Arson, Breach of Duty by Person in Control of Ignition Source or Fire and Light Fire Likely to Injure

ss 444 & 445A Criminal Code s 32(2) Bush Fires Act

From 1 January 2021

Transitional Sentencing Provisions: This table is divided into thirds based on the three relevant periods of Sentencing Provisions:

- Post-transitional provisions period
- Transitional provisions period
- Pre-transitional provisions period

These periods are separated by a row which shows when the transitional provisions were enacted, and another showing when they were repealed.

Glossary:

cum

agg aggravated att attempted

AOBH assault occasioning bodily harm

burg burglary
cir circumstances
conc concurrent

ct count

dep lib deprivation of liberty GBH grievous bodily harm

cumulative

imp imprisonment PG plead guilty susp suspended

TAT :	o. Case Antecedents Summary/Facts Sentence Appeal				
No.		Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal
4.	Aung v The State	38 yrs at time sentencing.	2 x Wilful damage by fire.	Ct 1: 3 yrs imp.	Dismissed (leave refused).
	of Western			Ct 2: 18 mths imp (conc).	
	Australia	Convicted after PG (25%	Aung and the victim were married. Their		The appeal concerned
		discount).	relationship had ended but some of the victim's	TES 3 yrs imp.	length of individual
	[2022] WASCA		clothing remained at the material home.	X 0	sentences.
	175	Prior criminal history;		EFP.	
		including offences of	Aung was served with a family violence		At [42] The appellant
	Delivered	violence, a number of	restraining order (VRO).	The sentencing judge	committed ct 1 in the
	20/12/2022	which committed against	119	found the offending	context of previous
		ex-wife (the victim).	Some months later, early in the morning, Aung	serious; it occurred in the	offences of domestic
			went to the victim's home in breach of the family	context of a history of	violence against the victim
		Born in Burma; eldest of	VRO. He took with him some of the victim's	domestic violence; at the	and while subject to family
		two sons; distant mother;	clothes.	time he was the subject of	VROs protecting the
		alcoholic father who died	X	a family VRO; it was	victim, her father and other
		suddenly when aged 13 yrs.	The victim's car and her father's car were parked	deliberate and persistent	family members. The
			at the home. Aung placed some of the clothing	and occurred in a built-up	offending was deliberate
		Supportive family.	near one of the tyres of the victim's car and then	residential area where the	and persistent. It was
			set the clothing alight. This led to the destructions	potential risk to people	directed to the victim, who
		Completed yr 12 high	of the clothing and heat damage to the car,	and property was	had already suffered
		school.	rendering it unrepairable.	catastrophic.	domestic violence at the
					appellant's hands. While
		10-yr-old son to ex-wife.	Aung then placed clothing near a tyre of the	The sentencing judge	the appellant denied an
			victim's father vehicle and again set the clothing	expressed concern the	intention to cause her fear
		Successfully completed	alight. Due to morning dew the clothing sustained	appellant had offended	and trauma, that was the
		cooking apprenticeship;	only minimal damage and no damage was done to	against the same victim in	very likely – if not
		strong employment history;	the vehicle.	the past on multiple	practically inevitable –
		good work prospects on		occasions, highlighting a	consequence of his
		release.	The victim called police. Aung was located at his	concerning pattern.	offending behaviour.
			home address that same morning.		Given the location of the
		History of depression;		Offending adverse	offending, there was a real
		anxiety and problematic		psychological and	risk of much more
		alcohol use.		financial impact on victim	significant damage.
		-640		and her.	

					Y
				K	At [53] While the damage
					caused in ct 2 is relatively
					minor, the offence
					nevertheless had other
					serious elements The
					offence was premeditated
				40°	and committed with the
					motivation and likely
					effect on the victim to
			• (7	which we have referred.
					There was a real risk that
					the fire would spread to the
					vehicle and set fire to fuel
					or other flammable
					material in the vehicle. The
			X		fact that, due to the
					weather conditions, this
					did not occur was despite
3.	Hutton v The	20 yms at time offending	Ct 1: Arson.	Ct 1. 10 mths imm (sum)	the efforts of the appellant. Dismissed.
3.	State of Western	38 yrs at time offending. 40 yrs at time sentence.	Ct 1: Arson. Ct 2: Manslaughter.	Ct 1: 18 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 7 yrs 6 mths (cum).	Dishiissed.
	Australia	40 yrs at time sentence.	Ct 2. Walistaughter.	Ct 2. 7 yrs 6 mins (cum).	Appeal concerned length
	Australia	Convicted after PG (20%	Hutton believed the victim had sexually assaulted	TES 9 yrs imp.	of sentence ct 2 and totality
	[2022] WASCA	discount).	his daughter. He drove from Perth to Geraldton to	1LS y yrs imp.	principle.
	133	discounty.	confront him.	EFP.	principie.
		Prior criminal history; no			At [55] In our opinion, the
	Delivered	previous sentences of imp.	Hutton went to the victim's home armed with a	The sentencing judge	appellant's contention that
	14/10/2022	or violent offending.	knife. During a confrontation he assault the	found the appellant's	the sentence of imp for
			victim, inflicting two, non-life threatening, knife	actions premediated and	ct 2 was manifestly
		Parents separated when a	wounds.	well planned and those of	excessive does not have a
		baby; never met his		a vigilante and he did not	reasonable prospect of
		biological father; mother	Hutton then doused the victim's home with petrol	provide or obtain medical	success. That is the only
		physically and verbally	and lit a fire inside the house by unknown means.	assistance for the victim	conclusion reasonably
		abusive towards him;	He then left the premises, despite knowing the	either in relation to the	open
		loving and carrying	victim was injured.	knife wounds or after he	

