

From 1 January 2014

Transitional Sentencing Provisions: Each of the two tables is divided into thirds based on the three relevant periods of Sentencing Provisions:

- Post-transitional provisions period
- Transitional provisions period
- Pre-transitional provisions period

These periods are separated by a row which shows when the transitional provisions were enacted, and another showing when they were repealed.

Glossary:

AOBH assault occasioning bodily harm

att attempted
circ circumstances
conc concurrent
cum cumulative
ct count

DDOGBH dangerous driving occasioning grievous bodily harm

disq disqualification
EFP eligible for parole
imp imprisonment
PG plea guilty

PSR pre-sentence report

susp suspended

TES total effective sentence VRO violence restraining order VROI video record of interview

No	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal
11.	Pomana v The	44 yrs at time offending.	1 x Manslaughter.	9 yrs imp.	Dismissed.
	State of Western	47 yrs at time sentencing.			
	Australia		Pomana and the co-offender were at a hotel.	EFP.	Appeal concerned parity
		Convicted after trial.	They were drinking alcohol together and by		principle and length of
	[2020] WASCA		closing time were both drunk.	Co-offender	sentence.
	204	Criminal history NSW;		7 yrs 6 mths imp.	
		conviction for common assault;	The victim was also a patron at the hotel.	1	At [45] In our opinion, it
	Delivered	otherwise no relevant criminal		EFP.	was open to his Honour to
	04/12/2020	history or entrenched history of	Pomana and another male had a verbal		conclude, generally for the
		violence.	confrontation. In the hotel's carpark Pomana	The trial judge found the	reasons he gave, that the
			repeatedly att to approach the male. The co-	appellant and the co-	appellant's culpability was
		Born in Tonga; lived NZ from	offender blocked his path and tried to persuade	accused were equally	materially greater than [the
		aged 17 yrs.	him not to pursue the matter. Pomana refused	responsible at law for	co-offender's] and that,
			to let the matter go and tried to punch the male.	causing the victim's	consequently, a higher
		One of six children; stable,	The male responded by removing his shirt in	death, but held the	sentence should be imposed
		loving and disciplined	preparation for a fight and verbally abusing	appellant's moral	on the appellant.
		upbringing; parents still married.	Pomana.	culpability was greater	
				than that of his co-	At [46] The appellant
		Educated university level in NZ;	The situation appeared to abate, however a	offender.	instigated the violence
		obtained bachelor and master's	short time later there was a further		The appellant was persistent
		degrees.	confrontation. Patrons outside the hotel tried to	The trial judge found the	in wanting to fight despite
			break it up. Pomana responded by punching a	offending was a serious	the efforts of [the co-
		Married aged 21 yrs; 4 children;	second male to the jaw, causing him to fall and	case of its kind; the	offender] and others to stop
		current wife second marriage;	strike his head, rendering him unconscious for	appellant's actions were	him The appellant made a
		significant family and	some minutes.	'brutal and cowardly'; he	deliberate decision to kick
		community support.		was the aggressor	[the victim] with great force
		X	The co-offender then punched the first male to	responsible for starting	to the head twice while [he]
		Stable employment history.	the head, causing him to fall to the ground and	the fight; he persisted in	lay defenceless on the
			lose consciousness for some minutes.	escalating the conflict; he	ground. The appellant's
		History of alcohol abuse.		deliberately kicked the	actions involved a substantial
			The victim, who was in the vicinity, went to	victim with significant	escalation in the extent of the
			assist. A friend intervened and moved the	force while he was lying	violence which had already

	43 Delivered	Minor criminal history; cannabis use and traffic record.	passengers, when he saw the deceased, aged 15 yrs, riding his trail bike on the same road.	MDL disq to be served conc.	Appellant challenged length of sentence (ct 1) and totality
	[2019] WASCA	Convicted after PG (20% discount).	Francis was driving a motor vehicle, with two	Ct 3: 18 mths imp (conc). MDL disq 3 yrs.	individual sentences and totality principle.
	Australia	X	Ct 3: Failing report an incident.	MDL disq 3 yrs.	State appeal challenged
	State of Western	26 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Failing to stop and render assistance.	Ct 2: 18 mths imp (cum).	
10.	Francis v The	24 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Manslaughter.	Ct 1: 5 yrs 6 mths imp.	Dismissed.
			,) ,		plainly unjust.
					was not unreasonable or
			. 40	of reoffending.	relevant sentencing factors, the sentence of 9 yrs' imp
				abuse of alcohol; low risk	circumstances and all
			XO	counselling to address his	relevant facts and
				family; undertaken	after taking into account all
			, O	suffered by the victim's	max penalty (life imp), and
				however remorseful and empathetic for loss	when the sentence is viewed from the perspective of the
			bleeding on his brain and died.	offending conduct;	manifestly excessive
			As a result of the attack the victim suffered	responsibility for his	sentence of imp was not
			carpain and retained to 1 smaller should	Not accepting of	At [71] In our opinion, the
			carpark and returned to Pomana's home.	Taniny.	co-offender j struck film.
			As first aid was being given to the victim Pomana and the co-offender left the hotel	Severe impact on victim's family.	[the victim] was when [the co-offender] struck him.
				y	appellant kicked him than
			twice in the head with great force.	victim's life.	vulnerable when the
			victim, who was unconscious and not moving,	callous disregard for the	[the victim] was more
			ground. Within moments, Pomana kicked the	and demonstrated a	offender's] conduct. Also,
			causing him to go limp and collapse to the ground. It is likely he struck his head on the	already injured; his actions were gratuitous	degree of violence towards [the victim] than [the co-
			victim to the head with considerable force,	knowledge the victim was	conduct involved a greater
			this happened the co-offender punched the	vulnerable and with the	victim] The appellant's
			victim backwards, away from the conflict. As	on the ground and	been inflicted on [the

06/03/2019

(Appeal by both Offender and State) MDL disq 9 mths for offence of driving whilst suspended at time offending.

