

From 1 January 2014

Transitional Sentencing Provisions: This table is divided into thirds based on the three relevant periods of Sentencing Provisions:

- Post-transitional provisions period
- Transitional provisions period
- Pre-transitional provisions period

These periods are separated by a row which shows when the transitional provisions were enacted, and another showing when they were repealed.

Glossary:

imp	imprisonment
susp	suspended
conc	concurrent
cum	cumulative
PG	plead guilty
agg	aggravated
att	attempted
	4 00 4

TES total effective sentence

SIO suspended imprisonment order

CBO community based order
OMCG outlaw motorcycle gang
UCO undercover operative

No.	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal
3.	Stickells v The	34 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 13.27g at 76%-80%	Ct 1: 12 mths imp (cum).	Dismissed.
	State of Western		purity.	Ct 2: 18 mths imp (conc).	
	Australia	Convicted after PG (25% discount).	Cts 2 & 3: Extortion.	Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).	Appeal concerned length of
					sentence and totality
	[2018] WASCA	Born in South Africa; migrated to	Stickells was arrested in relation to another	TES 4 yrs imp.	principle.
	160	Australia aged 23 yrs.	matter. He was searched and released from		
			custody.	EFP.	At [40] The appellant's
	Delivered	Parents separated aged 6 yrs;			extortion offences had
	19/09/2018		<u>Ct 1</u>	The sentencing judge	reasonably serious
		Schooled to aged 15 yrs; employed	After the search 9.25g of methyl was found	characterised the	elements. In effect, the
		catering industry; self-employed own	on the floor of the police vehicle where he	appellant as a low level	appellant threatened to kill
		watch business.	had been sitting. Upon his rearrest a further	user/dealer; nevertheless	the complainant, MWS, if
			0.51g of methyl was found in his clothing	he played an important	payment of a debt was not
		Married; young daughter born 2014.	and a further 3.5g in his underwear.	role in distributing	made. His threats were
				dangerous drugs into the	plainly conveyed in a
		Commenced methyl use around time	Cts 2 & 3	community and he acted	manner and tone that led
		of wife's pregnancy; escalated drug	Stickells was owed \$10,000 by the victim	in order to fund his own	the complainants to take the
		use following marriage breakdown	MWS. He threatened MWS, telling him he	addition.	threats very seriously
		and separation; dealing drugs to fund	would 'bury him' if he did not pay the money		the making of a threat to a
		own addiction.	back.	The sentencing judge	71-yr-old woman that her
				found although the	son would be killed
		Mental health difficulties; prior	MWS failed to repay the debt and in fear he	quantity of methyl was	aggravated the seriousness
		episodes of depression and anxiety;	would be killed he suggested Stickells	not particularly high, the	of the second extortion
		not treated for any major mental	contact his mother KBS. Stickells then	purity was high.	offence. The appellant
		illness prior to offending.	contacted KBS and told her to transfer		exploited the vulnerability
			\$10,000 into his account or her son would be	The sentencing judge	of an elderly mother arising
		X	killed. In fear for her son's safety she	found the offence	from her maternal instinct
			transferred the money as instructed.	involving KBS, a 71-yr-	to protect her child from
				old woman living in	harm.
				another State, to be	
				particularly serious.	At [41] The terms of imp
					imposed for the appellant's
		2010		Genuinely remorseful;	extortion offences were not

				steps taken towards	manifestly excessive.
				rehabilitation; prior	
				history of drug addiction	At [42] the appellant's
				counselling; good	offence of poss of methyl
				prospects of rehabilitation	wiss is itself a serious
				and low risk of	criminal offence. In the
				reoffending.	circumstances, it amply
			\natheref{\sigma}^{\sigma}		justified, indeed required, a
				Y	term of imp cum on the
			Y		sentences for the extortion
			A'A ()		offences. The appellant was
					in poss of more than 13.5g
					of methyl of a high degree
					of purity, with the intention
			() (')		to sell or supply it to
			C. V		another.
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		At [44] the TES was
			8		within the range of an
					appropriate exercise of the
			XO'		sentencing discretion.
2.	Phan v The State	Phan	1 x Extortion.	Phan	Dismissed.
	of Western	34 yrs at time sentencing.		7 yrs 6 mths imp.	
	Australia	, e	The appellants Hopes, Parr and Cross were	EFP.	Appeal concerned plea
		Convicted after late PG (15%	members of an OMCG. The appellant Phan	Hopes	discount and parity.
	[2016] WASCA	discount).	led an 'Asian gang' and was an associate of	5 yrs imp.	The state of the s
	201	Y	some members of the OMCG.	EFP.	Phan
		Prior criminal history including GBH		Parr	At [143] Given that the plea
	Delivered	and AOBH.	The appellants and other members of the two	9 yrs imp.	was entered on the fourth
	30/11/2016	C. VY	groups in company extorted money from a	EFP.	day of trial, albeit before
		History of drug use.	business.	Cross	any witnesses had given
		,		5 yrs imp.	evidence, a reduction of the
		Hopes	Demands for the payment of protection	EFP.	head sentence by 15% was
		27 yrs at time sentencing.	money were made on numerous occasions to		generous.
		ZAO S	a UCO, who they believed was the owner of	The sentencing judge	
		CX	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<u> </u>	

