Possess cannabis with intent to sell or supply, Cultivate cannabis with intent to sell or supply and Offer to sell or supply cannabis

ss 6(1), 7(1) and 7(2) Misuse of Drugs Act

From 1 January 2021

Transitional Sentencing Provisions: Each of the two tables is divided into thirds based on the three relevant periods of Sentencing Provisions:

- Post-transitional provisions period
- Transitional provisions period
- Pre-transitional provisions period

These periods are separated by a row which shows when the transitional provisions were enacted, and another showing when they were repealed.

Glossary:

att attempt
conc concurrent
cum cumulative
ct count

CBO community based order

CSIO conditionally suspended imp order

EFP eligible for parole hydro hydroponic immed immediate imp imprisonment

MDMA 3,4-Methylenedioxy-n, Alpha Dimethylphenylethylamine (Ecstasy)

methyl methylamphetamine OMG outlaw motorcycle gang

PG plead guilty susp suspended

TES total effective sentence UCO undercover officer

wiss with intent to sell or supply

No.	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal
7.	West v The State of	26 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Manufactured cannabis oil.	Ct 1: 6 mths imp (conc).	Dismissed (leave refused - error
	Western Australia	29 yrs time sentencing.	Ct 2: Poss cannabis wiss 679 g.	Ct 2: 12 mths imp (cum).	in finding).
			Ct 3: Att poss LSD wiss 600 tabs at	Ct 3: 4 yrs 6 mths imp	
	[2023] WASCA 3	Convicted after PG (cts 1 & 2) (25%	11.6 g.	(cum).	Appeal concerned length of
		discount).			sentence ct 3; totality principle
	Delivered	Convicted after trial (ct 3).	A SW was executed at West's home.	TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.	and error in finding (harm
	06/01/2023		Items consistent with the manufacture		caused by LSD).
		Prior criminal history.	of cannabis oil, including cannabis	EFP.	
			plant material, butane gas canisters,		At [49]-[51] his Honour's
		Completed yr 10 high school.	glycerine, propylene, glycol,	The sentencing judge found	remark [that LSD had the
			decarboxylators and a machine capable	the appellant a low to mid-	capacity to 'blow your mind']
		Consistent employment history; highly	of extracting oil from plant material	level drug dealer; cts 3 was	was just that – a remark no
		regarded employee.	were found.	a serious offence having	mention of [it] was mentioned
				regard to the quantity of the	in the sentencing remarks
		Cannabis use since aged 16 yr.	At the rear of West's property drug-	drug.	the only statements made in the
			related items, including scales, clipseal		sentencing remarks which bear
			bags, syringes and silicone containers	Demonstrated remorse;	on the question of harm were
			were also located.	voluntary steps taken	made in the context of
				towards rehabilitation; drug	explaining why general
			Messages relating to the sale and	free since release from	deterrence was an important
			supply of drugs on his computer and	prison.	sentencing factor
			mobile telephone were also found.		
					At [64] Each offence committed
			Also located and seized was a quantity		by the appellant was serious.
		- ^	of cannabis, packaged into clipseal		The appellant engaged in the
			bags and		actual manufacture of cannabis
			\$2,645 in cash, derived from the sale		oil. He possessed cannabis,
		Y	and supply of drugs.		packaged for sale, in a context
		1			where he was selling the drug
		X	About eight days later a parcel, sent		for profit. Ct 3 involved even
		CA	from Poland and addressed to West,		more serious offending. [He]
			was incepted by police. It contained		arranged for a substantial
			paper sheets, perforated into 600 small		quantity of LSD to be sent to
			squares ('tabs') and impregnated with		him, via the post, from Poland,
			LSD. The tabs were seized and		for sale. If not for the

substituted with an inert substance. interception of the package containing the LSD by customers officers, it is highly A controlled delivery of the parcel was arranged to West's address. The parcel likely the delivery would have was placed into his letter box. Police been completed. While ct 3 was executed a SW a short time later and offence of att to possess LSD found the unopened parcel hidden in a wiss, the appellant did rubbish bin. everything he could to effect his poss of it. He did so against the West had sold LSD in the past for \$25 background that he had per tab. If sold by the tab, the LSD previously sold the drug and would have been work \$15,000. If sold had advertised its sale over the by the sheet it was valued at between internet. Even allowing for the \$6,000 and \$7,800. cost of purchasing the LSD, and his on use, [he] stood to derive a profit from its sale. At [65] The offending, as a whole, involved planning, determination and some sophistication. The appellant's overall offending involved substantial criminality. At [71] ... Cts 1 and 2 involved significant additional criminality. [He] had gone to some considerable lengths to manufacture cannabis oil, and, on the evidence, had successfully done so. ... It was clear from the items seized by the police that the appellant was

