

Ord

surface water allocation plan Statement of response

Department of Water

October 2013

Ord surface water allocation plan

Statement of response

Looking after all our water needs

Department of Water October 2013 Department of Water
168 St Georges Terrace
Perth Western Australia 6000
Telephone +61 8 6364 7600

Facsimile +61 8 6364 7601 National Relay Service 13 36 77

www.water.wa.gov.au

© Government of Western Australia

October 2013

This work is copyright. You may download, display, print and reproduce this material in unaltered form only (retaining this notice) for your personal, non-commercial use or use within your organisation. Apart from any use as permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968*, all other rights are reserved. Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to the Department of Water.

ISBN 978-1-922124-81-4 (online)

Acknowledgements

The Department of Water acknowledges the following for their work on this publication: Mike Braimbridge, Guy Chandler, John Connolly, Robert Cossart, Ben Drew, Chris Gunby, Emily Harrington, Robyn Loomes, Ian Loh, Simone McCallum, Patrick Seares, and Susan Worley.

For more information about this report, contact Kununurra Regional Office Kimberley Region, Department of Water 27 Victoria Highway Kununurra WA 6743 Telephone 08 9166 4116

Disclaimer

This document has been published by the Department of Water. Any representation, statement, opinion or advice expressed or implied in this publication is made in good faith and on the basis that the Department of Water and its employees are not liable for any damage or loss whatsoever which may occur as a result of action taken or not taken, as the case may be in respect of any representation, statement, opinion or advice referred to herein. Professional advice should be obtained before applying the information contained in this document to particular circumstances.

This publication is available at our website <www.water.wa.gov.au> or for those with special needs it can be made available in alternative formats such as audio, large print, or Braille.

Contents

Ord surfa	ce water allocation plan – Statement of response	1
Comple Submiss Comme	olic comment period	1 2
Further	information	17
Shortene	d forms	19
Glossary		20
Reference	es	22
Tables	S	
Table 1 Table 2 Table 3 Table 4	Interest groupings of respondents to the plan for public comment General comments and questions received on the plan Comments and questions on the water allocation approach Comments and questions on environmental water provisions and the Dunham River	3 4
Table 5 Table 6	Comments and questions on water licensing Comments and questions on dam release rules, restrictions and powe generation	r
Table 7	Comments on boat navigation and cultural values associated with the season	dry 10
Table 8 Table 9 Table 10 Table 11	Comments on agricultural expansion and demands Comments and questions on the National Water Initiative and the plan	11 113 13

Ord surface water allocation plan - Statement of response

This statement provides the Department of Water's response to the comments, issues and questions raised in submissions made in response to the *Ord surface* water allocation plan: for public comment (DoW 2012).

The public comment period

The Ord surface water allocation plan: for public comment was open for a three month public comment period from 25 May to 14 September 2012.

During the public comment period the Department of Water (department) sent more than 180 letters to stakeholders to notify them that the plan was open for public comment. Also, over 190 copies of the plan and methods report were given to stakeholders.

An invitation to comment and receive copies of the plan was advertised during the comment period in the following publications:

- The West Australian (25 May 2012)
- · The Australian (26 May 2012)
- · Kimberley Echo (31 May 2012).

The department met with representatives from a number of interested parties, to brief them on the plan, and in some cases, to respond to and clarify points raised in their submission. This included:

- the irrigation service provider (Ord Irrigation Cooperative)
- the bulk water supplier (Water Corporation)
- local private users (self-supply irrigators, commercial businesses, boat operators)
- industry (Pacific Hydro and Rio Tinto)
- native title holders and local Indigenous group (Miriuwung Gajerrong Corporation)
- local government (Shire of Wyndham East Kimberley)
- other state government agencies (Department of Regional Development and Lands, Department of State Development, Department of Agriculture and Food and Environmental Protection Authority)
- federal government agencies (Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities and National Water Commission).

Completing the plan

Following the public comment period, we have worked closely with our stakeholders

to complete the *Ord surface water allocation plan* (DoW 2013). We considered all of the comments, issues and questions raised in the submissions and at subsequent stakeholder meetings to complete the plan.

Submissions received

During the comment period we received 14 formal submissions from a range of interest groups (Table 1). We considered all of the comments, issues and questions raised in submissions in finalising the *Ord surface water allocation plan*. Our responses to these are provided in this statement.

Table 1 Interest groupings of respondents to the plan for public comment

Respondents	Interest group	Number of responses
Ord Irrigation Cooperative Ltd	Agriculture and irrigation	1
Miriuwung Gajerrong Corporation	Community	1
2 individuals	Individual	2
Shire of Wyndham – East Kimberley	Local Government	1
Department of Fisheries Department of Environment and Conservation	Other State Government	6
Department of Regional Development and Lands		
Department of State Development		
Environmental Protection Authority		
Department of Agriculture and Food Western Australia		
Water Corporation	Public water supply	1
Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities	Other	2
Northern Territory Government – Department of Resource		
Total		14

Comments received and the department's responses

The following tables summarise the issues and questions raised in the public submissions and the department's responses. The comments are grouped according to the water allocation issue they relate to.

