### **Grievous Bodily Harm**

s 297 Criminal Code.

#### From 1 January 2014

**Transitional Sentencing Provisions:** This table is divided into thirds based on the three relevant periods of Sentencing Provisions:

- Post-transitional provisions period
- Transitional provisions period
- Pre-transitional provisions period

These periods are separated by a row which shows when the transitional provisions were enacted, and another showing when they were repealed.

#### Glossary:

agg aggravated att attempted

AOBH assault occasioning bodily harm

burg burglary

CBO community based order

conc concurrent cum cumulative ct count

dep lib deprivation of liberty EFP eligible for parole GBH grievous bodily harm

imp imprisonment

OMG outlaw motorcycle gang

PG plead guilty

sex pen sexual penetration

susp suspended

TES total effective sentence VRO violence restraining order

No	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal
29.	The State of	<u>Saleh</u>	Ct 1: GBH	Saleh	Allowed (ct 1 only).
	Western	22 yrs at time offending.	Ct 2: AOBH	Ct 1: 19 mths imp.	
	Australia v	25 yrs at time sentencing.		Ct 2: 6 mths imp	Appeal concerned type and
	Saleh		In the early hrs of the morning Saleh,	(conc).	length of sentences.
		Convicted after late PG (12%	Assaad and three other males, A, B and C		
	[2020] WASCA	discount).	were at a karaoke bar. Also at the venue	Sentences susp 18	Saleh
	205		were another group, which included the	mths; without	Ct 1: 2 yrs 5 mths imp.
		Minor criminal history; one	victims, Slatter and Pointing.	conditions.	Ct 2: 8 mths imp (conc).
	Delivered	prior conviction.			
	07/12/2020		There was no interaction or altercation	<u>Assaad</u>	TES 2 yrs 5 mths imp.
		Good family support.	between the groups prior to the	Ct 1: 15 mths imp.	EFP.
			offending.	Ct 2: 9 mths imp	
		Qualified electrician; secure		(conc).	Assaad
		employment time of	The incident was captured on CCTV.		Ct 1: 2 yrs 9 mths imp.
		sentencing; good work ethic;	A Company	Sentences susp 18 mths,	Ct 2: 3 mths imp (cum).
		contributes to a sporting club.	Outside the venue A argued with an	program and	
			unknown male. A asked Slatter, who was	supervision conditions.	TES 3 yrs imp.
		Good physical health;	standing nearby, what he was looking at,		EFP.
		experienced depression and	to which he replied, 'Nothing mate'. He	A, B and C also	
		anxiety after the offending;	then approached Slatter in a fighting	charged, but yet to be	At [56] Slatter had no time
		prescribed medication.	stance and other members of his group	tried at time of appeal.	to defend himself [from
			followed.		Assaad] He was standing
		History of binge drinking		The sentencing judge	on concrete and against a
		alcohol.	Slatter and his brother backed away, but	found the offending	metal railing or gate, carrying
		C V	A and others from his group advanced on	was so serious that it	the potential for more serious
		Assaad	them. A kicked Slatter's brother, so	was not appropriate to	injury to result from an
		22 yrs at time offending.	Slatter defended him by attempting to	impose anything other	assault of this kind. The
		25 yrs at time sentencing.	punch A. Slatter and his brother, who	than sentences of imp,	initial forceful blow on an
			were now backed against a railing, were	but concluded that it	undefended victim had real

Convicted after late PG (10% discount).

Prior criminal history; no prior offences of violence as an adult; juvenile convictions for GBH and AOBH.

On bail time of offending.

Parents separated when aged 14 yrs; subjected to domestic violence; father heavy user of cannabis and physically and emotionally abusive; father no involvement in his life.

Close relationship with mother; stepfather and half siblings.

Commenced but did not complete apprenticeship on leaving school; sporadic employment; not working at time of sentencing.

History of alcohol abuse; commenced drinking as a teenage; drinking excessively surrounded by A, B and Assaad.

Suddenly and without warning, Saleh, who was standing some distance away, moved quickly towards Slatter and delivered a forceful blow to his face. Slatter fell backwards into the railing.

Other members of the group, in particular Assaad, then struck Slatter a number of times. He then stumbled to the other side of the carpark where he continued to be struck by A, B and Assaad.

Pointing attempted to assist Slatter so Assaad, A and C grapple with him and struck him a number of times. He was also struck a number of times when on the ground.

During the attack on Pointing, Saleh stood nearby. He did not strike any of the blows, but was criminally liable for the assault as a consequence of participating in an unlawful purpose with the other men.

Slatter sustained a fractured cheekbone, broken nose and fractured jaw. He required surgery and continues to suffer was appropriate to susp the sentences.

The sentencing judge found the culpability of Saleh and Assaad 'roughly equal' in respect of ct 1; however Assaad bore greater culpability than Saleh in respect of ct 2 and Assaad's involvement in the offending was more persistent.

Saleh Remorseful; victim

empathy; acknowledged impact offending had on victims; steps taken towards rehabilitation, including alcohol consumption; distanced himself from others involved in the incident; complied with strict bail conditions, including overnight curfew 2½ yrs.

potential to cause devastating injuries.

At [57] Mr Saleh's culpability is equal to that of Mr Assaad on ct 1 because while the latter delivered more blows, the blow by Mr Saleh was the first and clearly most forceful. ...

At [58] The fact that these offences were committed in company was a seriously agg factor. The respondents were part of a larger group that acted as the aggressors in the confrontation. The victims for the most part did not fight back, rather they were backing away or trying to retreat from their attackers. At each stage of the attack there were multiple members of the respondents' group outnumbering the victims. ... This is a factor that distinguishes this case from many other cases which involve drunken one-on-one

		by age 20 yrs.	lasting consequences in the form of facial		violence between strangers. It
			numbness.	Assaad	placed the offending towards
				Remorseful; victim	the higher end of the scale.
			Pointing suffered a laceration to the back	empathy; accepts full	
			of his head, which required stiches.	responsibility for his	At [67] In respect of each
			-	behaviour; steps taken	of the respondents the
				towards rehabilitation;	circumstances of ct 1 were so
			. (	stopped drinking	serious that favourable
			A A \	alcohol prior to	personal circumstances in
				sentencing and	respect of each of them could
				distanced himself from	not justify susp their
				negative peers;	sentences. Nor did the
				complied with stringent	sentences imposed adequately
			X	bail conditions for 11	reflect the important of
			Oy	mth period; some risk	general deterrence.
			8	of reoffending in	
				similar manner.	At [68] The imposition of a
			XO		susp sentence on ct 1 in
			· * CCOL		respect of Mr Saleh and a
					conditionally susp sentence
					on ct 1 in respect of Mr
			Y		Assaad were unreasonable or
					plainly unjust in the
			)		circumstances of this case
28.	The State of	29 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Wilful damage.	Ct 1: 4 mths imp	Allowed.
	Western	30 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Agg GBH.	(conc).	
	Australia v		Ct 3: Obstruct public officer.	Ct 2: 2 yrs 8 mths imp	Appeal concerned length of
	Cronin	Convicted after early PG		(cum).	sentence ct 2.
		(25% discount).	The victim was a 68 yr old male.	Ct 3: 4 mths imp (cum).	
	[2020] WASCA				Re-sentenced to:

			X.	
203	Lengthy criminal history; first	At the time of the offending Cronin was	TES 3 yrs imp.	
	offended aged 14 yrs; history	under the influence of drugs and was	6,5,	Ct 2: 4 yrs 10 mths imp
Delivered	of violence and property	having a psychotic episode.	EFP.	(cum).
07/12/2020	damage, including burglaries.			
		Following a disagreement Cronin	Sentenced on basis the	Cts 1 & 3 not interfered with,
	Deprived childhood; troubled	attempted to gain entry to a unit, causing	respondent has mental	or the accumulation of the
	family history; death of father	damage to the unit's security screen door.	health issues which are	sentence for ct 3.
	from drug overdose before	• (	drug related and drug	
	his birth; mother in a series of	A short time later Cronin approached the	exacerbated.	TES 5 yrs 2 mths imp.
	violent relationships	victim as he walked through the common		EFP.
	involving substance abuse;	area of his residence. He struck the	The sentencing judge	
	surrounded by drug and	victim four to five times on the head,	found the offending the	At [36] This was a random,
	alcohol abuse and domestic	knocking him to the ground. He then	subject of ct 2 was in	entirely unprovoked, attack
	violence; raised in an	straddled the victim, bit off a large part of	the mid-range of	upon a 68-yr-old man the
	environment where family	his ear and chocked him, to the point	seriousness; it was not	attack occurred in a public
	and friends committed	where the victim believed he was about	the most serious case of	place. The physical injuries
	offences.	to die.	agg GBH and no	may not have involved the
			weapon was used in the	catastrophic permanent
	Illiterate; no history of	The attack was entirely unprovoked.	attack.	impairment of bodily or
	employment since a teenager.			mental function which
		Cronin left the scene and was located by	The sentencing judge	constitute the most serious
	No stable relationships;	police a short distance away. He was	found the victim had	types of GBH. However, the
	children he rarely sees.	highly agitated and abusive and refused	not provoked the attack;	respondent used his teeth to
	A - 8	to listen to anyone trying to shift him.	it was sustained and	bite off part of the victim's
	Drug use from a young age;		vicious and left the	ear in a vicious attack,
	cannabis and methyl.	At one point he kicked out at an officer,	victim with serious	causing the victim to be
		striking them in the groin area. He then	injuries; his ear will not	permanently disfigured.
	Diagnosed as being on the	went to the ground and started kicking his	heal.	
	schizophrenia spectrum.	legs around in an effort to resist police		At [37]-[39] The effects of
		detaining him.	Victim psychologically	the injury resulting from the

Cronin continued to act in an aggressive manner, including attempting to head butt a wall. He continued to resist police once handcuffed. He was eventually subdued after being administered a sedative by paramedics.

The victim was hospitalised and suffered serious injuries and disfigurement from his partly amputated ear. His breathing was also affected by the swelling in his throat, which was life endangering. His voice was still hoarse seven mths after the attack.

damaged by the attack and left with a high degree of anxiety.

Some demonstrated degree of insight and remorse into his offending; continues to believe the victim represented a 'threat' from which he needed to defend himself; some acceptance of the need to cease using illicit drugs; steps taken towards rehabilitation; high risk of re-offending if illicit drug use continues.

compression of the victim's neck were ongoing seven mths after the attack. There was a high degree of risk that the sustained compression of the victim's neck would be fatal or result in a serious brain injury. While those consequences did not eventuate, the likelihood was an agg feature of the offence, and indicated that the endangerment of the victim's life was very real. Applying sustained pressure to the victim's neck to the extent that the victim thought he was going to die constituted the infliction of violence of the utmost gravity. ... The attack was sustained and continued while the victim was on the ground in an entirely helpless position. It resulted in serious and likely permanent psychological injury to the victim. ... The violence offered in the present case by a physically fit young man to a relatively helpless older

					victim is to be deprecated
				Second	
					At [42] the individual
					sentence of imp on ct 2 is
				- 40	unreasonable or plainly
					unjust. Error is to be implied
					from an individual sentence
			•		for that ct which is manifestly
				9	inadequate.
27.	Hansen v The	31 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Agg AOBH.	Ct 1: 2 yrs 6 mths imp	Dismissed.
	State of Western		Ct 2: Agg GBH.	(cum).	
	Australia	Convicted after PG (20%		Ct 2: 4 yrs imp (cum).	Appeal concerned totality
		discount).	The victim, A, was aged 36 yrs. She and		principle.
	[2019] WASCA		Hansen were in a family relationship.	TES 6 yrs 6 mths imp.	
	170	Lengthy criminal history;			At [26] each offence was
		prior convictions for violent	The victim, T, was aged 67 yrs and was	EFP.	plainly a serious offence of its
	Delivered	offending.	Hansen's neighbour.		type. The appellant's actions
	01/11/2019			The sentencing judge	were borne out of anger and
		Reasonably stable, secure;	Hansen made abusive and derogatory	characterised the	were completely unjustified.
		happy childhood; devoid of	comments to A as they walked along the	appellant's overall	The offences were committed
		abuse.	street. A walked away.	behaviour as 'extremely	in an ordinary suburban
			( ) <sup>y</sup>	violent' and he	street, in the view of
		Completed yr 12.	Hansen ran up to A from behind, grabbed	subjected the victims to	householders. Both victims
			her hair and punched her in the face and	'a terrifying ordeal'.	were vulnerable. A was no
		Good employment history;	head. She fell to the ground. He then		physical match for the
		labouring positions; recent	stood over her and punched, kicked and	The sentencing judge	appellant, and T was much
		unemployment, citing a back	racially insulted her.	found the assault on A	older than him. The attack on
		injury.		was persistent in nature	A was brutal, sustained and
			The commotion caused several residents	and the assault on T,	merciless To the
		Suffers seizures; evidence of	to come out of their homes. Fearing for	which had the potential	appellant's knowledge, A

