Assault occasioning bodily harm

s 317(1) Criminal Code

From 1 January 2021

Glossary:

agg aggravated att attempted

AOBH assault occasioning bodily harm

burg burglary
conc concurrent
cum cumulative
ct count

CSIO conditional suspended imprisonment order

EFP eligible for parole GBH grievous bodily harm

imp imprisonment PG plead guilty susp suspended

TES total effective sentence VRO violence restraining order

N.T	C	A 4 T 4	G ME 4	g 4	
No.	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal
11.	Gomboc v The	31-34 yrs at time offending.	Cts 2 & 11: Agg AOBH.	Ct 2: 10 mths imp (cum).	Allowed.
	State of Western	38 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 4; 10; 12-13; 15; 19; 22: Threat to	Cts 4; 7 & 13: 12 mths imp	
	Australia		harm.	(conc).	Appeal concerned length
		Convicted after late PG (cts 2, 4,	Ct 5: Act with intent to harm.	Ct 5: 4 yrs 6 mths imp.	of sentence. Individual
	[2023] WASCA	6, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 19, 22,	Cts 6; 9; 23; 28-29 & 32: Threat to kill.	Cts 6; 9; 23 & 28: 3 yrs imp	sentences not challenged.
	115	23, 26 & 32) (18% discount).	Ct 7: Agg unlawful wounding.	(conc).	
			Ct 8: Wilful and unlawful damage.	Cts 8 & 12: 10 mths imp	Resentenced:
	Delivered	Convicted after very late PG (cts	Ct 26: Armed to cause fear.	(conc).	
	24/07/2023	5, 7, 9, 28 & 29) (8% discount).	• (Cts 10 & 15: 14 mths imp	Cts 2; 6; 9; 23; 28 & 32: 3
			Gomboc was in a relationship with the	(conc).	yrs imp (conc).
		Limited criminal history;	victim, which lasted for a number of yrs.	Ct 11: 2 yrs 2 mths imp	Cts 4; 7 & 13: 12 mths imp
		previous conviction for common	They had purchased a house together.	(conc).	(conc).
		assault involving then fiancé.		Ct 19 & 22: 16 mths imp	Ct 5: 4 yrs 6 mths imp
			During the course of their relationship,	(conc).	(cum).
		Only child; good upbringing;	Gomboc subjected the victim to regular	Ct 26: 18 mths imp (conc).	Cts 8 & 12: 10 mths imp
		family remain supportive.	physical and verbal abuse. He punched and	Ct 29: 3 yrs 6 mths imp	(conc).
			kicked her, strangled her, negligently	(cum).	Cts 10 & 15: 14 mths imp
		Completed yr 12; experienced	wounded her with a knife, smothered her	Ct 32: 3 yrs imp (cum).	(conc).
		verbal abuse and bullying at	with a pillow, threw objects at her, and		Ct 11: 2 yrs 2 mths imp
		school.	repeatedly threatened to kill her, and was	TES 11 yrs 10 mths imp.	(conc).
			often armed when he did so.	EFP.	Cts 19 & 22: 16 mths imp
		Good work history; 7 yrs of			(conc).
		army service; qualified	In addition to having taken photographs of	The sentencing judge found	Ct 26: 18 mths imp (cum).
		scaffolder.	several of her injuries, the victim regularly	there were a number of	Ct 29: 3 yrs 6 mths imp
			made audio recordings of the offending.	serious features of the	(cum).
		Relationship with victim ended		appellant's offending as a	
		2018; new romantic relationship	The victim was left with severe anxiety and	whole; it persisted for three	TES 9 yrs 6 mths imp.
		commenced 2021; partner	post-traumatic stress disorder, suffered	and a half years; there were	EFP.
		remains supportive.	physically, mentally, emotionally and	19 separate and distinct	
			financially	offences over that period of	At [9] it is clear that it
		Good physical health;		time and he had time to	was necessary that the
		significant history of mental		reflect on his conduct and	appellant be sentenced to a
		health problems; PTSD arising		choose not to do it again,	very significant TES. The
		during time in military service.		but did not; he deployed a	appellant's offending was

