



0.0%

Consultant Performance Report Summary

General Information						
Project / Contract Name	0					
Project or Work Order Number	0					
Current Project Status	Handover					
Reporting Officer	0					
Reporting Officer Position Title	0					
Approving Officer	0					
Approving Officer Position Title	0					
Date of Report	1/01/2023					
Project Category (PM Framework)	0					
Commission/Contract Details						
Consultant Legal Entity Name	0					
Consultant Engagement Method	Architectural Services Panel					
Consultant's Role	0					
Contract or Purchase Order Number	0					
Consultant's Contract Award Date	1/10/2022					

Overall Performance Rating

Consultant Business Representative

Reason for performance report

Excellent >=86%
Well above the

acceptable standard of

performance

Performance Score

Good 60% - 74.9% Marginal 46% - 59.9%

very Good 75% - 85.9%	G000 60% - 74.9%	Marginai 46% - 59.9%	Unsatisfactory <46%
Often exceeds the acceptable standard of performance	Meets the acceptable standard of performance	Mostly meets the acceptable standard of performance but has some weaknesses	Well below the acceptable standard of performance

Construction Practical Completion

Criteria	Rating	Comments
		Comments
Time Management (20%) Section A: Quantitative	Not Applicable	
Section A: Quantitative Section B: Qualitative	Not Applicable	
Section 6: Qualitative	Not Applicable	
Quality Management (20%)	Not Applicable	
Section A: Standard of Service	Not Applicable	
Section B: Quality Systems	Not Applicable	
Resource Management (20%)	Not Applicable	
Section A: Management and Suitability of Personnel	Not Applicable	
Section B: Management of Subconsultants	Not Applicable	
Contract Administration (20%)	Not Applicable	
Section A: Monitoring Activities	Not Applicable	
Section B: Use of Policies and Procedures and Initatives	Not Applicable	
Communication and Relationships (20%)	Not Applicable	
Section A: Relationship with Principal	Not Applicable	
Section B: Relationship with Community, Client Agency, Stakeholders	Not Applicable	
Section C: Relationship with Contractor	Not Applicable	

Comments by Reporting Officer									
Comments by Approving Officer									
Review sent to Consultant:	Date:		Email and signed CPB	to Consultant is contained in	TRIM folder				
rieview sent to Gonsultant.			Email and Signed Of Th	to consultant is contained in	Triiivi Tolder				
Comments by Consultants (including, what could Finance have done differently to improve the outcome of the project?)									
Approving Officer's reply to consulta	ant's comments								
	Data			L' TOUL					
Response sent to Consultant	Date:		Correspondence sav	ved in TRIM, reference numbe	r:				
Final Agreed Performance Rating (changes made in consultation with the Consultant (if applicable: detail original score and criteria ratings that have changed as a result of the Consultant's right of response)									
	Name		Position Title	Signature	Date				
Reporting Officer									
	Name		Position Title	Signature	Date				
Approving Officer									