epilepsy; receiving treatment. History of methyl and alcohol abuse.  History of methyl and alcohol abuse.  Hansen threw a single punch, striking T in the jaw. The blow knocked T unconscious and he fell backwards, causing him to hit the back of his head on the roadway.  Hansen then picked up the wooden implement and resumed his assault upon A. hitting her in the ribs with great force.  Hansen eventually fled the scene. He was arrested a short time later.  A was taken to hospital and treated for pain and abrasions. She was fortunate not to have suffered fractured ribs.  T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  The State of Western  Western  Australia v TLP  Australia v TLP  Harsen threw a single punch, striking T in the jaw. The blow knocked T unconscious and he fell backwards, causing him to hit the back of his head on the roadway.  Hansen threw a single punch, striking T in the jaw. The blow knocked T unconscious and he fell backwards, causing him to hit the back of his head on the roadway.  Hansen threw a single punch, striking T in the jaw. The blow knocked T unconscious and he fell backwards, causing him to hit the back of his head on the relatively brief period of time, but involved two victims in two separate attacks.  Co-operative; expressed regret and remorse; limited insight into his offending behaviour, high risk of violent reoffending.  T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  Ct 1: Agg GBH. Ct 2: Agg AOBH. Ct 2: Agg AOBH. Ct 2: Agg SOBH. At [27] the 12[7] the offence consequences.  At [27] the 13 to result in his death, had pisycleal and saken was exhowed the offences occurred over relatively brief period of tim		1				
History of methyl and alcohol abuse.  Hansen threw a single punch, striking T in the jaw. The blow knocked T unconscious and he fell backwards, causing him to hit the back of his head on the roadway.  Hansen then picked up the wooden implement and resumed his assault upon A, hitting her in the ribs with great force.  Hansen eventually fled the scene. He was arrested a short time later.  A was taken to hospital and treated for pain and abrasions. She was fortunate not to have suffered fractured ribs.  T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  26. The State of Western  Western  Hansen threw a single punch, striking T in the jaw. The blow knocked T the junconscious and the fell backwards, causing him to hit the back of his head on the roadway.  The sentencing judge acknowledged the offence occumited against T involved to T's face with such force as to cause facial fractures and incure are latively brief period of time, but involved two victims in two separate attacks.  Co-operative; expressed regret and remorse; limited insight into his offending behaviour; high risk of violent reoffending.  At [31] the TES imposed was entirely appropriate, having regard to all of the relevant circumstances and all of the relevant circumstances and all of the relevant circumstances and all of the relevant sentencing factors			epilepsy; receiving treatment.	A's safety and welfare T, armed with a	to result in his death,	may have been pregnant.
abuse.  Hansen threw a single punch, striking T in the jaw. The blow knocked T unconscious and he fell backwards, causing him to hit the back of his head on the roadway.  Hansen then picked up the wooden implement and resumed his assault upon A, hitting her in the ribs with great force.  Hansen eventually fled the scene. He was arrested a short time later.  A was taken to hospital and treated for pain and abrasions. She was fortunate not to have suffered fractured ribs.  T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  26. The State of Western  Abuse.  Hansen threw a single punch, striking T in the plow knocked T unconscious. The single punch, delivered a single punch, delivered a single punch, delivered of time, but involved two victims in two offences occurred over a relatively brief operiod of time, but involved two victims in two separate attacks.  Co-operative; expressed regret and remorse; limited insight into his offending behaviour; high risk of violent reoffending.  T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc).  Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc).  Allowed.				1 * * * * *	± •	
Hansen threw a single punch, striking T in the jaw. The blow knocked T unconscious and he fell backwards, causing him to hit the back of his head on the roadway.  Hansen then picked up the wooden implement and resumed his assault upon A, hitting her in the ribs with great force.  Hansen eventually fled the scene. He was arrested a short time later.  A was taken to hospital and treated for pain and abrasions. She was fortunate not to have suffered fractured ribs.  T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  To the State of Western  Hansen threw a single punch, striking T in the plow knocked T and he hell backwards, causing him to hit the back of his head on the roadway.  The sentencing judge acknowledged the offences occurred over a relatively brief period of time, but involved two victims in two separate attacks.  Co-operative; expressed regret and remorse; limited insight into his offending.  Co-operative; expressed regret and remorse; limited insight into his offending.  At [31] the TES imposed was entirely appropriate, having regard to all of the relevant circumstances and all of the relevant circumstances and all of the relevant sentencing factors  26. The State of Western  Z5 yrs 6 mths time  C1: Agg GBH.  C1: Agg GBH.  C1: 3 yrs imp (conc). C1: 1: 3 yrs imp (conc). C1: 6 mths imp			History of methyl and alcohol	and yelled at him to stop.	psychological	= =
in the jaw. The blow knocked T unconscious and he fell backwards, causing him to hit the back of his head on the roadway.  Hansen then picked up the wooden implement and resumed his assault upon A, hitting her in the ribs with great force.  Hansen eventually fled the scene. He was arrested a short time later.  A was taken to hospital and treated for pain and abrasions. She was fortunate not to have suffered fractured ribs.  T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor shorterm memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  The State of Western  The sentencing judge acknowledged the offences occurred over a relatively brief period of time, but involved two victims in two separate attacks.  Co-operative; expressed regreat and remorse; limited insight into his offending behaviour; high risk of violent reoffending.  T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor shorterm memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  The State of Western  The sentencing judge acknowledged the offence occurred over a relatively brief period of time, but involved two victims in two separate attacks.  Co-operative; expressed regreate and remorse; limited insight into his offending behaviour; high risk of violent reoffending.  Location in the fell backwards, causing him to hit of the relevant sent encing factors  The State of 24 yrs at time offending.  Ct 1: Ag GBH.  Ct 2: Agg AOBH.  Ct 2: 16 mths imp			abuse.		consequences.	committed against T involved
unconscious and he fell backwards, causing him to hit the back of his head on the roadway.  Hansen then picked up the wooden implement and resumed his assault upon A, hitting her in the ribs with great force.  Hansen eventually fled the scene. He was arrested a short time later.  A was taken to hospital and treated for pain and abrasions. She was fortunate not to have suffered fractured ribs.  T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  The State of Western 25 yrs 6 mths time  Unconscious and he fell backwards, causing him to hit the back of his head on the roadway.  acknowledged the offlences occurred over a relatively brief period of time, but involved two victims in two separate attacks.  Co-operative; expressed regret and remorse; limited insight into his offending behaviour; high risk of violent reoffending.  Co-operative; expressed regret and remorse; limited insight into his situation and punched T. The one of the relevant circumstances and all of the relevant circumstances and all of the relevant sentencing factors  At [31] the TES imposed was entirely appropriate, having regard to all of the relevant sentencing factors  At [31] the Tes imposed was entirely appropriate, having regard to all of the relevant sentencing factors				Hansen threw a single punch, striking T		a single punch, delivered
causing him to hit the back of his head on the roadway.  Hansen then picked up the wooden implement and resumed his assault upon A, hitting her in the ribs with great force.  Hansen eventually fled the scene. He was arrested a short time later.  A was taken to hospital and treated for pain and abrasions. She was fortunate not to have suffered fractured ribs.  T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  The State of Western  T suffered facial continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc).  Ct 2: 16 mths imp  Offences occurred over a relatively brief period of time, but involved two victims in two separate attacks.  Co-operative; expressed regret and remorse; limited insight into his offending behaviour; high risk of violent reoffending.  At [31] the TES imposed was entirely appropriate, having regard to all of the relevant circumstances and all of the relevant sentencing factors  At [31] the TES imposed was entirely appropriate, having regard to all of the relevant sentencing factors  At [31] the TES imposed was entirely appropriate, having regard to all of the relevant sentencing factors				in the jaw. The blow knocked T	The sentencing judge	to T's face with such force as
the roadway.  Hansen then picked up the wooden implement and resumed his assault upon A, hitting her in the ribs with great force.  Hansen eventually fled the scene. He was arrested a short time later.  A was taken to hospital and treated for pain and abrasions. She was fortunate not to have suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  The State of Western  Western  Western  Tautively brief period of time, but involved two victims in two separate attacks.  Co-operative; expressed regret and remorse; limited insight into his offending behaviour; high risk of violent reoffending.  Tauffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  Ct 1: Agg GBH.  Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc). Ct 2: 16 mths imp				unconscious and he fell backwards,	acknowledged the	to cause facial fractures and
Hansen then picked up the wooden implement and resumed his assault upon A, hitting her in the ribs with great force.  Hansen eventually fled the scene. He was arrested a short time later.  A was taken to hospital and treated for pain and abrasions. She was fortunate not to have suffered fractured ribs.  T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  The State of Western  Western  Of time, but involved two victims in two separate attacks.  Oco-operative; expressed regret and remorse; limited insight into his offending behaviour, high risk of violent reoffending.  T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  Ct 1: Agg GBH.  Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc). Ct 2: 16 mths imp				causing him to hit the back of his head on	offences occurred over	immediately render him
Hansen then picked up the wooden implement and resumed his assault upon A, hitting her in the ribs with great force.  Hansen eventually fled the scene. He was arrested a short time later.  A was taken to hospital and treated for pain and abrasions. She was fortunate not to have suffered fractured ribs.  T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor shorterm memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  The State of Western  Western  Western  Hansen then picked up the wooden implement and resumed his assault upon to be separate attacks.  Co-operative; expressed regret and remorse; limited insight into his offending behaviour; high risk of violent reoffending.  Litto protect A by attempting to prevent the appellant's continuing assault upon her. Instead of desisting the appellant escalated the situation and punched T. The consequences to T have been very significant  At [31] the TES imposed was entirely appropriate, having regard to all of the relevant circumstances and all of the relevant circumstances and all of the relevant sentencing factors  The State of Western  Western  Western  Hansen eventually fled the scene. He was arrested a short time later.  Co-operative; expressed regret and remorse; limited insight into his offending behaviour; high risk of violent reoffending.  Little prevant circumstances and all of the relevant circumstances and all of the relevant circumstances and all of the relevant sentencing factors  Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc).  Ct 2: 16 mths imp				the roadway.	a relatively brief period	unconscious. It cannot be
implement and resumed his assault upon A, hitting her in the ribs with great force.  Hansen eventually fled the scene. He was arrested a short time later.  A was taken to hospital and treated for pain and abrasions. She was fortunate not to have suffered fractured ribs.  T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  The State of Western  Co-operative; expressed regret and remorse; limited insight into his offending struction his sessual tupon her. Instead of desisting the appellant 's continuing assault upon her. Instead of desisting the situation and punched T. The consequences to T have been very significant  At [31] the TES imposed was entirely appropriate, having regard to all of the relevant circumstances and all of the relevant sentencing factors  The State of Western  Co-operative; expressed regretand remorse; limited insight into his offending. Instead of desisting the relevant send entonet reoffending.  Co-operative; expressed regretant remorse; limited insight into his offending behaviour;					of time, but involved	overlooked that T had acted
A, hitting her in the ribs with great force.  Hansen eventually fled the scene. He was arrested a short time later.  A was taken to hospital and treated for pain and abrasions. She was fortunate not to have suffered fractured ribs.  T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  The State of Western  A, hitting her in the ribs with great force.  Co-operative; expressed regret and remorse; limited insight into his offending behaviour; high risk of violent reoffending.  A was taken to hospital and treated for pain and abrasions. She was fortunate not to have suffered fractured ribs.  T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  Ct 1: Agg GBH.  Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc). Ct 2: 16 mths imp				Hansen then picked up the wooden	two victims in two	to protect A by attempting to
Hansen eventually fled the scene. He was arrested a short time later.  A was taken to hospital and treated for pain and abrasions. She was fortunate not to have suffered fractured ribs.  T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  The State of Western  Western  Was taken to hospital and treated for pain and abrasions. She was fortunate not to have suffered fractured ribs.  T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  Ct 1: Agg GBH.  Ct 2: Agg AOBH.  Ct 2: Agg AOBH.  Co-operative; expressed regret and remorse; limited insight into his offendings ituation and punched T. The consequences to T have been very significant  At [31] the TES imposed was entirely appropriate, having regard to all of the relevant circumstances and all of the relevant sentencing factors				implement and resumed his assault upon	separate attacks.	prevent the appellant's
Hansen eventually fled the scene. He was arrested a short time later.  A was taken to hospital and treated for pain and abrasions. She was fortunate not to have suffered fractured ribs.  T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  The State of Western  Western  Hansen eventually fled the scene. He was arrested a short time later.  regret and remorse; limited insight into his offending situation and punched T. The consequences to T have been very significant  At [31] the TES imposed was entirely appropriate, having regard to all of the relevant circumstances and all of the relevant sentencing factors  Ct 1: Agg GBH.  Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc). Ct 2: 16 mths imp				A, hitting her in the ribs with great force.		continuing assault upon her.
arrested a short time later.  A was taken to hospital and treated for pain and abrasions. She was fortunate not to have suffered fractured ribs.  T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  The State of Western  Western  Western  Western  Imited insight into his offending isituation and punched T. The consequences to T have been very significant  At [31] the TES imposed was entirely appropriate, having regard to all of the relevant circumstances and all of the relevant sentencing factors  At [31] the TES imposed was entirely appropriate, having regard to all of the relevant circumstances and all of the relevant sentencing factors  The State of Western  Ct 1: Agg GBH. Ct 2: Agg AOBH.  Ct 2: Agg AOBH.  Ct 2: Agg AOBH.  Ct 2: 16 mths imp				X	Co-operative; expressed	Instead of desisting the
A was taken to hospital and treated for pain and abrasions. She was fortunate not to have suffered fractured ribs.  T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  The State of Western  Western  Western  At [31] the TES imposed was entirely appropriate, having regard to all of the relevant circumstances and all of the relevant sentencing factors  Ct 1: Agg GBH. Ct 2: Agg AOBH.  Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (conc.) Ct 2: 16 mths imp				Hansen eventually fled the scene. He was	regret and remorse;	appellant escalated the
A was taken to hospital and treated for pain and abrasions. She was fortunate not to have suffered fractured ribs.  T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  The State of Western  At [31] the TES imposed was entirely appropriate, having regard to all of the relevant circumstances and all of the relevant sentencing factors  Ct 1: Agg GBH.  Ct 2: Agg AOBH.  Ct 2: Agg AOBH.  Ct 2: 16 mths imp				arrested a short time later.	limited insight into his	situation and punched T. The
pain and abrasions. She was fortunate not to have suffered fractured ribs.  T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  The State of Western  Defineding.  T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  Ct 1: Agg GBH. Ct 24 yrs at time offending. Ct 2: Agg AOBH.  Ct 2: Agg AOBH.  Ct 2: 16 mths imp					offending behaviour;	consequences to T have
to have suffered fractured ribs.  T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  The State of Western  T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  Ct 1: Agg GBH. Ct 2: Agg AOBH.  Ct 2: Agg AOBH.  Ct 2: 16 mths imp  At [31] the TES imposed was entirely appropriate, having regard to all of the relevant circumstances and all of the re				A was taken to hospital and treated for	high risk of violent	been very significant
T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  26. The State of Western  24 yrs at time offending.  Ct 1: Agg GBH.  Ct 2: Agg AOBH.  Ct 2: Agg AOBH.  was entirely appropriate, having regard to all of the relevant circumstances and all of the relevant sentencing factors  Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc).  Ct 2: 16 mths imp				pain and abrasions. She was fortunate not	reoffending.	
T suffered facial fractures and bleeding on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  26. The State of Western  24 yrs at time offending. Ct 1: Agg GBH. Ct 2: Agg AOBH.  Ct 2: Agg AOBH.  Ct 2: 16 mths imp  Ct all of the relevant circumstances and all of the relevan				to have suffered fractured ribs.		At [31] the TES imposed
on his brain. He required surgery. He continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  26. The State of Western  24 yrs at time offending. Ct 1: Agg GBH. Ct 2: Agg AOBH.  Ct 2: Agg AOBH.  Ct 2: 16 mths imp  Crelevant circumstances and all of the relevant sentencing factors  Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc). Ct 2: 16 mths imp						was entirely appropriate,
continued to suffer adverse side effects from his injuries, including poor short-term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  26. The State of Western  24 yrs at time offending. Ct 1: Agg GBH. Ct 2: Agg AOBH.  Ct 2: Agg AOBH.  Ct 2: 16 mths imp  Of the relevant sentencing factors  Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc). Ct 2: 16 mths imp				T suffered facial fractures and bleeding		having regard to all of the
from his injuries, including poor short- term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  26. The State of Western  24 yrs at time offending. Ct 1: Agg GBH. Ct 2: Agg AOBH. Ct 2: 16 mths imp  factors  Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc). Ct 2: 16 mths imp				on his brain. He required surgery. He		relevant circumstances and all
term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  26. The State of Western  24 yrs at time offending. Ct 1: Agg GBH. Ct 2: Agg AOBH.  Ct 2: Agg AOBH.  Ct 2: 16 mths imp			. 0	continued to suffer adverse side effects		of the relevant sentencing
term memory; headaches and disruption to his senses of taste and smell.  26. The State of Western  24 yrs at time offending. Ct 1: Agg GBH. Ct 2: Agg AOBH.  Ct 2: Agg AOBH.  Ct 2: 16 mths imp				from his injuries, including poor short-		factors
26.The State of Western24 yrs at time offending. 25 yrs 6 mths timeCt 1: Agg GBH. Ct 2: Agg AOBH.Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc). Ct 2: 16 mths impAllowed.			C	term memory; headaches and disruption		
Western 25 yrs 6 mths time Ct 2: Agg AOBH. Ct 2: 16 mths imp				to his senses of taste and smell.		
Western 25 yrs 6 mths time Ct 2: Agg AOBH. Ct 2: 16 mths imp	26.	The State of	24 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Agg GBH.	Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc).	Allowed.
Australia v TLP   sentencing.   Cts 3-7 & 9: Agg sex pen.   (conc).   Appeal concerned length of		Western	25 yrs 6 mths time	Ct 2: Agg AOBH.	Ct 2: 16 mths imp	
		Australia v TLP	sentencing.	Cts 3-7 & 9: Agg sex pen.	(conc).	Appeal concerned length of