Table 2 General comments and questions received on the plan

Comment **Department of Water response** Support for the plan The department values the input and support for the plan provided by stakeholders and the Ten respondents expressed their support for community. We will work to ensure stakeholder the plan, with most agreeing the plan is a and community engagement continues in the positive step in water management. In Ord River area. particular, respondents said that the plan: considers realistic futures and areas of irrigation will assist in providing secure reliable water sources for expanding irrigation industry promotes economic and social growth in the east Kimberley supports the health of the lower Ord environment. Non support for the plan In Western Australia, the department grants licences authorising annual water entitlements One respondent expressed concern that the under Western Australian legislation, not the plan did not reflect key aspects of the National NWI. The plan therefore reflects the intent of Water Initiative (NWI), especially in not the National Water Initiative using instruments establishing perpetual water entitlements. This and mechanisms available under the Rights in was seen as a serious threat to the irrigation Water and Irrigation Act 1914 (WA). Both the community. legislation and the NWI underpin the same outcomes of sustainable resource management and secure entitlements with very clear water sharing arrangements, so is not a threat to communities. We have worked with stakeholders and the community to develop the plan. Entitlements and the NWI are discussed further in Table 8 and Table 9 below respectively as well as in Table 5 of the plan. Plan area Two respondents had a number of recommendations on further defining the plan boundaries and identifying sites of interest: i. Only the WA portions of the Keep River i. Keep River and Knox Creek plain areas are and Knox Creek plain areas are proclaimed not shown as proclaimed or management in the Ord River Irrigation District under areas. Part III of the Act. The other areas are within the Northern Territory. The Western Australian legislation does not apply to those portions in the Northern Territory. ii. Figure 1 and 2 now show the same area ii. Figure 2 of surface water subareas is and scale. Figure 4 now indicates the different to Figure 1 and the orientation of Northing of the diagram. Figure 4 is different to other figures so it should show north at the Ord estuary. iii. Both subareas and development areas are It would be useful having a map showing now shown in Figure 2. subareas and development areas together for reference. Questions The subareas are based on changes in river channel morphology. The same How were the subareas defined?

Comment	Department of Water response	
	boundaries were used to define different environmental flow regimes and allocation limits. For further information, see section 2.5 of the methods report (DoW 2012).	
Why can't the Carlton-Mantinea and Tarrara-Carlton areas be combined?	2. The Carlton-Mantinea subarea is determined by a point where the main channel changes shape, and below which a lower environmental flow is acceptable. This allows additional water to be allocated for diversion in the lower river. The boundary was based on hydrological work done for the 2006 plan. The environmental flow regimes are different for the two subareas, so they cannot be combined. For further information please see Section 2.5 of the methods report (DoW 2012). (See also response iii in Table 3 below)	

Table 3 Comments and questions on the water allocation approach

Comment	Department of Water response	
Allocation limits		
Five respondents submitted comments on water availability and future developments. Three respondents supported the water availability and reliability we set out for current and future expansion. Other comments were:		
High allocation has to be made to encourage development of soils with agriculture potential.	 i. The allocation limit is as high as it can be to maintain the required high reliability of supply with current dam infrastructure. There is over 515 GL/year of new, very high reliability water available to support irrigation expansion projects with current dam infrastructure. 	
ii. The permeable nature of the soils compromises the allocation of water.	ii. The plan makes a total volume of highly reliable water available. Individual licence assessments consider factors such as soil and crops types and water use efficiency, to determine site specific water needs.	
iii. Tarrara-Carlton subarea is without an allocation.	iii. The allocation limit for the Tarrara-Carlton subarea is 0 GL because development potential in this area is limited, the environmental flow regime needs to be maintained throughout the reach, and land from this subarea was not cleared of native title under the Ord Final Agreement. The Department of Agriculture WA is investigating whether 2000–3000 ha of Cockatoo Sands in this subarea could be developed in the medium term, but development is unlikely to proceed during the life of this plan. If water was to be abstracted from the Tarrara-Carlton river	

- iv. consider potentially splitting the lower Ord water allocation into a North and South to ensure there is potential to develop Mantinea and Carlton soil resources
- v. the planned allocation for lower Ord is insufficient and will prevent future development of Mantinea area
- vi. would like to see a more detailed analysis of hectares to entitlements.

- reach, the water entitlement would need to be granted from the Main Ord subarea allocation limit, and extra water released from Lake Kununurra (See Appendix B and Section 2.1 of the methods report).
- iv. We determined water availability at the subarea scale, with boundaries based on changes in river channel morphology. This made 115 GL available in the Carlton-Mantinea area. As there were no prior arrangements to split this allocation, access to this water will be granted on a case by case basis as land is developed. See i above and Chapter 3 of the plan.
- v. As above (ii).
- vi. Information on crop water needs and efficiencies in supplying water to crops is included in Table 9 of the methods report and Appendix 5 of the 2006 plan. We assess water use and consider distribution losses when assessing and reviewing licence applications.

