then drove the vehicle to the home of the third victim, who lived alone with her two children. Seeing him drive up onto her lawn the victim went outside. Greeney got out of the car with a claw hammer raised up alongside his head and asked the victim about the safe on her veranda. The victim told him the safe was empty, but he demanded she give it to him and threatened to shoot her. Fearing for her and her children's safety she threw the safe towards him. He put it in the car and drove away. He later found the safe was empty.

Ct 10

Later the same day Greeney walked to a house where the fourth victim lived with her daughter. Carrying a large hunting knife he approached the victim, who was in her car preparing to leave. He asked the victim for her car, but she declined.

Cts 11 & 12

Greeney then walked to another property and approached the fifth and sixth victims, who lived at the premises. Still carrying the large knife he demanded the keys to one of the

appellant's offending as a rampage in a rural community; he found cts 9 to 11 extremely serious; ct 9 occurred on a rural property with a woman who was alone with her young children; he threatened to shoot the victim and he was armed with a hammer: cts 10 and 11 involved the appellant approaching people, going about their business at their own homes whilst armed with a knife.

Demonstrated some degree of remorse; acknowledged the impact of his offending on his victims. circumstances of the case

			victims car. Scared, one of the victims gave him his car keys. Greeney drove away in the vehicle at speed. The victims followed in another vehicle, but soon lost sight of him. Greeney drove it before abandoning it. Cts 13-16 Using the stolen credit card belonging to the second victim Greeney and an associate purchased goods, in three separate transactions, to the value of \$50, \$51.99 and \$25 respectively.	40secilly	
			Greeney then drove to a service station and obtained \$30 worth of fuel using the stolen credit card.		
13.	Pickett v The	21 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Agg burglary.	Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc).	Appeal allowed.
	State of		Ct 2: Armed robbery.	Ct 2: 5 yrs imp (cum).	
	Western	Convicted after trial.	Ct 3: Agg indec assault.	Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (conc).	Appeal concerned
	Australia		Cts 5 & 6: Agg sex pen.	Ct 5: 4 yrs imp (cum).	indefinite imp order
		Atrocious juvenile criminal history;		Ct 6: 3 yrs imp (conc).	(imposed 23 June 2000).
	[2019] WASCA	including two convictions for	The victim, aged 27 yrs, was home alone. In		
	178	manslaughter by motor vehicle	the early hrs of the morning she was woken	TES 9 yrs imp.	Resentenced:
		aged 14 yrs.	by the sound of Pickett, in the company of a		
	Delivered		co-offender, breaking into her home (ct 1).	Sentence to be served	Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc).
	12/11/2019	Third child of nine children; non-		partly cum upon a TES	Ct 2: 5 yrs imp (cum).
		drinking parents; stable home.	The victim called the police and hid in her	of 10 yrs 9 mths imp	Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).
			bedroom. Pickett entered the room and,	already serving.	Ct 5: 5 yrs imp (cum).
		Struggled at school often in trouble;	pretending to be armed with a knife,		Ct 6: 5 yrs imp (conc).
		frequent truancy; expelled aged 11	demanded money from her. She gave him	Indefinite imp order	
		yrs.	\$55 in cash (ct 2).	made under s 98 of the	TES 13 yrs imp.
				Sentence Act 1995.	
		Very little employment history.	Pickett then made the victim remove her		TES with other sentences

nightdress, so she was naked. He then	It was accepted the	approx. 14 yrs 7 mths
compelled her to touch herself (ct 3). He a	dso sexual offending was	imp.
made her walk naked outside, past the co-	premeditated.	
offender who was keeping watch.		At [81] The judge
	No remorse or victim	emphasised the
Pickett also sexually penetrated the	empathy; high risk of	seriousness of the
victim without her consent (cts 5 and 6		appellant's offending, the
	,	escalation of its
		seriousness in November
		and December 1998 and
		the rapidity with which
		the appellant offended
		each time he was released
		from custody. We accept
		all of those matters.
		Nevertheless, the
		combination of the fact
		that most of the offending
		was committed, when the
		appellant was a child of
		less than 14 yrs or
		when he had just turned
		14 yrs old; the
		appellant's youth – being
		just 21 yrs old – when he
		committed his most recent
		offences; the lengthy
		horizon – more than 7 yrs
C VY		– before[he] would be
		released; and the
		absence of any expert
		opinion means that
		[his] criminal history
		<u> </u>

			ALCON OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P		could not, in our respectful opinion, on its own justify the making of an indefinite imp order. At [83] In the absence of expert psychiatric or psychological evidence, offences committed at the age of 21 yrs or less (and generally at the age of 14 yrs or less) provide an insufficient foundation to conclude, on the balance of probabilities, that, when released from prison aged almost 30 yrs, the appellant would be such a danger to society or part of it as to reasonably justify the making of an indefinite imp order. At [93] In resentencing the appellant, the starting point is the very serious nature of the appellant's offending, and the effects it has had upon his victim.
12.	Krencej v The	38 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Armed robbery.	Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc).	Dismissed.
	State of		Ct 2: Steal motor vehicle.	Ct 2: 12 mths imp	A 1 11 11
		I Clause stad after twist (at 1)	l	(conc).	I Annaal concerned langth
	Western Australia	Convicted after trial (ct 1). Convicted after late PG (ct 2).	The victim contacted Krencej's girlfriend to	(cone).	Appeal concerned length of sentence on ct 1 and