<u> </u>				
			number of methods and	abhorrent and sickening.
	Heavy alcohol and cannabis use.		weapons to clearly	Notwithstanding [his]
			communicate to the victim	pleas of guilty, his mental
			that he could end her life at	health issues and the
			his hands and very quickly,	otherwise high regard in
			so as to make her fearful of	which he was held by
			him; the appellant was	others, the persistent,
			physically stronger than the	callous and menacing
			victim, who was vulnerable	nature of his offending
			to his physical violence; the	required a long term of
		4.40	offending was in the	imp. The threatened and
			context of a domestic	actual violence used by the
			relationship; the threats to	appellant must be
			kill or harm were often	denounced by the courts in
			accompanied by the	the strongest possible
			presence of weapons and	terms
			physical violence, which no	
			doubt elevating the fear of	At [194] Her Honour
			harm or death the victim	rightly recognised that the
			experienced, and the fact	totality of the appellant's
			that his offending routinely	offending was extremely
			incorporated statements	serious and called for a
			designed to degrade and	very substantial term of
			humiliate the victim.	imp. It was necessary that
				a TES be imposed for the
			The sentencing judge found	appellant's abhorrent and
			the submissions made by	sickening offending that
			the appellant's counsel	properly punished him and
			served to minimise the	denounced offending like it
	X		responsibility for his	in the strongest possible
			offending and shifted the	terms
			responsibility onto the	A + [100]
			victim; his physical and	At [198] we cannot avoid the conclusion that
	3.0		verbal abuse in a domestic	
			setting was 'very	the TES imposed on the

			-		<u> </u>
				entrenched behaviour' and	appellant did not bear a
				he remained at risk of	proper relationship to the
				reoffending unless he	overall criminality
				addressed his attitude and	involved in all of the
				behaviour.	offences.
				Offending profound impact	At [220] In our view, this
				on the victim; continues to	is truly one of those cases
				require daily medication	when the metaphor of
				and ongoing therapy.	taking one 'last look at the
			A'AU		total, just to see whether it
				Limited demonstrated	looks wrong' is apt. And
				remorse.	when we take a last look at
					the sentence of almost 12
					yrs, in light of the
			C		appellant's PGs and such
					potential for rehabilitation
			O y		as he has, the sentence
			e con of the		looks wrong.
			XO		At [223] Nevertheless,
			()		as we have set out at length
					above, the persistent,
					callous and menacing
					nature of his offending
) ′		required a long term of
					imp. Offending of this kind
		A			must be denounced by
					severe penalties.
10.	The State of	<u>Tumata</u>	<u>Tumata</u>	<u>Tumata</u>	Allowed.
	Western	24 yrs at time offending.	8 x Agg sex pen without consent.	TES 14 yrs imp.	
	Australia v	28 yrs at time sentencing.	3 x Agg indec assault.		Appeal concerned totality
	Tumata		1 x Demanding property with oral threats.	<u>Sheppard</u>	principle (individual
		Convicted after PG (cts 1, 6, 34	10 x AOBH.	TES 13 yrs 6 mths imp.	sentences not challenged).
	[2022] WASCA	and 35) (10% discount).	8 x Act with intent to harm.		

161 Convicted after trial (cts 2-5; 7-22; 25; 28; 29; 31; 32; 36-38 Delivered Lengthy criminal history. 06/12/2022 Parents separated when aged 4 yrs; raised by mother; sent to live with a relative in NZ aged 12 yrs due to his behaviour; returned to live with his father. now estranged. Limited literacy and numeracy skills. No history of paid employment; other than labouring work about aged 17 yrs.

Commenced cannabis and alcohol use aged 12 yrs; regular user of methyl and alcohol excessively.

Sheppard

23 yrs at time offending.27 yrs at time sentencing.

Convicted after PG (cts 1, 4, 6, 7, 16 and 35) (10% discount). Convicted after trial (cts 2; 3; 5; 8-15; 17-22; 25; 28; 29; 32; 34; 36; 38 and 39.

Lengthy criminal history.

2 x Threats to harm.

Sheppard

8 x Agg sex pen without consent.

3 x Agg indec assault.

1 x Demanding property with oral threats.

11 x AOBH.

7 x Acts with intent to harm.

1 x Threat to harm.

Woods

8 x Agg sex pen without consent.

1 x Agg indec assault.

1 x Demanding property with oral threats.

4 x AOBH.

4 x Acts with intent to harm.

1 x Threat to harm.

The victim, M, was aged 22 yrs. He was remanded in custody and had never been to prison before.

Tumata, Sheppard and Woods, who were also prisoners, entered M's cell, alleging he was an informant. Sheppard told M he had to pay a fine, to increase each wk until it was paid. If the fine was not paid M was told he would be killed.

After this incident, over a period of 18 days and on an almost daily basis, Tumata, Sheppard and Woods subjected M to violence and brutality of the most extreme kind. This included beating, kicking and indecently assaulting him, choking him to

Woods

TES 12 yrs imp.