Raised in loving, supportive and hardworking family; happy upbringing; some hardships mainly in the form of bullying.

Supportive ex-partner; shared custody of child; aged 4 yrs at time sentencing; devoted father.

Good work history.

No history of illicit substance use.

Francis mistakenly believed the bike to be one stolen from him several months earlier.

With the intention of stopping the deceased and retrieving the bike Francis pursued the deceased at speed, exceeding the 50 km/h speed limit for the area while he did so. The deceased, fearful at being chased for no reason, sped up in an attempt to get away.

Still being pursued by Francis, the deceased rode through a four-way intersection at speed against a 'give way' sign. The deceased's bike crashed with considerable force into another vehicle driving through the intersection. He was thrown from the bike and suffered critical injuries. He died the following day.

Francis drove up to the intersection and, on seeing the bike did not belong to him, continued through the intersection and drove home. He failed to stop to render assistance and did not report the incident to police.

TES 7 yrs imp.

EFP.

The sentencing judge found the manslaughter offence was aggravated by Francis travelling well in excess of the 50 km/h speed limit, at a speed of 75 km/h; in a built-up residential area with a risk to other road users; he gave chase in a car that he knew had electrical and mechanical faults; he put his passengers at risk; the offending involved vigilante behaviour and he was driving when he was not authorised to do so.

The sentencing judge found Francis' culpability as being 'between the middle and higher end of the range of seriousness for offences of manslaughter, when that offence is committed with a motor vehicle'.

principle.

At [58] – [72] Discussion on comparative cases.

At [75] Mr Francis made the reckless decision to pursue a person who he thought was riding his stolen trail bike. That act of vigilantism was directed at an innocent 15-yrold boy ... riding his own trail bike. [His] act of intimidation resulted in the tragic death of the deceased, with a devasting effect on his family. The offending was significantly aggravated by the fact that [he] was driving at excessive speed, in a builtup area, while his licence was suspended.

At [76] ... the fact that Mr Francis was prepared to chase the deceased in a vehicle with known defects elevates the level of recklessness involved in his conduct.

At [77] ... The sentencing judge accepted that Mr

Francis did not intend to The sentencing judge knock down the trail bike, found the offence of and failed to appreciate the failure to stop was danger created by his driving aggravated by Francis and pursuit of the deceased. being responsible for the ... [he] did not drive in a injuries caused to the manner which made a serious deceased: he was aware collision inevitable or almost both vehicles had been inevitable. He did not strike badly damaged and that or come into contact with the two persons were trail bike, and did not use his potentially injured; the vehicle as a weapon intended deceased critically; his to cause harm to persons or actions made his two property. However ... [he] intended to place the passengers complicit in his failure to stop and deceased under pressure so that he would stop and [he] render assistance; he did not reconsider and return could retrieve what he to the scene: instead he thought was his trail bike. continued to conceal his That intentional act of intimidation had the effect of involvement until the police came to his home. placing the deceased in danger and causing him to Remorseful; insight into travel through the the impact of his intersection without giving offending; cooperative way, resulting in his tragic with police. death. Furthermore, it must also be borne in mind, ... Devastating impact on that [he] had two passengers deceased's family. in the car, who were put at risk by [him] engaging in the dangerous chase.

