Unlawful assault causing death

s 281 Criminal Code

From 1 January 2021

Transitional Sentencing Provisions: Each of the two tables is divided into thirds based on the three relevant periods of Sentencing Provisions:

- Post-transitional provisions period
- Transitional provisions period
- Pre-transitional provisions period

These periods are separated by a row which shows when the transitional provisions were enacted, and another showing when they were repealed.

Glossary:

att	attempted
circ	circumstances
conc	concurrent
cum	cumulative
ct	count
disq	disqualification
EFP	eligible for parole
imp	imprisonment
PG	plea guilty
PSR	pre-sentence report
susp	suspended
TES	total effective sentence

No	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal
1.	Lee v The State	25 yrs at time offending.	1 x Unlawful assault causing death.	5 yrs imp.	Dismissed (leave refused).
	of Western	26 yrs at time sentencing.			
	Australia		The victim, aged 44 yrs, was Lee's aunt.	EFP.	Appeal concerned length of
		Convicted after PG (25%			sentence and error in
	[2022] WASCA	discount).	Lee and the victim drank together throughout	It was agreed that the fatal	sentencing discretion
	137		the day. Both were heavily intoxicated.	injury was caused when	(appellant's history of
		Long criminal history; on bail at		the deceased's head hit	deprivation and FASD
	Delivered	time offence for other alleged	After purchasing more liquor Lee and the	the ground after she was	diagnosis).
	28/10/2022	violent offending, an assault	victim continued drinking. At some point	punched; sentenced on the	
		against his domestic partner.	the victim spoke about Lee's mother, causing	basis that the appellant	At [72] the sentencing did
			Lee to become angry and aggressive. He struck	did not foresee that she	not fail to take the
		Mother deceased; two siblings;	the victim once to the side of her head with his	would die from being	appellant's past deprivation
		16 siblings in all; upbringing	fist. The victim fell to the ground and struck	punched and that it was	and its ongoing impact into
		marked by trauma, family	her head on the concrete.	not reasonably	account the sentencing
		dysfunction, exposure to		foreseeable by an	judge explained his approach
		violence and deprivation and	Lee checked on the victim and left soon after.	ordinary person.	to the appellant's past
		illicit drug and alcohol use.			deprivation and its ongoing
			The victim suffered swelling and bleeding	The sentencing judge	impact, FASD and associated
		Supportive family.	around her ear, vomiting and was acting in a	found the punch to the	cognitive impairment and
			confused manner. She was taken to hospital by	deceased's face	disability.
		Difficulties with schooling;	ambulance and soon after became	represented a cowardly	
		functionally illiterate and	unresponsive. A CT showed extensive skull	act; she was vulnerable,	At [83] Having regard to the
		innumerate.	fractures and a subdural haemorrhage, together	not only because of her	circumstances of the
			with bleeding within the brain, with marked	lesser size and strength	offending and the offender in
		Commenced drinking alcohol	compression of the brain.	but she was also very	this case, and acknowledging
		aged 13 yrs; cannabis at 15 yrs;		intoxicated; she was	that the decision in [The
		methyl at 17 yrs.	The victim died a few hrs later.	punched without warning;	State of Western Australia v
				not given an opportunity	Smith [2019] WASCA 42] is
		Lifelong health problems;		to defence herself and she	relevant, we do not think that
		diagnosed Foetal Alcohol		made nor posed no threat	it can be said that a sentence
		Spectrum Disorder (FASD),		to the appellant, who did	of 5 yrs' immediate imp is
		including heart defect and		not stay to render her	manifestly excessive.

hearing difficulties; troubled by		assistance and the
bouts of depression and history		deceased was entitled to
of self-harm; funding and		feel safe in the company
support through the National		of her family.
Disability Insurance Scheme.		
·		The sentencing judge
		found as mitigating the
		fact that the offending
		was committed while the
	A A O	appellant was grossly
		intoxicated and very
		angry; the assault was not
		the result of a 'clear-eyed,
		sober decision'; it
		involved a single punch
		and was not part of any
		sustained attacked and no
	A Company	weapons were used.