Public water supply and non potable supply for rural residential purposes

One respondent wanted consideration of water supply for urban expansion and rural residential.

Kununurra's source of potable water is an unconfined groundwater aquifer just north of Lake Kununurra and recharged (in part) from the lake. Management is through a water licence and drinking water source protection plan.

While irrigation is the dominant water demand in the Ord area, minor demands for other non-potable supplies (commercial, rural residential, etc) also arise. Section 5.5 and Section 5.7 of the plan notes licensing for such purposes.

Questions

- Is the 104 GL entitlement request at the Carlton Plain subarea included in the 865 GL total water availability?
- 2. How will increased allocations be provided for in the Main Ord subarea if higher demands are correct?
- Yes. The Carlton Plain application, if granted, will come from the 115 GL/yr allocation for the Carlton-Mantinea subarea. This is included in the 865 GL/yr total water availability.
- 2. The plan provides a highly reliable supply based on the current dam's infrastructure and the long-term climate record. Complimentary to the plan, we are investigating water supply options to meet higher demands in future. Options include increasing the storage capacity of Lake Argyle or lowering reliability of supply. This water supply planning work will be reported separately. If there are major changes to the current dam infrastructure or supply system, changes to licences and a new plan will be needed.

Table 4 Comments and questions on environmental water provisions and the Dunham River

Comment		De	partment of Water response
Environmental water provisions			
con dev for and	e respondents commented on the apprehensive environmental water provisions reloped in the plan, including strong support ensuring that downstream freshwater fish a fish habitat are preserved. Other comments below.		
i.	The Office of the Environmental Protection Authority would not support reductions in environmental water provisions for the lower Ord. The plan clearly shows the department has responded very positively to EPA's advice in 1999.	i.	The environmental flow regime (provision) achieves the objective specified by the EPA's advice, and we will monitor to test that the flows are being met and are maintaining the ecology of the lower Ord over time. Any future adjustments to the flow regime will be based on results of the monitoring program.
ii.	Environmental flow for many years has been well above the minimum requirements and these may be viewed as normal.	ii.	As new demand comes online and water is diverted for irrigation, flow will reduce to the minimum environmental flow requirements. We are communicating this to stakeholders to ensure that they understand and expect these changes.
iii.	The plan needs further explanation of the need for environmental water requirements.	iii.	We have clarified the explanation in the plan. Environmental flows are required to maintain the ecological values of the lower Ord that have been established since the Ord River Dam was built, as advised by the EPA. The flows also support tourism and other social values.
iv.	Table 4 of the plan and Table 16 of methods report differ in content and we seek clarification.	iv.	Although these tables both show baseflow, the data were presented slightly differently. We have amended Table 4 of the plan to make it clearer and will be using this table to assess and evaluate performance.
V.	Suggest that the plan says that Class 1 and Class 2 restrictions will occur in critical drought periods and that in over 90 per cent of years the environmental water provision will be unrestricted.	V.	We have clarified these points in the summary and Section 4.3 of the plan.
Qu	estions		
1.	Why is there a change in environmental water provision from 94% to 93%?	1.	The slight reduction in the environmental water provision is a result of the enhanced rules approach set out in the plan. The rules were set to optimise water available for irrigation with minimal change to hydropower generation and environmental water. The difference to environmental water is very small.
2.	Please provide advice for why tail water from Ord Stage 1 is included in the	2.	Tail water from the D8 drain of the Stage 1 area can affect whether Ord Stage 2

environmental flow? meets EPBC Act and EPA requirements of no irrigation return flows at the Weaber (Goomig) outlet area in the dry season. Tail water from Ord Stage 1 is not included in the lower Ord environmental flow. Monitoring in the lower Ord indicates tail water carries large volumes of nutrients, causes turbidity and impacts negatively on the lower Ord ecology. 3. What are the long term goals the 3. We have designed monitoring to check department have with monitoring, tail water that management is effective and management and related indicators for the appropriate in meeting the plan's objectives. Monitoring in the Stage 1 Ord Irrigation Area? irrigation area has been established to improve water use efficiency and tail water management. The water quality monitoring program in the irrigation area and in the lower Ord River includes triggers for water quality parameters consistent with ANZECC/ARMCANZ guidelines. **Dunham River** Two respondents commented on the Dunham River, seeking clarity on how the plan manages the Dunham River subarea: The allocation limit for Dunham River was The final plan should state the policy set in consideration of its contributions to position that additional regulation or environmental flows. Environmental water allocation could lead to the lower Ord provisions will still be met when the environmental water provision not being 25 GL/yr Dunham allocation limit is met. The 2006 Ord plan indicated no further diverted/used. regulation. ii. The water available for Dunham River has Table 3 shows more water available for been corrected in Table 3 and the Dunham River than is available within the allocation limit will not be exceeded. allocation limit and should be revised so that the allocation limit is not exceeded.

