## **Assault Public Officer**

inn'

ss 318 and 318A Criminal Code

## From 1 January 2021

Transitional Sentencing Provisions: This table is divided into thirds based on the three relevant periods of Sentencing Provisions:

- Post-transitional provisions period \_
- Transitional provisions period
- Pre-transitional provisions period \_

These periods are separated by a row which shows when the transitional provisions were enacted, and another showing when they were repealed.

## Glossary:

Glossary:		
agg att burg conc cum ct EFP imp PG susp TES	aggravated attempted burglary concurrent cumulative count eligible for parole imprisonment plead guilty suspended total effective sentence	onector
Assault PO 03.	on 21	Current as at 3 September 2021

Assault PO 03.09.2

No.	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal
l.	Brooks v The	39 yrs at time sentencing.	Indictment -Supreme	Indictment - Supreme	Dismissed (leave refused)
	State of Western		Ct 1: Agg armed robbery.	Ct 1: 4 yrs 4 mths imp	– on papers.
	Australia	Indictment -Supreme	Ct 2: Armed so as to cause terror.	(cum).	
		Convicted after trial.		Ct 2: 9 mths imp (cum).	Indictment - Supreme
	[2021] WASCA		Magistrate Court		Appeal concerned length
	156	Magistrates Court	Offending comprised 19 offences on various	TES 5 yrs 1 mth imp (cum	of sentence and totality
		Convicted after PG (20%	dates, including breaches of bail, unlicensed	on sentence imposed by	principle.
	Delivered	discount).	possession of a firearm, no authority to drive,	Supreme Court).	
	03/09/2021		trespass, burglary and stealing.	EFP.	Magistrate Court
		Indictment - District			Appeal concerned totality
		Convicted after late PG	Magistrate Court appeal commenced in Supreme	Magistrate Court	principles and error
		(15% discount).	Court referred to Court of Appeal.	TES 1 yr 3 mths imp.	(allowing summary
				EFP.	charges to not be dealt wi
		Lengthy criminal history;	Indictment – District		by superior court).
		including interstate	Cts 1 & 3: Criminal damage.	Indictment - District	
		offending.	Cts 2 & 4: Stealing.	Ct 1: 6 mths imp (conc).	Indictment - District
		C	Cts 5-6: Poss stolen or unlawfully obtained	Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concern error in
		Traumatic childhood;	property.	Ct 3: 15 mths imp (conc).	cum sentences; totality
		experienced death of older	Ct 7: Escaping lawful custody.	Ct 4: 15 mths imp (conc).	principle (crushing effect
		sister when he was aged 6	Cts 8 & 12: Robbery.	Ct 5: 6 mths imp (conc).	of accumulated sentences
		yrs; mother a yr later.	Ct 9: Aiding a person to escape lawful custody.	Ct 6: 12 mths imp (conc).	from different
		5 , 5	Ct 10: Assault public officer.	Ct 7: 12 mths imp (conc)	jurisdictions) and error
		Lived with physically	Ct 11: Assault with intent to rob.	(no EFP).	(plea discount).
		violent grandmother;	Ct 13: Burglary.	Ct 8: 14 mths imp (cum on	ч ,
		subsequently lived with his	Ct 14: Agg Burglary.	Supreme Court and	At [54] The Supreme
		father who was physically	Ct 15: Steal motor vehicle.	Magistrates Court	Court judge was called
		and emotionally abusive.		sentences).	upon to sentence the
			Indictment – Supreme Court	Ct 9: 6 mths imp (conc).	appellant only for two
		Left school aged 13 yrs;	Brooks and a co-offender decided to rob a	Ct 10: 3 mths imp (conc).	offences: It was well
		commenced using drugs.	newsagency. With their faces covered and each	Ct 11: 3 mths imp (cum).	open to her Honour to
			carrying a knife they rushed into the	Ct 12: 21 mths imp (cum).	order a degree of
		Left home aged 15 yrs;	newsagency.	Ct 13: 15 mths imp (conc).	accumulation between
		reconciled with his family		Ct 14: 2 yrs imp (conc).	[the] two offences, bearin
		aged 28 yrs.	The co-offender shouted at the woman working	Ct 15: 9 mths imp (conc).	in mind that they involve
	ssault PO 03.09.21		Current as at 3 September 2021		