					engaged in the sale of the drug. Not only was [he] engaged in dealing in cannabis for a commercial purpose, but he also intended to deal in LSD for the same purpose. It was entirely appropriate for his Honour to impose an additional term of imp to take account of the criminality involved in cts 1 and 2.
6.	Giangiulio v The	50 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 2 kg at 74%-	Ct 1: 9 yrs imp (cum).	Dismissed (leave refused –
	State of Western Australia	Convicted after early PG (25%	76% purity. Ct 2: Poss cannabis wiss 3.48 kg.	Ct 2: 2 yrs imp (cum).	totality principle).
	1 ust and	discount).	Ct 2. I oss cumuois wiss 3.40 kg.	TES 11 yrs imp.	Appeal concerned parity and
	[2022] WASCA 77	,	The co-offender Liadow arranged to	J 1	totality principles.
		Long criminal history; serious drug	supply an UCO with methyl. When the	EFP.	
	Delivered	offending; prior sentences of imp.	UCO attended Liadow's home to		At [81] we consider that the
	01/07/2022	G: 1 / 1171 1	collect a large quantity of the drug	Co-offender Liadow	absence of materially greater
		Single; two sons; grandchild; close relationship with his family.	Giangiulio entered the room. He was	sentenced to 11 yrs imp.	disparity in favour of the appellant between Mr Liadow's
		relationship with his family.	carrying a bag, which he placed near the entrance, before leaving.	EFP (ct 1).	sentence for ct 1 and the
		Left school yr 10; completed trade	the chiralice, before leaving.	Appellant sentenced on	appellant's sentence for ct 1 did
		apprenticeship.	Liadow informed the UCO that	basis he was Liadow's	not infringe the parity principle
		11 1	Giangiulio was his courier. Liadow	courier.	or the principle of equal justice.
		Many yrs employed building industry;	handed the shopping bag containing	Courier	
		work-place injury 2003; delivery	2 kg of methyl to the UCO on credit	The sentencing judge found	
		driver at time sentencing; very good	for \$306,000.	that while the appellant	At [82] The appellant's
		work ethic.	Later that same day a SW was	acted as courier this did not	offending on ct 2 was very
		Suffers anxiety, stress, depression,	Later that same day a SW was executed at Liadow's residence.	detract from his	serious. That offending was separate and discrete from his
		high blood pressure; some deafness;	CACCILICA AT LIAGOW S TESIGETICE.	involvement in a significant	offending on ct 1. The
		dyslexic.	On the same day a SW was also	way in a criminal	appellant's offending on ct 2
		dysicale.	On the same day a 5 W was also	enterprise; although not	appendit s offending off et 2

