Contaminated Sites Committee

- Summary of Decision
- Appeal Against Site Classification

Date of decision	26 August 2011
Type of decision	Determination of an appeal against site classification pursuant to section 82 of the <i>Contaminated Sites Act 2003</i> .
Matter (file no.)	CSC 12/2010
Date lodged	23 August 2010
Appellant	Beruca Pty Ltd
Site name/address	30422 Brand Highway, Dongara WA
Certificate of title no./ Crown reserve no.	Part of Lot 9500 on plan 57285 Certificate of Title volume 2684 folio 167
Background	The site was previously used for sheep dipping. The affected soil was remediated by being removed offsite and replaced with clean fill. This remediation was validated.
	DEC classified the site <i>remediated for restricted use</i> on 28 July 2010 with restrictions on the use of groundwater.
	Technical reports by Golder Associates undertook groundwater investigations in 2010 and found the groundwater exceeded Australian Drinking Water Guidelines and Department of Health Guidelines for domestic non-potable groundwater use, however concluded that the exceedances were in compounds such as ammonia and salts that were a likely reflection of regional groundwater quality and conditions in the area. They noted the compounds detected in groundwater testing were not the components of sheep dip.
	Taking into consideration the technical information and background salinity levels in groundwater in that area, DEC altered its view and considered "decontaminated" was an acceptable classification for the site.
Committee's decision?	Appeal Upheld: reclassify to DECONTAMINATED

Reasons for decision

The soil affected by sheep dip contamination had been successfully remediated. Therefore the remaining issue was the groundwater.

Based on the technical information provided by the Appellant it appeared clear the groundwater merely reflected background concentrations of components, and was not impacted by the sheep dip contamination.

The Committee concluded the classification of *Decontaminated* without restrictions on the property use or groundwater was appropriate. The remainder of the property should remain not classified.