

WEM Procedure: Credible Contingency Events

Post-consultation changes

Procedure amendments

- General review for stylistic and legal consistency:
 - Standardised approach to punctuation / listing.
 - More precise terminology + use of defined terms where relevant.
 - Participant suggestions to improve wording clarity

Other comments and AEMO's response

Summary of comment	AEMO's response
<p>Section 2 (throughout)</p> <p>Several comments and suggest re-drafting to improve flow / readability of the existing 'framework' section.</p> <p>General theme of splitting sections into "Credible Events" and "Non-Credible Events".</p>	<p>AEMO agrees these changes could improve the readability, especially from the perspective of readers unfamiliar with the Contingency Events framework.</p> <p>While some wording changes have been incorporated, overall structure has not been changed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Procedure is intended primarily as a legal document:<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Preference handling of edge-case and non-ambiguity over informational content• Assumed reader has understanding of context within WEM RulesSuggested changes weaken these aspects• Existing structure already drafted, consulted and active; approach follows another critical operational distinction: offline vs real-time process.
<p>Paragraph 2.1.4: Suggestion to move Power System Elements table to Appendix</p>	<p>Not changed: AEMO considers the content of this table as central to resolving possible ambiguity or disagreements over credible event definitions.</p>

Other comments and AEMO's response

Summary of comment	AEMO's response
<p>2.2.4 / 2.2.5</p> <p>Expand obligations on Rule Participants to provide information at any part of the process (not just for real time reclassification).</p>	<p>Not changed: this obligation is deliberately crafted to not encompass the 'offline' case of identifying and classifying the normal (standing) set of Credible Contingency Events.</p> <p>Instead, it refers to real-time, situational, "on-the-ground" type information needed to make rapid assessments.</p> <p>AEMO considers it outside the head of power / scope of the WEM Procedure to obligate this information; it should be available to AEMO through other defined channels (e.g. Standing Data, the GPS).</p>
<p>Query: will the classification of a Contingency Event as a Non-Credible Contingency Event will be published on the WEM Website for regulatory oversight.</p> <p>Recommend that a new or updated Dispatch Advisory is also issued where AEMO reclassifies a Credible Contingency Event as a Non-credible Contingency Event.</p>	<p>Any change to classification will trigger AEMO to release a Dispatch Advisory and publish all changes on the WEM Website (required by the rules)</p>

Other comments and AEMO's response

Summary of comment	AEMO's response
<p>Section 1.4</p> <p>Suggested that reference should be made to the AEMO-Western Power Operating Protocol, as the Operating Protocol will cover communications processes relevant to this Procedure.</p>	<p>Not incorporated. AEMO position is that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Rules that may give formal status to the Operating Protocol are still in draft• The <i>mechanisms</i> of communication between AEMO-WP are not strictly relevant to this procedure (although the Operating Protocol may reference this Procedure if appropriate)
<p>Query: will the classification of a Contingency Event as a Non-Credible Contingency Event be published on the WEM Website for regulatory oversight.</p> <p>Recommend that a new or updated Dispatch Advisory is also issued where AEMO reclassifies a Credible Contingency Event as a Non-credible Contingency Event.</p>	<p>Any change to classification will trigger AEMO to release a Dispatch Advisory and publish all changes on the WEM Website (required by the rules)</p>

Other comments and AEMO's response

Summary of comment	AEMO's response
<p>2.1.7</p> <p>Suggested that any updates AEMO makes in the ongoing classification of Contingency Events should be made in consultation with the Network Operator.</p>	<p>Not incorporated. AEMO position is that it is the intent of the rules is for AEMO to have responsibility for this function, and the current framework will result in good outcomes for the SWIS.</p> <p>As per this Procedure, AEMO must follow an established and relatively controlled process in modifying any classification, which includes consideration of a wide range of information sources and consultation with other parties.</p>