# [2019] WASCA

Delivered 24/04/2019

Convicted after PG (20% discount).

No prior criminal history.

Unstable upbringing; parents separated before aged 2 yrs; lived with various family and friends as a child (including grandmother, victim P); mother often lived elsewhere.

Completed yr 10.

Bullied at school; socially isolated; retreated into computer gaming world; accessed pornography at a young age, exposed to explicit pornography depicting incest and bondage.

Employed various casual roles; unemployed 18 mths prior to offending.

History of alcohol and illicit drug use; escalated prior to offending; intoxicated with Ct 8: Att agg sex pen.

TLP went to his grandparents' home. His grandmother, P, aged 73 yrs, and his half-sister E, aged 17 yrs were home. P let him into the house. After a time, and without warning, he attacked P by grabbing her by the neck, throwing her to the ground and punching her repeatedly to her face and head (ct 1).

TLP then assaulted E by grabbing her by the hair and punching her in the face and head repeatedly (ct 2). He dragged E to where the victim P was still lying and, in her presence, he committed and att to commit acts of sexual violence against E (cts 3-9).

During the sexual assaults he repeatedly told E and P that if they did not do what he said he would kill them.

TLP then left, taking his grandfather's car. He travelled to Collie where he was arrested.

Ct 3: 18 mths imp (cum).
Ct 4-5 & 8-9: 18 mths imp (conc).
Ct 5: 18 mths imp

(conc). Ct 6: 5 yrs imp (cum). Ct 7: 2 yrs (conc).

TES 6 yrs 6 mths imp.

EFP.

The sentencing judge characterised the offending as extremely serious; involving a sustained, prolonged, vicious and violent attack on P and E; his conduct 'obviously degrading'; it inflicted serious physical injuries and psychological trauma on the victims.

Remorseful; cooperative with police.

Moderate to high risk of

sentence (cts 1, 3-5, 7-9) and totality principle.

Re-sentenced to:

Cts 1: 5 & 9: 4 yrs imp (cum). Ct 2: 16 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 4 yrs imp (conc). Cts 4 & 7: 5 yrs imp (conc). Ct 6: 6 yrs imp (conc). Ct 8: 3 yrs imp (conc).

TES 12 yrs imp.

EFP.

Ct 1

At [87] – [88] The circumstances ... of this offence ... are self-evidently extremely serious. The victim was the respondent's grandmother. She was 73 yrs old .... The respondent was much younger than his grandmother and there was a significant size difference between him and his victim. P was completely vulnerable. The respondent attacked her

alcohol and cannabis at time offending.		re-offending in a sexual manner; particularly if alcohol and cannabis use not addressed.	without warning. She had no ability or means with which to fight back At the time the respondent was sentenced, P was still receiving medical and
	c Piloli		psychological treatment.  At [89] The acts of the respondent can fairly be characterised as callous, brutal and sustained The respondent did nothing to help P, despite her injuries.
	· KECKOT O		Instead, he forced E to humiliate and then kick P. The respondent forced P to witness the respondent's sexual attacks on E.  Cts 3, 4, 5, 7, 8 and 9
			At [90] it is clear that the offending was at the upper end of the range of seriousness for offences of agg GBH.
			At [96] Each of the offences was a very serious example of its type He did

		1	_		
					so with a high level of
				6,5,	violence and while
					threatening to kill her. E's
				Second	humiliation and distress in
				0	each case was compounded
					by the respondent committing
					the offence in the presence of
			• (	· /	P. The respondent
					traumatised E, who had not
				Y	previously engaged in sexual
					intercourse. The respondent
					exposed her to the risk of
			C. X		pregnancy. Each of the
					offences was cruel and
			O y		was committed without a
			Α		modicum of pity for the
					ordeal he inflicted upon E
25.	The State of	31 yrs at time offending.	1 x GBH.	3 yrs 8 mths imp.	Allowed.
	Western	32 yrs at time sentencing.			
	Australia v		The victim was 38 yrs old. She and	EFP.	Appeal concerned length of
	Yamalulu	Convicted after PG (25%	Yamalulu had been in a relationship and		sentence.
		discount).	they had a three yr old child together.	Remorseful and	
	[2019] WASCA		Their relationship was marred by	acceptance of	Resentenced to 7 yrs 6 mths
	6	Significant prior criminal	domestic violence and at the time of the	responsibility.	imp.
		history; numerous offences of	offending their relationship had ended		
	Delivered	violence, including domestic	and a VRO was in force protecting the		EFP.
	14/01/2019	violence and breaches of	victim.		
		VROs.			At [68] The respondent
			Yamalulu had been drinking heavily and		committed a frenzied, savage
		Aboriginal male; English not	was intoxicated when he discovered the		and relentless attack upon a

his first language.

Dysfunctional upbringing and deprived background; four siblings; loss of mother at a young age; alcoholic and violent father; regularly observed violence within his family and community.

Raised by aunt and uncle after mother's death; stable home life.

Schooled until yr 9 or 10; did not perform well; good at sport.

Employed by community development programme on leaving school; worked as a teachers' assistant; unemployed at time sentencing; time spent moving from one community to another.

Alcohol abuse from 17 yrs; cannabis use from 18 yrs.

victim having sex with his brother.

Yamalulu violently assaulted the victim. He repeatedly jumped on her chest, legs and head, rendering her unconscious. He then fled the scene failing to render first aid or seek any medical assistance.

The victim was flown to hospital. She suffered very serious injuries, including a traumatic brain injury resulting in quadriplegia.

vulnerable, unarmed and defenceless woman. He inflicted shocking injuries. ... ignored [the victim's] repeated pleas for him to stop attacking her. He showed no mercy. [The victim] is permanently disabled and has an exceptionally high level of impairment. Her prospects of improvement are limited.

At [69] The relationship between [the victim] and the respondent had ended before the offending occurred. He was not deterred by the VRO that was in force for her protection.

At [71] ...His history of violence (in particular, domestic violence towards his partners) underscored the importance of personal deterrence as a sentencing factor. ...

At [74] ... The sentence was not merely 'lenient' or 'at the

				70 <sup>5</sup> 8C111	lower end of the available range'. It was substantially less than the sentence that was open to her Honour on a proper exercise of her discretion.
24.	Palmer v The State of Western	40 yrs at time sentencing.	1 x GBH.	6 yrs imp.	Allowed.
	Australia	Convicted after trial.	Palmer was a sex worker and intravenous drug user. A blood test revealed she was	EFP.	Appeal concerned length of sentence.
	[2018] WASCA 225	No relevant prior criminal history.	HIV positive. Despite this, she continued to conduct sex work.	The trial judge found the appellant's	Re-sentenced to 4 yrs imp.
	Delivered 20/12/2018	Born and raised in NZ.	Palmer advertised her services online, representing herself as 'clean' and was	criminality as 'at the upper end of the range of seriousness'; she had	EFP. At [60]-[67] Discussion of
		Transgender; birth-assigned male; identified as female her	contacted by the victim. In response to his enquiries she confirmed she was	a 'callous disregard' for the victim and as a sex	comparable cases.
		adult life; prescribed female hormones since her early 20s.	'clean' and that she underwent monthly checks for sexually transmitted diseases.	worker she was plainly aware of the	At [68] Despite the fact that advances in medicine
		Completed high school; commenced but did not	At the time she knew she was not 'clean' and had been infected with HIV.	seriousness of sexually transmitted diseases'.	mean that, provided the victim maintains a regime of daily medication, he will live
		complete teacher's college.	Over a period of about eight mths Palmer and the victim engaged in unprotected	The trial judge found the offending was	a normal life, the fact remains that he has been infected with
		Commenced using methyl late 20s; sex work and drug	anal sex. At no time did she disclose to the victim she was HIV positive.	significantly agg by the appellant's dishonesty	a lifelong and potentially deadly virus. The appellant
		use intertwined.	The victim became ill and a blood test	in the representations she made to the victim;	deliberately deceived the victim, claiming that she was
			revealed he was HIV positive.	the length of time over which she was prepared	free from sexually transmitted diseases. As a result of that

GBH 07.12.20

		to engage in sexual	deceit, the victim engaged in
		activity with the victim	unprotected anal sex.
		without informing him	
		of her HIV status was	At [69] It must be
		'significant and	accepted that, from the
		aggravating'; she	victim's perspective, his HIV
		displayed 'a wilful and	positive status has been a
	• (	wanton disregard for	great burden. It has adversely
		the safety or welfare of	and significantly affected his
		the victim'.	enjoyment of life and will
			continue to do so into the
	07	Incarceration more	future,
	C	difficult; detained in	
		male prison in	At [70] the sentence
	() <sup>'</sup>	protective custody for	imposed upon the appellant
		her own safety.	was severe, having regard to
	~ O >		the range of sentences
		Undertook volunteer	customarily imposed for
		work to educate sex	offences of GBH
		workers about HIV	A . 1574.1
		prior to sentencing.	At [71] the appellant will
	<b>()</b>	NT ' 1	serve the custodial portion of
	Y	No on-going risk to	her sentence in a male prison,
		public safety; now	under protection, and will
		accepting of HIV status	experience hardship beyond
Č //		and effectively	that experienced by
		medicated.	mainstream prisoners
			At [72] having regard to
			all of the relevant facts and
			an or the relevant facts and

					1
					circumstances, the sentence
					that was imposed was, having
					regard to the max penalty, the
					seriousness of the offending,
				\( \begin{array}{c} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	the range of sentences
					customarily imposed, the
					importance of providing an
			• (	· /	appropriate measure of
			A A	$\cup$	punishment and deterrence,
					and having regard to all
					mitigating factors, the length
					of the term was unreasonable
					or plainly unjust.
23.	Ugle v The	35 yrs at time offending.	1 x GBH.	18 mths imp.	Dismissed.
	State of Western		Oy	_	
	Australia	Convicted after trial.	Ugle, his wife and two young children	EFP.	Appeal concerned error in
			spent the day socialising. Ugle was		conceding immediate imp
	[2018] WASCA	Prior criminal history; no	extremely intoxicated so his wife drove	The trial judge found	appropriate and length of
	221	relevant convictions.	him and their children home.	the victim's injuries	sentence.
				were 'towards the lower	
	Delivered	Married; eight children.	On the drive home they came upon the	end of the range of	At [21] His Honour's
	12/12/2018		victim and a friend, Mr L walking down	injuries constituting	consideration of whether or
		Gainfully employed since	the street. Both were loud and making a	GBH'; but they were,	not to impose a susp term of
		leaving school; regarded as a	noise. Ugle and his wife heard them	nevertheless, serious.	imp was completely orthodox
		mentor to others.	make comments which they took to be		and conformed with the
			directed towards them.	The trial judge found	requirements of the
		X		the appellant started the	Sentencing Act 1995 (WA)
			The vehicle in which Ugle was travelling	physical confrontation;	
			drove back to the victim and Mr L, where	although Mr L had	At [31] While the injuries
			he then verbally confronted them.	acted provocatively and	sustained by the victim were
			no men verbung comfoned mem.	acted provocatively and	bastarried by the victim were

Mr L, affected by alcohol, approached the vehicle, aggressive and confrontational. Ugle got out of the car to confront him and they commenced to push and shove each other.