The sentencing judge found Tumata and Sheppard the ringleaders and that Woods' acted 'more as a follower' and he was overall less culpable than Tumata and Sheppard; after the initial extortion the three respondents, sometimes as a pair or individually, engaged in a concerted, persistent and ongoing course of conduct against M over an extended period; they subjected M to increasingly violent physical and sexual attacks to enforce their demand for money; Tumata and Sheppard were physically powerful men, M, helpless and defenceless and extremely frightened and scared of the three respondents who terrorised him; the attacks designed to intimidate and frighten; they attacked M's personal dignity and caused him to suffer significant embarrassment: the sexual offences designed to cower.

Resentenced:

Tumata

TES 17 yrs imp. EFP.

Sheppard

TES 16 yrs 6 mths imp. EFP.

Woods

TES 14 yrs 6 mths imp. EFP.

At [113] The offending was aptly characterised by the State ... as sadistic, malicious, humiliating and intimidating. The respondents, in concert, deliberately preved upon a highly vulnerable victim. ... Together, the respondents waged a campaign of terror upon M. which caused him significant physical injury and broke him psychologically. The respondents' acts were merciless. They involved a level of deliberate callousness, cruelty and depravity seldom seen by this court.

Positive, stable and prosocial upbringing until the deaths of his mother and grandmother aged 15-16 yrs; struggled to deal with the grief; became homeless and associated with negative family members.

Completed yr 10; no real work history.

Methyl use from aged 15-16 yrs.

Woods

26 yrs at time offending. 30 yrs at time sentencing.

Convicted after trial (cts 1; 2; 4; 5; 7-14; 18-22; 28 and 29.

Significant prior criminal history.

Parents separated aged 2 yrs; lived with mother and siblings; positive home life; eventually lived with father, exposing him to domestic violence and substance abuse.

At time sentencing father and four brothers serving terms of imp.

the point he lost consciousness, burning him with boiling water and repeatedly sexually penetrating him with their bodies, a broom handle and a pencil.

Tumata, Sheppard and Woods also threatened to rape his partner.

humiliate and demean for the purpose of forcing him to pay money when there was no legitimate basis for the demand: the respondents' domination and control over M extended to his communications with his family and the attacks generally occurred inside a prison cell away from the sight of prison guards and other prisoners, with one of the respondents acting as a lookout.

No demonstrated insight into the consequences of their offending; no exhibited remorse, apart from the PGs entered by Tumata and Sheppard.

Offending profound effect on the victim.

At [114] An especially serious feature of the offending was that it was committed in a prison by inmates upon another inmate. ... Prisoners, particularly those who, like M, are young, alone and have never been incarcerated before, may be highly vulnerable to the threats and intimidation of more experienced prisoners such as, in this case, the respondents. ... [The victim's] vulnerability would have been apparent to the respondents, who immediately proceeded to take advantage of it. ...

At [118] ... the eight offences of agg sex pen involved a high level of criminality. The respondents together committed each of these offences over three separate and distinct incidents on different days, either as a principal or an aider. ... Each offence was committed in company and

					<u> </u>
		Left school during yr 10; never had paid employment. Long-term relationship; two children.		210secultile	was designed to, and did in fact, terrify, degrade and humiliate M as well as cause him physical and psychological harm
		Introduced to methyl by his father.	c Pulolic	R. C.	At [120] The seriousness of the offences of agg sex pen without consent was heightened because they occurred in the context of the ongoing extortion of M, All of these offences, when considered together, substantially increased each
					respondent's overall criminality,
9.	Billett v The State	Billett	Billett	Billett	Appeal allowed.
	of Western	27 yr at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Agg burg.	Cts 1 & 4: 18 mths imp	- FF con one of
	Australia	g and a second	Ct 2: Threat to harm.	(conc).	Appeal concerned length
		Convicted after early PG (25%	Ct 3: Unlawful damage.	Cts 2 & 5: 12 mths imp	of sentences cts 1, 4 and 5
	[2022] WASCA	discount).	Ct 4: Agg burg.	(conc).	and totality principle.
	158	,	Ct 5: Act with intent to harm.	Ct 3: 7 mths imp (conc).	
		Prior criminal history; prior			Resentenced cts 1 and 4:
	Delivered	conviction for violent offending.	Klinger	TES 18 mths imp.	
	01/12/2022		Ct 1: Agg burg.	_	<u>Billett</u>
		Parents separated aged 18 yrs;	Ct 3: Unlawful damage.	<u>Klinger</u>	Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc).
		close relationship with mother	Ct 4: Agg burg.	Cts 1 & 4: 18 mths imp	Ct 4: 4 yrs 3 mths imp
		and sister; little contact with	Ct 6: AOBH.	(conc).	(conc).
		alcoholic father, now in care	Ct 7: Threat to harm.	Ct 3: 7 mths imp (conc).	
		suffering dementia.		Cts 6 & 7: 12 mths imp	TES 4 yrs 3 mths imp.
			Billett, Klinger and another man were	(conc).	EFP.
		Struggled at school; left yr 10;	socializing at a tavern.		
		recently completed a Certificate		TES 18 mths imp.	Cts 1, 2, 3 and 5 conc with

in community services; aspires to do youth work.