	1				
			executed at Giangiulio's home. Four	'the profit taker' he was	involved the poss of a very
		Long history of illicit drug use;	cardboard boxes, containing 3.48 kg of	paid several thousand	substantial quantity of cannabis
		cannabis and methyl; not used since	cannabis in large clipseal or vacuum	dollars and he knew of the	with the intention of selling or
		his arrest.	sealed bags were found.	existence of the methyl and	supplying the drug so that it was
				was prepared to deliver it.	disseminated into the
			During his interview Giangiulio	100	community. The appellant's
			maintained his right to silence.	The sentencing judge found	offending on ct 2 required
				the appellant was in poss of	additional punishment
				a significant quantity of	
			A'AO	cannabis wiss; although he	At [103] We are satisfied,
				was unable to find the	that her Honour, in arriving at
				appellant intended to sell	the TES, made a qualitative
				the cannabis for a	and discretionary judgment to
				commercial return the	wholly accumulate the
			$C \rightarrow$	cannabis was packaged for	individual sentences for cts 1
				the purposes of supply and	and 2
				he was prepared to be	
			A	involved in the sale or	
				supply of the cannabis.	
			KO		
			-6,0	Remorseful; steps taken	
				towards rehabilitation.	
5.	Celani v The State of	25 yrs at time offending.	Cts 1; 11; 17 & 18: Offer to sell	Cts 1-3; 8 & 10: 12 mths	Dismissed - leave refused.
	Western Australia	29 yrs at time sentencing.	cannabis 3.6212 kg.	imp (conc).	
			Cts 2-6; 8-10; 12-16; 19-31 & 33-35:	Ct 4 & 18: 20 mths imp	Appeal concerned plea discount
	[2021] WASCA 215	Convicted after PG (15% discount).	Offer to sell methyl 93.145 g.	(conc).	and totality principle (individual
		4,40	Ct 7: Offer to sell cocaine 28 g.	Cts 5-6 & 21: 14 mths imp	sentences not challenged).
	Delivered	Prior criminal history; largely	Ct 32: Offer to sell heroin 1.75 g.	(conc).	
	16/12/2021	consistent with his drug addiction.		Ct 7: 36 mths imp (head).	At [44] Having regard to the
			Celani was travelling in a motor	Ct 9; 11; 13-14; 17; 22; 24-	fact that the text messages
		Parents separated when aged 12 yrs;	vehicle when it was stopped by police.	25 & 28-31: 6 mths imp	which founded the charges were
		witnessed domestic violence.	His mobile telephone was seized and	(conc).	on the appellant's mobile
			an examination of the text messages	Cts 12; 34 & 35: 9 mths	telephone and their content

Supportive family.

Educated to yr 10; completed apprenticeship; later trained and worked in plastic fabrication.

Cannabis use from aged 12 yrs; methyl from aged 16 yrs; regular user of methyl; heavy user at time of offending.

stored on the phone revealed he had made offers to sell prohibited drugs to 32 contacts listed in his phone. Each ct related to one named contact, a small number of contacts the subject of more than one ct as he offered to sell them more than one kind of prohibited drug. In total he made a 120 separate offers to his various customers.

Many of the cts were committed over a period of time.

imp (conc).

Ct 15: 18 mths imp (conc). Ct 16; 19 & 23: 24 mths imp (conc).

Cts 20 & 26: 10 mths imp (cum).

Ct 27: 15 mths imp (conc). Ct 32: 6 mths imp (cum).

Ct 33: 10 mths imp (conc).

TES 5 yrs 2 mths imp.

EFP.

The sentencing judge found the appellant's offending serious and aggravated by its repeated and persistent nature and that he committed the offences in order to fund his drug habit.

Remorseful; positive steps taken towards rehabilitation; 2 yrs clear of drug use; no further offending.

involved clear offers to sell prohibited drugs, his Honour's characterisation of the case as being 'very strong' was well open. ... the sentencing judge was entitled to take into account the strength of the case against the appellant in assessing the appropriate discount under s 9AA of the Sentencing Act. In these circumstances, and having regard to when the pleas were entered, we are satisfied that a 15% discount was not unreasonable or plainly unjust. It was not manifestly inadequate.

At [55] ... the appellant was involved, during the commission of the offences, in a commercial enterprise in which he sold prohibited drugs. The offers that he made were in respect of four different prohibited drugs, ... He was engaged in this business for the purpose of funding his own methyl habit. It was not suggested that the appellant did not have the capacity or intention to fulfil the offers.