stepfather from aged 7 yrs who endeavoured to protect him from his mother's abusive behaviour.

Supportive family and friends.

Educated to yr 11; bullied; behavioural problems at school.

Good work history; employed variety of occupations.

Long-term relationship from aged 21 yrs; married; three children; separated.

Mental issues on disintegration of his marriage; prescribed antidepressant medication.

Cannabis use ages 15-22 yrs and after marriage breakdown; using cannabis at time offending.

Firefighters attended and located the victim's body.

The cause of the victim's death was determined to be the 'combined effects of fire and multiple injuries in a man with atherosclerotic heart disease'.

had ignited the fire.

The sentencing judge found the offence of arson was serious; an accelerant was used; he targeted a house in a residential neighbourhood, where there was a significant risk of the fire spreading to adjoining properties or land and he put at great risk members of the fire and emergency services.

Remorseful and accepting of responsibility; very sound prospects of rehabilitation; low risk of future violent offending.

At [63] ... the appellant's offending on ct 1 and ct 2 occurred within a short period. However, we are satisfied that it was necessary in order properly to mark the seriousness of the appellant's overall offending, having regard to all relevant facts and circumstances and all relevant sentencing factors, to order some accumulation of the appropriate sentence for ct 1 and the appropriate sentence for ct 2. ... We consider that a sentence of 3 yrs 3 mths imp for ct 1 (before considering totality) was lenient.

At [64] In our opinion, the appellant's contention that the TES ... was unreasonable or plainly unjust does not have a reasonable prospect of success. A custodial term of that length was required in order properly to reflect the very serious character of the appellant's overall offending. The TES bears a proper relationship to the

		_			7
				X	criminality involved in
				40secullile	both of the offences,
					viewed together, and
					having regard to all
					relevant facts and
					circumstances and all
					relevant sentencing factors,
					including the seriousness
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	of the overall offending,
			o C .	7	[the victim's] vulnerability
			A'A ()		[and] the short period
					within which the offending
					occurred,
2.	Jabbie v The	22-23 yrs at time offending.	IND 2405	IND 2405	Dismissed (leave refused).
	State of Western	24 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 4; 7 & 12: Agg robbery.	Ct 4: 2 yrs 3 mths imp	, ,
	Australia		Cts 5 & 11: Agg armed robbery.	(conc).	Appeal concerned lengths
		<u>IND 2405</u>	Cts 8 & 10: Agg burglary.	Ct 5: 4 yrs imp (head).	of individual sentences cts
	[2022] WASCA	Convicted after late PG –	Cts 9; 14-15: Stealing.	Ct 7: 3 yrs 6 mths imp	5 and 7; totality principle
	10	cts 4, 7-9 and 11-16 (18%	Ct 13: Steal MV.	(cum).	and error in sentencing
		discount).	Ct 16: Att agg burglary.	Ct 8: 2 yrs 2 mths imp	commencement date.
	Delivered	Convicted after very late	KO .	(conc).	
	09/02/2022	PG – cts 5 and 10 (15%	<u>IND 1443</u>	Ct 9: 1 yr 8 mths imp	At [73]-[74] Ct 5 involved
		discount).	Ct 1: Wilful damage by fire.	(conc).	a violent attack on a
		<u>IND 1443</u>		Ct 10: 2 yrs imp (conc).	rideshare driver, using a
		Convicted after early PG	<u>IND 2405</u>	Ct 11: 3 yrs 4 mths imp	weapon, while the
		(25% discount).	<u>Ct 4</u>	(conc).	appellant was in company.
			Jabbie approached the victim walking down the	Ct 12: 3 yrs imp (conc).	The appellant sprayed the
		Extensive criminal history;	street. Without warning he hit the victim around	Ct 13: 1 yr 6 mths imp	victim in the face while the
		including offences of	the head, causing him to fall to the ground. He	(conc).	victim was driving, thereby
		violence and dishonesty.	further assaulted the victim. Jabbie stole the	Ct 14: 2 yrs 6 mths imp	endangering the victim and
			victim's mobile phone, headphones and wallet.	(conc).	members of the public. The
		Disadvantaged and difficult		Ct 15: No further	victim was providing a
		upbringing; born Liberia;	<u>Ct 5</u>	punishment.	service to the public. He
		only child; parents	Two days later, the victim, an Uber driver, agreed	Ct 16: 1 yr's imp (conc).	was vulnerable to an
		separated when young;	to drive Jabbie and three other males. Jabbie was		unexpected attack while he

largely raised by grandparents.