As the physical confrontation escalated the victim attempted to defuse the situation. In order to 'get at' Mr L, Ugle punched the victim in the jaw, rendering him unconscious.

The victim suffered a fractured jaw which required surgical intervention.

with aggression towards the applicant, the victim had acted as a peacemaker; Mr L's behaviour did not excuse or condone what the appellant did.

Remorseful; real prospects of rehabilitation; unlikely to reoffend.

towards the lower end of the scale of seriousness of injuries that constitute GBH, they were nevertheless serious.

At [32] While ... the appellant delivered only one blow to the victim, that blow was delivered to [the victim's] face and was delivered with such force that it knocked him into a garden bed and rendered him unconscious. Such a forceful blow, in circumstances where the victim had no real opportunity to defend himself, had the potential to inflict more serious injury than in fact occurred.

At [33] The circumstances in which the appellant punched the victim do him (the appellant) no credit. ... [The victim] offered no provocation to the appellant whatsoever. The blow struck by the appellant was not, in

					amay array insatified an
					any way, justified or
22			4 652		excusable.
22.	Lee v The State	38 yrs at time sentencing.	1 x GBH.	4 yrs imp.	Dismissed.
	of Western				
	Australia	Convicted after PG (25%	Lee and his five co-offenders were	EFP.	Appeal concerned parity;
		discount).	associated with an OMG.		errors of fact and finding
	[2018] WASCA			The sentencing judge	(appellant present at the home
	156	Prior criminal history;	The victim went to the home of a friend.	found the offence was	on the earlier occasion and
		including an AOBH and drug	While at the property he heard a vehicle	of a very serious kind;	victim lured to the home) and
	Delivered	offences; prior sentences of	arrive and opened the garage door to find	the victim was' lured'	length of sentence.
	03/09/2018	imp.	Lee and the co-offenders standing in the	to the house; the	
			driveway.	offenders intended to	At [52] and [54] There is
		Excellent work ethic.		confront the victim by	ambiguity as to whether the
			This was the second occasion the five co-	surprise; it was six men	sentencing judge's comment
		At time offending nominee or	offenders (but not Lee) had visited the	against one; it was	that '[y]our group had visited
		prospective member of the	home. Their first visit was earlier that	premediated and not a	earlier that night' was an
		Rebels OMG.	same evening, prior to the victim's	spontaneous reaction to	erroneous reference to all of
			arrival.	provocation by the	the offenders rather than all
		Married; separated since time		complainant.	of them except the appellant.
		of offending; joint custody of	The victim produced a firearm. A		we are of the opinion that
		their child.	confrontation resulted in some of the	The sentencing judge	the error was not, in all of the
			offenders striking the victim multiple	was not prepared to	circumstances, material to the
			times. One of the offenders struck him	sentence each offender	sentencing outcome.
		0	with a baseball bat they had bought with	on the basis of the	8
			them. The victim fell to the ground where	physical role that he	At [64] and [65] There is
			he continued to be assaulted.	played in the attack;	ambiguity as to whether the
		X		each offender was	sentencing judge, in stating
		( )	Lee did not physically attack the victim.	sentenced on the basis	that the complainant had been
			F	that he was a party to	'lured' to [the] house, was
			The offenders then departed the premises.	the blows that caused	making a finding that it was
L			The offenders their departed the prefinges.	and dro tro that daubed	maning a minaming that it was

	Despite his injuries the victim drove	the GBH.	the offenders who had lured him (either directly or
	home, where he was conveyed to hospital		through someone else,)
	by ambulance. He required surgery for		we are of the opinion that the
	multiple fractures to his leg, hand, arm		error was not, in all of the
	and skull.		circumstances (in particular,
	1000 200000	Y	having regard to her
	. (	<b>Y</b>	Honour's unchallenged and
			correct finding that the
			offenders intended to
			confront the complainant by
			surprise), material to the
	C. X		sentencing outcome.
			At [74] the appellant
			voluntarily became involved
	FO >		in the plan to threaten the
			complainant The appellant knew that he was one of six
			offenders and that one of his
			group was armed with a
			baseball bat. The appellant
			accepted criminal
	, y		responsibility for the
			offending on the basis that the
			decision to attend [the] house
			and threaten the complainant,
O Y			as a group, was a common
			unlawful purpose and that it
			was a probable consequence

not di lesser [the c	At [80] the appellant was not deserving of a materially esser sentence than any of the co-offenders].
21.   Merlo v The State of Western   34 yrs at time offending.   Ct 3: GBH.   Ct 4: GBH with intent.   Ct 3: 18 mths imp (cum).   Dism Ct 4: 5 yrs imp (cum).	Dismissed – on papers.
	Appeal concerned error in
cts 1 and 2). The victim, AR is a US citizen. She met TES 6 yrs 6 mths imp. findir	inding this offending agg by
[2018] WASCA Merlo overseas and they began living prior	rior violent behaviour towards
71 Prior minor criminal history. together in WA. EFP. victin	rictim; and totality principle.

			X	
Delivered 15/05/2018	Supportive family; support within the community.  Successful businessman.  Married at time sentencing.  Illicit substance abuse at time offending; since ceased drug use.	Merlo was controlling and violent and AR eventually asserted some degree of independence from him, however they retained a relationship.  Ct 3 AR attended Merlo's apartment. He consumed methyl and in a drug-fuelled rage battered AR with his fists, delivering at least 'two targeted powerful blows' to her face rendering her semi-conscious.  Ct 4 Merlo then took a meat cleaver, put her hand on a chopping board and with a single blow of the cleaver severed, almost entirely, her little finger.  AR suffered a fractured cheekbone and eye sockets, resulting in nerve damage to her face, affecting her appearance. Surgical attempts to reattach the finger were unsuccessful.	The trial judge found the offences not an 'uncharacteristic aberration' and did not happen 'out of the blue'; they were a 'dramatic escalation of prior conduct'; it was unprovoked and senseless, although not premediated.	At [44] The appellant's criminal behaviour involved two distinct acts, The first was punching AR to the face with considerable force. He did so without any justification AR was already vulnerable, being much smaller and nowhere near as strong as the appellant, but his actions left AR weakened and semi-conscious. While AR was in this state, the appellant committed ct 4.  At [45] The appellant's actions in taking a meat cleaver and deliberately severing part of the appellant's little finger was a particularly senseless, cruel and violent act. To take AR's hand and wield the meat cleaver as he did was terrifying and pitiless. While not lifethreatening, the loss of the finger is unsightly and painful, and has deprived AR of pursuits she once enjoyed
				pitiless. While not life- threatening, the loss of the finger is unsightly and painful,
				distinct acts committed by the

				SECITE	appellant, and that his Honour adjusted the individual sentence on ct 3 for totality reasons, it would have been inappropriate to apply the so-called one
					transaction rule
20.	Baker v The State of Western	35 yrs at time sentencing.	1 x GBH.	4 yrs 6 months imp.	Dismissed.
	Australia	Convicted after early PG (25%	The victim and Baker were unknown to each	No explanation for his	Appeal concerned length of
	[2018] WASCA	discount).	other.	offending; except offence committed while in drug-	sentence.
	15	Prior criminal history in NSW; including assault; damage and	Baker knocked on the victim's door and when he answered he was struck in the head	induced psychosis.	At [26] Consideration of reasonably comparable cases
	Delivered 16/02/2018	breach of an AVO.	and body with a wooden article. When he fell to the ground Baker continued the attack.	The sentencing judge found the appellant	does not support a conclusion that the appellant's sentence was
	10/02/2018	Born New Zealand; positive	Ten to the ground Baker continued the attack.	committed an unprovoked	manifestly excessive the
		family upbringing; moved to Australia aged 19 yrs.	The victim suffered substantial head injuries, including a fractured skull with permanent	assault; agg by the use of a weapon; the extent of	appellant's sentence is broadly consistent with the sentences in
			loss of sense of taste and smell.	the victim's injuries; the	other reasonably comparable
		Left school 16 yrs.	Offense committed while in methyl induced	persistence of the attack;	cases.
		Reasonably regular employment	Offence committed while in methyl induced psychosis [10], [13].	the victim gave no cause whatsoever for grievance;	At [27] it is not reasonably
		history.	psychosis [10], [13].	was not known to the	arguable that the length of the
			Y	appellant; had no means	term of imp was unreasonable or
		History of illicit substance use.		of defending himself and	plainly unjust. The sentence
		208		it occurred on the front door of his home.	was commensurate with the seriousness of the offence and
		X		uooi oi ilis iloilic.	was within the range open to the
		× 0,		The sentencing judge	sentencing judge on a proper
				found the case was in the	exercise of his discretion.
				mid to high level of	
				seriousness of offences of	

				this kind.	
19.	Fernandez v The State of Western	43 yrs at time sentencing.	1 x GBH.	5 yrs 6 mths imp.	Dismissed.
	Australia	Convicted after trial.	The victim is Fernandez's estranged husband. There was a custody dispute over their two	EFP.	Appeal concerned length of sentence; error in taking into
	[2017] WASCA 223	No prior convictions.	children.	The sentencing judge was satisfied beyond	account complainant was collecting his children pursuant
	Delivered	Loving and supportive family.	Fernandez arranged to meet the victim at a park, to spend some time with their youngest	reasonable doubt that the appellant brought the	to a consent order as agg factor; error in finding of fact appellant
	05/12/2017	Well educated, intelligent.	child.	knife to the park, but was not satisfied beyond	brought the knife to the park.
		Hard working; highly thought of by work colleagues and friends.	After playing with the child a short time, it was agreed the victim would leave with the child and collect their other child later that afternoon.	reasonable doubt that she formed the intent to use it until shortly before the stabbing occurred.	At [154] The appellant's contention that the judge treated the fact that the complainant was picking up his child in accordance with a court order as
			While at his car the victim noticed someone behind him. He turned and saw Fernandez with a knife. She stabbed him in the stomach and again in the chest, causing him to fall to the ground on all fours. He took hold of the knife to prevent being stabbed again, causing severe injury to his left palm.	The sentencing judge found there was a degree of premeditation in the appellant's offending by the carrying of the knife and forming the intent.	an agg factor is mere assertion The judge was making the uncontroversial point that the complainant was entitled to go about his ordinary daily business without fear of being attacked.
		Sille	The victim required surgery for two major penetration wounds to his chest and abdomen One of the lacerations was 4-5cm deep and almost 15cm long. The second lacerated the small bowel.	The sentencing judge described the attack as brutal; it involved the use of a weapon in a public park in the middle of the day, with people, including children, present.	At [158] It was well open to the jury, and the sentencing judge, to be satisfied beyond reasonable doubt of the truth and reliability of the complainant's evidence that he did not bring the knife to the park, and that the appellant produced the knife. The only reasonable inference

				cecillo	from those findings was that the appellant brought the knife to the park.
				Rios	At [163] the sentence imposed upon the appellant in this case is broadly consistent with all reasonably comparable cases.
			Palo		At [166] The appellant's offence was unquestionably serious. She deliberately used a dangerous weapon. She inflicted two major
					wounds. The attack was unprovoked. Her attack on the
					complainant caused serious life-
					threatening injuries and has had far-reaching and enduring
					consequences for him.
18.	Reynolds v The	38 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Receiving.	Ct 1: 9 mths imp (cum).	Dismissed.
	State of Western		Ct 2: GBH.	Ct 2: 5 yrs imp (cum).	
	Australia	Convicted after PG (20%	Ct 3: Unlawful wounding.	Ct 3: 12 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned totality
		discount) (ct 1).	X Y Y		principle and length of sentence.
	[2017] WASCA	Convicted after trial (cts 2-3).	<u>Ct 1</u>	TES 5 yrs 9 mths imp.	
	214		Police executed a search warrant at		At [36] the offence of
		Extensive criminal history;	Reynolds' home and located various items,	EFP.	unlawfully doing GBH
	Delivered	offending across four States; no	valued at about \$12,800, recently stolen from		committed by the appellant was
	24/11/2017	significant gaps since age of 18	a home burglary.	The sentencing judge	at the upper end of seriousness.
		yrs.		found the overall	The victim suffered the
			<u>Cts 2 &amp; 3</u>	offending on cts 2 and 3	permanent loss of sight in his
		On bail for ct 1 at time of	Mr B's home was burgled and some of his	in the upper range of	right eye. The consequences of

