



# **SUBMISSION**

## **MINISTERIAL EXPERT COMMITTEE ON ELECTORAL REFORM**

JUNE 2021



**Regional  
Capitals  
Alliance**

WESTERN AUSTRALIA

## REGIONAL CAPITALS ALLIANCE WA (RCAWA)

The Regional Capitals Alliance of Western Australia (RCAWA) is a peak body, advocating for strategic planning for growth and investment in regional Western Australian capitals.

Comprising 10 regional Cities, Towns and Shires and representing over half of the State's regional population, each of the capitals is considered to have high capability and business prospects. This positions them as ideal locations in which to focus the attention of government and industry to grow both the population base and economy of the state. We believe that as a collaborative group we provide a unified voice for strategic commonalities on social and economic issues and a consistent regional investment framework and strategy that supports sustainable regional growth.

## CONTACTS

Dennis Wellington  
Chair RCAWA, Mayor City of Albany

Andrew Sharpe  
Secretariate RCAWA, CEO City of Albany

Paul Rosair  
Executive Officer RCAWA

## CONTENTS

BACKGROUND.....3

SUMMARY.....4

GROUP TICKETING VOTE.....5

REGION BASED SYSTEM.....7

REGIONAL, RURAL AND REMOTE REPRESENTATION.....8

CONCLUSION AND SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS.....10

## BACKGROUND

### Establishment of Ministerial Panel

Hon. John Quigley MLA, Attorney General; Minister for Electoral Affairs, announced on Friday 30 April 2021 the establishment of a Ministerial Expert Committee to advise the Government on electoral reform.

### Terms of Reference

The Government now asks the Committee to review the electoral system for the Legislative Council and provide:

1. Recommendations as to how electoral equality might be achieved for all citizens entitled to vote for the Legislative Council, and
2. Recommendations for the distribution of preferences in the Legislative Council's proportional representation system.

### RCAWA RESOLUTION

The RCAWA resolved at its meeting on 15<sup>th</sup> April 2021 to develop a submission on the issues to be addressed by the Ministerial Panel's Terms of Reference. The RCAWA is comprised of the following members:

City of Albany:	Dennis Wellington and Andrew Sharpe
Shire of Broome:	Desiree Male (Acting) and Sam Mastrolembro
City of Bunbury:	Gary Brennan and Mal Osborne
City of Busselton:	Grant Henley and Mike Archer
Shire of Esperance:	Ian Mickel and Shane Burge
City of Greater Geraldton:	Shane Van Styn and Ross McKim
City of Kalgoorlie:	John Bowler and John Walker
City of Karratha:	Peter Long and Chris Adams
Shire of Northam:	Chris Antonio and Jason Whiteaker
Town of Port Hedland:	Peter Carter and Carl Askew

## SUMMARY

In general – the RCAWA :

- Supports the review as the current system has flaws. (i.e. Becoming elected with 98 primary votes demonstrates this);
- Notes the disparity in the value of a Legislative Council's (LC) vote but highlights that this disparity works in WA's favour in the Federal Sphere where WA has 16% of the Senators and only 10.5% of the population;
- Notes the LC is the house of review. Review of Statewide legislation requires the views from a broad range of areas/constituents to be taken into account. The current system allows for this. The Legislative Assembly's (LA) already has proportional representation based on population meaning that the City has greater voting (and political power) in that place;
- Wants to ensure the current regional representation is not diminished;
- Supports a more transparent, fair and equitable system, which may include changes to the distribution of preferences in the LC's proportional representation system on the condition that regional representation is not diminished;
- Highlights the importance of regional WA and RCAWA member LG areas to the State economy as a way to justify maintaining regional representation not being eroded;
- Emphasises the significance of job creation and growing workforce in regional WA supported by FIFO etc;
- Notes the importance of Mining, Agriculture, Ports, Tourism etc. (primarily in the regions) and the gross regional product has on the State's economy;
- Wants to ensure that representation to remote Aboriginal Communities is NOT diminished, particularly noting the community expectations and the Government's agenda of the need to "close the gap";
- Supports WALGA's submission.

## GROUP TICKET VOTING

The Minister has expressed an opinion that the group ticket voting system that enables micro-party candidates to be elected is not democratic.

Wilson Tucker, of the Daylight Savings Party, was elected to the Legislative Council with 98 first preference votes (which equates to less than 0.2 percent of valid votes) in the Mining and Pastoral Region.

Similar outcomes were observed in the Australian Senate prior to the 2016 election, which led to the changes to the Senate's voting method.

### Senate Voting Reforms

Following recommendations from an Inquiry by the Joint Standing Committee on Electoral Matters into the 2013 Federal Election, group voting tickets were removed from the Senate voting system prior to the 2016 election.

The recommendation to remove group ticket voting was principally in response to the number of candidates elected from small and unknown parties.