Worked intermittently; unemployed past five yrs; undertaking volunteer work.

Two significant relationships; three children, youngest aged 12 mths at time sentencing; current partner positive and stable influence...

Long-term history of alcohol and substance abuse; allowed access to alcohol and firearms as a child; commenced binge drinking whilst at school.

Diagnosed with ADHD aged 8 yrs; medicated until aged 12 yrs; diagnosed and medicated with depression at 15 yrs; suffers sleep apnoea; use of cannabis to assist sleep.

Klinger

29 yrs time offending.

Convicted after early PG (25% discount).

Prior criminal history.

Third child of four children;

During the evening Billett obtained an address for a Mr Scerri. Some wks earlier there had been an incident involving him and Mr Scerri. So Billett harboured a grievance against him.

After Billett told TL and Klinger about the incident all three decided to go together to attend the address and confront Mr Scerri.

After driving to the address all three got out of the vehicle. Billett had with him a machete, Klinger a 15-inch tyre wall tester and TL a tomahawk.

The house was occupied by a Mr Sorell, who was house-sitting for the owner. Mr Scerri was living in a caravan parked at the front of the premises. Billett and Klinger entered the house through an unlocked door and to a bedroom occupied by Mr Sorrell. TL remained outside, acting as a lookout.

Billett approach Mr Sorrell, pointing the machete at him and asked for the whereabouts of Mr Scerri. Mr Sorrell told him he was in the caravan. Billett told Mr Sorrell not to move and that he was a dead man, whilst pointing the machete at him. Mr Sorrell was in fear for his life. When Billett and Klinger left the room he ran from the house, jumped a fence and hid.

Meanwhile, Billett and Klinger ran to the caravan. They smashed windows of the

The sentencing judge found the home burglaries serious, particularly as they involved forcible entry into premises known or suspect to be occupied and accompanied by threatened or actual violence.

The sentencing judge found the offending the subject of cts 1 and 4 agg by the fact the respondents were in company with each, that they knew or ought to have known the premises were occupied, they were both armed and both made threats and did harm.

<u>Billett</u>

Accepting of responsibility; understanding of seriousness of offending; steps taken to change his lifestyle; maintaining abstinence from alcohol and illicit substances.

Klinger

Significant remorse and insight into his offending.

the sentence imposed ct 4.

Klinger

Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc). Ct 4: 4 yrs 3 mths imp (conc).

Cts 1, 3 6 and 7 conc with the sentence imposed ct 4.

TES 4 yrs 3 mths imp. EFP.

At [57] ... the seriousness of the offending was selfevident ... There were a significant number of aggravating features: ... this was not opportunistic offending, but, rather, planned conduct with the respondents agreeing to attend at the premises and arming themselves with weapons before arriving; ... the offences were committed in company and at night; ... the offences were at residential premises where it was likely, and indeed the respondents fully expected, residents to be present; ... the purpose of the burglary offences was to enter and.

father 'a big drinker'; both father and mother frequently physical and emotionally abusive; parents separated when young child; lived with his mother until moving to live with his father aged 11 yrs.

Attended high school until yr 9; educated special school leaving yr 10.

Numerous jobs; difficulties maintaining employment; attempted to join the army; survived on Centrelink benefits.

Number of intimate relationships; son born a short time prior to sentencing.

History of alcohol abuse; increasing when he suffered depression.

caravan then forced open the caravan door.

Mr Scerri crawled onto his bed and curled into a ball to protect himself. He felt a couple of blows and then something harder all over his body. He recognised the voice of Billet telling him to stay away from his house and kids. Klinger then screamed words to the effect 'Do you want to die?'.

Mr Scerri att to get up to defend himself. He believed he saw three men, one he recognised as Billett. Mr Scerri could see one of the men had a tomahawk. Mr Scerri was able to chase the men from the caravan.

Police arrived at the house to find Mr Scerri bleeding from a large cut to his ankle and numerous cuts to his body. He was taken to hospital by ambulance and treated for various injuries. The most serious a 5 cm laceration and fracture to his ankle that required surgery.

at least, intimidate the occupant by threatening him with weapons; ... the burglary on the house involved threats to Mr Sorrell, and threatening behaviour with weapons; ... the burglary on the caravan involved forcible entry and the breaking of windows: ... threats to Mr Scerri and a serious assault upon him: ... Mr Scerri was outnumbered and tramped, and thus vulnerable to the attack upon him; and ... the offences were, in essence, a revenge or vigilante attack ...