committed offences subject of cts 2 and 3.  CDs were stolen. He believed the CDs were at Reynold's home. Mr B, accompanied by Mr T, decided to go to Reynolds home to retrieve them.  CDs were stolen. He believed the CDs were at Reynold's home. Mr B, accompanied by Mr T, decided to go to Reynolds home to retrieve them.  CDs were stolen. He believed the CDs were seriousness by use of a knife and there were two victims. The offences were unprovoked and did not occur in self-defence of fence was unprovoked. [In this injury to the victim hat been serious and profound not occur in self-defence of fence was unprovoked. [In this injury to the victim hat been serious and profound not occur in self-defence of fence was unprovoked. [In this injury to the victim hat been serious and profound not occur in self-defence of fence was unprovoked. [In this injury to the victim hat been serious and profound not occur in self-defence of fence was unprovoked. [In this injury to the victim hat been serious and profound not occur in self-defence of fence was unprovoked. [In this injury to the victim hat been serious and profound not occur in self-defence of a knife and there were two victims. The offences were unprovoked and did not occur in self-defence of fence of his offence was unprovoked. [In this injury to the victim hat here were two victims. The offences were unprovoked and did not occur in self-defence of fence of his offence was unprovoked. [In this injury to the victim hat here were two victims. The offence was unprovoked. [In this injury to the victim hat here were two victims. The offence was unprovoked. [In this injury to the victim hat here were two victims. The offence was unprovoked. [In this injury to the victim hat here were two victims. The offence was unprovoked and did not occur in self-defence of his offence was unprovoked. [In this injury to the victim hat here were two victims. The offence was unprovoked and did not occur in self-defence of his offence was unprovoked. [In this injury to the victim hat here wer	
Abandoned by both parents at a young age; childhood dogged by lack of opportunity and  Mr T, decided to go to Reynolds home to retrieve them.  Mr T, decided to go to Reynolds home to retrieve them.  Mr T, decided to go to Reynolds home to wictims. The offences were unprovoked and did not occur in self-defence of his did not enter or attempt to	
Abandoned by both parents at a young age; childhood dogged by lack of opportunity and retrieve them.  The were unprovoked and did not occur in self-defence of fence was unprovoked. [  Mr B and Mr T went to a locked gate at the or defence of his did not enter or attempt to	
young age; childhood dogged by lack of opportunity and	.n
lack of opportunity and Mr B and Mr T went to a locked gate at the or defence of his did not enter or attempt to	
	/Ir B]
homelessness. rear of Reynolds' property. His partner household. the appellant's premises ar	
appeared and they asked for the return of the posed no threat to the appe	lant
Sporadic history of employment   CDs. Mr B was told to go away.   Limited capacity for   or the appellant's family.	
as a mechanic. empathy; little remorse;	
Reynolds came into the backyard and justified his actions. At [39] The appellant's ov	
15 yr relationship; two children exchanged angry words with the two men, offending was very serious	
13 and 8 yrs; 2015-2016 partner   before going back into his unit and asking his   only did he stab [Mr B] bu	
suffered cognitive deficits from partner to call police. also stabbed [Mr T]. Altho	
brain aneurism. the injuries that [Mr T] suf	
Reynolds was already carrying a pocket- were not as serious as thos	
Insular and isolated family life; knife but he armed himself with another and suffered by [Mr B], the po	
no friends or support within the went back outside. As Mr B was looking for serious injury is obvious	
community. through a hole in the gate Reynolds stabbed The receiving charge the s	
him in the eye with one of the knives (ct 2). of ct 1 was a serious exam	le of
Some mental health issues; its type.	
illicit drug use from 12 yrs; As both victims walked away Reynolds	
fluctuated in and out of daily jumped the fence and came towards Mr T,  At [40] It was well open	
drug use since; time spent on stabbing him twice in the back (ct 3).	
methadone program for heroin additional punishment for	et 1],
addiction. As a result of the attack Mr B was blinded in bearing in mind that it was	
one eye. Mr T's two wounds were able to be committed some time before	
sutured and glued.  2 and 3 it is aggravatin	
cts 2 and 3 were committed	
while the appellant was on	oail
for ct 1.	
17.The State of46 yrs at time offending.Ct 1: GBH.Ct 1: 2 yrs 6 mths imp.Allowed.	

T	T	T =		
Western	48 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Steal MV.	Ct 2: 9 mths imp (conc).	
Australia v			6,5.	Appeal concerns length of
MacKey	Convicted after trial.	MacKey and the victim were friends.	TES 2 yrs 6 mths imp.	sentence on ct 1 and totality
				principle.
[2017] WASCA	Prior criminal history; no prior	MacKey was a passenger in the victim's car	EFP.	
204	history of violent offending.	when he received a text message from his		Re-sentenced to:
		partner alleging that she had engaged in	The sentencing judge	
Delivered	Disturbed childhood; violent	sexual relations with the victim. This upset	described the offending as	Ct 1: 3 yrs 6 mths imp.
02/11/2017	alcoholic father; left home aged	and angered him. He told the victim he	serious. The victim	Ct 2: 6 mths (cum).
	11 yrs; little contact with family.	wanted to return home immediately.	suffered significant	
			injury; the respondent	TES 4 yrs imp.
	18 yr former relationship; 15 yrs	MacKey produced a knife and attempted to	used a knife and he	
	her registered carer due to her	stab the victim a number of times. The	attacked out of the blue	EFP.
	serious mental health issues;	victim, though driving, was able to block the	while the victim was	
	mother to his 13 yr old daughter.	attempts. However, on the third or fourth	driving and unable to	At [36] The harm that resulted
		attempt he stabbed the victim, causing a very	defend himself.	from the respondent's assault
	New relationship; much younger	serious abdominal wound.		was serious.
	partner; young baby together.		The sentencing judge	
		The victim stopped the car and got out.	found the victim did not	At [37] The act which caused
	Good work history; employed	MacKey then got into the driver's seat and	provoke the attack; it was	the injury was a single blow
	time offending.	drove off.	not a planned act, but a	with a knife. The use of a
			spontaneous reaction to	weapon is an aggravating
	No health issues; long standing	A passing motorist eventually assisted the	the text message.	factor It was delivered with
	drug addiction; previous	victim and he was taken to hospital and		such force that two of [the
	engagement in substance abuse	underwent immediate emergency surgery.	No expressions of	victim's] ribs were also broken.
	programs.	, ,	remorse; continued to	Clearly the respondent intended
			justify the stabbing as an	to harm and forcefully used a
			accident.	weapon in order to do so.
	X			
				At [38] The respondent's attack
				on his victim was entirely
				unprovoked. The use of a

				Riosecial	weapon on the driver of a moving car seriously aggravated this offence Despite the obvious seriousness of the injury caused, the respondent abandoned [the victim] at the scene and made no attempt to call an ambulance.
					At [44]-[49] Discussion of comparative cases.
			of Pills		At [53] The stealing of the motor vehicle was a separate offence made more serious by the fact that it involved leaving [the victim] injured and helpless on the road the stealing offence called for some accumulation.
16.	Allen v The State	Allen	Allen and Gastarov	Allen	Allowed.
	of Western	$\overline{32}$ yrs at time offending.	1 x AOBH.	2 yrs 10 mths imp.	
	Australia	35 yrs at time sentencing.		EFP.	Appeals concerned lengths of
			<u>Marsandi</u>		sentences.
	[2017] WASCA	Convicted after late PG (10%	1 x GBH.	Gastarov	
	203	discount).	b	3 yrs 6 mths imp.	Re-sentenced to:
	Delivered	Einst trial abouted DC assert	Late at night the victim and his heavily	EFP.	A 11 au
	31/10/2017	First trial aborted, PG accepted week prior to second trial	pregnant partner attended a car park to collect his car. He used a baseball bat to	Marsandi	Allen 20 mths imp.
	31/10/2017	commencing.	smash a window to gain access to his car,	6 yrs 4 mths imp.	EFP.
		commencing.	causing the vehicle's alarm to sound.	EFP.	
		Substantial criminal history,			Gastarov

including three prior convictions for AOBH; released from prison about one month before committing present offence.

Parents deceased; single; no dependents.

Supportive ex-partner.

Business owner-operator; successful for a while.

Leg injury resulting in a limp; postural problems and headaches. Suffers from depression.

Prior history of drug abuse.

#### <u>Gastarov</u>

38 yrs at time offending. 41 yrs at time sentencing.

Convicted after late PG (10% discount).

First trial aborted, PG accepted week prior to second trial commencing.

Prior criminal history; mostly

The proprietor of the adjacent workshop was woken by the alarm. He had previously been engaged by Marsandi to work on the vehicle so he telephoned one of the appellants to inform them of what was happening.

A short time later Marsandi and Allen arrived. Marsandi spoke to the victim. During the conversation Marsandi picked up the victim's baseball bat and without warning swung the bat the victim's head.

The victim fell to the ground. Marsandi swung at the victim with the bat a further five or six times. Not all of those strikes made contact with the victim.

The victim got to his feet and run. At this time Gastarov arrived and he pursed the victim in his car, while Allen ran after the victim on foot.

When Gastarov and Allen caught up with the victim he started jogging back towards the car park. Allen continued to chase the victim on foot and unsuccessfully attempted to kick the victim from behind.

Marsandi remained at the car park and when the victim returned, rushed towards him. Gastarov arrived and also rushed towards the victim with the raised baseball bat, before The sentencing judge characterised each appellant's offence as objectively very serious and at the high end of the objective criminality for offences of its kind.

The sentencing judge found it was a sustained attack and the injuries to the victim represented serious examples of the respective offences; carried out in a brazen manner while in company.

2 yrs 4 mths imp. EFP.

## Marsandi 5 yrs imp.

EFP.

#### Marsandi

At [61] ... A sentence in the range of 3 to 5 yrs will commonly be imposed in cases involving the use of weapons.

At [68] ... The beating which the victim suffered was severe, .... While the injuries were moderately severe examples of GBH, they were not established to have resulted in serious permanent disability.

At [69] ... It may be inferred that at least most of the injuries constituting GBH were caused by [his] initial use of a baseball bat to repeatedly and forcefully strike the victim's head. ... The sustained nature of the assault, and the fact that a weapon was used in a manner which was objectively likely to cause serious injury, were significant

traffic offences; convictions for dep of liberty and AOBH.

Born Australia; raised in the USA after parents' separation.

Two children to ex-wife; one child to current partner.

Completed yr 12 equivalent in USA.

Consistent work history; own tattooing business before suffering financial difficulties.

#### Marsandi

28 yrs at time offending. 31 yrs at time sentencing.

Convicted after late PG (10% discount).

First trial aborted; PG accepted week prior to second trial commencing.

Limited prior criminal history in WA; no previous terms of imp. After this offence convicted in NSW of AOBH.

punching and kicking him. Gastarov then pulled the victim to the ground where Marsandi continued to kick and stomp on him several times.

The appellants then allowed the victim and his partner to leave.

Marsandi and Allen then hosed down the car and the car park where the assault took place. They also changed their clothes. Gastarov collected the baseball bat and left.

The assault was captured on CCTV footage installed at the workshop premises. At some point it was manually deleted, however it was later able to be recovered by police.

Later the same day Gastarov also attempted to obtain the CCTV footage from a nearby business, but it had already been seized by police.

The victim was hospitalised and required surgery for a number of injuries, including the insertion of a metal plate in his head. aggravating features of the offence.

At [70] The victim did not offer any provocation for the assault.

At [72] The conclusion that the appellant felt he could seriously assault others with impunity elevated the significance of personal deterrence and community protection as sentencing considerations. ... It is capable of explaining the imposition of a sentence greater than the sentences customarily imposed for serious examples of causing GBH. However, it does not explain the extent of the disparity in this case.

At [73] ... the sentence imposed ... was not commensurate with the seriousness of Marsandi's offence ...

At [76] ... In all the circumstances, while Marsandi's offence is a serious example of the offence of unlawfully doing GBH, a sentence of 6 yrs 4 mths imp is unreasonable or plainly

		Close and supportive family; supportive friends.  De facto relationship; good and loving father to three young children.		*OSCITIV	unjust.  Allen At [81] Allen did not himself actually inflict any of the injuries which the victim
		Educated to yr 11; completed apprenticeship; good trade and work history.			sustained. His criminal responsibility is to be assessed on the basis that, by his presence and support he aided Marsandi and Gastarov, in assaulting the victim
			of Pilic		At [82] Allen did not actually inflict any injury, and did not instigate the violence initially directed towards the victim
			Oilector		Gastarov At [87] Gastarov was not present when the injuries constituting GBH were inflicted His culpability is reduced by the fact that he was not present for the whole of the sustained
		Sille			assault on the victim, although it is aggravated by the fact that he himself assaulted the victim who [he] must have appreciated was already seriously injured.
15.	Bowe v The State of Western	G Bowe R Bowe	1 x GBH.	G Bowe 3 yrs 8 mths imp.	Dismissed.

1	4 . 7	T = n			
	Australia	<u>L Bowe</u>	R; L and J Bowe are brothers; G Bowe is		<u>G Bowe</u>
		<u>J Bowe</u>	their father.	R Bowe	Appeal concerned length of
	[2017] WASCA			Indictment	sentence; type and parity.
	166	Convicted after trial.	The victim and Ms P went to the Bowe	4 yrs imp;	
			family home to discuss money owed to Ms	Breach	R Bowe
	Delivered	<u>R Bowe</u>	P's husband for the purchase of a vehicle.	7 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned totality.
	11/09/2017	At time offending subject to a		<b>\</b>	
		susp term of imp imposed	After a short conversation the victim agreed	<u>L Bowe</u>	<u>L Bowe</u>
		Magistrates Court for 2 x	to go and get Ms P's husband to discuss the	3 yrs imp.	Appeal concerned length of
		driving without authorisation.	matter with him.		sentence and parity.
				J Bowe	
			The victim asked to be dropped off down the	3 yrs imp.	J Bowe
			street to maintain watch on the vehicle,		Appeal concerned parity.
			concerned it may be removed from the	All EFP.	
			property.		<u>G Bowe</u>
				The trial judge found G	At [95] the differing
			The victim was standing across the street	and R Rowe the principal	sentences imposed upon the
			when the three Bowe brothers approached	offenders and that L and J	offenders were entirely justified
			him. R Bowe was armed with a baseball bat.	Bowe participated in the	by the differing levels of
			After a short conversation the victim was	physical attack on the	culpability [R Bowe] and [G
			taken by surprise and struck from behind	victim and were not only	Bowe] were the principal
			with a weapon by G Bowe. He dropped to the	there as backup.	offenders who had planned the
			ground and when he stood up R Bowe struck		attack upon [the victim].
			him twice on the forehead with the baseball	The judge categorised the	Although [G Bowe] struck the
			bat. All four then kicked and punched the	offences as a serious	first blow from behind, it was [R
			victim, striking him with baseball bats, a	example of GBH,	Bowe] who struck the blows
			piece of wood and other weapons.	although not the most	which caused GBH and his
				serious or worst case of	most significant injuries. Those
		X	The victim's injuries included a fractured	its kind.	differences justify the
			skull and bleeding on his brain. He suffered		imposition of a sentence upon
			damage to his brain involved with language	The judge found the	[R Bowe] which was four mths
			and developed a stutter.	seriousness of the	longer than that imposed upon
			-		

offending was aggravated by the premeditation involved: the crime was perpetrated by four men again one; the use of weapons; the sustained nature of the assault and serious nature of the injuries sustained. Appellants not remorseful.