Convicted after late PG (15% discount).

Prior criminal history.

Supportive relationship.

Qualified steel fabrication engineer with good employment history.

Parr

49 yrs at time sentencing.

Convicted after trial.

Prior criminal history.

Married, wife pregnant. Two children from a previous relationship.

Owner of properties, a business and a fitness gym.

Cross

28 yrs at time sentencing.

Convicted after late PG (15% discount).

Prior criminal history.

Normal upbringing.

Married with young child.

the business.

Demands for payment of \$10,000 - \$15,000 per week were made. It was agreed the business would pay \$5,000 per week. Two payments of \$10,000 made by the UCO.

Menacing threats to close down the business made, including intimidation and threats of violence against its staff and customers. On one occasion when a deadline for payment was not met a Molotov cocktail was thrown into the business when it was open and patrons and staff were present. It was unlikely to ignite but made a loud noise and smelt of diesel.

noted the victims and their company were vulnerable targets. The offending was planned, premediated and structured. The demands were persistent and continued over an extended period of time and were not in relation to the recovery of a debt owed.

Phan, Hope and Cross demonstrated remorse.

Parr attempted to minimise his role and shift the blame to his cooffenders and lacked remorse. At [162] The employment of a large group ... acting in an organised manner to extort significant sums of protection money from a vulnerable business over an extended period of time makes the present case a very serious example of the offence of extortion.

At [237] Parr was involved throughout the extortion and was, on the unchallenged findings of the sentencing judge, the mastermind behind the extortion plan. While he was involved in the background ... he was directing his subordinates as to how the extortion was to proceed.

	1	T			
		Good employment history.		X	
1.	Perry v The State	31 yrs at time offending.	1 x Extortion.	3 yrs 2 mths imp.	Dismissed – on papers.
	of Western	32 yrs at time sentencing.			
	Australia		Att debt recovery but no payment made. The	EFP.	Appellant challenged
		Convicted after late PG (15%	victim renovated his restaurant. The builder		length of sentence.
	[2016] WASCA	discount).	claimed he was owed \$70,000 and arranged	The sentencing judge	
	139		for Perry to contact the victim to extort	characterised the offence	At [26] the aggravating
		Prior criminal history, including	payment of the debt.	as 'an objectively serious	factors far outweigh the
	Delivered on	stealing and common assault.		case of extortion' with the	mitigating factors.
	08/08/2016		Several occasions of threats.	threats maintained and	Moreover, general
		Born in NZ; raised by relatives after	110	repeated over an extended	deterrence was an
		the death of his mother at 13 yrs.	Perry went to one of the victim's restaurants,	period of time. The	important sentencing
		·	forced him into a room and demanded the	appellant used his size	consideration.
		Father of 7 yr old; raised another	\$70,000. The victim refused to write a	and appearance to	
		former partner's son aged 10 yr old	cheque so Perry threatened him, detailing the	terrorise the victim,	
		as his own.	location of his house and that there would be	victim's family and staff.	
			problems. Perry slapped the victim across the	Used actual violence at	
		Good employment history.	face, causing him to fall against a wall. He	the outset.	
			warned the victim not to call police.		
		History of drug use, not using at time		The sentencing judge	
		of offending.	On another occasion Perry went to another of	found the offending	
			the victim's restaurants. The victim's son	calculated and persistent,	
		Degree of contrition.	was present, who called his father on his	motivated by a desire for	
		^	mobile phone. Via live video chat the victim	financial gain.	
			saw and heard Perry tell his son that he now	-	
			owed \$72,000. In fear his son ran from the	Unco-operative and	
		Q. Y	restaurant. Perry then made threats directed	showed little or no	
		1,00	at the victim to an employee.	remorse.	
		X			
			Some days later Perry demanded \$72,000.		
			When the victim refused Perry told him, 'If		
		O'	you call the police, I will destroy your shop		
			and I will come past your house too'. The		
			next day Perry called and demanded he		
		2010	contact the builder.		