		-	-		
			purchase drugs. It was arranged for the	Sentence to be served	totality principle.
	[2019] WASCA	Serious criminal history, prior	transaction to take place at a park, with the	partly conc with	
	82	convictions for armed robbery, dep	victim to provide his ring as collateral.	sentence of 2 yr imp	At [57] neither the
		liberty and sexual penetration.		imposed in Magistrates	individual sentences
	Delivered		Krencej, armed with a replica handgun,	Court in respect of other	imposed on the ind, nor
	17/05/2019	Very difficult family and	attended the park. When the victim arrived he	matters.	the TES, can be regarded
		educational background.	received a message, purportedly from the		as unreasonable or plainly
			girlfriend, saying she had sent 'my man	TES 3 yrs 7.5 mths imp.	unjust. The individual
		Left school yr 8.	down'.		sentence on the armed
			A A A	EFP.	robbery ct was not
		Some periods of gainful	The victim was seated in his car with the		manifestly excessive. The
		employment.	engine running when Krencej opened the	The trial judge found the	TES bears a proper
			front passenger door and pointed the gun at	victim had previously,	relationship to the overall
		Illicit drug use from age 11-12 yrs.	him. Believing the gun was real the victim	either directly or	criminality involved in all
			complied when instructed to turn off the car's	indirectly, supplied	of those offences,
			engine. Krencej then told the victim to take	drugs which had made	
			his ring off and give it to him. The gun was	the appellant and/or his	
			still pointed at him so out of fear he gave him	girlfriend very ill; the	
			the ring. Krencej then demanded he get out	appellant's motivation	
			of the car and leave. The victim did so,	for his offending was to	
			running from the car and hiding in a nearby	obtain payback or to	
			garden. Krencej drove the car to a nearby	seek restitution for the	
		•	cul-de-sac and left it with the keys inside.	severe illness which he	
				and/or his girlfriend had	
			When arrested two days later, Krencej was	endured.	
		Y	observed to be chewing on something. It was		
		4.40	found to be the victim's ring.		
11.	Nikora v The	20 yrs at time sentencing.	1 x Agg robbery.	20 mths imp.	Dismissed.
	State of	C. Tr		_	
	Western	Convicted after early PG (25%	In the early hrs of the morning Nikora,	EFP.	Appeal concerned length
	Australia	discount).	Baynah and a third accused, came across the		and type of sentence.
			victims, L and P, walking together.	The sentencing judge	
	[2018] WASCA	No prior criminal history.		found the appellant was	At [69] The facts of the

235

Delivered 29/07/2019

Co-offender of:

Baynah v The State of Western Australia [No 2] [2019] WASCA 103 Stable childhood; born and raised in New Zealand; parents separated aged 3 yrs.

Supportive family.

Completed yr 11.

Three yr relationship; 2 yr old son at time sentencing.

Consistent employment history.

Good physical and mental health.

Experimented with various illicit drugs; alcohol use since aged 14 yrs.

Nikora was under the influence of cocaine and alcohol.

The three approached the victims. In Nikora's presence Baynah asked L if he had any cash on him. When told he did not Baynah demanded L's wallet and took his bank card. As this was happening the third accused reached towards P's pockets. P pushed the third accused's hand away and the third accused punched him to the back of the head.

Baynah and the third accused then punched L and P multiple times. When L fell to the ground he was also kicked, including once to the head by Baynah. L eventually handed his wallet to Baynah. During this assault Nikora was in close proximity, aiding Baynah and the co-accused.

As P was lying on the ground, Nikora took P's mobile phone, charger and wallet. However, after searching the wallet, returned all of the property to P.

Nikora and Baynah then went into a nearby store, where Baynah attempted to use L's bank card. When L alerted staff they were using his card and that the police were on the way Nikora and Baynah left the store and further assaulted him. He was punched numerous times, causing him to fall onto the

not the instigator of the attack and he played a lesser physical role; however the appellant played a significant role.

The sentencing judge found the appellant participated in a group attack upon the victims who were outnumbered and unknown to him and his co-offenders: the offending occurred over an extended period; involved acts of violence; the physical acts of punching and kicking the victims involved a continued significant level of violence, some of which occurred when the victims were on the ground and defenceless; characterising these actions as cowardly.

Demonstrated genuine remorse; offered to engage in victim mediation; low risk of violent re-offending. agg robbery are selfevidently serious. While the appellant was not the instigator of the offence, by reason of his actions ... he was an integral part of the offending. The offending was prolonged and persistent. ... It involved a group attack upon two defenceless victims, both of whom were assaulted, harassed and terrorised. ...

At [70] The offence was, as the sentencing judge said, 'cowardly' and 'a very serious street mugging'. ...

At [72] ... the seriousness of the offence justified the conclusions that the only appropriate sentence was a term of imp and that the term must be immediately served.

			T		
			roadway. During this assault Baynah told L he had a knife and forced him to hand over his mobile phone.	Secilly	
			P attempted to stop the attack on L but he was thrown to the ground. Nikora and Baynah then kicked and stomped on the two victims. Nikora also threw an unknown item at the victims.	(05)	
			The two victims suffered minor physical injuries.		
10.	Woods v The	21 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Agg robbery.	Ct 1: 3 yrs 6 mths imp	Allowed.
	State of	22 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 2 & 12: Burg.	(cum).	
	Western		Cts 3-5, 7-8, 10-11 & 13: Agg burg.	Cts 2 and 12: 1 yr imp	Appeal concerned totality
	Australia	Convicted after early PG (25%	Ct 6: Agg armed robbery.	each ct (ct 2 cum all	principle. Individual
		discount).	Ct 9: Att agg burg.	other cts conc).	sentences were not
	[2017] WASCA			Cts 3-5, 7-8, 10-11 and	challenged.
	179	Extensive and persistent criminal	The offences were committed over a five	13: 18 months imp each	
		history; including serious offences	week period.	ct (conc).	Resentenced. Orders in
	Delivered	as a child; no prior sentences of		Ct 6: 5 yrs imp (cum).	relation to conc, cum and
	29/09/2017	imp.	<u>Ct 1</u>	Ct 9: 2 yrs imp (conc).	backdating set aside.
			Woods got into the passenger's seat of a car.		~
		Sentenced SGMC further 77	Snatching the keys from the 83 yr-old	TES 9 yrs 6 mths imp.	Cts 2 and 8 cum upon
		offences, 6 mths imp; conc with	driver's hands she ordered her out of the		each other, cum upon
		each other; conc with TES for	vehicle, before forcibly pulling her from the	EFP.	individual sentences for ct
		offences subject of this matter.	car and stealing it. The car was extensively		6.
		D C (1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	damaged and written off.	The sentencing judge	A 11 . 1
		Dysfunctional childhood; mother	Ct 2	found the appellant's	All other counts conc with
		mentally ill; absent father; exposed	Ct 2	offending demonstrated	each other and conc with
		illicit drugs from young age;	About a fortnight later Woods forced entry	'a degree not simply of deliberation but of some	sentence for ct 6.
		sexually abused aged 12 yrs; deeply affected by suicide of a relation;	into a home and stole car keys and used them to steal a vehicle.	calculation' in	TEC 7 yrs 6 mths imp
		affected by suicide of a relation;	to steal a venicle.	calculation in	TES 7 yrs 6 mths imp.

little or no family support.