S

	behind the counter to give him money. When the		distinct criminality and ha
Inconsistent early	co-offender went behind the counter the woman	Sentenced in the Supreme	different victims.
employment history; trade	picked up a cricket bat, so he pushed the woman	Court, District Court and	
work late twenties; self-	with force, causing her to fall on the floor. He	the Magistrates Court for a	At [56] What occurred in
employed roof plumber	put the knife near her neck and repeated his	total of 36 offences. The	the District Court, mths
early thirties.	demand for money.	most serious offences, were	after the Supreme Court
		committed in a period of	judge imposed sentence,
2 yr relationship at time	The woman's daughter heard her mother's	about three wks. The result	does not (and cannot)
offending; young son	screams and began to telephone the police.	of the three sentencing	provide any basis to alleg
together; partner history of	Brooks screamed at her to put the phone away	exercises:	an infringement of either
substance abuse and	and pointed his knife at her, telling her that he $\checkmark$		limb of the totality
offending behaviour,	would stab her.	TES 9 yrs 6 mths imp. EFP.	principle by the Supreme
reported to have made			Court judge's sentence
significant positive changes	The co-offender grabbed the till drawer and took	Indictment - Supreme	
in her lifestyle; partner and	about \$450 in cash before running. Brooks	The trial judge found the	At [83] we are satisfie
her parents supportive.	pushed the daughter off balance and followed.	armed robbery objectively	that there is no reason to
		very serious; the offence	suppose that, had the
Severe symptoms of	When Brooks was chased by two men, he	was planned; both	summary offences, and the
anxiety, depression and	stopped and threatened one of them with his	offenders were armed and	indictable offences all be
stress; diagnosed with	knife.	disguised; they chose a	dealt with together, the
PTSD.	XO	vulnerable target and	overall disposition would
	Brooks hid some items of clothing in an att to	threatened two vulnerable	have been any more
Entrenched drug use.	avoid being caught. He was arrested some wks	women, both shouting and	favourable from the
	later. He denied any involvement in the offence.	screaming.	appellant's perspective.
		e	the sentencing judge in the
	Indictment – District Court	The trial judge took into	District Court was acutel
	Brooks drove a stolen truck up to the double	account time spent by the	aware of, and carefully
	gates of a business. After trying to break the	appellant on remand for the	weighed, the sentences th
	padlock to the gates with bolt cutters, he att to	murder charge and time	had already been impose
C Y	smash through them with the truck. The gates	already spent in protective	in determining what
	and the linked chain fence were extensively	custody, and would in the	sentences should be
<b>N</b> Y	damaged (ct 1).	future serve, for the current	imposed for the offences
		offending.	dealt with in the District
	Brooks drove a stolen truck to the entry of a	8.	Court.
	business. After cutting the lock to a gate he	Letter of apology tendered;	

