

Response ID ANON-8EBD-41YB-3

Submitted to **Review of the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972**

Submitted on **2018-05-30 17:28:30**

About You

Are you submitting a response as an individual, or on behalf of your organisation?

Organisation

Organisation details

What is the name of your organisation?

Organisation Name:

Kimberley Pilbara Cattlemen's Association Inc

Name of submitting officer and position.

Submitting officer and position:

Emma White, CEO

Do you have authorisation to make a submission on behalf of your organisation?

Yes

In which field is your business?

Other (please specify below)

If "other" please specify your field of business:

Pastoral industry body

Purpose of the Act

Question 1

No opinion

If not, what changes should be made?:

Roles under the Act

Question 2

No opinion

2 - who should be consulted?:

Question 3

No opinion

How can the provision to appoint honorary wardens be improved?:

Question 4

No opinion

Role and functions - Minister:

No opinion

Role and functions - Registrar:

No opinion

Role and functions - Committee:

No opinion

Role and functions - DPLH:

What is Protected?

Question 5

No opinion

5. How can section 5 be improved?:

Question 6

No opinion

6. How can section 6 / Part VI be improved?:

Question 7

No opinion

Additional comments:

Question 8

No opinion

8. what needs to be considered?:

Protection and Enforcement

Question 9

No opinion

9. Activities that should require consent or authorisation:

Question 10

No opinion

10. Criteria to evaluate activities that may affect a site:

Question 11

No opinion

11. What is an impact in relation to sacred sites?:

Question 12

No opinion

12. consent / authorisation for proposals that will affect sites:

Question 13

No opinion

No opinion

13. How s18 can be improved?:

Question 14

No opinion

14. provisions for long-term protection of sites:

Question 15

No opinion

15. How can enforcement provisions be improved?:

Question 16

No opinion

16. How can penalties be improved?:

Site Assessment and Registration

Question 17

No opinion

17. Why shouldn't a defence be provided?:

Question 18

No opinion

18. What should the criteria be?:

Question 19

No opinion

19. Steps to report place or object:

No opinion

19. Steps to nominate a place or object:

No opinion

19. Steps to assess a place or object:

No opinion

19. Steps to enter a place or object on the Register:

No opinion

19. Steps to amend a place or object on Register:

No opinion

19. Steps to remove place or object from Register:

Other Parts of the Act

Question 20

No opinion

20. What's missing from the Act?:

Question 21

No opinion

21. Sections to be removed from Act?:

Any other comments

Any other comments:

The CEO of the Kimberley Pilbara Cattlemen's Association (KPCA) attended the Broome consultation session on 10 May 2018.

The KPCA does not have any specific points it wishes to raise in this initial phase of the consultation process to review the WA Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972. We will however monitor the progress of the review and in particular will pay attention to any proposed amendments and/or new legislation that would substantively change the status quo and lead to increased compliance costs for pastoralists including new approvals requirements and any shift away from allowing a risk

based approach to be taken with regard to protection of sites and compliance with the legislation.