			A*AC	14028COLL	At [56] It is clear the appellant had a large coterie of customers, and it was not suggested that he did not have access to the prohibited drugs he offered to sell. While it was not said that all of the offers resulted in actual sales, it was not claimed the offers were unfulfilled.
			Ollo		At [60] it is not reasonably arguable that the TES infringed the first limb of the totality principle
4.	Nguyen v The State	49 yrs at time offending.	Cts 1 & 2: Property laundering	Ct 1: 1 yr 4 mths imp	Dismissed.
	of Western Australia	51 yrs at time sentencing.	(\$70,000 and \$15,630 cash).	(cum).	
	- J	- J 2	Cts 3-9: Cultivation cannabis wiss.	Ct 2: 10 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned totality
	[2021] WASCA 198	Convicted after trial.	A A	Ct 3: 2 yrs 8 mths imp	principle.
			Nguyen was part of a sophisticated and	(head).	
	Delivered	No prior criminal history.	extensive commercial cannabis	Ct 4: 2 yrs 4 mths imp	At [42]-[44] The appellant
	25/11/2021		growing enterprise. Although not	(conc).	managed the financial operation
		Born and educated to tertiary level in	involved in the physical operation of	Ct 5: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum	associated with the grow
		Vietnam.	growing cannabis, he managed the	ct 3).	houses. He did so over a lengthy
			financial operation of a number of	Ct 6: 2 yrs 8 mths imp	period of time. While he was
		Arrived WA 2015; limited English;	grow houses, including keeping	(conc).	not involved in the physical set-
		communication difficulties.	records of the expenses and revenues	Ct 7: 2 yrs 8 mths imp	up of the operation or the actual
		4,40	for each grow house and the	(conc).	cultivation of cannabis plants,
		Married; three children; wife and two	preparation of financial analyses for	Ct 8: 2 yrs 8 mths imp	he must have been aware of the
		youngest children residing Vietnam;	each property.	(conc).	scale and sophistication of the
		some family members in Vietnam in		Ct 9: 2 yrs 4 mths imp	enterprise and must have
		frail and poor health at time	<u>Cts 1-2</u>	(conc).	been closely monitoring their
		sentencing.	A search warrant was executed at		progress [He] oversaw and
			Nguyen's home. During the search two	TES 6 yrs 6 mths imp.	managed the payment of

Supportive family in Vietnam; no family support WA.

Business interests in Vietnam.

cash bundles of \$70,000 and \$15,630 were located. This money was the proceeds of the sale of cannabis cultivated at one or more of the grow houses at an earlier time.

Nguyen had control of the money essentially for the purpose of paying business expenses, but the money did not belong to him.

Cts 3-9

Seven separate 'grow house' had been converted for cultivating cannabis. Each house consisted of a sophisticated hydroponic set-up, including the use of an electricity bypass system.

A total of 1081 plants were being cultivated.

During the search of Nguyen's home police located handwritten notes, feed charts, excerpts from account books and receipts for items (including nutrients to feed the cannabis plants) purchased to facilitate the growth of cannabis plants.

Six mobile telephones, one for each of the grow houses were also found.

Nguyen was found also in possession

EFP.

The sentencing judge accepted other people apart from the appellant were involved in the cannabis growing operations and that the appellant was not the principal of the cannabis growing enterprise; but he played an important and trusted role in respect of each grow house; he provided his services for a 'not insignificant' reward.

The sentencing judge found the money held by the appellant showed he played an 'important role' and demonstrated the trust that had been placed in him by his superiors; his possession of the cash enabled it to be held separately from the owners of the grow houses, reducing the prospect of it coming to the attention of police.

Prison more onerous on the

expenses. It cannot be doubted that his function was to ensure. as far as he could, the maximum commercial benefit from each grow house. ... [He] was an important, trusted and willing participant in the enterprise and shouldered significant responsibility in it. The enterprise was potentially highly profitable, concerning, as it did, the hydroponic cultivation of a large number of cannabis plants in seven separate grow houses with the potential value of the cannabis being grown being several hundred thousand dollars. We regard the appellant's role as involving a high degree of criminality. ... his importance to the organisation of the enterprise cannot be doubted.

At [46] ... The appellant's role was to ensure that the grow houses operated efficiently and to maximise the financial returns for the owners of the business. Those who, for reward, use their financial expertise to assist those who grow cannabis and enhance the