At [58] ... offences committee as vigilante action are particularly serious. ... Plainly, Klinger was a willing and active participant in what he believed to be a revenge attack.

At [60] The second burglary, that the caravan, was particularly serious because it involved forced entry and the smashing of

		_			
				k/O	windows and an assault
					upon an outnumbered
					victim on his bed at night.
					The fact that Mr Scerri
					curled upon his bed in an
					effort to protect himself is
					a good indication of the
					ferocity of the attack.
8.	Miorada v The	18 yrs at time offending.	1 x AOBH.	9 mths imp.	Allowed.
	State of Western	20 yrs at time sentencing.		Y	
	Australia		During the evening Miorada went to a fast-	EFP.	Appeal concerned type of
		Convicted after early PG (20%	food restaurant. He was heavily intoxicated.		sentence.
	[2022] WASCA	discount).	There he met a friend and they began	The sentencing judge found	
	143	,	talking to a 15-yr-old-girl,	the offending serious; it	Resentenced:
		No significant criminal history;		occurred late at night in a	
	Delivered	no previous offences of	The victim, aged 16 yrs, was also at the	public place; there was a	8 mths imp, conditionally
	27/10/2022	violence.	restaurant with friends. The victim and one	lack of any real provocation	susp 14 mths.
			of his friends approached Miorada and his	by the victim and the	
		Unremarkable childhood; three	friend and asked what they were doing	injuries the victim sustained	At [42] the offence was
		siblings; father struggled with	talking to a 15-yr-old girl. Both men took	are demonstrative of a	a moderately serious
		alcohol addiction for many yrs,	exception to the comment.	significant level of force.	offence of its type. The
		no longer drinking alcohol at		8	offence was an impulsive
		time sentencing; supportive	When Miorada advanced towards the	The sentencing judge found	act which involved no
		family.	victim's friend the victim tried to separate	while the offence was not	planning or forethought.
			the two and told Miorada to 'just chill out'.	planned and the victim was	The assault was constituted
		Completed yr 12; plans to attend	Miorada continued to act aggressively and	not in a position of	by a single punch; it was
		university.	was argumentative. A security officer	enhanced vulnerability, in	not a sustained or
			separated Miorada and the victim.	that he was not looking	persistent attack. The
		Good work ethic; some part-		away at the time of the	punch was delivered with
		time work and experience	A short time later Miorada was seated about	punch, the appellant's	sufficient force to cause
		working various finance	5 m from the victim when he asked him,	reaction was grossly	the injury but did not cause
		companies.	'What are you looking at?'. After a brief	disproportionate to the	the victim to lose
			pause he then stood up, walked up to the	actions of the victim; the	consciousness or fall to the
		Alcohol use from aged 17 yrs;	victim and punched him with a clenched	punch carried with it the	ground. The injury
		drinking increased to two to	fist to the side of the face. The victim did	risk that the victim could	inflicted was serious in that
L		drinking increased to two to	That to the side of the face. The victim did	TISK that the victim could	mineted was serious in that

		three nights per week, including	not retaliate. After the punch he took a step	fall to the ground, causing a	it involved a fracture that
		bring-drinking spirts upon	or two backwards but did not fall to the	more serious injury.	caused pain and discomfort
		turning 18 yrs.	ground.		and required surgical
				Offending significant	treatment, but it did not
			Miorada then ran off.	impact on victim.	require immediate
					emergency treatment. The
			The victim suffered a fractured jaw and	Remorseful; accepting of	victim was younger than
			required surgery to insert a metal plate.	responsibility; good	the appellant, but of a
				prospects of rehabilitation:	similar build and not
				low risk of reoffending.	especially vulnerable at the
			A A C		time of the offence. The
					appellant's act was
					essentially unprovoked and
					likely caused by his state
					of intoxication.
			of Pulolic		01 1110011100111
					At [45] The
			O y		circumstances of the
					offence, though serious,
					were not so serious as to
			x O '		exclude a conditionally
					susp sentence,
7.	The State of	32 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Agg burg.	Ct 1: 2 yrs 8 mths (cum).	Allowed.
''	Western	33 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: AOBH.	Ct 2: No penalty.	7 mo wed.
	Australia v	33 yrs at time senteneing.	Ct 3: Dep lib.	Ct 3: 1 yr 2 mths (cum).	Appeal concerned length
	Krakouer	Convicted after PG (20%	Ст. 3. Вер по.	et 3. 1 yl 2 mins (cum).	of individual sentences cts
	Makouei	discount).	Early in the morning Krakouer entered the	TES 3 yrs 10 mths imp	1 and 3 and totality
	[2022] WASCA	discounty.	victim's home. Her partner had just left for	125 5 yrs 10 mms mp	principle.
	118	Very long criminal history; on	work and she and her infant son were still	EFP.	principle.
	110	bail for burglary offences time	asleep		Resentenced (20%
	Delivered	of offending.	asicep	The sentencing judge noted	discount):
	06/09/2022	of offending.	Inside the house Krakouer took poss of a	the respondent was a repeat	discount).
	00/03/2022	Aboriginal; born to young	knife, a baseball bat and a pair of scissors.	offender for the purposes of	Ct 1: 5 yrs imp (cum).
				1 1	
		alcoholic mother; methyl-	He also put on the victim's hooded dressing	s 401(4) of the <i>Criminal</i>	Ct 2: No penalty.
		addicted father; raised by	gown.	Code.	Ct 3: 1 yr imp (cum).