[G Bowe].

At [96] ... the roles played by each of [L Bowe] and [J Bowe] were less significant, although each participated in the assault .... The differences in their level of participation in the attack ... justify the lower sentences ... imposed upon each.

#### R Bowe

At [102] ... It was clearly open to her Honour to find that there was no injustice in directing that [R Bowe] serve the sentence previously imposed upon him ... Given that the offence for which that sentence was imposed was entirely unrelated to the offence of GBH, there was no reason why her Honour should not have directed the sentence to be served cumulatively. The imposition of the additional term ... could not be said to contravene the first limb of the totality principle given the culpability of [R Bowe's] conduct, and the fact that the TES ... was in any event within the range available to the judge

			Since Contraction of Publish	Rich Section	in the sound exercise of her discretion in respect of the offence of GBH alone.  L Bowe At [106] it was entirely appropriate for her Honour to take the principle of parity into account when deciding whether or not to susp the term of imp imposed noting, the differences between the circumstances of his case and the circumstances of the other offenders.  At [108] the sentence was at the lower end of the range of sentences customarily imposed for serious offences of GBH  J Bowe At [113] her Honour did not err in referring to, and appropriately applying the principle of parity in deciding whether or not to susp the term of imp imposed.
14.	Kere Kere v The State of Western	35 yrs at time offending.	1 x GBH.	3 yrs imp.	Dismissed – on papers.
	Australia	Convicted after late PG (5%	The victim, aged 31 yrs, was socializing with	EFP.	Appellant challenged length of
		discount).	a friend at a club. As they left the venue		sentence.

	[2016] WASCA		Kere Kere approached the victim's friend and	The sentencing judge	Ĭ
	189	Prior WA and QLD criminal	punched him in the face.	noted his offending	At [35]-[36] Discussion of
		history; convictions of common		behaviour was worsening	comparative cases.
	Delivered	assault; AOBH; assault public	In an attempt to defuse the situation the	and that the appellant was	
	02/11/2016	officer and obstructing public	victim spoke to Kere Kere. Without warning	very aggressive towards	At [40] In the present case, the
		officers. No prior sentences of	he threw a flurry of punches, striking the	the victim and his friend.	appellant's offending was
		imp.	victim six times in the face and head,	<b>\( \)</b>	serious. He inflicted numerous
			knocking him to the ground.	The sentencing judge	forceful blows to the victim's
		Satisfactory childhood.	• (	found the victim	face and head. The violence
			The victim's eye socket was badly fractured	'considerably smaller'	was gratuitous and senseless.
		Completed school and holds a	and required corrective surgery.	than the appellant and that	The victim did not, in any sense,
		university degree.		he seriously injured the	provoke the attack. Indeed, he
				victim 'for no reason at	was retreating He appears to
		Good work history.		all' and that the victim	have made a full recovery, but
			X Y	was 'backing up with his	the appellant's behaviour had
			<b>A</b>	hands up' and the	the potential to result in a more
				appellant 'kept after him	serious injury than was in fact
				until [he] hit him to the	suffered.
			x O'	ground'. The victim's	
				injuries could not be	
		10 10 10		described as 'trivial'.	
13.	Yaqubi v The	18 yrs at time offending.	1 x GBH.	16 mths imp.	Dismissed.
	State of Western	20 yrs at time sentencing.			
	Australia [No 2]	G 1 1 6 1 DG (250)	The victim, aged 17 yrs, was out with friends	EFP.	Yaqubi challenged length and
	[2017] XXV CCV	Convicted after early PG (25%	at the same venue as Yaqubi and his friends.	T	type of sentence.
	[2016] WASCA	discount).	There had been previous tension between	Later offence of common	A ( [ 40]
	187	D: :: 11: / : 1 !!	members of the two groups.	assault indicated violence	At [49] the offending was
	D-13	Prior criminal history, including	A - the sisting on this friends seems to sain a	was not wholly out of	serious. The victim suffered a
	Delivered	a common assault committed 6	As the victim and his friends were leaving	character for Yaqubi and that he had initiated the	significant injury as a result of
	31/10/2016	weeks after offence subject of	Yaqubi made an insulting comment about the	incident and was the	the appellant's gratuitous violence The victim has
		this appeal.	victim's mother. In a demanding and		apparently made a full recovery,
			aggressive tone the victim questioned Yaqubi	physical aggressor.	apparently made a full recovery,

	T		I		1
		Uneventful childhood.	about what he said.		but the appellant's conduct had
				No genuine remorse,	the potential to result in a more
		Supportive family and in a	Yaqubi punched the victim forcefully to the	despite Yaqubi eventually	serious injury than was in fact
		committed relationship.	left side of the face and then kicked the	writing a letter of apology	suffered. The victim did not
			victim in his lower back as he and the victim	to the victim.	provoke the attack. The
		Steady employment and	were being separated.		sentencing judge was rightly
		studying for a degree.		The seriousness of the	sceptical about the degree to
			The victim's jaw was fractured in two places	offending and the	which the appellant was
		In good health; does not drink	and required surgery.	importance of personal	genuinely remorseful.
		alcohol or use illicit drugs.		and general deterrence	
				made it inappropriate to	At [53] despite the
				susp the term of imp.	appellant's youth and prior good
					character and the other
					mitigating factors, the
					seriousness of the offending, the
					need for personal and general
					deterrence and the lack of
			A.		genuine remorse made a
					sentence of immediate
			X O		imprisonment the only
					appropriate sentencing option.
12.	The Carte of	21	1 v. A co A ODII	A a a A ODII. 12 meths imm	Allowed.
12.	The State of	31 yrs at time sentencing.	1 x Agg AOBH.	Agg AOBH: 12 mths imp	Allowed.
	Western		1 x Threat to harm.	(cum).	A 11 4 1 11 11 4 6
	Australia v WTG	Convicted after trial.	1 x Agg GBH.	Threat to harm: 10 mths	Appellant challenged length of
	F201 (1 XX ) (1 C)	A	WITE 11 11 11	imp (conc).	sentence and totality.
	[2016] WASCA	At time offending, WTG was	WTG and the victim had been in a	Agg GBH: 2 yrs 10 mths	
	175	subject to an SIO for	relationship, marred by domestic violence for	imp (head sentence)	Sentence set aside. WTG re-
		convictions of 3 x breach VRO	about 15 yrs. They had been separated for 2		sentenced to:
	Delivered	protecting the victim.	yrs and, despite a VRO, the victim had	Breach of SIO: 6 mths	
	12/10/2016		contact with WTG.	imp (conc).	Agg AOBH: 6 mths imp (cum
		Significant prior criminal			with head sentence).
		history, including AOBH, agg	WTG stayed a weekend at the victim's home	TES 3 yrs 10 mths imp.	Threat to harm: 9 mths imp

assault, breaches of protective bail, carrying a weapon with intent to cause fear, agg burg, breaches of VRO and agg AOBH. A number of convictions of agg assault, agg AOBH and breach VRO against this victim.

Difficult childhood, without positive parental guidance.

No significant employment history.

Three children with the victim.

Long history of illicit substance abuse.

Prior to offences and whilst on remand in custody attempts made to rehabilitate himself, through religion, rehabilitation and training programmes. with their children. They both took drugs and had sexual relations.

On the Sunday afternoon, having made arrangements for the children to be looked after by a friend, WTG became aggravated with the victim over her declining to have sex with him and her prior relationship with another man. WTG became increasingly aggravated by the victim's refusal to discuss the prior relationship. Over the course of the Sunday evening and into the early hours of Monday morning, WTG assaulted the victim a number of times. He struck the top of the victim's head with a knife, cutting her near her left temple (Agg AOBH).

Later, the victim locked herself in a bedroom. WTG kicked open the door and punched her hard to the face once or twice with a clenched fist, knocking her to the ground unconscious. WTG then drove the victim around, and punched her in the face again. Later, when the car was parked, WTG asked the victim about the prior relationship and when she refused to answer he punched her in the left side of the face. This occurred at least four or five times. One blow caused her head to hit the car window. During this incident WTG said he would kill the victim (threat to harm).

The sentencing judge found that the offences caused the victim to suffer significant adverse consequence, physically and emotionally.

No genuine remorse.

The offences were committed against a slightly built, defenceless and vulnerable former partner who had placed a degree of faith and trust in WTG by recommencing contact.

The GBH took place over a sustained period.

(conc).

Agg GBH: 4 yrs 6 mths imp.

Breach: 6 mths imp (cum).

TES 5 yrs 6 months imp.

EFP.

At [44]-[51] Discussion of comparative cases.

At [52]... the sentence imposed in this case for the offence of agg GBH is so far outside the range of sentences open to the sentencing judge in the sound exercise of his discretion as to manifest implied error.

At [54] As I have concluded that the head sentence was manifestly inadequate, it follows that the TES was also manifestly inadequate...

	T	1	TT1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
			The victim suffered bruising and swelling to		
			the eye, a split lip and a fractured jaw (Agg	6,9	
			GBH).		
11.	The State of	25 yrs at time offending.	Indictment	<u>Indictment</u>	Allowed.
	Western	26 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Agg unlawful wounding.	Ct 1: 6 mths imp (conc).	
	Australia v Smith		Ct 2: Agg GBH.	Ct 2: 18 mths imp.	Appeal concerned length of
		Convicted after early PG (25%	Ct 3: Att steal motor vehicle.	Ct 3: 3 mths imp (conc).	sentence for cts 1 and 2 and
	[2016] WASCA	discount).	Ct 4: Assault public officer.	Ct 4: 6 mths imp (cum).	totality.
	153	·	Ct 5: Obstructing public officer.	Ct 5: 3 mths imp (conc).	
		Significant and lengthy prior			Re-sentenced to:
	Delivered	criminal history, including	s.32 notice	s.32 notice	
	31/08/2016	convictions for breaching VRO,	Ch 1: Trespass.	Ch 1: \$500 fine.	Ct 1 (ind): 2 yrs imp (conc).
		agg common assault and being	Ch 2: Steal motor vehicle.	Ch 2: 3 mths imp (conc).	Ct 2 (ind): 3 yrs 6 mths imp.
		armed in public in a way that	Ch 3: Cruelty to an animal.	Ch 3: 2 mths imp (cum).	
		may cause fear.	X	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Sentences for ct 4 (ind) and ch 3
			Ct 1	SIO	(s32 notice) and 6 mths imp for
		History of domestic violence	Smith and the victim were in a domestic	Ordered to serve 6 mths	SIO cum upon each other and
		towards his partners.	relationship. They were at home using drugs	of 10 mths SIO (conc).	cum upon new sentence for ct 2
			and Smith left the house armed with a	,	(ind). All other sentences conc.
		Emotional trauma associated	hammer and in an agitated state. He returned	TES 2 yrs 2 mths imp.	,
		with the death of his father.	with the hammer and argued with the victim.		TES 4 yrs 8 mths imp. EFP.
			He threatened to hit her with the hammer.	EFP.	
		History of methyl use; affected	The victim turned her back to Smith and he		At [30] The respondent had a
		by drugs at time offending.	violently hit her head with the hammer,	The sentencing judge	history of domestic violence
			exposing her skull.	noted the offences	towards his partners, and this
		Offending occurred while		reflected an escalation in	underscored the importance of
		appellant was subject to an SIO	<u>Ct 2</u>	his offending behaviour,	personal deterrence as a
		of 10 months imp, susp 12 mths.	Smith struck the victim again as she tried to	but that Smith had not	sentencing factor.
			flee, hitting and fracturing her hand.	been before the courts	
				from 2005-2010.	At [39] the respondent's
			Ct 3		offending was serious The
			Police found Smith walking down the street.	Remorseful; claimed no	respondent armed himself with a
	1			,	1

As the officer got out of his patrol car and approached Smith, Smith ran to the other side of the car, got into the driver's seat and attempted to drive away.

## Cts 4-5 and ch3

The officer tried to stop Smith and was struck on the arm by the car's door. They wrestled for control of the car. Smith pulled out a knife and the officer attempted to knock it from his hand. The officer then attempted to taser Smith.

A police dog grabbed Smith by his leg and pulled him from the car. Smith struck the dog on the head with the hammer and hit the officer's arm with the hammer. He attempted to hit the dog again, but the officer tasered him and he fell to the ground. Continuing to fight the officer, still armed with the hammer, he was tasered a third time. The officer kicked the hammer from Smith's hand and restrained him until assistance arrived.

recollection of actions due to drug intoxication.

Psychological report indicated developing insight into his behaviour and reasons for it.

High risk of re-offending if illicit drug use continues.

... weapon capable of inflicting serious harm, and his attacked upon the victim was unprovoked... The respondent's conduct in striking the victim ... had the potential to cause her extremely serious injury. He was physically stronger and more powerful than her.