			of the floor plans and measurements of	appellant given his limited	profitability of their illegal
			four of the grow houses.	English and no personal	operation must understand that
				family support available to	their actions involve a high
			Nguyen received bills relating to two	him in WA.	degree of criminality and that, if
			of the properties and he communicated		convicted, substantial
			with the owner of one of the houses	Moderate risk of	punishment will surely follow.
			regarding the payment of rent.	reoffending.	
				K T Y	At [48] we are not persuaded
					that the TES in this case did not
			\°,\(\)		bear a proper relationship to the
			10/10		overall criminality involved in
					the nine offences, viewed in
					their entirety While we
					would regard the TES that was
					imposed as high, it was not
					unreasonable or plainly unjust.
3.	Turner v The State	27 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Poss MDMA wiss 8.57g at 85%	Ct 1: 18 mths imp (cum).	Allowed (length of sentence ct
	of Western Australia	28 yrs at time sentencing.	purity.	Ct 2: 2 yrs 8 mths imp	2).
			Ct 2: Poss cannabis wiss 362.45 g.	(conc).	
	[2021] WASCA 132	Convicted after very late PG (5%	Ct 3: Poss methyl wiss 4.96g at 80%	Ct 3: 3 yrs 6 mths imp	Appeal concerned length of
		discount) – TOI to resolve dispute as	purity.	(cum).	individual sentences and totality
	Delivered	to appellant's intent to sell or supply.			principle.
	28/07/2021		Turner was stopped by police driving a	TES 5 yrs imp.	
		Criminal history; no prior drug	vehicle. His 10-yr-old son was a		Resentenced (5% discount):
		offences.	passenger in the vehicle.	EFP.	
		~ Y			Ct 1: 12 mths imp (cum).
		Parents separated when aged 18 yrs.	A search of the vehicle located four	The sentencing judge found	Ct 2: 10 mths imp (conc).
			vacuum sealed bags containing 41.88g;	at the TOI that the appellant	Ct 3: 2 yrs 10 mths imp (cum).
		Completed yr 10 high school.	280g; 26.4g and 14.07g of cannabis.	was a mid-level user/dealer;	
				while some of the drugs	TES 3 yrs 10 mths imp.
		Became father aged 17 yrs; separated	In a further vacuum sealed bag 8.57g	were for his personal use,	
		from son's mother shortly after his	of MDMA was located.	he intended to sell or	EFP.
1		birth.		supply the majority of the	

		T	T =		T
			In a clip seal bag 4.96g of methyl was	drugs.	At [23]-[24] The element of
		Consistent work history; gardener and	found.		commerciality involved in the
		handyman.		The sentencing judge found	offending was limited
				immediate imp was the	However, the offending was not
		Regular user of illicit drugs; taking and		only appropriate sentence.	fleeting, unplanned or out of
		sharing drugs with friends a normal		omy appropriate sentence.	character. The appellant
		way of life.		Not remorseful; some credit	engaged in a course of
		way of file.		given for limited	
					distributing three different types
			• . ()	cooperation.	of prohibited drug. While he
			119		dealt with drug users known to
					him, he did so regularly and to
					some extent for commercial
					gain. It was an aggravating
					feature of the offending that the
					appellant's 10-yr-old son was
					present in the vehicle [he] used
					to transport the prohibited
			A		drugs.
			KO'		At [27] the sentence
					imposed for the cannabis
					offence is so far in excess of
		• &	\circ		that which is properly capable
					of being regarded as
		())			5 5
					commensurate with the
					seriousness of the cannabis
					offence so as to drive us to the
					conclusion that the sentence is
					manifestly excessive,
					notwithstanding that it is to be
					served conc with other
					sentences.
2.	Nguyen v The State	33 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 3 & 5: Cultivate cannabis wiss	Ct 3: 18 mths imp (conc).	Dismissed.

of Western Australia

[2021] WASCA 128

Delivered 22/07/2021

Convicted after late PG (18% discount).

No criminal history.

Born Vietnam, came to Australia after having a child with Australian citizen.

Supportive extended family; parents both deaf and mute; parents in Australia for sentencing.

Primary caregiver two children; aged 8 yrs (multiple developmental needs) and 2 yrs (breastfeeding at time sentencing); youngest child fathered by co-offender; neither child father figure in their life.

Lawful employment and study undertaken.

(412 plants).

Ct 6: Fraudulent diversion of power.

Nguyen was jointly charged with her partner, Mr Tran.

The offending occurred at two houses used exclusively to cultivate cannabis, both converted with extensive and elaborate hydroponic systems.

Ct 3

A search warrant was executed at a property leased by an unidentified male. Nguyen and Mr Tran were present inside the home and refused police entry. They attempted to leave, but were both arrested at the premises.

Five rooms of the property had been converted to grow cannabis hydroponically. The hydroponic system included timers, switches, lights, fans and irrigation and filtration systems. The installation of the hydroponic system caused significant damage to the rental property.

71 cannabis plants at various stages of maturity were located at the property.