9. TDO v The State of Western Australia Convicted after PG (15% discount). Prior criminal history; including 502/08/2018 Delivered 02/08/2018 Delivered 03/08/2018 Delivered 04/08/2018 Delivered 05/08/2018 Delivered	sent case us example of and assist enged length
At [94] The presinvolves a serior a failing to stop offence 9. TDO v The State of Western Australia Convicted after PG (15% discount). Prior criminal history; including Delivered 02/08/2018 Prior criminal history; including stealing; fraud; receiving; poss to property; AOBH and drug Aware that JP wanted to confront the victim over an alleged drug debt TDO arranged for JP to meet the victim at CM's home. At [94] The presinvolves a serior a failing to stop offence 7 yrs 4 mths imp (but for undertaking to give evidence, 9 yrs 8 mths imp). Appellant challe of sentence. The sentencing judge found the appellant played a critical role in the offending; the assault was planned and she At [94] The presinvolves a serior a failing to stop offence 7 yrs 4 mths imp (but for undertaking to give evidence, 9 yrs 8 mths imp). Appellant challe of sentence of the offending in the offending; the assault was planned and she At [47] the to	sent case us example of and assist enged length
9. TDO v The State of Western Australia Convicted after PG (15% discount). Prior criminal history; including Delivered 02/08/2018 Property; AOBH and drug Delivered of Western Australia Delivered of Western Australia Aware that JP wanted to confront the victim over an alleged drug debt TDO arranged for JP to meet the victim at CM's home. Involves a serion a failing to stop offence 7 yrs 4 mths imp (but for undertaking to give evidence, 9 yrs 8 mths imp). Appellant challed of sentence. The sentencing judge found the appellant played a critical role in the offending; the assault was planned and she At [47] the terms of the victim at CM's home.	enged length
9. TDO v The State of Western Australia Convicted after PG (15% discount). Prior criminal history; including Delivered 02/08/2018 Property; AOBH and drug Delivered of Western Australia Delivered of Western Australia Aware that JP wanted to confront the victim over an alleged drug debt TDO arranged for JP to meet the victim at CM's home. Involves a serion a failing to stop offence 7 yrs 4 mths imp (but for undertaking to give evidence, 9 yrs 8 mths imp). Appellant challed of sentence. The sentencing judge found the appellant played a critical role in the offending; the assault was planned and she At [47] the terms of the victim at CM's home.	enged length
9. TDO v The State of Western Australia Convicted after PG (15% discount). Prior criminal history; including Delivered 02/08/2018 Property; AOBH and drug Delivered of Western Australia Delivered of Western Australia Australia TDO was in a personal relationship with the co-offender CM. She was also friends with the victim. TDO was in a personal relationship with the co-offender CM. She was also friends with the victim. The sentencing judge found the appellant played a critical role in the offending; the assault was planned and she At [47] the terms of failing to stop offence 7 yrs 4 mths imp (but for undertaking to give evidence, 9 yrs 8 mths imp). The sentencing judge found the appellant played a critical role in the offending; the assault was planned and she At [47] the terms of failing to stop offence	enged length
9. TDO v The State of Western Australia Convicted after PG (15% discount). Prior criminal history; including Delivered 02/08/2018 Prior criminal history; including property; AOBH and drug Offence 1 x Manslaughter. 1 x Manslaughter. TDO was in a personal relationship with the co-offender CM. She was also friends with the co-offenders JP and MH and she knew the victim. The sentencing judge found the appellant played a critical role in the offending; the assault to meet the victim at CM's home. Offence 7 yrs 4 mths imp (but for undertaking to give evidence, 9 yrs 8 mths imp). Appellant challed of sentence. The sentencing judge found the appellant played a critical role in the offending; the assault was planned and she At [47] the terms of the victim at CM's home.	enged length
9. TDO v The State of Western Australia Convicted after PG (15% discount). Prior criminal history; including Delivered 02/08/2018 Delivered 02/08/2018 TDO was in a personal relationship with the co-offender CM. She was also friends with the victim over an alleged drug debt TDO arranged for JP to meet the victim at CM's home. 1 x Manslaughter. 1 x Manslaughter. TDO was in a personal relationship with the co-offender CM. She was also friends with the co-offenders JP and MH and she knew the victim. The sentencing judge found the appellant played a critical role in the offending; the assault was planned and she Allowed. Appellant challed of sentence. The sentencing judge found the appellant played a critical role in the offending; the assault was planned and she At [47] the term of the victim at CM's home.	
of Western Australia 38 at time sentencing. TDO was in a personal relationship with the co-offender CM. She was also friends with the discount). The sentencing judge found the appellant property; AOBH and drug The sentencing judge found the appellant property; AOBH and drug The sentencing judge found the appellant property; AOBH and drug The sentencing judge found the appellant played a critical role in the offending; the assault was planned and she The sentencing judge found the appellant played a critical role in the offending; the assault was planned and she Appellant challe of sentence. The sentencing judge found the appellant played a critical role in the offending; the assault was planned and she At [47] the terms of the victim at CM's home.	
Australia Convicted after PG (15% discount). TDO was in a personal relationship with the co-offender CM. She was also friends with the discount after PG (15% discount). Prior criminal history; including stealing; fraud; receiving; poss stolen or unlawfully obtained property; AOBH and drug TDO was in a personal relationship with the co-offender CM. She was also friends with the co-offender SJP and MH and she knew the victim. The sentencing judge found the appellant played a critical role in the offending; the assault was planned and she Appellant challed of sentence. The sentencing judge found the appellant played a critical role in the offending; the assault was planned and she At [47] the terms of the victim at CM's home.	
Convicted after PG (15% discount). Convicted after PG (15% discount). Co-offender CM. She was also friends with the co-offender SJP and MH and she knew the victim. Co-offender CM. She was also friends with the co-offender SJP and MH and she knew the victim. The sentencing judge found the appellant played a critical role in the offending; the assault to meet the victim at CM's home. EFP. Aware that JP wanted to confront the victim over an alleged drug debt TDO arranged for JP to meet the victim at CM's home. At [47] the terms of the victim of sentence.	
[2018] WASCA discount). Delivered O2/08/2018 Delivered Stolen or unlawfully obtained property; AOBH and drug Delivered O2/08/2018 Delivered Stolen or unlawfully obtained property; AOBH and drug Delivered Stolen or unlawfully obtained property; AOBH and drug Delivered Stolen or unlawfully obtained property; AOBH and drug Co-offenders JP and MH and she knew the victim. The sentencing judge found the appellant played a critical role in the offending; the assault was planned and she At [47] the tellow the victim at CM's home.	
Prior criminal history; including Delivered 02/08/2018 Prior criminal history; including stealing; fraud; receiving; poss stolen or unlawfully obtained property; AOBH and drug victim. Aware that JP wanted to confront the victim over an alleged drug debt TDO arranged for JP to meet the victim at CM's home. The sentencing judge found the appellant played a critical role in the offending; the assault was planned and she At [47] the te	
Delivered o2/08/2018 Prior criminal history; including stealing; fraud; receiving; poss stolen or unlawfully obtained property; AOBH and drug Prior criminal history; including stealing; fraud; receiving; poss over an alleged drug debt TDO arranged for JP to meet the victim at CM's home. found the appellant played a critical role in the offending; the assault was planned and she At [47] the tealing played a critical role in the offending; the assault was planned and she	
Delivered over an alleged drug debt TDO arranged for JP to meet the victim at CM's home. Stealing; fraud; receiving; poss stolen or unlawfully obtained property; AOBH and drug Aware that JP wanted to confront the victim over an alleged drug debt TDO arranged for JP to meet the victim at CM's home. EFP. At [47] the teasure over the victim at CM's home.	5 yrs 8 mths
o2/08/2018 stolen or unlawfully obtained property; AOBH and drug over an alleged drug debt TDO arranged for JP the offending; the assault to meet the victim at CM's home. At [47] the te	
property; AOBH and drug to meet the victim at CM's home. was planned and she At [47] the te	
offending; no previous sentences coordinated the arrived at wa	rm
of imp. Under a false pretext relating to drugs TDO arrangements which consistent with the	.he
collected the victim from a train station and enabled it to take place; sentencing patte	rn revealed
Positive upbringing; good drove him to CM's house. She persuaded the she used deception to by the prior case	es.
relationship with her family. victim to enter the home and did not tell him induce the victim to go to	
JP was in the house waiting for him, knowing the house; she fled the At [48] The app	
Completed yr 12; tertiary it was likely he would be assaulted. home and did nothing to that the confront	
certificates. obtain assistance for the between [JP] an	
When they entered JP approached the victim victim; the assault on the would involve a	n assault
Single; 12 yr old son. with a shotgun. Observing the gun TDO fled victim was prolonged and upon [the victim	
the premises. JP and CM then bashed the brutal; she arranged for significant level	
Unemployed at time offending; victim to death and placed his body in a garden the car to be reported as The appellan	t accepted
previous work for accounting shed. stolen to conceal her that death was a	•
and business enterprises; stable responsibility for the foreseeable cons	reasonably
employment history until 2010; The house was then cleaned by CM and others. offence and the disposing the kind of assault the disposing of the kind of assault the state of th	reasonably sequence of