Came to Australia to live with his father; arriving via refugee camp; troubled relationship with stepmother; offended against his stepsister; removed from the family home by Department of Communities until aged 17 yrs.

Poorly educated; limited employment opportunities; some salesperson and gardening work.

Two young sons from former relationship; relationship marred by violence; no contact with his children for over two yrs.

Diagnosed with depression aged 19 yrs.

Commenced alcohol and cannabis use aged 13 yrs; methyl aged 17 yrs.

in the front seat when he sprayed the victim in the face with an unknown substance as he was driving. The victim, in pain, stopped his vehicle, got out and ran away, before falling. Jabbie went up to the victim, searched his pockets and took his wallet and a sum of money. Jabbie then tried to leave in the victim's vehicle, but he could not start it. The victim required treatment for his injuries.

<u>Ct 7</u>

About nine days later the victim, aged 65 yrs, collected Jabbie and a female in his taxi. When he was unable to pay the fare at the end of the journey the victim told him he would return them to where he had picked them up. Jabbie became aggressive and punched the victim. He instructed the victim to stop the car. When he did so Jabbie continued kicking and punching him. The victim lost balance and was rendered unconscious.

Jabbie then removed \$2,700 in cash from the victim's pocket. The victim was hospitalised due to his injuries.

Ct 8

Several days later Jabbie and a co-offender entered a house and stole a number of items valued at \$1,170. While inside the house the victim and her daughter returned. Jabbie tried to hide before fleeing.

<u>Ct 9</u>

After fleeing the home the subject of ct 8 Jabbie jumped a fence into the backyard of the neighbouring home. He stole two cans of soft

IND 1443

Ct 1: 1 yr's imp (cum).

TES 8 yrs 6 mths imp.

EFP.

The sentencing judge found the appellant's overall offending 'very serious; given the number of victims, some of whom were elderly, and the ongoing consequences for the victims.

The sentencing judge found the offending the subject of IND 1332 was serious because of the risk of harm to others at the prison. The risk of serious injury or death caused by fire was considerably increased within the confines of the prison due to the significantly delayed ability to escape the area's security mechanisms.

Appellant remorseful; some insight into his offending; high risk of reoffending.

was driving. The offending has had profound and enduring effects on the victim, who has suffered PTSD and suicidal depression. ... the sentence of 4 yrs imp on ct 5 is comfortably within the range of sentences available on a proper exercise of the sentencing discretion. ...

At [75]-[76] Ct 7 involved a violent attack on a 65-yrold taxi driver. The appellant punched and kicked the victim, rendering him unconscious. Again, the victim was providing a service to the public. The appellant stole a large sum of money ... from the victim. The appellant's offending has had significant medical. psychological and financial consequences on the victim, ... the sentence of 3 yrs 6 mths on ct 7 is well within the range of sentences available on a proper exercise of the sentencing discretion. ...

drink from a fridge in a side room. He fled when the occupants returned home.

Cts 10 and 11

That same day Jabbie entered the garage of the victim, aged 77 yrs, with the intention of stealing his car. The victim went to investigate the noise and was confronted by Jabbie, who sprayed him with a fire extinguisher. Jabbie then tried to enter the house to find the car keys, however the victim pushed him back and closed the door. Jabbie then fled.

Cts 12 and 13

The next day Jabbie approached the victim's vehicle. The victim, aged 64 yrs, had just finished work and gotten into his car. Jabbie elbowed the driver's window, smashing it completely. The victim sustained a large cut to his arm. Jabbie took the keys to the vehicle. The victim got out of the car and an altercation ensured. After the fighting stopped Jabbie took the car keys and demanded property from the victim. The victim said he did not have anything and asked for his keys back. Jabbie refused and left on foot, taking the car keys with him.

The victim walked to his place of work. Jabbie then went inside and confronted him again. This time demanding his watch. After a brief altercation he stole the victim's watch. The victim's employer intervened and asked Jabbie to return the victim's belongings, but he refused and left in the victim's vehicle.

At [80] The appellant's offending caused serious harm to a number of different victims. He violently attacked the victims of cts 4, 5, 7, 11 and 12, many of whom continue to suffer significant adverse effects from the attack. ...