Following the Senate reforms, voters are instructed to complete at six preferences above the line, or at least 12 preferences below the line. These reforms were in place for the 2016 full Senate (double dissolution) election, and the 2019 half Senate election.

Election analyst, Antony Green, argues the Senate reforms were successful in translating voter preferences into representatives elected:

*"This analysis confirms that the new Senate system weights the allocation of final seats in favour of parties with the highest remainders on first preferences. This is not the same as advantaging parties with the highest first preference vote. After the allocation of seats to filled quotas, the remaining partial quotas of parties polling above a quota must compete with the initial partial quotas of parties that polled less than a quota on first preferences. Who wins the final seats is then a battle of preferences, with exhausted preferences and weak preferences flows favouring the party with the largest initial partial quota.*

*Group voting tickets permitted parties to trade preferences in the race to fill final seats. The new Senate system hands the power over between-party preferences back to voters.*

*At the first two elections under the new Senate system, voters made very different decisions on preferences compared to the complex group voting tickets previously lodged by parties.”<sup>1</sup>*

### **Recommended Approach in WA**

Reform in WA should be undertaken to remove the group voting ticket from the Legislative Council voting system similar to the Senate reforms undertaken in 2016.

Practically, this would mean voters would be required to allocate preferences among parties above the line, or among candidates below the line, up to, at minimum, the number of vacant positions in the region or electoral district.

The aim of removing group ticket voting would be to make it much more difficult for micro-parties to have candidates successfully elected through “preference harvesting”. The 2016 Senate reforms demonstrated that the removal of group voting tickets had this effect at the 2016 full-Senate and 2019 half-Senate elections.

In addition, given the familiarity of the method of voting for the Senate, adopting electoral reform of this nature would be unlikely to significantly increase the rate of informal voting.

### **Recommendation 1**

**That Group Ticket Voting be removed from the Legislative Council electoral system, and reforms be adopted modelled on the Senate reforms of 2016.**

---

<sup>1</sup> Green, A. 2019. How the new Senate Electoral System Performed at its first Half-Senate test.  
<https://antonygreen.com.au/how-the-new-senate-electoral-system-at-its-first-half-senate-election-test/>

## REGION BASED SYSTEM

RCAWA does not support the option of electing members of the Legislative Council from an electorate consisting of the entire state of Western Australia. A Region Based system is preferred. Concerns relating to political representation of rural, regional and remote communities, outlined above, equally apply to a scenario where members of the Legislative Council are elected by the entire state. There is concern, that under such arrangements, the voice of rural, regional and remote communities would be smothered by the relative number of voters in metropolitan Perth and more populous towns.

The New South Wales Legislative Council, and the South Australian Legislative Council each elect half their members at every general election using the entire state as the electorate. A similar situation in Western Australia would mean that 18 members of the Legislative Council would be elected every eight years, each for an eight-year term. Electing 18 members using proportional representation would be likely to return a number of minor and micro party representatives due to the relatively small quota required in percentage terms (5.3 percent of the vote) to be elected.

Another option would be to elect 36, or more, members at every election, which would effectively halve the quota to be elected under the scenario above. This could lead to a substantial cross bench and a very large number of candidates nominating for election.

In New South Wales and South Australia, where the entire state elects the upper house, other measures have been put in place to reduce the number of candidates nominating for election. Such measures include increasing the fee to nominate for election, and strengthening the criteria for registration as a political party.

RCAWA rejects the option of members of the Legislative Council being elected from an electorate comprising the entire state of Western Australia, principally on the basis that rural, regional and remote political representation would be diminished irrevocably.

### **Recommendation 2**

**That a region system be retained, and the whole state electorate option be rejected.**



## REGIONAL, RURAL AND REMOTE REPRESENTATION

Representing communities through Local Government across the breadth of the vast state of Western Australia, RCAWA recognises the importance of political representation. As demographic and other forces pull people away from some regions towards the metropolitan area, political representation becomes increasingly important.

The terms of reference – demanding electoral equality – are contestable. It is the Local Government sector's experience that equality has many facets. Different levels of State Government services provided to different communities exemplify inequality, as does the varying distance to be travelled to access services and elected representatives. Focusing on equality only in terms of the number of electors in a Legislative Council region neglects to recognise the social, societal, economic, and geographic reality among Western Australian communities.

Electoral equality, established on the basis of the number of electors, in the Legislative Council will reduce political representation of rural, regional and remote communities. Consequently, the political representation provided by Local Government will become even more important. This additional responsibility will be very challenging for many non-metropolitan Local Governments which are already stretched beyond their current capacity and resourcing.

Over many years, the service provision expectations and requirements of Local Governments have increased considerably, rarely with commensurate increases in funding. This has led to Local Governments, particularly in rural, regional and remote parts of Western Australia, providing services previously provided by other spheres of government. Examples abound in relation to primary health care, aged care, and economic development, including support for business. Diminished political representation, requiring Local Government to fill another gap, will further stretch limited capacity and resources.