sporadic work history since 2010 as personal trainer and gymnasium instructor.

Suffered death of boyfriend when aged 21 yrs and stillbirth of second child.

History of illicit drug abuse; using methyl at time offending.

Later that night JP and MH told TDO the victim was dead. She was present when the victim's clothing and rings were burnt.

The following morning TDO noticed the car she had driven to collect the victim was missing. She was told by JP it had been destroyed because the victim had been inside it. She informed the registered owner of the car and told them to report the vehicle stolen to police.

The next day JP and CM removed the victim's body, and with the help of another person, disposed of it in the ocean. His body has never been recovered.

of the victim's body was callous and as a consequence of the events she had put in motion she was 'subsequently complicit in concealing the crime'.

Co-operative with police; prepared to give evidence as a witness at trial of co-offenders.

appellant had in contemplation. ... However, there was no finding that the appellant subjectively foresaw that the assault would be of such a nature as might result in death.

At [49] The appellant was not aware, ... that [JP] would have a firearm. ... There was no evidence that the firearm was used in the assault on [the victim]. ... the extent to which the appellant's failure to assist [the victim], by contacting the police, increased the seriousness of her offending must be evaluated in the whole of the context, including that the appellant feared for her own safety, when [JP] produced the shotgun ... in our opinion, the appellant's failure to contact the police did not, in all of the circumstances, significantly increase the seriousness of her offending.

At [50] Although the appellant's participation in

			inector of Public States	A COSCILLA	the offending involved serious criminality, her culpability in relation to events after she had deceived [the victim] and lured him to [CM's] house was limited. In particular, the appellant did not remain at the house and take part in or witness the assault; she did not have any involvement in moving or disposing of [the victim's] body; she did not participate in cleaning the house; she did not suggest that the car used to transport [the victim] be damaged or destroyed At [51] The degree of seriousness of the appellant's offending did not, in our view, place her offence towards the upper end of the scale of seriousness of offences of this kind.
8.	Brewerton v The State of Western	40 yrs at time offending.	1 x Manslaughter.	5 yrs imp.	Allowed (MDL disq only).
	Australia	Convicted after PG (15%	Due to a medical condition Brewerton was	MDL disq 10 yrs.	Appellant challenged length
	[2017] XVA CCA	discount).	declared by a medical practitioner unfit to drive a motor vehicle. Brewerton was well	EFP.	of sentence and MDL disq.
	[2017] WASCA 191	Prior criminal history; no		EFF.	and concerned error in failing to consider deportation and
	171	relevant driving convictions.	aware of the prohibition.	The sentencing judge	finding plea not entered at
	Delivered	Televant driving convictions.	Brewerton was driving when he had a saizura		
	Delivered	Total and driving convictions.	Brewerton was driving when he had a seizure	found the appellant drove	first reasonable opportunity.

20/10/2017

Born in NZ; eldest of five children.

Non-Australian citizen; permanent resident.

Parents and three siblings still reside NZ; supportive family.

Active member of a Christian church; supportive members.

Educated to yr 12; employed mostly real estate industry.

Medicated for epilepsy; subject to seizures involving an impairment of consciousness, capable of functioning and performing tasks, but unaware of what he is doing. and lost control of his car. At speed he drove towards an intersection, he did not brake or slow down at any stage. His vehicle hit the rear of a stationary taxi, launching it into the air and propelling it through the intersection. After the collision Brewerton's vehicle continued into the intersection, where it crashed into another vehicle.

As a result of the collision the taxi driver suffered multiple injuries from which he died a short time later.

contrary to medical advice; in the knowledge he had previously had an accident when he had a seizure and knowing there was a potential he could lose control of the vehicle.