Table 5 Comments and questions on water licensing

Comment	Department of Water response
Legislation One respondent wanted further explanation in the plan of Clause 7 of the Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914.	Clause 7 Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914 (the Act) sets out what the Minister must consider in granting or refusing an application for a licence. Considerations include public interest, ecological sustainability and intergovernmental agreements and are also essential considerations for allocation planning. For further explanation, see the licensing page on the department's web site.
Entitlements	
Several respondents raised issues relating to licence entitlements:	
 Request the department only refer to number of individual properties and area, rather than the number of OIC members. 	We have amended the plan to match the information provided.

- ii. The comment on the average GL/yr of OIC take is inappropriate and fails to reflect ongoing redevelopment of OIC irrigation land in line with new industries.
- iii. It would assist if Argyle Diamond mine's surface water take was explained.
- iv. One respondent noted its intent to obtain a bulk water allocation from Lake Argyle and a potential distribution licence to operate a water supply service to the Ord expansion areas.
- ii. An explanation has been added to the text.
- iii. We have included this in the plan (Section 5).

Recouping of entitlements

Two respondents commented on the department's management of unused entitlements, including support for the approach to match water entitlements with water use to maximise water available for irrigation and hydropower production. Other comments were:

- i. that the department cannot legally recoup an entitlement as it is a fundamental breach of its obligations under the NWI
- ii. that the OIC water entitlement is owned legally by OIC.
- i. Under the *Rights in Water and Irrigation Act* 1914 (the Act) and in accordance with our state-wide policy, the department may recoup unused water entitlements. In this case recouping of unused entitlements would be to allow the entitlements to be reissued to enable further development.
- ii. In Western Australia, water is vested in the Crown and, under the Act, the department is responsible for its management. The annual water entitlement specified on a licence is granted to the licensee for the term of the licence.

Questions

When do local licensing rules have precedence over state-wide policies?

The local licensing rules specified in a plan take precedence over state-wide policies. The local policies apply either because the local issues are not addressed in state-wide policy, or because an alternative approach is needed to better manage the local issue.

Table 6 Comments and questions on dam release rules, restrictions and power generation

Comment **Department of Water response Enhanced rules** The Ord River Dam Power Station turbines have not yet operated at low lake levels and Two respondents supported the development some refinement of the station performance of the enhanced rules, saying they are characteristics may be needed in the future. beneficial to the agriculture sector and in We used the best information available to reducing the severity of restrictions. One develop the enhanced rules approach in the respondent questioned the capacity of current plan. Any updates in station performance will infrastructure to generate power under the be used in subsequent studies to adjust proposed rules. restriction rules and the department will be guided by advice from the Water Corporation and Pacific Hydro.

Question

Enhanced rules allow for 865 GL to be available at 95 per cent reliability, so what is the difference from the current rules?

The enhanced rules approach enables more electricity to be generated on average, once more water is diverted for irrigation. The enhanced approach varies from the current rules in two ways, by:

- lowering the trigger level at which Class 1 restrictions commence so that the station can run unrestricted more frequently when storage levels are moderate to high
- increasing the trigger level at which Class 2 restrictions commence so that less water is released, independent of Water Corporation needs, when lake levels are low and restrictions on irrigation are imminent.

For further information please see Section 5.3 of the plan.

Restrictions

Four respondents commented on restrictions and the frequency at which they may apply:

- We are cautious about the operational impacts of managing Class 2 restrictions, particularly given they will occur on a much greater frequency than under the current rules.
- Page 35 needs to mention the frequency of the evaluation of environmental monitoring that provides the feedback for Class 1 and Class 2 restrictions.
- i. Class 2 restrictions on power will be more frequent under the enhanced rules, but periods of unrestricted operations will increase. Taken as a whole, the additional operational effort required to implement the enhanced rules is small and justified given the additional electricity that can be generated. The department has provided software to assist operations.
- ii. Flow monitoring is continuous and is assessed annually. Ecological monitoring will be completed and reviewed every three years to check that the flow regime put in place is maintaining ecological values. Details of the environmental monitoring program are outlined in Chapter 6 and in Lower Ord River environmental water provisions monitoring program and management framework (DoW 2011).

Question

How will restrictions apply in drought periods?

Restrictions will be determined by the Department of Water. Restrictions will be applied by the Water Corporation through changes to the releases from the Ord River Dam and changes to irrigation diversions as required. The approach to restrictions on hydropower, irrigation, and the environment is explained in Section 5 and Appendix A of the plan.

Power generation

One respondent supported the proposal to explore power generation at Kununurra Diversion Dam and said that flows with a high level of certainty would be critical in making an investment.

The department supports the potential generation of hydro-electric power at the Kununurra Diversion Dam if it is developed without affecting water for irrigation and the environment.

The lower Ord River environmental flow regime

indicates the minimum flows available. This minimum will be maintained through the Water Corporation licence and any reduction would not be supported by the Environmental Protection Authority.

Minimum flows expected through the Kununurra Diversion Dam are therefore highly secure.

Appendix D of the plan shows the average electricity that could be generated if two hydropower stations (at the both Ord River and Kununurra Diversion dams) supplied to the

East Kimberley electricity grid.