maternal grandmother.

Left school year 9.

No history of employment or job training.

Stable relationship at time of sentencing; five children from prior relationships; no contact with his children.

Long history of substance abuse; using drugs daily; no serious or enduring mental illness.

Awoken by her son crying the victim went into the kitchen. Krakouer appeared from behind the bench top and tackled her to the floor, causing her to bang the back of her head. When she screamed he placed a hand across her mouth and told her to stop. Once she stopped screaming he let her attend to her infant son.

Krakouer told the victim she was going to drive him around to help him find his partner. She obliged out of fear.

Krakouer, the victim and her son got into the victim's vehicle. Before doing so, he removed various items from within the house and placed them into a bag, which he placed in the car.

Krakouer then directed the victim to drive him to various locations in the metropolitan area. He eventually got out of the car, apologising to the victim before walking off with the bag of items he had taken from the house. The sentencing judge found the offending persistent and committed over an extended period of time; the respondent was armed with three weapons; he confronted the victim with his face covered; he assaulted the victim; a child was present and he continued with the offending even after he was aware she was caring for her infant son.

Offending severe psychological impact on the victim; diagnosed with PTSD and prescribed medication.

Remorseful and accepting of responsibility; completed six-wk rehabilitation program in custody.

TES 6 yrs imp.

EFP.

At [54] The agg home burglary offence charged in ct 1 was far from the least serious category of offending. The sentence imposed by the sentencing judge ... fails to reflect the position of the respondent's offending in the range between the least serious category of offending and the worst category of offending.

At [56] ... the sentence ... for ct 1 is unreasonable or plainly unjust. The sentence failed by a significant measure to reflect the criminality involved in the offending ... the individual sentence imposed for ct 1 was manifestly inadequate ...

At [58] ... we would note that the TES ... fails, in our view, to reflect the seriousness of the agg home burglary offence

				740	considered alone
6.	The State of	38 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Dep lib.	Ct 1: 6 mths imp (conc).	Allowed.
	Western	39 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Threat to kill.	Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc).	
	Australia v		Ct 3: Agg AOBH.	Ct 3: 2 yrs imp.	Appeal concerned length
	Chungarai	Convicted after late PG (10%	Ct 4: Agg unlawful wounding.	Ct 4: 18 mths imp (cum).	of sentences cts 1 and 3
		discount).			and totality principle.
	[2021] WASCA		Chungarai and the victim, aged 36 yrs, were	TES 3 yrs 6 mths imp.	
	147	Lengthy criminal history; prior	in a domestic relationship and had two		Resentenced (10%
		convictions and sentence of imp	children together.	EFP.	discount):
	Delivered	for violent offending; including	• C	7	
	18/08/2021	an offence against same victim.	At the time of the offending Chungarai was	The sentencing judge found	Ct 1: 18 mths imp (conc).
			subject to protective bail conditions	the offending a very serious	Ct 2: 22 mths imp (conc).
		Born Derby; raised in regional	prohibiting him from contacting the victim.	example of domestic	Ct 3: 3 yrs 9 mths imp
		community; one of eight	However, he was living with her and their	violence; the	(cum).
		children; parents separated when	daughters at the time.	sustained nature of the	Ct 4: 2 yrs 3 mths imp
		young; predominantly raised by	\	assault was an agg feature;	(cum).
		his father; aged 17 yrs when	During the evening Chungarai consumed a	the victim was vulnerable	
		mother died.	substantial volume of alcohol and was in a	and the assaults brutal,	TES 6 yrs imp. EFP.
			very intoxicated state. The victim was also	humiliating and degrading	
		Left school yr 10; basic literacy	drinking alcohol, although nowhere near to	to the victim.	At [56] The [agg
		skills.	the same extent as Chungarai.		AOBH] offence was
				Offending ongoing	sustained over five to six
		Employed various roles; plans to	In the early hrs of the morning, they began	psychological and	hrs. It occurred in stages,
		return to work on release from	arguing. Chungarai took a razor and shaved	emotional impact on victim	which gave the respondent
		custody.	off most of the victim's hair, causing	and the eldest daughter.	the opportunity to calm
			numerous lacerations to her scalp. This		down and stop The
		Two daughters; aged 5 yrs and	constituted the start of the protracted and	Remorseful; understands	offence involved at least
		aged 1 yr time offending.	agg AOBH the, which continued over the	what he has done; efforts	five incidents, all of which
			course of five to six hrs.	made to rehabilitate himself	involved an assault and
		Long history alcohol abuse;		in custody.	some of which could have
		commenced drinking after death	The victim's screams awoke the two		been charged as a separate
		of his mother.	daughters. Outside, she made up a bed and		offence of AOBH: the
			lay down with the children. She was		victim was an intimate
			breastfeeding, while the other child lay		partner of the [respondent]
		CAU	asleep next to her, when Chungarai came		and the offending occurred