The sentencing judge assessed the criminality as being mid-range, rather than at the lower end; the appellant's criminality to be judged at the point immediately before he lost control of his vehicle due to the seizure; the speed at which he drove and his failure to brake to avoid the collision were not regarded as aggravating factors.

The sentencing judge found the prospects of the appellant's deportation as a result of the conviction not a relevant sentencing factor.

The sentencing judge considered the disq of the

MDL disq substituted with a disq of 5 yrs.

At [32] The law as to whether the prospect of deportation from Australia is a mitigating factor is settled in this State. This court and its predecessor have consistently held that the prospect of deportation is not a mitigating circumstance.

At [54] ... the first reasonable opportunity to PG to the charge of manslaughter was at the disclosure committal hearing ... By that time the State had provided adequate particulars of its case and the appellant had been given an opportunity to consider them. Plainly, the appellant did not enter or indicate a PG to manslaughter on that occasion. Instead, he offered to PG to dangerous driving occasioning death ... Once the offer was rejected he quickly entered the plea ...

... In all of the circ, it was

At [65] The appellant chose appellant's MDL should be a lengthy period; to to drive contrary to the allow a significant period instruction of his doctor ... over which an assessment he had not been given the allcould be made as to clear to drive. The serious whether he had danger that he posed to other progressed to the point road users if he had a seizure where the risk of him while driving was obvious.... suffering a seizure while driving is so insignificant At [67] ... In such circ, it is as to render him fit to the responsibility of the person not to drive. Failure to drive. abide by that responsibility is Truly remorseful; low risk serious conduct which, in of reoffending. cases such as the present, amounts to serious criminality. At [68] Having regard to the criminality of the appellant's conduct, the need to provide general deterrence and weighing the appellant's favourable personal circ including his PG and having regard to the maximum penalty for manslaughter, we do not regard the sentence ... as manifestly excessive. At [75] ... the period of disq ... was manifestly excessive.

			c Pilolico	TO SECULLY	unreasonable or plainly unjust. It is more than was reasonably required to achieve the sentencing objectives of proper punishment, general deterrence and the protection of the public. Moreover, we do not think that a period of 10 yrs (to commence after he was released from custody) would be required for the appellant's medical advisers to assess the appellant's risk of driving.
7.	Liyanage v The State of Western	36 yrs at time sentencing.	1 x Manslaughter.	4 yrs imp.	Dismissed.
	Australia	Convicted after trial (acquitted of murder).	The deceased and Liyanage were married.	EFP.	Liyanage challenged length and type of sentence.
	[2017] WASCA 112 Delivered	Born in Sri Lanka; arrived in Australia 2011.	The deceased was violent and controlling and he regularly assaulted Liyanage and threatened to harm her family. He forced her to watch pornography (much of which depicted child	The sentencing judge took into account the history of domestic violence and considered the offence	At [285] Striking a person to the head with a heavy metal mallet is highly likely to
	22/06/2017	Supportive family and good support network in the community.	abuse), to participate in his sexual conduct with other women and to perform sexual acts in front of an active web-camera.	was too serious to be suspended. The sentencing judge	cause death or life- threatening injury. The appellant must have appreciated this. The manner
		Medical doctor; employed at a hospital.	At the time of his death the deceased was grooming a 17-yr-old girl, K, to engage in	accepted Liyanage's acted in defence of	in which the deceased was killed made this a serious
		Exemplary character; model prisoner while on remand.	sexual activity with himself and Liyanage, some of which had already occurred.	another, in order to prevent harm to K.	example of the offence of manslaughter.
			During the night Liyanage struck her husband	The sentencing judge	At [286] The deceased's
	Manslaughter 04.12.20	o	Current as at 4 December 2020		

			on the head at least two times with a heavy metal mallet as he lay in bed. In the morning she called '000' and a short time later ambulance officers arrived and found him deceased.	found the deceased was a manipulative and merciless abuser, but it was not a justified killing or a reasonable response to the circumstances or the threat Liyanage faced at that time. Remorseful; acceptance of responsibility; no risk of reoffending.	behaviour towards the appellant and K was abhorrent. However, that behaviour did not justify the appellant killing the deceased and the imposition of a sentence which appropriately recognised the sanctity of human life remained important sentencing considerations. At [288] The seriousness of the offending made it inappropriate to susp the appellant's sentence of imp The sentence imposed was of a significantly lesser term than the sentences usually imposed even in the presence of significant mitigating factors.
6.	Al Jrood v The	22 yrs at time offending.	1 x Manslaughter.	9 yrs imp.	Appeal allowed.
	State of Western Australia	Convicted after trial.	Al Jrood's group of friends and the deceased's group of friends crossed paths. The deceased	EFP.	Al Jrood challenged length of sentence.
	[2016] WASCA	No prior criminal history.	was significantly intoxicated.	Al Jrood's assault was	
	73	Showed remorse and empathy	Members of each group began arguing and Al	unprovoked, unexpected, sudden and forceful.	Re-sentenced to 7 yrs imp. EFP.
	Delivered	for the family of the deceased	Jrood punched the deceased once to the head.	sudden and forceful.	LIT.
	03/05/2016	and accepted responsibility for his offending.	The deceased fell, hitting his on the road.	The offending was impulsive and spur of the	At [29] The trial judge failed to take into account the