First relationship marred by domestic violence; two young children from union cared for by grandmother.

Alcohol and inhalants from 11 yrs; methyl aged 14 yrs.

Ct 3

The next day Woods entered a home and rummaged through a handbag. She fled when disturbed.

Ct 4

The following day Woods forced entered to another home and stole numerous items. The occupant and a friend were home at the time.

Ct 5

Two days later Woods entered a house and stole a wallet. She fled when disturbed. Returning a short time later to steal a car.

Ct 6

Two days later Woods went to a house and asked the 72 yr-old occupant to use her phone. This was denied so she forced a window to gain entry. Armed with a knife, she raised it in an aggressive manner and demanded jewellery and the car keys. The occupant feared for her life and told Woods she felt unwell and asked her to call for an ambulance. Woods declined and left, stealing a number of items, including a mobile phone and car.

Ct 7

The following day Woods entered a home, but fled when disturbed.

particular, several of the offences involved the targeting of elderly women.

The sentencing judge found the seriousness of the offending 'so great that deterrence and punishment and the protection of the community, particularly vulnerable members of the community who the appellant showed a tendency to target outweighed her individual needs'.

EFP.

At [50] The appellant's overall offending was very serious. ... Most of the offences involved some premeditation, calculation and planning. ... The appellant specifically and intentionally targeted elderly women.

At [53] ... It was necessary, in order properly to mark the appellant's overall criminality in committing numerous serious offences, to accumulate some of the individual sentences. However, the TES ... was ... severe having regard to all relevant sentencing factors and all relevant sentencing principles ...

At [73] ... the magistrate's sentencing decision (including the facts and circumstances of

	<u>Ct 8</u>		the 77 offences with
	The same day Woods went to a house and		which the decision was
	asked the 82 yr old occupant for directions.		concerned) should be
	She was permitted into the house. Once		taken into account in the
	inside she stole car keys and a car. The car		application of the totality
	was extensively damaged.		principle (in particular, in
			the backdating of the new
	<u>Ct 9</u>	y	TES) when this court
	The next day Woods knocked on the door of	<i></i>	resentences the appellant
	another home and asked the occupant to call		in respect of the 13 cts in
	a taxi. When the occupant was on the phone		the indictment.
	Woods attempted to enter the house.		
	Ct 10		
	The same day Woods ran inside a house after		
	asking her to call a taxi. She stole a handbag		
	and car keys. Using the keys she then stole a		
	car.		
	Ct 11		
	The same day Woods entered another home.		
	She was disturbed after stealing car keys,		
	which she used to steal a car.		
	Ct 12		
	The same day Woods forced entry into a		
A .	further home and damaged items inside. She		
	also stole personal items, including a hearing		
C. V	aid and WWII medals and car keys. Using		
	the keys she stole the occupant's car.		
	<u>Ct 13</u>		
	A few days later Woods entered a house and		

			stole jewellery. The occupant was at home at		
			the time.		
9.	Mamkin v The	18 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Armed robbery.	Ct 1: 4 yrs 9 mths imp	Dismissed.
	State of	19 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Stealing.	(reduced from 7 yrs	
	Western		Ct 3: Agg robbery.	imp).	Appeal concerned totality
	Australia	Convicted after PG (25% discount	Ct 4: Att agg robbery.	Ct 2: 1 mth imp (conc).	and discount for
		for cts 1 and 7).	Ct 5: Agg burg.	Ct 3: 12 mths imp	cooperation.
	[2017] WASCA		Ct 6: Steal motor vehicle.	(conc).	
	61	Current offending are the first	Ct 7: Agg armed robbery.	Ct 4: 10 mths imp	At [34]the appellant's
		convictions as an adult.	110	(conc).	admissions were not made
	Delivered		<u>Ct 1</u>	Ct 5: 2 yrs 6 mths imp	as a consequence of
	31/03/2017	Extensive prior criminal history as	The victim parked his car at a shopping	(conc).	genuine remorse or
		a juvenile, including sanctions of	centre and remained in the driver's seat.	Ct 6: 12 mths imp	contrition. They did not
		detention.	Mamkin approached the victim, produced a	(conc).	involve the provision of
			long knife and told him, 'Don't do anything or	Ct 7: 5 yrs 3 mths imp	useful information to the
		On bail for cts 1-6 at time offending	I'm going to stab you'. Mamkin got into the	(reduced from 8 yrs	police The admissions
		for ct 7.	car, behind the victim, and asked what he had	imp) (to commence 1 yr	were made in confined
			on him. The victim handed a mobile and \$50	7 mths after	parts of the video-
			cash to Mamkin.	commencement of ct 1).	recorded interview during
				mmg c 10 11 1	which the appellant
			On Mamkin's instruction, the victim drove to	TES 6 yrs 10 mths imp.	repeatedly, but
			an ATM to withdraw cash. While holding the	EED	unsuccessfully,
			knife against the victim's ribs, Mamkin	EFP.	endeavoured to mislead
			demanded the victim's PIN for his bankcard	G	the police as to the truth
			and said, 'If you lie I will stab you'.	Sentences on cts 1 and 7	about the serious offences
			On Mamkin's instructions, the victim drove	reduced for PG and youth. Sentence on ct 7	in which he was involved
			to a cul-de-sac and got out of the car.	also reduced for time in	as a principal offender.
			Mamkin patted the victim's pockets and took		At [35] The appellant
		X	his car keys and house keys. Mamkin fled in	custody.	made no admissions of
			the car which contained the victim's property.	Sentencing judge took	any significance
			the car which contained the victim's property.	into account PG, youth	concerning ct 1. His
		-(2)	Ct 2	and cooperation with	cooperation with the
<u> </u>	L		<u> Ct 2</u>	and cooperation with	cooperation with the

On the same date as ct 1, Mamkin and his associates stole fuel to the value of \$76.46.

Cts 3 and 4

On the same date as ct 1, Mamkin and his associates parked the stolen car behind the victims who were attempting to withdraw cash at an ATM. Mamkin approached the victims and said 'Do you want to pull some money out or get mobbed?'. Mamkin took a wallet from one victim. The other victim attempted to prevent Mamkin from taking the wallet and Mamkin punched the second victim to the face. A violent confrontation ensued and the victims escaped on foot.

Cts 5 and 6

The following day, Mamkin entered the victim's house while the victim was asleep. He stole the victim's handbag which contained her wallet, car keys and the keys to a vault at her work. Mamkin then stole the victim's car.