outside and started hitting her, punching her twice in the face as she breastfed (ct 3).

Chungarai demanded the victim bring their daughters inside. Scared and wanting to avoid being hit further, she complied.

Sometime later, the pair resumed arguing. He warned the victim about calling the police. He also smashed an empty bottle and held the broken bottle in his hand while threatening to kill her (ct 2). Chungarai threw the bottle at a wall, smashing it, causing glass chips to land on the victim and their 1-yr-old child.

The victim repeatedly asked Chungarai to settle down and for a period he went to sleep. On waking, he smashed a wooden frame and, using the sharp part of the wood, stabbed the victim in her leg and back. She suffered two large cuts (ct 4).

Chungarai then pushed the victim, who was bleeding heavily from her injuries, into a wall and punched her. The victim went to the toilet and a substantial amount of her blood went onto the wall and toilet seat. He continued to punch her and told her to clean the blood up as he did so.

On two occasions Chungarai used electrical cord to tie the victim's feet together so she could not get away, while telling her that if she left, he would hit her even more (ct 1).

in front of her 5-yr-old child. ... Part of the assault was committed while the victim was breastfeeding ... magnifying the victim's vulnerability and meaning there was a risk of injury to the child. ... The attack was persistent, sustained, controlling and carried out in a way to cause maximum terror and humiliation to the victim. ... The victim's injuries were serious and extensive, ...

At [57] ... the respondent's offending as a whole were very serious examples of domestic violence. ...

At [61] The respondent's offence of dep lib had many serious elements ...

At [65] – [66] ... the sentence for each of cts 1 and 3 was not merely 'lenient' or 'at the lower end of the available range'. In our opinion, the sentence for each of cts 1 and 3 was not commensurate with the

			While the victim was tied up, Chungarai jumped on her feet. This conduct a continuation of ct 3. At another point in the evening Chungarai threw a butter knife at the victim, hitting her in the face and causing a large split above her eye. This conduct also a continuation of ct 3. Throughout the five to six hr period the victim was too scared to leave, as Chungarai threatened to harm their children if she did so. The victim suffered deep lacerations to various parts of her face, superficial lacerations, bruising, swelling and tenderness. She was hospitalised for two days. One of her wounds developed an infection that required numerous treatments.		seriousness of the offence Each of those sentences was manifestly inadequate At [67] Ct 2 had serious elements. The respondent's threat to kill came in the midst of, and not at the beginning of, his attack on the complainant. That magnified her vulnerability At [68] In our opinion, the TES for cts 1, 2, 3 and 4 fell well short of bearing a proper relationship to the overall criminality involved in all of the respondent's offences, In our respectful opinion, the TES was not merely 'lenient' or 'at the lower end of the available range';
					it was unreasonable and plainly unjust
5.	The State of Western Australia v Quartermaine	22 yrs at time offending. Convicted after PG (25% discount).	Ct 1: Agg burg. Ct 2: Steal motor vehicle. Ct 3: Agg burg. Ct 4: AOBH. Ct 5: Agg burg.	Ct 1: 2 yrs imp (conc). Ct 2: 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 3: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (conc). Ct 4: 6 mths imp (cum).	Allowed. Appeal concerned length of individual sentences cts 1, 3 and 5 and totality
	[2021] WASCA 145	Extensive criminal history; previous terms of imp.	Ct 6: Stealing. Quartermaine was drinking excessively at	Ct 5: 2 yrs imp (cum). Ct 6: No penalty.	principle. Resentenced (25%