	drove to a parked caravan valued at \$45,000 and	otherwise no demonstrated	At [87]-[88] In our view,
	hitched the caravan to the back of his vehicle.	genuine remorse; not at a	the appellant's offending
	As he drove away the chain snapped, so he left,	low risk of reoffending;	conduct that was the
	leaving the caravan behind (ct 2).	reasonable prospects of	subject of his sentence in
		rehabilitation; steps taken	the Magistrates Court was
	At a car wash Brooks, driving the same stolen	to become a better father	of a nature and extent that
	truck, reversed at speed into two industrial	while on remand.	demanded a sentence that
	vacuum units causing \$29,358.20 in damage. He		was cum on the sentence in
	and his male passenger then att unsuccessfully to	Indictment – District	the Supreme Court to a not
	take one of the units. They left and returned a	The sentencing judge found	insubstantial extent Not
	short time later with a chisel and hammer, which	the appellant's offending	is it reasonably arguable
	they used to separate one of the units from its	the subject of cts 1-4	that the sentences imposed
	base. They then carried it to the truck and left	serious and premediated	by the Chief Magistrate
	(cts 3 and 4).	acts of dishonesty; it would	produced a result that was,
		have been a terrifying	in the relevant sense,
	During a burglary, a dinghy, boat trailer, boat	experience for the victims	crushing, so as to infringe
	engine and a fuel jerry can were stolen.	of cts 11 and 12, were	the second limb of the
	<b>O</b>	ordinary members of the	totality principle
	Brooks arranged to store a boat at a rural	community going about	
	property. The owner agreed and a short time	their daily business; the	At [117]-[119] The
	later he attended the property with a boat, a boat	offending necessitated a	appellant was sentenced in
	motor and fuel jerry can.	sentence that sufficiently	the District Court for 15
		denounced the appellant's	offences. Several of them
	Some wks later a stealing offence occurred. The	conduct and provided	involved appalling
	stolen items included a bobcat and trailer. The	appropriate personal and	offending that would have
	bobcat was fitted with a GPS tracking device.	general deterrence.	terrified or endangered
0	The same day Brooks attended the same rural		members of the public.
	property with the stolen bobcat to store it at the		Further, [he] used violence
	property. The bobcat was tracked to its location		to escape from legal
	and police were alerted. A search of the property		custody the appellant's
	located the stolen bobcat (cts 5 and 6).		offending the subject of cts
			7 - 12 of itself would
	Brooks was apprehended in connection with an		ordinarily have justified
	armed robbery (the Supreme Court offence). He		and required a TES
	was conveyed to a police station and detained.		substantially higher than

S

	•	
	His partner was also held in the same detention	the TES imposed in
	area. The two shouted at each other and became	the District Court. As the
	increasingly agitated. When an officer opened	judge observed, cts 11 and
	his cell door he grabbed the officer and during a	12 were each very serious
	struggle took the officer's swipe card. After	offences in which the
	freeing his partner he ran away (cts 7-10).	appellant used violence
		towards entirely innocent
	After fleeing custody Brooks ran in front of a	members of the public in
	vehicle, opened the driver's door, grabbed hold	an att to steal their cars, the
	of the driver and tried to forcibly remove her	second att of which was
	from the car. Fearing for herself and her	successful Other
	passenger she accelerated away (ct 11).	elements of the appellant's
		offending were also
	Brooks then got in the passenger seat of a	serious the two home
	stationary vehicle. He shouted at the driver to go	burglaries, were both
	and, fearing for his safety, he complied. He	serious offences
	ignored the driver's request to get out and	warranting substantial
	became more agitated. At a red light he told the	terms of imp.
	driver to get out, which he did. Brooks	
	threatened the driver if he called the police. The	At [126] the [District
	vehicle was later found extensively damaged (ct	Court] judge did not err in
	12).	failing to award a 25%
		discount for the appellant's
	Brooks gained entry to a home by smashing a	PG. Indeed, it was not
	sliding door. He cut the phone line and searched	open to the judge to have
	a bedroom. He left the premises by forcing open	done so.
	a rear window. No items were stolen (ct 13).	
	On the same law Darshe hashe lists a life and	
	On the same day Brooks broke into a different	
	residence. The occupants were home at the time. Manipulating a locked door he entered the	
	premises and stole an iPhone, a laptop and the	
	keys to a vehicle. Using the car keys he stole the occupants vehicle. He was later seen by police	
	driving the vehicle and failed to stop when	
	unving the vehicle and raned to stop when	]
Assault PO 03.09.21	Current as at 3 September 2021	

S

requested to do so, leading to a police pursuit (cts 14-15).	
Transitional provisions repealed (14/01/2009)	
Maximum penalty s 318, other than those falling within s 318(1)(1), reduced to 7 yrs imp (27/04/2008)	
Transitional Provisions Enacted (31/08/2003)	
Maximum penalty for s 318 increased from 5 yrs to 10 yrs imp (20/01/1995)	
After the Director C.	
Assault PO 03.09.21 Current as at 3 September 2021	