Ct 7

The victim was a taxi driver. On another date, Mamkin arranged for the victim to collect him from Bassendean. As Mamkin could not pay a deposit, the victim refused to drive him to his destination but offered to drive him, without charge, to a train station.

As the victim drove around the corner,

police (admissions to police) for cts 2-6.

PSR indicated no real appreciation of the effect which Mamkin's conduct must have had on his victims, or a willingness or real capacity to deal with the issues which led to his offending.

Sentencing judge commented that the current offences indicate a serious escalation in the level of violence involved in Mamkin's offending.

No remorse or contrition.

Very serious risk of reoffending. police when they searched his premises was insignificant. His insubstantial admissions and cooperation were not of any material weight for sentencing purposes. In any event, a different individual sentence for ct 1 should not have been imposed.

At [36] His Honour did not state the discount he applied but his Honour was not bound to do so. In any event, a different sentence should not have been imposed for any of cts 2, 3 or 4.

At [37] The appellant's overall offending was, no doubt, extremely serious... The offences involved some planning... The actual or threatened violence associated with the commission of cts 1 and 7 was significant. The victims must have feared for their lives. They would have suffered

I					
			Mamkin produced a long knife and held it at		emotional trauma The
			the victim's throat. He threatened to kill the		victim of ct 7 was a taxi
			victim if he did not hand over his money, his		driver. People who work
			mobile and the passcode for the mobile. The	SCOM	as taxi drivers are
			victim complied with those demands. His		vulnerable to attacks of
			wallet contained \$450 cash.		this kind.
			Mamkin's two associates approached the	Y	At [48] The egregious
			taxi, opened the door and told Mamkin to	/	character of the
			take the mobile and cash and get out of the		appellant's offending, and
			taxi.		the very serious risk that
			tuni.		he will reoffend, reduced
					the extent to which he
					could be given credit in
					the sentencing process for
			X		
0	117:11: TD1	21 (17)	C. 1 C. 1	C: 1 1 ' /	his youth.
8.	Williams v The	31 yrs at time offending (cts 1-7).	Ct 1: Steal motor vehicle.	Ct 1: 1 yr imp (conc).	Dismissed.
	State of	34 yrs at time offending (ct 8).	Ct 2: Armed robbery.	Ct 2: 4 yrs imp (cum).	
	Western		Ct 3: Att armed robbery.	Ct 3: 2 yrs 6 ths imp	Appellant appealed
	Australia	Convicted after trial.	Ct 4: Stealing.	(conc).	totality principle,
			Ct 5: Agg armed robbery	Ct 4: 3 mths imp (conc).	individual sentences not
	[2016] WASCA	Lengthy criminal history. Ct 8	Ct 6: Robbery.	Ct 5: 5 yrs imp (conc).	challenged.
	232	committed when on bail.	Ct 7: Armed robbery.	Ct 6: 2 yrs imp (conc).	
			Ct 8: Att armed robbery.	Ct 7: 3 yrs imp (cum).	At [36] The existence of a
	Delivered	Troubled childhood, father died		Ct 8: 3 yrs imp (conc).	causal relationship
	23/12/2016	when very young. Cared for her	Williams stole a car (ct 1). With her face		between a mental illness
		seriously ill mother until her death	concealed by a hat, sunglasses and bandana	TES 7 yrs imp. EFP.	and the offences does not
		several months before offence of ct	she went to a hotel bottle shop and threatened	_	automatically result in the
		8.	staff with a knife, yelling for the till be	The sentencing judge	offender receiving a lesser
			opened. She stole \$500 (ct 2).	noted the offences as	sentence. While the
		Abused from age 14 yrs. Left		'extremely serious' but	existence of a causal
		home at 16 yrs.	Armed with a knife Williams went to a petrol	found her judgment was	connection might reduce
			station and demanded the keys to a vehicle.	impaired and her ability	moral blameworthiness
			station and demanded the Rejs to a venicle.	impaired and ner admity	morar oranic worthings

		Irregular school attendance.	The mechanic ran and called police (ct 3).	to control her actions	and the importance of
			Williams rummaged through the car and took	reduced due to mental	general deterrence, it
		No vocational skills.	a mobile phone (ct 4).	illness. This reduced her	might also, in some cases,
				moral blameworthiness.	increase the importance of
		Four children; all cared for by	Williams approached a 75 yr-old female and		specific deterrence or the
		others.	demanded her car keys. Grabbing the keys	Risk of re-offending	need to protect the public.
			from the victim's hand she then held a knife	'medium to high'.	This is such a case.
		Entrenched history of illicit drug	to her neck. Pushing the victim aside she got	y	
		and alcohol abuse.	into the car and drove away, narrowly		At [37] The protection of
			missing the victim, who was pulled from the		the public was an
		Diagnosed with schizophrenia.	path of the reversing car by a passerby (ct 5).		important sentencing
		Impaired insight into her mental			factor in this case, having
		illness and tendency to avoid	With her jumper pulled over her head and		regard to the nature of the
		psychiatric treatment.	wearing sunglasses Williams entered a bank.		offending, its repetitive
			With her hands concealed in her jumper she		nature and the risk of
			told a teller to put money into a bag. The		reoffending posed by the
			teller handed her \$700 (ct 6).		appellant.
			X.		
			With her face concealed by a jumper,		
			sunglasses and a cloth Williams entered a		
			bank. She produced a knife and repeatedly		
			yelled at a teller to give her money. When		
		•	given money she demanded more and		
			produced another knife. She left taking		
			\$1,450 (ct 7).		
		Q. Y			
		4,0	Holding a knife Williams demanded the		
		X	victim get out of his vehicle. She tried		
		C	unsuccessfully to open the car door when the		
			victim refused (ct 8).		
7.	Gittos v The	29 yrs at time offending.	Indictment	Indictment	Dismissed – on papers.
	State of		Ct 1: Agg armed robbery.	Ct 1: 4 yrs imp.	
	Western	Conviction after PG (10% discount	Ct 2: Agg armed assault with intent to rob.	Ct 2: 3 yrs 6 mths imp	Appeal concerned totality