At [95] ... it was significant that the injury in fact sustained [for ct 2] was a defensive wound caused by an attempt to strike the victim with a hammer, in circumstances where the respondent had just struck her with the hammer to the back of her head. The use of the hammer in that manner was likely to permanently injure or even kill the victim. The level of violence employed against the victim was high. The infliction of the injury formed part of a sustained attack against the victim which ceased only after she was able to take refuge at the neighbour's premises. The victim had not provoked the attack, and posed no threat to the respondent.

				CCITA	At [100] The respondent acknowledged that he had perpetrated domestic violence on a regular basis.
				Rios	At [104] Any AOBH to a police officer performing his or her important community function is a serious matter. That is particularly so where weapons are involved. The respondent produced a knife, which he did not have the opportunity of using, and employed a claw hammer to inflict bodily
					injury
10.	Gowan v The	Gowan	Ct 1: Agg burg.	Ct 1: 4 yrs imp.	Dismissed.
	State of Western Australia	33 yrs at time offending.	Ct 2: GBH.	Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp	Amnaela aanaamad tatality
	Australia	Convicted after trial.	Ct 3: Criminal damage. Ct 4: Agg burg.	(conc). Ct 3: 12 mths imp (cum).	Appeals concerned totality.
	[2016] WASCA	Convicted after trial.	Ct 4. Agg burg. Ct 5: GBH.	Ct 4: 4 yrs imp (cum).	At [52] the TES imposed by
	98	No criminal history.	Cts 1-3	Ct 5: 2 yrs imp (conc).	the sentencing judge were high the appellants' personal
	Delivered 15/06/2016	Good employment record.	The victim owed Gowan money for drugs.	TES 9 yrs imp each.	circumstances were unusually favourable for this type of
	10,00,2010	History of drug use.	Gowan and Burnside forcibly entered the victim's home. Gowan was armed with an	The sentencing judge characterised the offences	offending. However, I do not consider that the sentences were
		Burnside	axe handle and Burnside with a wheelbarrow	as 'most serious', noting	unreasonable or plainly unjust.
		30 yrs at time offending.	handle. They repeatedly struck the victim	that they were planned	and a service of praining unjust.
			about the head, face, upper arms and legs.	and involved persistent	At [53] the appellants'
		Convicted after trial.	Burnside fractured the victim's leg and	assaults upon the victims	offending was very serious. It
			damaged some of his property.	and the use of weapons.	involved two home invasions in

Minor prior criminal history. company that were planned, The sentencing judge Cts 4-5 Father of twin daughters and Gowan and Burnside then went to an address characterised the injuries two step-children from a long in search of a person whom they did not as 'not as serious as know, and who they believed was associated frequently encountered' standing relationship. with the first victim. for GBH. Good employment record. Despite being told by the second victim that The sentencing judge they had the wrong house they forced their found the injuries to be way in. They assaulted the second victim by inflicted by 'deliberate repeated violence with repeatedly punching him and striking him with wooden implements. The victim use of weapons in suffered an injury to the left eye that required seeking. sustained violent attacks upon the victims". The surgery. attacks being out of At [54] The offences that revenge and a demand for money.

undertaken at night and were for the purpose of enforcing a debt. The appellants were armed with weapons. They repeatedly assaulted their victims and the violence involved was significant and gratuitous. They persisted in attacking the victim of cts 4 and 5 even after they must have realised he was not the person that they had been

constituted cts 1-3 occurred at a different time and place to the offences alleged by cts 4 and 5. Although the home invasions occurred on the same night, they did not form part of a single criminal episode... it was appropriate to accumulate the sentences for each home invasion and for the criminal damage offence. The home invasion offences were separate offences and the criminal damage offence involved separate acts and damage of a different kind to the assaults that occurred during the first home

					invasion.
9.	Winmar v The	Winmar	Ct 1: Criminal damage.	Winmar	Dismissed – on papers.
	State of Western	$\overline{31}$ yrs at time offending.	Ct 2: GBH.	Ct 1: \$1000 fine.	
	Australia	33 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 3: GBH.	Ct 2: 1 yr 3 mths imp	Appeals concerned the length of
				(cum).	sentence for ct 3 and totality
	[2016] WASCA	Convicted after trial.	There was long-standing animosity between	Ct 3: 3 yrs 3 mths imp	principle.
	62		Winmar and Lawrence and the victim and his	(cum).	
		Prior criminal history, including	cousin.		At [75] The fear engendered by
	Delivered	violent offences.	. • . (	TES 4 yrs 6 mths imp.	the appellants was sufficiently
	15/04/2016		The victim and his cousin were significantly		powerful to cause Mr Corbett to
		As a teenager, witnessed	under the influence of alcohol. To antagonise	EFP.	flee despite the injury to his leg.
		domestic violence and alcohol	Winmar's and Lawrence's family, they did a		The trial judge found that when
		abuse in extended family.	burnout in front of their home.	<u>Lawrence</u>	Mr Corbett made the emergency
				Ct 1: \$1000 fine.	000 telephone call he was
		Left school in yr 10; occupied	Winmar and Lawrence and two males armed	Ct 2: 9 mths imp (cum).	genuinely terrified His
		with studies, training and	themselves and ran towards the victim and	Ct 3: 3 yrs 3 mths imp	Honour was satisfied beyond
		employment.	his cousin. They struck the victim's vehicle,	(cum).	reasonable doubt that each of the
			causing extensive damage to the exterior		appellants, in striking Mr
		Close relationship with family.	panels, the lights and windscreen (ct 1).	TES 4 yrs imp.	Corbett, intended to cause him
					significant physical harm.
		Two-yr-old daughter from	The victim's cousin escaped. Winmar and	EFP.	
		previous relationship.	Lawrence and the two males pursued the		At [76] The injury to Mr
			victim with an intention of inflicting physical	Trial judge assessed	Corbett's finger was materially
		History of alcohol abuse; hardly	harm on him. Winmar threw an iron bar at	Winmar's offending for ct	less serious than the injuries
		consumed prior to offending.	him, which struck his leg causing a	2 as "falling somewhere	suffered by victims in numerous
			significant open wound and fracture (ct 2).	between the lower and the	prior cases of unlawfully doing
		<u>Lawrence</u>		middle of the range of	GBH. However, that fact was
		23 yrs at time offending.	The victim ran away with significant pain	seriousness of its type"	decisively outweighed by the
		25 yrs at time sentencing.	and difficulty, and rang 000. Winmar and	and for ct 3 as "falling	serious features of the offending
		Commission de Romanial	Lawrence and the two males chased him	towards the middle of the	in relation to ct 3.
		Convicted after trial.	again in their vehicle and clipped him while	range of seriousness of	A4 [77] Ma Winner all annie a
			he was trying to escape. While he was lying	offences of its type". Trial	At [77] Mr Winmar's prior

GBH 07.12.20 Current as at 07 December 2020

		Prior criminal history, including	in a defenceless position, they and the two	judge made the same	criminal record was materially
		robbery.	males struck him a number of times with	assessment for Lawrence,	worse than Mr Lawrence's.
		1000019.	their weapons. Lawrence inflicted a blow	but in relation to ct 2,	Nothing in the circumstances
		Positive upbringing.	which struck the victim on the head, causing	Lawrence was	leading up to the offending
		Tostave aportinging.	a laceration to his scalp. He was rendered	"marginally less culpable"	reduced Mr Winmar's or Mr
		De facto relationship; three yr	unconscious for a short period of time. The	than Winmar.	Lawrence's culpability or moral
		old child.	combined assault caused an injury, including	than William.	blameworthiness. Both of the
		old child.	a laceration, to the extensor tendon of the	Winmar and Lawrence at	appellants failed to accept
		Employed as factory hand.	victim's middle finger (ct 3).	some risk of reoffending.	responsibility for the offending.
		Employed as factory fland.	victim s initiale ringer (ct 3).	some fisk of feotiending.	They were at 'some risk' of
		History of alcohol and illicit	The victim also suffered a small stab wound	Trial judge imposed a	reoffending.
		drug use; ceased using when	and some small lacerations.	more lenient sentence on	reoriending.
		child was born.	and some sman facerations.	Lawrence than Winmar to	At [78] Personal deterrence,
		cinia was born.	The victim underwent surgery for his leg and	reflect Lawrence's	general deterrence and the
			finger. Without the surgery, it was probable	culpability for ct 2, his	protection of the public were
			that the victim's leg would have become	age and his less extensive	sentencing factors of
				prior criminal history than	•
			infected and may have needed amputation. If	that of Winmar.	importance.
			the finger injury had not been repaired, the	that of willhar.	A4 [96] C40 2 on 4 2 mone
			likely outcome would have been a high rate		At [86] Cts 2 and 3 were
			of infection and flexion deformity.		separate and distinct offences
					and there was a material interval
					between their commission the
					trial judge reduced, in the
					application of the totality
					principle, the individual
					sentences he would otherwise
_					have imposed for ct 2.
8.	Gurgone v The	48 yrs at time sentencing.	1 x GBH.	4 yrs 3 mths imp.	Dismissed – on papers.
	State of Western				
	Australia	Convicted after trial.	Gurgone lived with the victim. The victim	EFP.	Appellant challenged the length
			was Gurgone's step-brother. Their		of sentence.
	[2016] WASCA 9	Minor prior criminal history.	relationship was strained.	VRO and order for	

 <u> </u>	<u>,                                      </u>	<u>,                                      </u>		~
			forfeiture of weapons.	At [40] The appellant inflicted
Delivered	Positive employment record.	Gurgone and victim were intoxicated. After a	65	life-threatening injuries upon the
13/01/2016		heated argument Gurgone lost his temper and	No remorse; low risk of	victim.
	No significant mental health	punched the victim twice. They struggled and	reoffending.	
	issues.	the victim bit Gurgone. To terrorise the	(3)	At [41] The act which caused
		victim Gurgone pointed a rifle at him, before	The sentencing judge	the injury was a persistent and
	Acted as a good Samaritan in	walking away.	categorised the victim's	relentless attack by the appellant
	relation to the victim.		injuries as "falling in the	with two potentially lethal
		Gurgone came back in an angry state armed	middle of the range of	weapons. His Honour was right
		with a large hunting knife and a machete.	seriousness for injuries	in characterising the appellant's
		The victim raised his hands to defend	amounting to GBH" and	actions as falling towards the
		himself. Gurgone struck the victim with both	the appellant's actions as	high end of the range of
		weapons a number of times to his hands and	falling "towards the	seriousness of acts causing
		head. As the victim scrambled for the gate,	higher end of the range of	GBH.
		Gurgone again struck the victim with the	seriousness of acts	
		knife and machete. One connected with the	causing GBH".	At [42] there was no
		victims back and penetrated through his chest		reasonable justification or
		cavity.	The seriousness of the	explanation for the violence
		x O'	appellant's conduct was	perpetrated upon the victim.
		When police arrived Gurgone was abusive.	aggravated by the fact that	
			the most serious injuries	At [43] The overall
		The victim suffered serious injury; he had a	were inflicted when the	circumstances of the offence fell
		12cm long laceration to his back; his left lung	victim was trying to	very much between the middle
		was punctured and collapsed. He was	escape; the victim was at	and higher range of seriousness
		struggling to breathe.	that point, "completely	of offences of this type.
		L	and utterly vulnerable and	
		The victim underwent surgery. He would	unable to offer any form	
		have died without that surgery.	of defence".	
	X			
	( )		The sentencing judge	
			assessed the offence "as	
			being between the middle	

	1		T		
				and the higher range of	
				seriousness of offences of	
				this type".	
7.	The State of	25 yrs at time offending.	1 x Agg GBH.	18 mths imp, susp on	Allowed.
	Western	27 yrs at time sentencing.		conditions 18 mths.	
	Australia v		The victim was 62 yrs old. Ellement was in a		Appeal only challenged
	Ellement	Convicted after PG (10%	relationship with the victim's daughter Ms D.	Permanent VRO.	suspension, not the leniency of
		discount).	Ms D had a drug problem and was unable to		the length of the term.
	[2016] WASCA 1		care for her son, A, on a full-time bases. With	The sentencing judge said	-
		Minor prior criminal history.	Ms D's consent, A was in the unofficial	the decision to susp was	Re-sentenced to 18 mths
	Delivered		custody of the victim.	made "by the narrowest of	immediate imp. EFP.
	06/01/2016	Left school in yr 10; steady		a hair's margin".	_
		employment history.	On the afternoon of the offence, against the		At [1] It is not uncommon for
			victim's wishes, Ms D and Ellement drove to	Remorse; positive steps	grandparents to step in and take
		Long history of alcohol and	the victim's home to collect A. Ellement was	towards rehabilitation;	physical custody and care of
		illicit drug abuse; used cannabis	in an angry and a hostile mood. He swore at	some allowance for youth.	grandchildren at risk. The carers
		regularly at time offending.	the victim and rushed towards her. The		need to be protected from
			victim gestured to Ellement to stop coming		aggression directed at them in
		At time offending, in a	towards her.		connection with the performance
		dysfunctional relationship with			of their care function. The
		Ms Doonan; relationship ceased	Ellement forcefully grabbed each of the		physical violence inflicted by
		after the offence.	victim's upper arms and propelled her		the appellant on the victim
			backwards with sufficient force that her feet		occurred in this context. The
		Supportive parents.	left the floor. She fell to the floor, landing on		consequences for the victim
			her backside and right elbow. The impact		have been life-changing.
		Lifestyle changed since offence;	caused a fracture of the femur. The following		Deterrence is the weightiest
		has a young baby with new	day, the victim underwent a total hip		sentencing consideration.
		partner; ceased using illicit	replacement.		
		drugs.			At [44] This case involved an
					entirely unprovoked attack upon
		Sent letter of apology to victim.			a vulnerable victim in her own
					home. The respondent went