Mr Tran was responsible for cultivating the cannabis and was paid

Ct 5: 2 yrs imp (conc).

Ct 6: 2 mths imp (conc).

TES 2 yrs imp.

EFP.

The sentencing judge found the offending serious; the work required to establish the properties as grow houses and to maintain the cannabis plants would have required significant planning, preparation, premeditation and persistence, while noting the appellant was not involved in the establishment of the grow house the subject of ct 3; the number of cannabis plants involved; the extent of the damage done to the rented properties; the sophistication of the hydroponic set-ups; four or five rooms in each of the growing houses were completely used to grow cannabis and the amount of power fraudulently obtained.

Appeal concerned error of finding (knowledge of the scale and extent of the enterprise) and length and type of sentence.

At [63] ... the reference to the scale and extent of the enterprise refers, as a matter of substance, to the scale and extent of that operation, which encompasses the following ... Both houses were used exclusively to cultivate cannabis. ... converted to be used for that purpose, and had elaborate and sophisticated hydroponic systems for growing cannabis. The hydroponic systems extended, in each house, over several rooms, and enabled cultivation of cannabis on a substantial scale, not merely a cultivation of, say, 10 or 20 plants.

At [86] ... the cultivations the subject of cts 3 and 5 reflected a high level of criminality. ... both grow houses had elaborate and sophisticated hydroponic systems for growing cannabis for profit and they were being

by unidentified persons for his involvement. Nguyen aided Mr Tran, by assisting him in purchasing equipment, dealing with the proceeds of the offending and encouraging him to participate in the offending.

Cts 5 & 6

Nguyen and Mr Tran entered a lease for a property. Shortly after taking possession they converted the property into a cannabis grow house.

Nguyen signed the lease agreement using a false name, purchased bypass equipment and attempted to delay a rental inspection.

Several mths later a search warrant was executed at the property. Four rooms had been converted to grow cannabis hydroponically. The hydroponic system included timers, switches, lights, fans and irrigation and filtration systems. The installation of the hydroponic system caused significant damage to the rental property.

A total of 341 cannabis plants at various stages of maturity were located.

The sentencing judge found the appellant played a lesser role than Mr Tran; but she aided, abetted and assisted him; she actively participated in the offending, with full knowledge of the commercial purpose and knowledge of the scale and extent of the enterprise involved in the illegal conduct.

The sentencing judge found exceptional circumstances arising from the hardship the appellant's incarceration would cause her family and her children; but the seriousness of the offending meant a term of imp had to be imposed.

No genuine remorse; failed to fully accept responsibility or appreciate the seriousness of her offending.

used to grow a substantial number of plants ... The appellant's involvement in the cultivations the subject of cts 3 and 5 was by no means minor or low-level. ... The appellant was the lessee of the [property the subject of cts 5 and 6] and purchased items for use in the cultivation process in relation to [the property the subject of ct 3]. Involvement of that kind elevates the seriousness of the offending. ... The appellant was involved in ct 5 over a period of mths and her involvement in the cultivation the subject of ct 3 was far from fleeting. ... [and she] was involved in the cultivation of cannabis in more than one grow house.

At [91]-[92] ... in our view, it was not open to susp the term of imp in relation to ct 5.

Consequently, it was not open to the sentencing judge to susp any of the terms of imp for the appellant's offences. ... in our opinion, it was well open to the sentencing judge to conclude that only immediate imp would