		Educated to yr 12; university studies; trained and worked in the security industry; good work ethic. Good physical and mental health. No history of illicit substance use. Prior good character.	Al Jrood walked away from the deceased, leaving him in a non-responsive state. A short time later the deceased showed signs of response and Al Jrood left in his car.	movement and, although the deceased's intoxication made him a vulnerable victim who could not protect himself, Al Jrood was not aware, and did not seek to take advantage of, the deceased's diminished capacity. Al Jrood took no steps to assist the deceased, but the sentencing judge found that the situation would have been chaotic and that imposed sharp limitations on what Al Jrood could have done to assist. Remorseful; minimal risk of reoffending, accepted some responsibility for	appellant's minimal risk of reoffending. At [36] The sentence was reduced for the appellant's youth, prior good character, remorse, victim empathy, acceptance of responsibility and minimal risk of reoffending.
5.	Marshall v The	32 yrs at time sentencing.	1 x Manslaughter.	offending. 7 yrs 6 mths imp.	Dismissed.
3.	State of Western Australia [2015] WASCA 156 Delivered	Convicted after PG. Criminal history, including two convictions of AOBH. Parents separated when aged	Marshall was in his unit with his girlfriend and two friends. He had consumed a moderate amount of alcohol. He heard the noise of an argument between the deceased and two companions and the occupant of the adjoining unit. The deceased was severely intoxicated.	The sentencing judge found that the appellant's neurocognitive impairment played a part in his overreaction to the attack upon him by the	At [11] The Commissioner described the stabbing of the deceased as being a frenzied response motivated by the need for Mr Marshall to defend himself, but
	Manslaughter 04.12.2	o Carlo	Current as at 4 December 2020		

10/00/2015		N/ 1 11 // 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1	. 1 1 1
10/08/2015	six; both parents drug users;	Marshall attempted to calm the situation down.	deceased.	overtaken by anger and
	exposed to drug use and	He returned to his unit when the deceased		frustration.
	violence as a young child.	became aggressive towards him.	Sentencing judge found	
			the appellant genuinely	At [52]-[61] Discussion of
	Completed school to yr 10.	The deceased then approached the front door	remorseful.	comparable cases.
		of Marshall's unit and attempted to open the	AC ()	
	Two significant personal	security door, yelling, 'Do you want to smash?'	Sentencing judge rejected	At [63]while it may be
	relationships; son aged 13 yrs.	Marshall called the police.	the State's submission	observed that the sentence
			that the offending was at	imposed in this case was
	Stable employment from 2005.	The deceased and his companions left and	the higher end of the	towards the upper end of the
		armed themselves with pieces of wood or chair	range of seriousness for	range available to the
	Diffuse brain injury from car	legs. During this time, Marshall left his unit in	the offence of	sentencing judge, having
	accident in 1999; neurocognitive	the erroneous belief that the disturbance had	manslaughter.	regard to the seriousness of
	disorder.	concluded. The deceased and his companions		the offending conduct it
		returned, heading towards Marshall. Seeing	Psychiatrist concluded	cannot be said that the
	Uses illicit substances.	them approach Marshall armed himself with a	moderate risk of	sentence imposed exceeded
	eses men substances.	golf club.	reoffending and	that range or was otherwise
	Serving a term of susp imp at	gon ciuo.	psychologist concluded	unreasonable or unjust.
	time offending.	Marshall and the deceased struck each other	low to moderate risk of	diffeasonable of unjust.
	time orienting.	with the weapons they were holding. Both fell	reoffending.	
		to the ground. The golf club broke and	reoriending.	
		Marshall used the shaft of the club to stab the		
		deceased five times in the back, with		
		considerable force. Two of the wounds were		
		fatal. One penetrated the deceased's right lung		
		and extended through his diaphragm into his		
	100	liver. The second wound extended through the		
	X	deceased's left lung, his heart and to his		
	C. Vy	anterior chest wall, ending just behind his		
		breast plate, which was fractured.		
		Marshall telephoned an ambulance and waited		
		at the scene until the ambulance and police		

			T		<u> </u>
			arrived. When the police arrived, he told them		
			he had caused the injuries.		
			Marshall admitted that he wanted to hurt the		
			deceased enough to cause him to leave, but did		
			not intend to kill him.		
4.	Stagno v The	28 yrs at time offending.	1 x Manslaughter	9 yrs imp (to start 5 yrs	Dismissed – on papers.
	State of Western			after drugs and firearms	1 1
	Australia	Convicted after trial.	Stagno occasionally bought drugs from the	sentence).	At [47] It was necessary to
			victim. A dispute arose about a debt owed by		accumulate the manslaughter
	[2015] WASCA	Criminal history, including	Stagno to the victim. A few days before the	TES 14 yrs imp.	sentence with a substantial
	115	drugs, firearms and traffic	offence, the victim sent Stagno threatening text		part of the drugs and firearms
		offences.	messages. One of them asserted that Stagno's	EFP.	sentence in order to reflect
	Delivered	officiacis.	girlfriend wanted to be in a relationship with	LII.	the extremely serious nature
	05/06/2015	Appellant already serving TES 8	the victim rather than him.	Appellant received some	of the appellant's overall
	03/00/2013	yrs imp for drugs and firearms	the victim rather than inni.	mitigation for offering to	offending and to deter him
			On the data of the offence Ctoons's sinfriend	C	and others. The overall TES
		offences (see Stagno v The	On the date of the offence, Stagno's girlfriend	PG to manslaughter prior	
		State of Western Australia	sent Stagno text messages suggesting she was	to trial.	bears a proper relationship to
		[2013] WASCA 166).	with the victim. Stagno became agitated and		the criminality involved in all
			drove to the victim's house and fired a number	Remorseful, although this	the offences committed by
		Left school at age 15; strong	of bullets at a car in the driveway. The victim	was tempered by his	the appellant
		employment history.	was very angry and agitated.	conduct after the victim	
				was killed.	
		History of drug use; participated	Stagno's girlfriend lured the victim to the		
		in drug counselling while in	house that she shared with Stagno. The victim	Reasonable prospects for	
		custody.	went to the house, armed with a taser gun and	rehabilitation.	
		1	small axe or tomahawk. Stagno fired a number		
			of bullets. Four bullets hit the front of the		
		The appellant's girlfriend	victim's lower torso and two entered his back.		
		convicted of manslaughter and			
		sentenced to 5 yrs 4 mths imp.	The victim's body was left in the bathroom for		
			some time. It was then wrapped in plastic,		
			shoved into a car and left in the back of the		
	1		and the mine term and term in the back of the		