In considering political representation it is important to acknowledge the economic contribution of non-metropolitan regions to the wealth and standard of living in Western Australia. Regional Western Australia makes a significant contribution to the WA economy. In 2019, the regions accounted for 40 percent of Gross State Product, with the largest contributions coming from the Pilbara, Goldfields-Esperance and the South West. Regional WA is also a significant employer, with more than 300,000 jobs located in regional WA – around 25% of the state's total workforce. Many of these jobs are located in the agriculture and mining industries.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> DataWA, Nominal Gross Regional Product 2019. <https://catalogue.data.wa.gov.au/dataset/03b1358e-981f->

Regional WA is home to many of the state's key export industries, including the mining and agriculture sectors. WA is an exports-based economy, and accounts for around half of the national goods exports total each year. Goods exports accounted for 59 percent (\$187.1 billion) of Western Australia's gross state product in 2019-2020.

As the prevalence of fly-in-fly-out has increased, it is crucial that the permanent residents of communities that host the bulk of WA's export industries remain politically represented.

It should also be acknowledged that Legislative Council Members in the Mining and Pastoral and Agricultural Regions provide crucial political representation to remote Aboriginal Communities. Reducing the number of Legislative Council representatives will further increase the pressure on remaining members to cover vast ground. Services taken for granted in Metropolitan Perth, are simply not available or not provided to the same extent in rural, regional and remote parts of Western Australia. As a result, political representation and political influence remain critically important for many communities including Aboriginal communities, to advocate for existing services to be improved or even maintained. Aboriginal communities remain significantly disadvantaged in Regional Western Australia and removing or limiting access to Members of Parliament will have significant repercussions and does not achieve electoral equality.

In addition, a reduction in the number of Legislative Council representatives will further increase the pressure on remaining representatives to cover vast ground to appropriately service the region they represent. The Mining and Pastoral region is over 2.2 million square kilometres. It is the view of the Local Government sector that Legislative Council Members representing non-metropolitan Western Australia are hard-working and cover a lot of territory to be present in the communities they represent. Since non-metropolitan Western Australia is represented by comparably few members of the Legislative Assembly (16 compared to 43 in metropolitan Perth), regional members of the Legislative Council play a critical role in political representation.

The perspective of many rural, regional and remote communities is that, without sufficient political representation, their concerns will be "out of sight, out of mind", swamped by the sheer weight of votes from metropolitan Perth and large regional centres.

### **Recommendation 3**

**That the Ministerial Expert Committee on Electoral Reform be required to consider the importance of political representation for rural, regional and remote Western Australia.**

---

[4fb1-9078-98682e32c026/resource/bdb89bd1-a6d0-4a05-9940-cd0f5af65085/download/2019\\_published-1.xlsx](https://4fb1-9078-98682e32c026/resource/bdb89bd1-a6d0-4a05-9940-cd0f5af65085/download/2019_published-1.xlsx)

## CONCLUSION AND SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

RCAWA acknowledges the challenges inherent in the Ministerial Expert Committee's task to recommend reforms to the Legislative Council, while constrained by the terms of reference.

In this submission RCAWA, representing ten regional capitals, has:

- Argued that rural, regional and remote political representation is important to ensure that regional Western Australia is supported to continue to generate export and employment opportunities that benefit us all;
- Highlighted the importance of regional WA and RCAWA members to the State economy as a way to justify maintaining regional representation not being eroded;
- Emphasised the significance of Job creation and growing workforce in regional WA supported by FIFO etc;
- Noted the importance of Mining, Agriculture, Ports, Tourism etc. (primarily in the regions) and the gross regional product has on the State's economy.
- Emphasised the need that representation to remote Aboriginal Communities is NOT diminished, particularly noting the community expectations and the Government's agenda of the need to "close the gap";

A reduction in political representation in the Western Australian Parliament will lead to an expectation that Local Governments will expand to fill this role, a role that may stretch Local Governments beyond their capacity and resources.

In addition, it is widely acknowledged that health, education and other services are not provided to all Western Australians equally. In particular Aboriginal communities remain significantly disadvantaged in Regional Western Australia and removing or limiting access to Members of Parliament will have significant repercussions and does not achieve electoral equality.

On this basis, it remains important that rural, regional and remote voices are heard in the Western Australian Legislative Council.

### **RCAWA recommends:**

- 1. That Group Ticket Voting be removed from the Legislative Council electoral system, and reforms be adopted modelled on the Senate reforms of 2016.**
- 2. That a regional system be retained, and the whole state electorate option be rejected.**
- 3. That the Ministerial Expert Committee on Electoral Reform be required to consider the importance of political representation for rural, regional and remote Western Australia.**