			The properties electrical meter had		be commensurate with the
			been bypassed and \$7,008 of		seriousness of the appellant's
			electricity was fraudulently obtained.		offendingin the
					circumstances, that was the only
					reasonable conclusion.
1.	Nickson v The State	58 yrs at time sentencing.	<u>Ind 2154</u>	<u>Ind 2154</u>	Dismissed.
	of Western Australia		Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 69.5 g.	Ct 1: 3 yrs 6 mths imp	
		Convicted after PG		(cum).	Appeal concerned totality
	[2021] WASCA 40	(Ind 2154 10% discount and Ind 990	<u>Ind 990</u>	Y	principle.
		20% discount).	Ct 1: Poss methyl wiss 505.59 g at 4%	<u>Ind 990</u>	
	Delivered		and 77%-80%.	Ct 1: 7 yrs 6 mths imp	At [52] It was a significant agg
	05/03/2021	Extensive prior criminal history;	Ct 2: Poss dexamphetamine wiss 2.95	(cum).	factor that the appellant's
		previous convictions for drug related	g.	Ct 2: 18 mths imp (conc).	offending in relation to [Ind
		offences.	Ct 3: Poss cannabis wiss 105.5 g	Ct 3: 12 mths imp (conc).	990] occurred while he was on
			Cts 4-6: Poss unlawfully obtained	Ct 4: 12 mths imp (conc).	bail for the offence charged in
		Born New Zealand; unremarkable	property (\$8,745 cash; jewellery and	Ct 5: 12 mths imp (conc).	[Ind 2154]. Also, it was a
		childhood; came to Australia aged	\$700 cash).	Ct 6: 12 mths imp (conc).	significant agg factor in relation
		30 yrs; close with his mother and			to the offences involving methyl
		sister; father deceased.	<u>Ind 2154</u>	TES 11 yrs imp.	that the appellant was dealing
			A search warrant was executed at		commercially in that drug.
		Educated to yr 10; completed trade	Nickson's home. A package,	EFP.	Further, the seriousness of the
		apprenticeship; employed consistently	containing five clip seal bags, was		appellant's drug dealing
		until 2007.	located in a freezer. Each clipseal bag	The sentencing judge found	offences was underscored by his
			contained quantities of methyl,	it was an agg factor that the	poss of a variety of weapons
		Marriage of 17 yrs ended 2006.	weighting a total of 69.5 g.	offences the subject of Ind	
				990 were committed while	At [53] we are satisfied that
		1,00	In Nickson's bedroom three sets of	the appellant was on bail	it was necessary, in order
			digital scales, a small quantity of	for the offence charged in	properly to mark the seriousness
			methyl, numerous clipseal bags,	Ind 2154 and that all the	of the appellant's overall
			various weapons, a mobile telephone	offences were committed in	offending, for the individual
		O'	and \$6,000 cash was found.	the context of the appellant	sentences for the ct on [Ind
				conducting an ongoing drug	2154] and for ct 1 on [Ind 990]
			A further \$2,000 cash was also found	dealing business for	to be served cumulatively. The

in a shed, along with a quantity of the commercial gain. offences charged in those cts cutting agent MSM. involved separate and distinct The sentencing judge found offending. Nickson was charged and released on the appellant had been selling illicit drugs since At [55] The TES bears a proper bail. relationship to the overall 2007 to fund his personal illicit drug use; he was criminality involved in all of the Ind 990 within the mid to high level offences, viewed in their Some mths later Nickson was inside a unit when it was searched by police. user/dealer range. entirety, and having regard to The property was fortified with chains all relevant circumstances, ... and pieces of property. Police were Some demonstrated forced to dismantle the barricade to remorse; steps taken to rehabilitate himself and gain entry. drug programmes Inside the unit three separate quantities undertaken while in of methyl were found in three separate custody. locations. In a cupboard in clipseal bags a total of 194.9 g of methyl with a purity of between 77% and 80% was found. In another part of the cupboard clipseal bags containing a total of 12.69 g of methyl with a purity of 4% was found. In the shower area police also located a clipseal bag containing 298 g of methyl with a purity of 77% (ct 1). Another clipseal bag found in the unit contained 13 tablets, being 2.95 g of dexamphetamine (ct 2). Also located were two clipseal bags

containing cannabis, with a total

	weight of 105.5 g (ct 3).
	In various locations within the unit a total of \$8,745 in cash was found (ct 4) and inside a safe were various items of
	total of \$8,745 in cash was found (ct 4)
	and inside a safe were various items of
	jewellery with an estimated value of
	\$10,000 (ct 5).
	Data from a mobile telephone located
	in the unit revealed Nickson had been
	offered jewellery in exchange for the
	discharge of outstanding debts. Digital
	scales, numerous clipseal bags, stun
	guns and an electrical shotgun were
	also located in the unit.
	A search warrant was then executed at
	another premises. A caravan, over
	which Nickson had control, was
	searched and found to contain \$700
	cash, scales and a stun gun (ct 6).
1	
	Transitional Provisions Repealed (14/01/2009)
,	
	Transitional Provisions Enacted (31/08/2003)
<u> </u>	