Table 7 Comments on boat navigation and cultural values associated with the dry season

Comment	Department of Water response	
Navigation		
Two respondents had differing views on water being released for tourism and navigational purposes: i. These businesses make no financial contribution to water storage and transfer and it would be unacceptable to release water for these purposes as it would impact irrigation allocation.	 i. In the past, the Water Corporation has made additional releases for navigation via the Ord River Dam irrigation valves when the power station is releasing less than 50 m³/s. We support these releases given the benefits for tourism, but only consider it acceptable when water storage in Lake Argyle is high. At times of low storage, especially when other restrictions apply, releases specifically for navigation would compromise other demands and are not supported (Section 3.4 of the plan). 	
ii. The enhanced rules will have a substantial impact on navigational passage in Lake Kununurra. Further consideration is required on how this industry can operate during periods of low flow.	ii. The enhanced rules will not have a substantial impact on navigational passage for tour boat operators. Under the current operating strategy for the Ord River and Kununurra Diversion dams, navigation releases are not permitted when power generation restrictions apply. Under the enhanced rules, navigation restrictions will apply when Lake Argyle levels fall below the Class 2 power generation restriction triggers, which occur at lower lake levels. This means that under the enhanced rules, navigation releases will be permitted more frequently (at lower levels in Lake Argyle,	
iii. The new release rules and the potential impacts on tour boat operators should be reviewed to ensure water is available for tourism operations, particularly during dry season months.	Section 5.6 of the plan). iii. Even very large releases during the dry season would not maintain navigable flow for the full extent of the lower Ord River. Releasing water during the dry season specifically for navigation was not supported by the broader community	

	(advice from community forums in 2000) because it reduces water stored for irrigation and power supply.
Cultural significance	
One respondent commented on the cultural importance of seasonality, in particular the drying out of the river towards the late dry season:	
The department could reduce water flows at certain times of the year, simulating the natural state of the river during dry periods.	i. Stakeholders, including the Miriuwung Gajerrong (MG) Corporation, will be notified of any planned periods of low water flow on the lower Ord, caused by any maintenance on the dams or foreshore areas. In addition, the department will invite the MG to the end-of-wet-season stakeholder meeting where likely river flows over the next 12-18 months are discussed.
ii. Should the department reduce environmental flows, MG people would be well placed to play a part in this opportunity and welcomes the chance to discuss opportunities with the department and other interested stakeholders.	ii. Noted. As above.

Table 8 Comments on agricultural expansion and demands

Co	Comment		Department of Water response	
Future agriculture expansion				
A number of respondents commented on potential agriculture expansion areas in the Ord River area:				
i.	The plan may require future amendments to accommodate future requirements. Ongoing work is required for adequate water availability and appropriate reliability to support land supplied from Lake Argyle.	i.	This plan deals with the maximum reliable water supply for irrigation expansion with the current dam infrastructure, while meeting demands for irrigation and environmental flow as far as possible. We have commenced a separate piece of work on options for future additional supply.	
ii.	If sugar cane becomes more prevalent in Stage 1, water use would increase significantly. There needs to be a transparent approach for re-allocation.	ii.	Noted. See Section 5 and local licensing policy of the plan.	
iii.	The plan should say there is little growth in self-supply demand, and a total of 15 GL has been accounted for.	iii.	Noted, text amended.	
iv.	Suggest that Victoria Highway and Carlton Hill North in the Cockatoo Sands area be in the Main Ord subarea as, subject to approvals, they could be developed within the plan timeframe.	iv.	Water to supply Victoria Highway would be supplied via the Main Ord subarea. See Table 2 above for explanation of why Cartlon-Matinea is a separate subarea.	
V.	The plan needs to further accommodate for future development of the Northern Territory and Cockatoo Sands.	V.	The plan makes an initial provision for potential use in the Northern Territory. We will review the Northern Territory provision	

in 2017 or sooner if it is preventing the development of viable irrigation areas in Western Australia, there are no new entitlements available through water supply options and/or there is no progress in developing irrigation areas in the Northern Territory.

Cockatoo Sands investigations are not yet complete and the areas are yet to have native title clearance. The allocation limits in the plan more than meet realistic irrigation demand projections during the life of the plan.

Maximising water from Lake Argyle

Six respondents commented on adjusting release rules and the feasibility of storing more water in Lake Argyle for further development:

- Amending the operation strategy will require further consultation to mitigate operation impacts before agreement is reached.
- ii. Major adjustment will result in further disruption to flora and fauna.
- iii. Under the heading 'New Release Rules' it explains that the rules reduce the percentage of environmental water that is allocated; it should have a linking statement to environmental monitoring.
- iv. There is interest in commercial opportunities should storage increase through upgrading infrastructure.
- v. Proposed new infrastructure will require heritage clearances.

- The department is working with Water Corporation to manage and communicate operational impacts.
- ii. The environmental flow regime (provision) is consistent with the EPA objective to maintain the post dam environment. The environmental flow regime needed is lower than the current flows in the lower Ord. We are implementing a monitoring program that will identify any significant impacts on flora and fauna.
- iii. When restrictions are in place, the enhanced rules may have a slightly greater impact on environmental flows than the current rules. The environmental monitoring section explains how monitoring will check to ensure that flows are meeting environmental requirements.
- iv. If more water can be made available through changes to dam infrastructure, a process to select a service provider would be made public.
- v. Any proposals to develop new infrastructure where Native Title has been determined and not covered by the Ord Final Agreement, would need to follow normal Heritage and Native Title processes.