A	ustralia	for indictable offences; 15% for		to start 6 mths after Ct 1	principle. Individual
		section 32 offences).	Section 32 Notice	(conc).	sentences were not
[2	2016] WASCA		Ch 1: Criminal damage.		challenged.
7	,	Criminal history, including violent	Ch 2: Agg assault.	Section 32 Notice	
		offences.	Ch 3: AOBH.	Ch 1: 8 mths imp.	At [30] Both indictable
D	Delivered		Ch 4: Drive MV with number plates not	Ch 2: 6 mths imp.	offences involvedan
13	3/01/2016	Dysfunctional childhood; ADHD as	issued for that vehicle.	Ch 3: 10 mths imp.	apparent element of
		a child.	Ch 5: Poss drug paraphernalia containing	Ch 4: \$200 fine.	premeditation and
			methyl.	Ch 5: 2 mths imp (cum).	planning, albeit of a
		Left school at age 14; good	A'AO		simple kind. They were
		employment history.	<u>Ct 1</u>	Ch 1-3 conc with each	calculated to force the
			The appellant was the front seat passenger in	other, but cum with	first complainant to pay to
		No contact with three children.	a car that drove up and parked outside the	sentence on ch 5.	the appellant money he
			victim's house. The appellant demanded		considered he was owed
		Supportive new partner.	\$150 from the victim, through the open car	TES 5 yrs imp.	from a drug transaction.
			window. The victim stated that he did not		Both involved the use of a
		Substance abuse from age 13.	have any money.	EFP.	firearm which was not
		_	A CO		simply brandished by the
			The appellant pointed a double-barrelled	Sentencing judge found	appellantEach act was
			shotgun at the victim at very close range,	that the appellant's	accompanied by what
			through the open car window. He demanded	acceptance of	was, in effect, a threat to
			the victim give all property he was carrying.	responsibility and	kill The fact that a
		•	The victim complied. The appellant then	remorse for cts 1 and 2	firearm was used, and the
			stated "Bring the \$150 in cash to [a stated	were qualified by the	manner in which it was
			address] within the hour, or I'll blow your	appellant showing little	used, make these offences
		Y	fucking head off".	insight into his	particularly serious.
				offending.	
			<u>Ct 2</u>		At [32] The [section 32]
		C /	40 minutes later, the victim attended the	Sentencing judge found	offences were also
			stated address with two others, to give the	significant qualifications	serious offences. Again,
			appellant \$100. The appellant aimed the	on the appellant's	these offences were not
			shotgun at the victim and then pressed the	prospects of	the result of a momentary
			barrels of the shotgun against his head. The	rehabilitation.	aberration Given the

			appellant demanded an additional \$300 from		nature of the assaults, it is
			the victim and made similar threats as earlier.		only a matter of good
				SECITION	fortune that the victims
			The victims left and reported the incidents to		did not suffer more
			police.		serious injuries.
			ponee.		serious injuries.
			Section 32 Notice		At [33] In relation to these
			The second victim is the mother of the	>	[section 32] offences,
			appellant's 10-mth-old son.	/	there appears on the part
			appendit 5 to that old son.		of the appellant to have
			In attempt to gain entry to the victim's house,		been no acceptance of
			the appellant caused substantial damage to		responsibility, remorse or
			the garage door (ch 1). The appellant gained		insight, apart from the
			entry through a window and, in the presence		pleas of guilty and the
			of their son, repeatedly punched and kicked		appellant's understanding
			the second victim's mother (ch 2). The		of his anger management
			appellant then punched the second victim in		problem.
			the face while she was carrying their son (ch		problem.
			3).		At [34] there is cause
			3).		for concern about the
			On another date, the appellant drove a car		appellant's prospects of
			with number plates that were not issued for		rehabilitation and that
			that car (ch 4). A glass pipe containing traces		without substantial change
			of methyl was found in the car (ch 5).		on the appellant's part
			of methyl was found in the car (cfi 3).		there is a real risk that he
					will reoffend.
6.	Garraway v The	32 yrs at time of sentence.	Ct 1: Armed robbery.	Ct 1: 2 yrs 10 mths imp.	Dismissed – on papers.
υ.	=	32 yrs at time or sentence.	Ct 1: Armed robbery. Ct 2: Burglary.	Ct 2: 1 yr 11 mths imp.	Disinissed – on papers.
	State of Western	Significant criminal history,	Ct 2: Burgiary. Ct 3: Stealing.	Ct 2: 1 yr 11 muns imp. Ct 3: nil.	At [27] the appellant
	western Australia		Ct 3. Steamig.	Ct 3. IIII.	At [27] the appellant has fallen well short of
	Australia	including offences of violence and	Offenses breeshed on SIO and CDO (for	Breach of SIO: 9 mths	
	[2015] WASCA	burglary.	Offences breached an SIO and CBO (for	imp. To be served	demonstrating that the total effective sentence
	[2015] WASCA 240	Danrived unbringing and limited	AOBH on partner).	*	
	44U	Deprived upbringing and limited		cumulatively with cts 1	imposed upon him

		<u> </u>	1		
		education. Depressed and suicidal.	<u>Ct 1:</u>	and 2.	infringes the first limb of
	Delivered		The appellant approached the victim and		the totality principle.
	27/11/2015	Lengthy history of illicit drug and	used the victim's mobile phone to make a	TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.	Having regard to the
		alcohol abuse.	call. After this the victim walked away. The		appellant's total
			appellant approached the victim again and	EFP.	criminality and all of the
		5 young children from two	asked to use his phone. The victim said no.		circumstances of the case,
		relationships.	The appellant pulled a syringe from his	Sentencing judge not	including those factors
		1	pocket, took off the protective cap and	satisfied appellant	referable to the appellant
			pointed it towards the victim, saying 'give us	demonstrated genuine	personally, the sentence
			your phone or I'll stab you'. The appellant	remorse.	reflected a sound exercise
			grabbed the phone and walked away.		of his Honour's
			grassed are prioric and wanted away.	Ct 1 not at high end	sentencing discretion.
			Ct 2 and 3:	scale of seriousness. Ct	sentencing discretion.
			The appellant went to the Broome Boulevard	2 and 3 characterised as	
			Shopping Centre and smashed the glass fire	'significant' as it was	
			door to gain entry. The appellant then	planned and	
			smashed the glass window of Dick Smith	premeditated.	
			store with a brick. He used the brick to break	premeditated.	
			a glass cabinet and stole 15 mobile phones, to		
			the value of \$11,300.		
5.	Williams v The	10 suggest times offen die e and	Ct 1: Armed robbery.	Ct 1. A sup insp	Allowed.
5.		19 yrs at time offending and	Ct 2: Armed robbery.	Ct 1: 4 yrs imp.	Allowed.
	State of	sentencing.		Ct 2: 4 ys imp.	Ct 1. 2 :
	Western	G : 1 C PG	Ct 3: Armed robbery.	Ct 3: 4 yrs imp.	Ct 1: 3 yrs imp.
	Australia	Convicted after PG.	Ct 4: Robbery.	Ct 4: 2 yrs imp.	Ct 2: 3 yrs imp.
	[2015] XXIA GGA	0.00 11 1 11 11	0.1	All conc, but cum on	Ct 3: 3 yrs imp.
	[2015] WASCA	Offending breached bail.	<u>Ct 1:</u>	existing term of 3 yrs 10	Ct 4: 20 mths imp.
	16	aa	On 18 September 2013, the appellant	mths.	All conc, but cum on
		Significant criminal history,	approached the victim in the street and		existing term of 3 yrs 10
	Delivered	including conviction for aggravated	demanded money two or three times and		mths.
	22/01/2015	armed robbery.	began to yell at her. He then removed an	TES 7 yrs 10 mths imp.	
			object from his belt which the victim		TES 6 yrs 10 mths imp.
		Raised by grandmother after	believed was a knife or a tool. He threatened		