	1	T		X	
			car, parked and abandoned at a hotel.		
3.	Beard v The State	36 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Acts with intent to cause bodily harm.	Ct 1: 3 yrs 1 mth imp.	Dismissed.
	of Western		Ct 2: Manslaughter.	Ct 2: 12 yrs 4 mths imp	
	Australia	Convicted after late PG.		(to commence 8 mths	At [42] his Honour's
			Beard was driving his car heavily intoxicated	after ct 1).	characterisation, when read
	[2015] WASCA	Significant criminal history	by methyl.		in context, was not a finding
	74	including speeding, drink		TES 13 yrs imp.	that ct 2 was in the worst
		driving, reckless driving and	The first victim was driving behind Beard and,	, , ,	category of manslaughter
	Delivered	AOBH.	after indicating, he pulled out, intending to	EFP.	cases generally.
	09/04/2015		pass Beard's car. As he overtook the car,		
		Relatively normal childhood;	Beard suddenly, and without any justification,	The sentencing judge	At [43] It is clear from what
		completed yr 12.	rammed his car into the side of the victim's	found limited victim	his Honour said that he was
			car. In an attempt to get his car on the road, the	empathy and prospects of	agreeing with the
		Unemployed at time offending;	victim steered his car back into Beard's car.	rehabilitation mitigating.	prosecutor's submission
		stressed.	victim secret ms car back into Beard's car.	Tenaomation margaring.	that ct 2 was 'in the worst
		Silessed.	The victim tried to get away from Beard. But	Criminal history showed	category of motor vehicle
		Two children from prior	Beard pursued the victim at high speed,	disobedience to road	manslaughter cases'.
		relationships.	ramming his car into the victim's car another	traffic laws.	mansiaugitei cases.
		Telationships.	two times. This forced the victim's car	traffic faws.	At [44] Such a conclusion
		History of drug use.	sideways into the kerb and to spin onto the	The sentencing judge	was, having regard to his
		Thistory of drug use.	wrong side of the road.	found aggravated by	Honour's findings as to the
			wrong side of the road.		circumstances of the
			In a decrease estament to account the mintim	highly reckless conduct;	
			In a desperate attempt to escape, the victim	speed grossly	offending, completely
			sped past a number of cars so that he was in	inappropriate for position	justified.
			front when the lanes merged into one. With the	car was being driven;	A . 1501 FT
			intention of causing harm to the victim, Beard	adversely affected by	At [50] There is no tariff for
		100	drove at a dangerous speed onto the gravel	methyl; victim had no	manslaughter
			verge. He took over the cars in front of him,	opportunity to take	
			causing other motorists to take evasive action.	evasive action.	At [53]it must be born in
					mind that both Penny and
			Beard lost control when at least part of his car	The sentencing judge	Brown , and for that matter,
			was still on the gravel verge. His car suddenly	found both cts in the	<i>Munda</i> , were all decided
			slewed, in a diagonal direction onto the wrong	category of the more	before the increase in the
				<u> </u>	

			side of the road and into the path of a car being driven by the second victim. They collided head on. Beard was driving fast enough to stop the second victim's car and push it backwards. The second victim had no opportunity to avoid the collision. The second victim died at the scene. Beard was pinned in his vehicle with serious physical injuries. Beard claimed to be the person being pursued.	serious offending of its type; ct 2 in worst category of offending in such cases. Appellant presented with risk factors relating to substance abuse and ability to control emotions.	maximum penalty for manslaughter. Those cases, and the authorities reviewed in them, must be reviewed in that light. At [57] Anyone who drives intoxicated by methyl and in that state commits the offence of manslaughter, must expect to receive a significant custodial penalty. At [61] Ct 1 carries a maximum penalty of 20 years' imp. On any account, the sentence imposed on that ct was lenient, particularly having regard to the persistency of the appellant's attempts to harm (the first victim), the use of his motor vehicle as a weapon, and the terror the appellant inflicted upon (the second victim).
2.	Thomas v The	Convicted after PG.	1 x Manslaughter.	7 yrs 6 mths imp.	Dismissed – on papers.
	State of Western Australia [2014] WASCA 202 Delivered	S C C LINE	The deceased (aged 62 yrs and the cousin of Thomas' partner) became involved in an argument between Thomas and his partner. The deceased became heated and started hitting Thomas with her fists. Thomas walked outside and the deceased followed him. The		Sole ground of appeal was failure to award 25% discount. At [16] This case should not be taken as authority for the
		CKY			
	Manslaughter 04.12.20		Current as at 4 December 2020		