Non-consumptive water demands

One respondent requested that aquaculture be acknowledged as an existing and potential growth industry in Lake Argyle, and to a lesser extent Lake Kununurra.

We agree. The plan acknowledges aquaculture in Section 3.

Table 9 Comments and questions on the National Water Initiative and the plan

Comment		Department of Water response	
National Water Initiative (NWI)			
Three respondents commented on the department implementing NWI principles and its interactions with state legislation:			
i.	The department is encouraged to mitigate water shortages through trading, creating efficiency and innovation. It is important that water trading is available for higher value irrigation to be sustainable.	i. The allocation limit is one mechanism to encourage water use efficiency and best practices. Future entitlements granted through licences will require efficient water use. Water shortages are managed through the restrictions approach described in the plan. Trading between licensees is also available at any time, and is more likely to occur when we reach full allocation. See Chapter 5 of the plan for more information.	
ii.	If implemented, the plan would stifle future trade and amount to a direct failure to comply with NWI.	ii. The plan enables sustainable resource management and security for licensees, and as such is consistent with the general intent of National Water Initiative (NWI). The plan relies on instruments available under the WA legislation, which do enable trade.	
iii.	Interchangeable use of 'entitlements' and 'allocation' is not in line with NWI.	iii. Terminology in the text has been revised to be more consistent with WA water management and legislation terminology. The plan is written in the context of the Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914, and terms may not have the same meaning as those used for the NWI. The definition of these terms under the Act is in the plan glossary. We have checked through the plan to ensure we have used the proper entitlements term where appropriate.	
iv.	We understand that our entitlement is permanent in line with NWI.	iv. A licence entitlement granted under the Act defines the legal right of the licensee, specifying the purposes for which the water may be taken and the period the licence is valid for.	
Qu	estion	No. The plan is consistent with the National	
Is the department's intention to breach the NWI as signed by the Western Australian Government?		Water Initiative (NWI) as far as possible under the <i>Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914</i> (the Act).	

Table 10 Comments and questions on monitoring and reporting

Comment	Department of Water response
Plan outcomes One respondent suggested that 'wetlands and habitat for migratory bird species listed under international agreements' should be included as part of the third outcome.	The department recognises the importance of the international agreements but does not consider that this is a direct outcome of the water allocation plan. The environmental flow provision acts as a mechanism to achieve the

			outcome 'healthy lower Ord' and ensure increased diversion will not have an impact on EPBC Act listed values.		
Ric	ological monitoring				
Fo	ur respondents supported the biological point or intering as part of the annual reporting ocess and suggested improvements: Trigger levels should be revised once sufficient baseline data are collected.	i.	Baseline data has been collected and trigger levels will be revised and applied through the Water Corporation's licence operating strategy before additional diversions occur.		
ii.	Funding for ecological monitoring program needs to be confirmed.	ii.	We are finalising the terms of monitoring with Water Corporation. The requirement for ecological monitoring is supported by the EPA and other state government agencies.		
iii.	Targets should be expanded beyond flow targets at Tarrara Bar trigger.	iii.	While the Tarrara Bar target is our primary measure, we have a detailed set of both flow and ecological targets for the Ord River which will be sufficient to monitor and assess the health of the Ord River environment.		
iv.	There should be further explanation of how ecological condition is to be measured (including various morphological components).	iv.	The ecological monitoring program in Section 6.3 of the plan details the approaches that will be used to assess the ecological components of the lower Ord River, which are water quality, fish, macroinvertebrates and vegetation (riparian and in-stream). There were 51 river cross section assessments done to inform the flow requirement, and further geomorphology work is not needed in the monitoring program as impacts of increased diversion would be small compared to impacts of major flood flows.		
v.	The plan should include key condition indicators in riverine and estuarine wetlands.	V.	The Ramsar estuarine and peripheral riparian wetlands will not be measurably affected by the increased diversion or the environmental water provisions, so we are not required to monitor their ecological condition. Section 8.4 of the methods report explains that water quality and biological productivity in the estuarine wetlands are driven by tidal movement and runoff from the unregulated portion of the Ord catchments. Peripheral riverine wetlands of the Parry Creek Lagoon system are predominantly supported by local rainfall and flows from Parry Creek. We don't expect the alteration of the flow regime from implementing the environmental flow regime and increased allocation limit to affect the flood frequency of the Parry Creek Lagoon system.		

vi. Trigger levels for fish are too conservative.

