

## Facility Dispatch - Curtailable/Dispatchable Loads

Presentation Market Advisory Committee  
11 May 2011

By Brendan Clarke

## Issue Statement

“There is a manifest error in the rules in relation to the dispatch of Curtailable Loads”.

## Current Issue

Curtailable Loads (and Dispatchable loads) **can not** specify their fuel nominations (liquid or non-liquid). As such Curtailable loads are set to the Non-liquid group for the dispatch order used by System Management. Curtailable loads may bid up to the Alternative Maximum STEM price. This gives rise to a manifest error in the rules, in that there is two different dispatch merit orders to increase supply

### *Market Rule 7.6*

### *Appendix 1*

#### *Based on Fuel Nomination*

#### *Based On Price Cap*

1. Verve Non Liquid

1. Verve Non Liquid

2. IPP Non Liquid and  
Curtailable Load

2. IPP Non Liquid (capped @ Max STEM Price - 336\$/MWh)

3. Verve Liquid

3. Verve Liquid

4. IPP Liquid

4. IPP Liquid and Curtailable Load (capped @ Alternative  
Max STEM Price Cap - 520 \$/MWh)

## Way Forward

1. System Management clarifies its issue with the current rules at MAC

2. MAC notes System Managements concerns

That is "There is a manifest error in the rules in relation to the dispatch of Curtailable Loads".

3. MAC discusses the issue providing feedback

4. Subject to initial feedback a rule change is proposed by System Management or IMO

Note System Management believes if curtailable loads are in group 4 the following rule change would overcome this error

"7.6.3A For the purpose of 7.6.3, the issuing of a dispatch instruction to a Curtailable Load or to a Dispatchable Load shall constitute that Facility using Liquid Fuel."