				,
05/11/2014		deceased was carrying an Aboriginal		proposition that in
		ceremonial stick, a waddy, which was about a	SECILLO	circumstances where the
		metre long.		State reduces a charge
				because of the unexplained
		The deceased approached Thomas from behind		absence at trial of a central
		as he was walking away. Thomas ended up		witness, an immediate plea
		facing the deceased and the deceased stuck		of guilty to the reduced
		him in the arm with the waddy, causing a		charge is a plea made at the
		fracture. The deceased then raised the waddy	7	first reasonable opportunity
		vertically in both hands to about shoulder		for the purpose of s 9AA(4).
		height and struck Thomas to the head. He		A ([10] XXII
		reacted by grabbing hold of the waddy with		At [19] Where the
		both hands and pulling it towards him. He took		objective/utilitarian benefits
		possession of the waddy and immediately raised it and struck the deceased to the head		of a willingness to plead
		twice. The second strike knocked the deceased		guilty are reduced because of the State's reasonable refusal
		unconscious and she fell heavily to the ground.		to accept an earlier offer to
		Thomas struck the deceased at least twice		plead guilty to a lesser
		more to the body as she fell to the ground.		offence, it is proper to take
		more to the body as she len to the ground.		into account the offender's
		A post-mortem examination showed that the		delay in offering to plead to
		deceased suffered a depressed compound		the lesser offence.
		fracture of the skull with associated traumatic		
		brain injury and rib fractures. She also suffered		At [20] In this case there was
		a collapsed lung and had multiple bruising and		no reduction in the discount
		deep lacerations to her skull.		attributable to the
		1		circumstances in which the
				State accepted the plea, being
	C VY			the unexplained
				disappearance of the State's
				central witness. Whether that
				could and should have been
				taken into account either in

					determining whether the plea was made at the first
					reasonable opportunity or in
					the exercise of the discretion
					in s 9AA(2) does not arise
					for determination in this
					application for leave.
1.	The State of	22 yrs at time of offending.	1 x Manslaughter.	4 yrs 6 mths imp.	Allowed.
	Western	24 yrs at time of sentencing.		7	(Pullin J dissenting).
	Australia v		Camus, with two friends, had been celebrating	EFP.	
	Camus	Convicted after trial (acquitted	Christmas eve at their home. They were		Re-sentenced to 6 yrs 6 mths
		of murder).	drinking heavily. Early in the hrs of Christmas	Co-operated with Police;	imp.
	[2014] WASCA		morning the 3 travelled by taxi to go to a bar.	extent was limited by lack	
	74	No prior criminal record.	Due to the level of their intoxication they were	of recollection.	At [102] In the present case,
			refused entry. Camus and his two friends		the respondent's offending
	Delivered 10/04/2014	Grew up in a small village in France.	gathered near the Oasis Bar.	No remorse or contrition.	was very serious.
			The deceased was visiting Broome with his	Chief Justice found that	At [103] The offending
		Qualified accountant.	girlfriend and cousin. They had been drinking	the respondent did not go	was completely out of
			heavily at his house and then went to a couple	into town with the	character.
		Came to Australia to learn	of bars. At one of the bars the deceased	intention of using the	
		English & travel; speaks limited	became involved in a fight and struck another	knife was a weapon, but	At [107] When the
		English.	patron and a bouncer. He was ejected and had	that for 'some reason or	sentence is evaluated in the
			to be physically removed. The deceased, his	another, was found in	context of all relevant facts
		Good character.	girlfriend and cousin moved towards the Oasis	possession of it'.	and circumstances, and all
			Bar.		relevant sentencing factors, it
		100		Chief Justice formed view	is apparent that the sentence
			Camus's group and the deceased's group came	that the respondent was	did not properly reflect the
			into contact near the Pearler's Bar. For no	very intoxicated and had	respondent's culpability
			reason the deceased struck one of Camus's	no memory of the events	A (5100) T (1) 1
		O'	friends then walked away. A remonstration	of the evening because of	At [108] Further, the
			occurred between on the deceased's cousin and	his intoxication.	sentence did not properly
			Camus's friends. The victim, on seeing the		recognise the importance of

altercation ran back to the group. His Honour found there generally deterring the use of was no planning or weapons within the The deceased viciously assaulted Camus's two premeditation. community to cause lifefriends, seriously injuring one. Camus, having threatening injury or the witnessed the assault on his friends, followed Chief Justice findings left value which Parliament has open the possibility that the deceased. placed on human life. the respondent had acted Camus confronted the deceased, acting in a in self-defence, but in the At [109] ... The sentence threatening and aggressive manner. Camus; in was not merely lenient. It heat of the moment possession of a knife (in his possession by exceeded what was was substantially outside the chance): stabbed the deceased 3 times in the reasonable force to repel sentencing range open to the upper torso, one of which punctured the the victim's aggression. trial judge on a proper ventricle chamber of the heart and lungs. exercise of his discretion... Low risk of violent re-The deceased then walked away from the area offending. where the stabbing occurred. He was then set upon violently, by others whom were Good prospects of associated with the person the deceased rehabilitation. assaulted earlier in the bar; apparently not realising that he was fatally wounded. They **NB:** At time of sentencing punched and kicked him while he was on the max penalty was 20 yrs imp. Now life ground. Their attack did not accelerate the deceased's death. He had already been fatally imprisonment. wounded by Camus. Camus was found the next morning by an ambulance officer. He was asleep on a driveway near the hospital. He was missing his trousers. He got up and walked home and attended work as normal, 2 days later. Maximum penalty increased to life imprisonment (17/03/2012)

Transitional provisions repealed (14/01/2009)				
	Transitional provisions enacted (31/08/2003)			