- vi. The current trigger is a 30 per cent change in the total number and abundance of all species. For larger species such as barramundi, mullet and saw fish (listed under the EPBC Act) the trigger is 'a detectable change' in frequency and size of individuals. We defined the trigger levels based on the detectable effect size calculated from available baseline data. Triggers will be revised using recently completed additional sampling, and applied through the Water Corporation's licence operating strategy before additional diversions occur.
- vii. There is an over reliance on unpublished reports.
- vii. The department developed the monitoring program with a member of the scientific panel who has extensive experience in ecological monitoring of the lower Ord and other rivers across WA. Further detail on the consultation and involvement of the scientific panel can found in Section 2.6 of the Ecological water requirements for the lower Ord River (Braimbridge & Malseed 2007). The reports are available on request. Please contact the Water Allocation Planning Branch (see further information section).

Questions

- 1. Please explain why the macroinvertebrate community composition criteria for decline is being used, and how such criteria could be used for species composition?
- 2. Why should Water Corporation have the responsibility for undertaking the biological monitoring component of the lower Ord environmental water provision monitoring program?
- 3. Why does the reporting not include annual reporting to the Department of Environment and Conservation and the Commonwealth?
- The trigger level of a 10 per cent change in macroinvertebrate community composition has been removed from the ecological monitoring program.
- Water Corporation is the major provider of commercial water supply services and operator of the major infrastructure that most affects river flows. The Act makes provision for licence conditions to relate to monitoring of ecosystems.
- 3. The department is not required to report to the Department of Environment and Conservation and the Commonwealth.

Ramsar wetlands

One respondent supported the plan in that the allocation does not affect Ramsar wetlands. Other comments were that:

- The allocation limits set in the plan should be tested and reported against ecological character descriptions for the Lower Ord Ramsar sites.
- i. The ecological monitoring program has been designed to check that the environmental flow regime is achieving the objectives for the parts of the lower Ord River that will be affected by increased diversion. Water quality and biological productivity in the estuarine wetlands are driven by tidal movement and runoff from the unregulated portion of the Ord

- ii. If there are changes to water allocations that may have a significant impact on matters protected under the EPBC Act, that the department considers referral of action for decisions under the EPBC Act.
- catchments (See Section 8.4 of the methods report).
- ii. Noted. The plan allows a further 400 GL/yr to be diverted from the Main Ord, and 115 GL/yr further downstream without having impact on matters protected under the EBC Act. It establishes an environmental flow provision which acts as a mechanism to make sure increased allocation will not have an impact on EPBC Act listed values. The department will consider the need to refer any future changes to the federal government as necessary.

Questions

- 1. Why are Ramsar wetlands mentioned but not discussed?
- 2. Who is responsible for the Lower Ord River environmental water provision monitoring program and management framework?
- Ramsar wetlands are discussed in Section
 3 of the methods report.
- 2. The department is responsible for implementing the monitoring program and management framework. However, OIC and Water Corporation have responsibilities under their licence conditions for undertaking and reporting on specific components of the monitoring program, with OIC responsible for water quality monitoring within the Stage I irrigation area and Water Corporation responsible for the ecological monitoring in the lower Ord River.

Acquiring monitoring data

Three respondents requested they receive copies of the monitoring data and to be informed if monitoring indicates a significant change in the freshwater ecosystem.

Ecological monitoring will be undertaken every three years and the results will be publicly available.

Evaluation

One respondent encouraged the department to add a section detailing the results of the evaluation, to whom and how often.

See Chapter 7 of the plan for details on evaluation.

Table 11 Comments and questions on consultation and communication

Comment	Department of Water response
Consultation	
Five respondents noted the department should undertake further community consultation and develop effective communication tools. Other comments are below:	
i. It is unclear if general community consultation was undertaken to build the capacity of the community in water management.	 i. How we developed the plan and the main stakeholder interests raised during the planning process are presented in sections 1.5 and 1.6 respectively.
ii. Further engagement will assist the	ii. Noted. The annual stakeholder meeting is a

community to understand the complexity of the issues associated with water management, in this case supply of electricity to their community. iii. Include DEC in the main stakeholder interests section.	key part of engaging and communicating with the community over our management arrangements in the Ord surface water area. iii. We have updated the stakeholder section.		
Indigenous engagement Two respondents noted the department's engagement with indigenous groups. One noted the department support for traditional indigenous laws and customs. Another showed interest in continuing a working relationship for heritage protection and safe guarding native title rights of future developments.	We recognise that all water resources, rivers and their tributaries; wetlands and springs have cultural and traditional significance to Miriuwung Gajerrong people. We will continue our strong working relationship with the Miriuwung Gajerrong as the Ord area is developed and water is allocated.		
Annual Ord River Stakeholder meeting Two respondents commented on the annual operation meeting (now called the Annual Ord River Stakeholder meeting): i. DEC should be included as an attendee.	i. We have updated the list in the plan.		
ii. Water Corporation is discussing with the department the information required to be	ii. Noted, and clarified in Section 3.5 of the plan.		

Where to next?

presented at the meeting.

Where indicated, responses have been incorporated in the final *Ord surface water allocation plan*. The plan is available from the department's website <www.water.wa.gov.au/allocationplanning>. It outlines how the department will allocate and manage surface water resources in the Ord River area through licensing, assessment, policy and reporting.