		Sixector of Palibility	Prosective and the second seco	there in an angry and aggressive mood. He was much younger and stronger than the victim. He swore at her, plainly to intimidate her. The victim then gestured to the respondent to stop coming towards her. Not only did he ignore her, he grabbed the victim firmly by the upper arms and forcefully propelled her backwards so that her feet left the ground. The backwards motion was more than a mere shove or a push. It was, in effect, a throw.  Unsurprisingly, the victim fell heavily to the floor. While the respondent did not intend to cause GBH to the victim, throwing a 62-year-old person heavily to the floor runs the obvious risk of serious physical injury. That risk eventuated here.  At [45] The injury the victim suffered was severe by any measure. The effects have had a pervasive and negative impact upon her life and will continue to do so in the future.
--	--	------------------------	--	---

				Riosecia	At [46] A seriously aggravating aspect of the respondent's offending is that he violently assaulted the victim at the time she was, in effect, discharging parental responsibilities towards A and acting to prevent him from being taken away by persons who were unable to properly care for him. The use of violence with the aim of removing a child in such circumstances evinces serious criminality.  At [51]a sentence of 18mths imp could be said to be lenient.
6.	Peake v The State	21 yrs at time offending.	1 x GBH.	3 yrs imp.	Dismissed – on papers.
	of Western	22 yrs at time sentencing.	xO'	EED	
	Australia	Convicted after trial.	There was a negative exchange between the victim's group and Peake's group. The	EFP.	Appeal concerned backdating and length of sentence.
	[2015] WASCA	Convicted after that.	victim's group and Feake's group. The victim was not involved in the conversation	The sentencing judge	and length of sentence.
	239	Minor prior criminal history;	and was standing nearby.	found that the appellant	At [63] He attacked, without
		including AOBH.		showed no remorse or	warning, a man who was
	Delivered		Peake, without warning and without	concern for victim on the	significantly shorter and smaller
	27/11/2015	Supportive parents.	provocation, forcefully struck the victim to	night of offending.	in stature. The blow was very
		Left school at age 14:	the head with a clenched fist. The victim fell,	The contensine index	forceful. The victim suffered an
		Left school at age 14; overweight and bullied; talented	unprotected, into a metal meter box. He suffered a significant scalp laceration and	The sentencing judge found that the appellant	injury which required his admission to hospital, surgery
		golfer until shoulder injury.	substantial bleeding.	eventually understood the	and other medical treatment. His
		goner until shoulder injury.	Saccinition of country.	substantial effect his	injuries were of such a nature as
		While on bail for this offence,	Peake left the scene immediately and did not	criminal conduct has had	to endanger or be likely to

		11 , 1 1 13	1	1 1 1 1	1 1'6 41/1 1
		appellant was charged with	inquire about the victim's welfare or	upon the victim; some	endanger life. Although,
		another offence allegedly	endeavour to obtain assistance for him.	credit given in the	fortunately, the victim does not
		committed while he was on bail.		sentencing process for	have any permanent physical
				remorse, but pointed out	disability, he does have a
				that his late remorse	significant permanent scar on
				stemmed, at least in part,	his head. Also, he has suffered
				from a realisation of the	ongoing psychological trauma.
				consequences that his	
			• (	actions would have for	
				him.	
				The sentencing judge	
				found the offending to be	
				"at the mid-range of	
			X Y	seriousness of offences of	
				this kind".	
5.	Hunter-Aragu v	20 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Criminal damage.	Ct 1: 6 mths imp (conc).	Dismissed.
	The State of		Ct 2: Unlawful wounding.	Ct 2: 6 mths imp.	
	Western	Convicted after PG (15%	Ct 3: Agg robbery.	Ct 3: 2 yrs 6 mths imp	At [55]the offence of
	Australia	discount).	Ct 4: GBH.	(cum).	unlawfully doing GBH against
				Ct 4: 4 yrs 3 mths imp	Mr Gabriel was extremely
	[2015] WASCA	Irrelevant prior criminal history.	Hunter-Aragu behaved aggressively outside a	(cum).	seriousthe offence of robbery
	80		nightclub. He demanded money and mobile		against Mr Gabriel was
		Supportive family.	phones from other people.	TES 7 yrs 3 mths imp.	serious the individual
	Delivered				sentence for robbery was high
	29/04/2015		Hunter-Aragu became involved in a physical	EFP.	but nevertheless within the
			altercation with Lyle. Lyle went to a taxi and		appropriate sentencing range.
			sat in the front passenger seat. Hunter-Aragu	It was an extremely	
		X	threw a rock at the taxi, smashing the	serious example of	
			window (ct 1) and wounding Lyle's arm (ct	gratuitous violence.	
			2).		
				Serious permanent	

_	1				
			Hunter-Aragu then sought to confront	consequences for Gabriel;	
			Gabriel. Not wanting a confrontation Gabriel	impacted seriously on	
			raised his hands and backed away. Hunter-	Gabriel's partner.	
			Aragu pursued him. When he fell to the	1,00	
			ground Hunter-Aragu kicked him in the chest	Remorse; motivated to	
			and stomped on his head, rendering him	rehabilitate.	
			unconscious and causing a serious brain	Tendomitate.	
			injury. Hunter-Aragu dragged Gabriel about		
			15 metres, robbed him of his mobile phone	· *	
			and \$100 cash and abandoned him. Gabriel		
				Y	
			was found a few hours later, still		
			unconscious.		
			Offending caused devastating adverse		
			consequences for Gabriel, including		
			problems walking, talking and poor vision		
			and balance.		
4.	The State of	23 yrs at time offending.	1 x GBH.	2 yrs 6 mths imp.	Allowed.
	Western		K () Y		
	Australia v	Convicted after trial.	The victim was at a BP service station with	EFP.	Re-sentenced to 4 yrs 3 mths
	Ghilardi		his two daughters waiting for a taxi. He had		imp.
		Criminal history including	consumed a reasonable amount of alcohol.	Mitigation afforded by	
	[2015] WASCA	disorderly conduct, AOBH,		provocation was limited.	EFP.
	61	stealing, agg burg, burg, being	Ghilardi arrived at BP in a car with two		
		armed or pretending to be armed	friends. He was affected by alcohol to some	May not have committed	At [17] His Honour assessed the
	Delivered	and making threats to destroy	extent. He spent a short period of time sitting	offence without the	offence 'as being around the
	23/03/2015	property.	in the car eyeing off the victim's daughters	influence of alcohol;	middle of the range of
1	-		and talking about them.	genuinely sorry for	seriousness of offences of its
		Unstable childhood; left school	<i>y</i>	injuries; did not fully	type'.
		in year 9; constant employment.	As Ghilardi's friend started to drive the car	accept responsibility for	3,62
		in jeur y, constant emproyment.	out of BP, one of the three occupants yelled a	conduct; risk of	At [45] It was, on any view, an
		Young daughter in the care of	derogatory remark to the victim's daughters.	reoffending no more than	extremely violent act and,
		1 oung daughter in the care of	derogatory remark to the victim 5 daughters.	reoriending no more than	caucinery violent act and,

		former partner.	The victim heard the remark and yelled	moderate.	although the respondent did not
		T	angrily towards the car. The driver stopped		use a weapon, in a very real
		Majority of offending behaviour	the car and Ghilardi got out to teach the		sense he used his fist with the
		alcohol related.	victim a lesson.		same effect.
			The victim and Ghilardi shouted abuse at	~~	At [49]there is no tariff for
			each other and adopted fighting stances.		GBH.
			Ghilardi threw the first punch. During the		
			altercation the victim threw one or two		At [55]the offence involved a
			punches at Ghilardi; they did no harm or		high level of criminality.
			damage. During the altercation Ghilardi		
			delivered a full-blooded punch to the front of		
			the victim's head causing a significant		
			fracture in the victim's skull. The victim		
			became immediately unconscious. He fell		
			backwards and the back of his head hit the		
			road with considerable force.		
			The victim did not pose any real threat to		
			Ghilardi before or during the altercation.		
			The victim suffered immediate life-		
			threatening brain injuries, long term and		
			possibly permanent physical, cognitive and		
			emotional difficulties which impact on his		
		A - 8	everyday life and the life of his close family		
	TT (7)	54	members.	1 6 1 '	D: : 1
3.	Hansen v The	54 yrs at time sentencing.	1 x Agg AOBH.	1 yr 6 mths imp.	Dismissed – on papers.
	State of Western	Consider to the floor wints	1 x Agg GBH.	4 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	A4 [24] The effection 1
	Australia	Convicted after trial.	The sisting (Lea) seed in a familia at 1	TEC Commission	At [24] The offences were each
	[2014] WA CCA	Tana siminal (Common of	The victim (Lee) was in a family and	TES 6 yrs imp.	serious examples of their type.
	[2014] WASCA	Long criminal history; mostly	domestic relationship with Hansen. She had		Each was born out of anger and

	229	traffic offences; agg AOBH and	previously been in a relationship with the	EFP.	was brutal, sustained and
		common assault.	victim (Hill). The victims had a child who	6.5	completely without justification.
	Delivered		was in Lee's care. Lee was pregnant with	Denied responsibility; no	On both occasions, the victim
	11/12/2014	Good upbringing; completed	Hansen's child.	victim empathy or	was defenceless.
		year 10; regular employment.		remorse.	
			The victims and their two children were		
		Indigenous; has standing and	walking along the street. Hansen followed	The sentencing judge	
		respect amongst indigenous	them in his vehicle. He stopped and alighted	characterised agg AOBH	
		people in the Bunbury area.	from the vehicle carrying a wooden	as 'in the mid-range of	
			implement. He struck Hill with the stick,	offences of this kind'; agg	
		Father of seven children; four of	seven or eight times, to the ribs, kidney and	GBH as 'in the mid to	
		whom are adults.	elbow. Hill suffered a large lump-type bruise	upper range of	
			to the elbow, a fractured ulna bone, bruising	seriousness'.	
		Hereditary heart condition and	and a laceration and bleeding in and around		
		hypertension.	the kidney.	Moderate risk of re-	
				offending.	
			A short time later Lee miscarried and Lee		
			went and stayed with a friend.		
			k () <sup>y</sup>		
			About 20 days later Lee and Hansen were		
			drinking together then returned to the		
			friend's house. Hansen asked Lee for sex,		
			but she refused. Hansen became angry and		
			punched her seven to ten times to her		
			face with a closed fist. Lee was taken to		
			hospital and airlifted to RPH where she		
			underwent surgery to repair a fractured		
	Variable The	55 years at times contains	eye socket.	Ct 1: 2 (2 2)	Diamissed on nones
2.	Knight v The	55 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Agg burg (home invasion).	Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc).	Dismissed – on papers.
	State of Western	Convicted oftential	Ct 2: GBH.	Ct 2: 2 6th i	
	Australia	Convicted after trial.	Ct 3: AOBH.	Ct 2: 3 yrs 6 mth imp.	

	[2014] WASCA 217 Delivered 21/11/2014	Criminal history; including firearms, traffic, drug sales and possession charges.  Father of four children.  Constant work record.  History of cannabis and methyl use.  Knight's son convicted of agg burg; sentenced to 2 yrs 4 mths imp, susp 2 yrs.	As a result of an earlier incident involving one of Knight's sons, Knight and three others drove to the victim's house to seek revenge. Three of the four men were armed. Knight picked up a metal weights bar from the front porch and all offenders then forced their way into the house. The victim and two of his friends were set upon.  Knight started striking the victim with the metal bar before escaping outside. Outside the victim was restrained by Knight's son. Knight then struck the victim again. He also struck a second victim at least twice with the metal bar to the leg.  The victim suffered a pneumothorax, bruising to his ankle and shin and a laceration to his knee. If not for medical assistance and treatment, the pneumothorax was likely to have endangered his life.  The second victim sustained a fractured ankle and bad bruising and swelling on his thigh.	Ct 3: 18 mths imp (cum).  TES 5 yrs imp.  EFP.  No remorse.  Principal offender.  The sentencing judge described attack as 'a violent and senseless attack' born out of anger from an earlier incident; also found attack was a premeditated and planned 'act of retribution'.	
1.	Spence v The State of Western Australia	38 yrs at time sentencing.  Convicted after trial.	Ct 1: GBH. Ct 2: Att to pervert the course of justice.	Ct 1: 3 yrs 6 mths imp. Ct 2: 3 yrs imp (cum).	Allowed.  Re-sentenced to 2 yrs imp in ct 2
	[2014] WASCA	Criminal history including one	On the evening of the incident Spence was managing a club. In the early hours of the	TES 6 yrs 6 mths imp.	(cum).
	171	common assault.	morning the victim was at the nightclub with two companions. A brawl ensured when	EFP.	TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.

Delivered	Married now separated; four	security attempted to remove the victim's	Remorse.	At [52] The offending was of
05/09/2014	children.	companions from the club.	remorse.	very short duration and involved
03/03/2011	emarem.	companions from the crae.	Positive steps towards	no planning or sophistication.
	Completed year 12 and Bachelor	The victim approached the brawl and	rehabilitation.	As serious as offences of this
	of Business; partially completed	attempted to pull a bouncer off one of his		nature are, this was a less
	Bachelor of Engineering.	friends. Spence punched the victim to the left	Letter to sentencing judge	serious example of its type.
	Dudition of Linguisting.	side of his head. The punch caused the victim	expressing his regret.	serious enampre or its type.
	Employed as an accountant;	to fall, striking the back left hand side of his		At [53] That sentence did not
	then part owner and manager of	head on the step of a 4WD.		properly reflect the relative
	the nightclub.		$\cup$	seriousness of the offence and
		The victim sustained a severe traumatic brain	Y .	the personal circumstances of
	Positive references.	injury that required urgent surgery.		the appellant.
		Following the incident Spence sought to		
		deflect the police investigation by arranging		
		for the security cameras to be switched,		
		concealing his role in the assault and		
		advising employees not to speak to police.		
Transitional Provisions Repealed (14/01/2009)				
Transitional Provisions Enacted (31/08/2003)				
	. 0	7		
				1
Maximum penalty increased from 7 yrs to 10 yrs – effective 3/08/1998				
	0,7			