mother received severe injuries from domestic violence.

Education to year nine; no employment since stealing from employer in year nine.

History of alcohol and substance abuse; counselling failed to rehabilitate him.

to harm her if she did not give him the money. The victim gave him \$150.

Ct 2:

At about 1.30pm on 5 October 2013, the appellant blocked the victim's path on the street and asked her to give him money. She said that she did not have any money. He then produced a screwdriver and repeatedly said that he needed her money. The victim gave him \$40. He yelled at her demanding she give him all her money. She showed him her empty wallet. He rode away.

Ct 3:

At about 3.30pm on 6 October 2013, the appellant approached the victim as he was arriving home. The appellant raised a screwdriver and pointed it at the victim's face and demanded money. The victim said he did not have any money. The appellant made stabbing motions with the screwdriver and repeated his demands. The victim gave the appellant \$20. The appellant demanded all his money. The victim gave another \$10 and then, in compliance with a demand, showed the appellant his empty wallet. The appellant thanked the victim and rode away.

Ct 4:

At about 10.15pm on 6 October 2013, the appellant approached another man as he arrived home. The appellant told the victim

EFP.

At [20] It is apparent from the sentencing judge's remarks that he did not in fact give the appellant 25% discount. The reduction that he made in the sentences on each count equate to a 20% discount. This appears to be a simple mathematical error. It would also seem that, notwithstanding his Honour's reference to youth being a factor that would further reduce the sentence, no allowance for that factor appears to have been given.

	1				
			that he would 'beat him up' if he did not		
			hand over his money. The appellant became		
			aggressive and continued demands when the		
			money was not handed over. The appellant	SECUL	
			searched the victim's pants for a wallet or		
			money. The victim gave the appellant \$50 in		
			notes and \$5 in coins. The appellant took the		
			victim's mobile and said that he would give it	7	
			back if he gave him more money. When the	/	
			victim demanded his phone back, the		
			appellant told him to lower his voice and not		
			to call the police as he knew where he lived.		
			The appellant gave the phone back to allow		
			the victim to remove the memory card. The		
			appellant fled the scene when the victim's		
			housemate came out of the house.		
4.	QJS v The State	20 yrs at time of most offending.	Indictment	Indictment	Dismissed – on papers.
	of Western	20 Jis we time of most circuming.	Ct 1: Agg burg (dwelling).	Ct 1: 1 yr 9 mths imp	on papers.
	Australia	Conviction after PG.	Ct 2: Steal motor vehicle.	(conc).	At [35] The rationale for
	1200001		Ct 3: Agg armed robbery.	Ct 2: 4 mths imp (conc)	treating offending whilst
	[2015] WASCA	Offending breached ISO.	Ct 4: Accessory after the fact to agg armed	Ct 3: 3 yrs 3 mths imp	on bail or parole as being
	9	ononamy ereaction is at	robbery.	(cum).	an aggravating factor
		Significant criminal history,	Ct 5: Agg armed robbery.	Ct 4: 1 yr 4 mths imp	applies equally where a
	Delivered	including convictions for stealing,	Ct 6: Agg robbery.	(conc).	person commits offences
	15/01/2015	burg, breaches of bail, stealing	et of rigg rootery.	Ct 5: 3 yrs 3 mths imp	whilst on some other form
	15/01/2015	motor vehicle and common assault.	Section 32 notice	(conc).	of conditional release,
		motor vemere and common assault.	18 charges.	Ct 6: 2 yrs 4 mths imp	such as an ISO The
		Difficult upbringing; attended	10 charges.	(conc).	commission of an offence
		numerous schools; never had	Indictment	(cone).	whilst on an ISO not only
		significant employment.	Ct 1 -2:	Section 32 notice	exposes the offender to
		Significant employment.	At about 3.50am on 17 December 2013 the	The appellant received	resentencing for the
		Significant substance abuse	appellant went to a house in company with a	various imp terms for	original offence, it is a
		problem.	co-offender. He forced the garage door open	various imp terms for various charges, 2 yrs 9	factor relevant to the
		problem.	co-offender. He forced the garage door open	various charges, 2 yrs 9	ractor relevant to the

		and used an internal door to access the	mths of which was	contonaina for the
	Offending on indictment occurred			sentencing for the
	Offending on indictment occurred	kitchen. He took a car key from the kitchen	ordered to be served	breaching offences.
	shortly after the Department of	and used the keys to steal a car from the	cum.	A . 5503 FM
	Child Protection took the	garage.		At [50] The offences
	appellant's young daughters into		TES 6 yrs imp.	contained on the
	their care.	<u>Ct 3:</u>		indictment were serious
		Approx. one hour later, the appellant and co-	EFP.	offences of their type.
		offender saw a woman walking along the		
		street. They formed an intention to snatch her	Cooperated with police	
		bag. The co-offender threatened the victim	by giving names of co-	
		with a screwdriver. He pushed the tip into her	offenders.	
		cheek and demanded her handbag. The		
		victim gave her handbag to the co-offender.	Limited insight into	
		The appellant drove them away.	offending and effect on	
			victims; remorse; victim	
		Ct 4:	empathy.	
		At about 3.30pm on the same day the	1 3	
		appellant and a co-offender were driving		
		through a shopping centre car park. The co-		
		offender decided to steal the handbag of a		
		passing shopper. The co-offender got out of		
		the car and grabbed the victim's handbag.		
	•	There was a struggle until the co-offender		
		raised a box cutter knife above the victim's		
		head causing her to let go. The co-offender		
		got back in the car and the appellant drove		
		the co-offender away in order to help him		
		escape.		
		C. F		
		<u>Ct 5:</u>		
	O '	About 30 minutes later, the appellant and a		
		co-offender formed an intention to steal a		
		handbag from a shopper at another shopping		