The department is currently investigating future water supply options for expanding agriculture in the Ord area. This includes the potential supply if the base of the spillway were increased.

Further information

For licensing information, please contact our:

Kununurra Regional office 27 Victoria Highway Kununurra WA 6743 Phone 08 9166 4116

For planning information, please contact our:

Water Allocation planning branch Phone 08 6364 7600 Email allocationplanning@water.wa.gov.au

Shortened forms

AHD Australian Height Datum

DoW Department of Water

EPA Environmental Protection Authority

EWP Environmental water provision

EWR Environmental water requirement

NWI National Water Initiative

KDD Kununurra Diversion Dam

OIC Ord Irrigation Cooperative

PH Pacific Hydro

Volumes of water

One litre	1 litre	1 litre	(L)
One thousand litres	1000 litres	1 kilolitre	(kL)
One million litres	1 000 000 litres	1 megalitre	(ML)
One thousand million litres	1 000 000 000 litres	1 gigalitre	(GL)

Glossary

Abstraction Withdrawal of water from any surface water or groundwater

source of supply.

Allocation limit The annual volume of water set aside for use from a water

resource. In the Ord area it is the total amount of water that can

be licensed from a resource or subarea.

Annual announced

allocation

The proportion of an annual water entitlement that is available in a

given year.

Annual water entitlement

The amount of water specified on a licence issued under Section 5C of the *Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914* that can be

taken each year (dates specified on the licence).

Argyle Diamonds Argyle Diamonds Ltd, operators of the Argyle Diamonds Mine; a

wholly owned subsidiary of Rio Tinto Ltd.

Consumptive use Water used for consumptive purposes considered as a private

benefit including irrigation, industry, urban and stock and domestic

use.

Diversion (of water) Taking water from a watercourse, usually by gravity.

Ecological water requirement

The water regime needed to maintain the current ecological values (including assets, functions and processes) of water-dependent ecosystems consistent with the objectives of an

environmental flow study.

Environmental water

provision

The water regime resulting from the water allocation decisionmaking process taking into account ecological, social, cultural and economic impacts. They may meet in part, or in full, the ecological

water requirements.

Fit-for-purpose water Water of a quality suitable for the intended end purpose. It implies

that the quality is not higher than needed.

Goomig farmlands New farmland being established by the Western Australian

Government under the Ord Irrigation Expansion Project. The area is located on the Weaber Plain to the north east of Stage 1 areas.

In-situ water Represents water that needs to be left in the system, including the

water needed to maintain the integrity of the resource and

ecological, social and cultural values.

Licence (or licensed

entitlement)

A formal authorisation that entitles the licence holder to take water from a watercourse, wetland or underground source under the

Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914.

Management area A defined surface water area or groundwater area proclaimed

under the Rights in Water and Irrigation Act 1914.

Ord Final Agreement A deed for the Compulsory Acquisition of Native Title Rights and

> Interests (Ord) between the State of Western Australia, the Miriuwung and Gajerrong People (MG), and private interests

(Grantee Parties).

Over-allocation Where the total volume of water allocated out of the resource (that

could be abstracted at any time) is over the set allocation limit.

Where the actual volume of water abstracted from the resource is Over-use

over the set allocation limit.

Pacific Hydro Pacific Hydro Limited, owners and operators of the Ord River

Dam hydropower station.

Reliability The frequency with which a water licence holder can access their

full annual water entitlement.

Self-supply Water users (individuals or organisations) who abstract water

from a source for their own individual requirements.

Social value An in-situ quality, attribute or use that is important for public

benefit, welfare, state or health.

Social water requirement

The water regime needed to maintain social and cultural values.

Subarea A subdivision, within a surface or groundwater area, defined to

> better manage water allocation. Subarea boundaries are not proclaimed and can therefore be amended without being

gazetted.

References

- Braimbridge, MJ & Malseed, BE 2007, *Ecological water requirements for the lower Ord River*, Environmental water report series, report no. 4, Department of Water, Perth.
- Department of Water 2006, *Environmental values, flow related issues and objectives for the lower Ord River*, Environmental water report series, report no. 1, Department of Water, Perth.
- ——2011, Lower Ord River environmental water provision monitoring program and management framework, Environmental water report series, report no. 19, Department of Water, Perth.
- ——2011, Water allocation planning in Western Australia: a guide to our process, Department of Water, Perth.
- ——2013a, *Ord surface water allocation plan: for public comment*, Department of Water, Perth.
- ——2013b, *Ord surface water allocation plan methods report*, Department of Water, Perth.
- Water and Rivers Commission 1999, *Draft interim water allocation plan, Ord River,* Waters and Rivers Commission, Perth.

Legislation

Australian Government, *Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act* 1999, Canberra.

Government of Western Australia 1914, Rights in Water and Irrigation Act, Perth.

Policy

National Water Commission 2004, *Intergovernmental Agreement on a Nation Water Initiative*, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory.



168 St Georges Terrace, Perth, Western Australia PO Box K822 Perth Western Australia 6842 Phone: 08 6364 7600

Fax: 08 6364 7601 www.water.wa.gov.au