At [81] Given the substantial number of serious offences the subject of [IND 2405], accumulation, to some substantial degree, was necessary to reflect the seriousness of the offending. ... Accumulation of the sentence on the offence the subject of [IND 1443] was necessary and appropriate, given that the offence was serious and was committed while the appellant was a sentenced prisoner.

At [82] In our view, the TES ... was well within the proper exercise of the sentencing judge's discretion.

			<u> </u>		<u>/</u>
			Cts 14 and 15 Later that same day Jabbie smashed a window of the victim's residential unit. He stole jewellery, including family heirlooms of sentimental value, with a value estimated at about \$30,000. Some of the jewellery was recovered, but a large amount remains outstanding. Ct 16 The following day Jabbie attempted to gain access to the victim's house by kicking in the door. The victim heard the noise and saw Jabbie on a CCTV camera and called the police. Jabbie left and did not gain access to the house. IND 1443 While incarcerated Jabbie put a sheet over a device he had set up through an electrical socket in his cell. The sheet ignited and the fire spread to the mattress before being extinguished. The fire caused around \$2,000 of damage.	rosectilités	
1.	Seaton v The State of Western Australia [2021] WASCA 12 Delivered 28/01/2021	50 yrs at time sentencing. Convicted after trial. Stable early life. Completed yr 12 high school; nursing qualifications. Married aged 22 yrs; two adult daughters; relationship failed due to her alcoholism, instability	1 x Wilfully lit fire under circ likely to injure or damage. Following a fight with her boyfriend Seaton was served with a police order, requiring her to leave his home. She returned to her unit on the first floor of an apartment block. Inside she called out 'help, help, he's attacking me'. A neighbour heard Seaton calling for help but did not respond, as she had called out in a similar manner before and on those previous occasions found her alone.	2 yrs 6 mths imp. EFP. The sentencing judge found the appellant's behaviour very strongly suggested she was under the influence of drugs or alcohol or both and that the appellant had 'some significant mental health problems' that placed her at risk of further	Dismissed. The appeal concerned length of sentence. At [40] In our opinion the sentence of imp was commensurate with the seriousness of the appellant's offending. Taking into account the max penalty of 15 yrs imp, the circumstances of the commission of the offence

and violence; lost custody of her two children.

Estranged from most of her family.

Not worked many yrs.

Long term chronic alcohol and drug issues; diagnosed with alcohol dependence, alcohol abuse, alcoholism, drug induced psychosis, complex post-traumatic stress disorder and a delusional disorder. Some young men in the unit below, not familiar with Seaton's behaviour, heard her cries for help. Finding the door to her unit locked they called out to determine if she was all right. She came to the door, unlocked it and let the men in. Nobody else was in the unit. She began saying 'help' and walked off to a bedroom and sat on a bed. She continued to ask for help and talked about the devil. The men left.

About 15 minutes later, the same men heard the sound of breaking glass. On investigation they saw Seaton hanging half-way out of a window from her unit. Smoke could also be seen coming from the window.

Seaton fell from the window into a garden bed.

Attempts were made by the men to extinguish the fire, however it took hold very quickly and caused considerable damage, estimated to be approx \$263,000.

An expert fire examiner determined that a mobile heat source, such as a cigarette lighter, was used to ignite something flammable in the bedroom.

offending.

The sentencing judge found the offence a serious example of its kind; the appellant's lack of care was of a very high order; her actions put the safety of others at risk and left residents homeless for a period of time and caused serious financial harm to an organisation that provides housing for people who would otherwise have difficulty obtaining it.

The sentencing judge found the nature and seriousness of the offence was such that the only appropriate disposition was a term of imp; a suspended sentence was not an available option.

Lack of remorse or contrition; continued to maintain a stance of denial and made efforts to blame the offending on another person; denied her treatment needs and any alcohol or illicit drug (including the agg factors relating to the amount of damage, that a residential building was involved and the risk to the safety of others), and the personal circumstances of the appellant, the sentence imposed was not unreasonable or plainly unjust.

				use; history of rejecting			
				opportunities to deal with			
				her drug and alcohol			
				issues.			
	Amendments to s 444 Criminal Code (19/12/2009)						
Maxim	um penalty increase	ed to life imprisonment (prev	iously maximum penalty was 14 yrs imp or, in circ	cumstances of racial aggrav	ration, 20 yrs imp).		
Definiti	ion of property exte	nded to include vegetation.					
			• C	Y			
			110				
			A				
			Transitional provisions repealed (14/01/2009)				
	Amendments to s 444 Criminal Code (8/12/2004)						
Offence amended to include damage committed in circumstances of racial aggravation (max penalty 20 yrs imp).							
L. O.Y							
Transitional provisions enacted (31/08/2003)							
		,					