centre car park. The appellant stopped the car behind the victim who was seated in her parked car. The co-offender opened the victim's car door and, while brandishing a screwdriver, demanded her handbag. The victim handed her bag to the co-offender. The appellant drove them away. Ct 6: At about 9.30am on 19 December 2013, the appellant and co-offender formed an intention to steal a handbag from a shopper at a shopping centre car park. The appellant stopped the car in close proximity to the victim. The co-offender got out and pushed the victim from behind causing her to stumble. The co-offender attempted to steal her handbag dragging her as he did so. After a struggle he obtained poss of the bag and ran to the car. The appellant was arrested on the same day. He made admissions to the offences, but denied entering the house in ct 1. Section 32 Notice

Between 8 August 2012 and 19 December 2013 the appellant committed multiple offences including agg burg on a liquor shop, breach of bail, stealing, wilful damage, trespass, steal motor vehicle, dangerous driving to escape pursuit, traffic offences and poss of a prohibited drug. The appellant

			made admissions to the section 32 offences		
			when interviewed.		
3.	Adams v The	44 yrs at time sentencing.	Indictment	TES 10 yrs imp.	Allowed – Grounds 3 & 6.
	State of		Deprivation of liberty x 1.		
	Western	Convicted after PG.	Att armed robbery x 1.	EFP.	Section 32 notice
	Australia		Armed robbery x 1.		Ct 1 varied – release after
		No relevant criminal history.	Fraud x 9.	\$300 fine.	serving 7 mths of it on
	[2014] WASCA	·	Attempted fraud x 9.	y	recognizance in the sum
	191	Parents separated when 3 ys old;	Possess identification material w/i to commit	Remorse; victim	of \$10,000.
		raised by his mother; very difficult	an offence x 1.	empathy; acceptance of	
	Delivered	upbringing.		responsibility.	At [8] It is very difficult,
	28/10/2014		Section 32 Notice		for the purposes of
		Previously married; long term	Stealing Commonwealth property x 1.	Sentencing judge	comparison in the context
		relationship; no children.	Bringing stolen goods into State x 1.	described robberies and	of the first limb of the
			Stealing x 2.	sexual offences as	totality principle, to
		Former AFP, Customs and	Poss prohibited weapon x 3.	involving 'a significant	identify any relevant total
		Immigration officer.	Poss controlled weapon x 1.	measure of	effective sentences
			Unlicensed ammunition x 1.	premeditation, sexual	imposed in previous
			Possess stolen or unlawfully obtained	motivation and	cases. The nature, extent
			property x 2.	planning'; described	and diversity of the
			Possess false number plates x 1.	fraud as 'deliberate,	appellant's overall
				systematic and planned	offending, by a person
			Sometime before the appellant left the AFP	criminality over a	with his antecedents, is
			in 2006, he dishonestly appropriated a	significant period'.	very unusual. No previous
			number of items belonging to his employer,		case is truly comparable.
			including a police radio, a ballistic vest & a	Low - moderate risk of	A . 5 < 13 (T)
			container of OC spray.	re-offending in a sexual	At [61] The past, present
			D-4 2006 12010 4h 114 11-1	way; moderate – high	and likely future
		X	Between 2006 and 2010 the appellant resided	risk of committing	conditions of the
			and was employed as a customs officer in	further dishonesty	appellant's imprisonment,
			Darwin. Whilst his neighbours were on holiday the appellant broke into their unit and	offences.	by reason of his status as a
		- (2)	* **		former police officer, were a relevant sentencing
			stole property and identification. The		were a relevant sentencing

appellant subsequently transferred to Perth between November 2010 and January 2011 and took with him these items.

In 2011 the appellant became and immigration officer. During this time he applied online for credit cards using the stolen identity details as well as incorrect information as to his employment, assets and liabilities. Some of the false information as to his employment came from documents he had accessed through his employment. The applications were approved. The appellant also attempted to apply for further credit cards but when asked for further documentation he did not proceed or did not collect the card.

In 2011 the appellant stole a cheque from a letterbox and deposited into one of his false accounts, withdrew money from the credit account he had opened and stole cheques from a cheque deposit box at a bank and then deposited the cheque into an access account he had opened.

In 2012 the appellant rented a self-storage unit and post office box under the false name and address previously stolen. The box was used as a mailing address for invoices for the rented storage unit and applications for bank accounts.

consideration that his Honour was bound to take into account.

At [138] The appellant's overall offending was self-evidently very serious. It was varied and substantial. It involved deliberate, systematic and planned criminality executed with considerable sophistication... The appellant used the skills he had gained in the work he had undertaken in the banking and law enforcement sectors to commit the offences, and went to considerable lengths to avoid detention.

Discussion on the scope of section 32 notices and Commonwealth offences.

At [174] Ground 3 is capable of affecting the total effective sentence imposed by his Honour. However, having regard to all of the circumstances

	In March 2012 the appellant received two		of the case and
	parking infringements for failing to display		particularly to the
	an unexpired ticket. Affixed to the vehicle		seriousness of the
	were registration plates from another vehicle.		appellant's overall
	The purpose being he would avoid paying the		offending and the need for
	parking fees.		deterrence, I would not
			impose a different
	On 30 March 2012 the victim, a 19 yr old	Y	sentence.
	Finnish national, was at a bus stop waiting		
	for a bus. The appellant approached the		
	victim, armed with a BB gun and demanded		
	money. He forced the victim to a secluded		
	location where he digitally penetrated her and		
	performed cunnilingus. The victim tried to		
	attempt to remove the handgun however the		
	appellant produced a large black-handled		
	knife from his backpack and threatened to		
	slash her throat.		
	One month later the appellant approached		
	another female victim. He exposed a		
	handgun tucked into his shorts. Terrified, the		
	victim threw her handbag at the appellant and		
	ran.		
	144.		
	A search warrant was executed on the		
	appellant's house where police located 38		
	items of mail stolen by the appellant from		
	addresses in Perth. A further search warrant		
X	was executed at the storage facility where		
	nine items of stolen mail was located. Also		
	found were unlicensed registration plates,		
	weapons and unlicensed ammunition.		
	weapons and annecised animamiton.		

2.	Hill v The State	28 yrs at time offending.	Indictment	Indictment	Dismissed.
	of Western		Ct 1:Agg armed robbery.	Ct 1: 4 yrs imp.	
	Australia	Convicted after PG.	Ct 2:Agg armed robbery.	Ct 2: 4 yrs imp (conc).	At [62] In multiple
			Ct 3:Agg Armed robbery.	Ct 3: 4 yrs imp (conc).	offending of this kind,
	[2014] WASCA	Long and persistent history of	Ct 4:Agg burg (residential).	Ct 4: 3 yrs imp (conc &	comparison with
	150	serious offending including		cum).	sentences imposed in
		numerous convictions for burglary	Ct 5:Agg burg (residential).	Ct 5: 3 yrs imp (conc &	other cases is difficult
	Delivered	and stealing.		cum).	because of the very great
	19/08/2014		Section 32	Section 32	variations in the number
		Highly dysfunctional upbringing	Breach of bail.	4 mths imp (cum).	of possible offences and
		exposed to domestic violence,			the possible combinations
		alcoholism and was provided	Indictment	TES 7 yrs 4 mths imp.	of offences.
		substances to use.	The appellant, in company with four others		
			were travelling on Tonkin Highway. On	Made full admissions in	At [79] the appellant's
		Long history of alcohol and illicit	seeing a black Audi they decided to steal it	ROI; co-operation with	prospects of rehabilitation
		substances abuse.	and to steal from the Audi's passengers.	police was limited.	through eligibility of
			When stopped at a red traffic light, the driver		parole were outweighed
		Four significant dysfunctional	deliberately drove into the back of the Audi.	Remorse and victim	by the need for the
		personal relationships; Father to	Both vehicles pulled into a side street where	insight; acceptance of	protection of the
		one child.	the appellant and co-offender provided false	responsibility to some	community.
		TY 1 1	personal details to the driver. The co-	extent; minimised his	A. FOGUE: 1
		Unemployed.	offender produced a crowbar and struck the	level of responsibility.	At [85] Discussion about
		P. 1. 1. 2.	side of the Audi. The offenders demanded	771	determining discount for
		Failed to make any positive	money and stole the handbags of passengers.	The sentencing judge	co-operation.
		changes as a result of completing	A co-offender then drove off in the Audi.	was not satisfied that the	A4 [O1] the offending in
		programs in prison.	Section 22	appellant's prospects of rehabilitation were at all	At [91] the offending in
		Door record of compliance and	Section 32 These two offences occurred two months	substantial.	this case was very serious.
		Poor record of compliance and		substantial.	
		completion of previous orders and	after the agg armed robberies.	Moderate to high risk of	
		parole; failure to engage in no- custodial treatment programs.	The appellant and another broke into an	violent re-offending and	
		custodiai treatment programs.	unoccupied residence and stole property.	high risk of 'generalist	
			They then went to another residence. The	re-offending'.	
			They then went to another residence. The	re-orienanig.	

			appellant acted as a lookout while the co-		
			offender forced his way in. An elderly		
			occupant heard the entry and confronted the		
			co-offender. Both ran from the scene.	SCOTT	
			The appellant breached his bail by not		
			appearing before the Magistrates Court.		
1.	Sinclair v The	18 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Agg armed robbery.	Ct 1: 3 yrs 11 mths imp.	Allowed.
	State of	20 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: AOBH	Ct 2: s11 no sentence.	
	Western		110		Re-sentenced to 2 yrs 9
	Australia	Ct 1: Convicted after Trial.	The appellant knew the victim and held a	EFP.	mths imp.
		Ct 2: Convicted after PG.	grudge against him.		
	[2014] WASCA			Limited remorse.	At [32] a sentence of
	22	Extensive criminal record; minor	On the night of the incident the appellant was		immediate imprisonment
		offences of dishonesty and public	in company with his two co-offenders. The	ADHD was a	is imposed for an offence
	Delivered	disorder and common assault.	co-offenders had made an arrangement to	contributor to the	of armed robbery. A non-
	29/01/2014		meet the victim at a park for a drug	offending.	immediate custodial
		Parents separated prior to birth;	transaction. When the appellant and co-		disposition is exceptional.
		father shown only intermittent	offenders got to the park, the appellant	Described by judge as 'a	
		interest in him; mother supportive	recognised the victim.	serious example of a	At [48] [the judge]
		of him.		serious offence'.	having decided that the
			The appellant and co-offenders chased the		plea of guilty to count 2
		Diagnosed with ADHD at 8 yrs;	victim. The co-offenders, who were armed,	Found criminal	merited some mitigation
		untreated since 15 yrs.	one with a screwdriver and the other a pole,	responsibility of	of the penalty on count 1,
			intended to rob the victim. The appellant,	appellant was less than	needed only to have taken
		History of alcohol and substance	who was armed with a brick and motivated	his co-offenders	it into account as part of
		abuse; efforts so far failed to	by his grudge, intended to assault him. Each	although not vast.	the intuitive synthesis of
		rehabilitate him.	offender used their implements to rob and		all of the relevant
		C. J.	inflict serious injury on the victim. The	Moderate risk of future	circumstances of the
		Poor history of Children's Court	appellant came to know his co-offenders	violent offending.	case His honour was
		order compliance.	were robbing the victim and assisted and		not required to express the
			encouraged them.		amount of any discount
		Co-offenders not apprehended and			for this factor.

not dealt with.	The victim received lacerations to his face, a fractured nose and broken elbow. The appellant derived no benefit from the robbery. The sentencing judge was unable to make a finding attributing particular injuries to each offender; however found the appellant's assault 'undoubtedly' contributed to the injuries.					
Transitional Provisions Repealed (14/01/2009)						
Transulonal Trovisions Repealed (14/01/2007)						
	x Of O					
T	ransitional Provisions Enacted (31/08/2003)					
1. a. s.						
E FIDE						