				,
Delivered	Difficult up-bringing; raised	his mother's home and was ejected from the	TES 3 yrs imp.	discount):
16/08/2021	family environment marred by	premises at around midnight. Upset and		
	domestic violence; drug and	wanting a vehicle to get home he went to a	EFP.	Ct 1: 12 mths imp (cum).
	alcohol abuse.	house occupied by a couple who, along		Ct 2: 15 mths imp (conc).
		with their 2 yr old son, were asleep inside.	A 'repeat offender' as a	Ct 3: 4 yrs imp (cum).
	Difficult education; changed	He entered the house by removing the	result of offending subject	Ct 4: 10 mths imp (conc).
	schools on a number of	flyscreen on an open window. Inside he	of ct 5.	Ct 5: 3 yrs 6 mths imp
	occasions; left aged 13 yrs.	stole the keys a BMW motor vehicle. He		(conc).
		then went into the garage and stole a bag	The sentencing judge found	Ct 6: No penalty.
	Relationship at time offending;	containing items valued at about \$400 from	the offending very serious.	
	two children aged 5 yrs and a	a vehicle. Next, he stole the BMW. He		TES 5 yrs imp.
	new born.	abandoned the vehicle after crashing it.	Remorseful; high risk of	
			reoffending; alcohol and	EFP.
	Substance abuse issues;	Quartermaine was later identified by his	drug abuse needs to be	
	commenced drinking alcohol	fingerprints and DNA. He admitted the	addressed.	At [78] In our opinion, the
	aged 14 yrs.	offences when interviewed by police (cts 1		sentence for each of cts 3
		& 2).		and 5 was not
				commensurate with the
		Several hrs later Quartermaine went to		seriousness of the offence.
		another home. The victims, a couple and		The offending on ct 5 was
		their 20 yr old daughter, were asleep in the		not the least serious type of
		home at the time.		agg home burglary and,
				consequently, a sentence in
		Quartermaine entered the home by kicking		excess of the statutory min
		open the front door. This woke the victims.		penalty should have been
		The male victim got out of bed and was		imposed We are
		confronted by Quartermaine, who		satisfied that the length
	4,00	demanded his keys and threatened to kill		of each sentence was
	X	him. The victim repeatedly told him to		unreasonable or plainly
	C	leave. A scuffle ensued during which he		unjust.
		punched the victim to the face about three		
		times. The victim suffered soreness and a		At [80] The sentence for
		mark on his cheek. Quartermaine then ran		each of cts 3 and 5 was
		from the house.		substantially less than the
	LCAU			sentence that was open to

			Quartermaine was captured on CCTV		her Honour on a proper
			footage and identified by one of the victims		exercise of her discretion.
			on a digiboard. He made no admissions		Each sentence was
			when interviewed by police (cts 3 & 4).	NOSECULLIA	manifestly inadequate.
			Several wks later Quartermaine went to		At [83] In our opinion, the
			another home in the early hrs of the		TES imposed on the
			morning. The victim was asleep inside.		respondent did not bear a
			After kicking open the front door to gain	X	proper relationship to the
			entry he stole a set of car keys. Awoken by	Y	overall criminality
			the noise the victim got out of bed and		involved in all of his
			confronted him walking through the house.		offences, viewed together
			Quartermaine fled the premises.		The TES imposed
			Commission and Production		was unreasonable or
			Quartermaine was identified through a		plainly unjust. It was not
			DNA match from blood recovered at the		merely 'lenient' or 'at the
			premises. When interviewed he made no		lower end of the available
			admissions (cts 5 & 6).		range'
4.	Lardi v The State	19 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: AOBH.	Ct 1: 11 mths imp (conc).	Allowed.
	of Western	-> j== iii iiiii == ii	Ct 2: Stealing.	Ct 2: 3 mths imp (conc).	
	Australia	Convicted after late PG (12.5%		r	Appeal concerned parity
		discount).	Lardi was the driver of a Mercedes sedan.	TES 11 mths imp.	principle (ct 1).
	[2021] WASCA	discounty.	Also in the vehicle were the co-offenders,	122 11	principle (et 1).
	117	No prior criminal history; traffic	McDonald and Birdsall. An unknown male	EFP.	Resentenced (12.5%
		offences as a juvenile.	sat on the bonnet of the Mercedes and		discount):
	Delivered		damaged the vehicle's badge. Lardi	The sentencing judge found	
	07/07/2021	No offending 22-mth period on	confronted the male. He returned to the	the offending was not	Ct 1:8 mths imp (conc).
		bail prior to sentencing.	vehicle and drove it a short distance before	premediated; however it	Ct 2: 2 mths imp (conc).
		our proof of the p	again alighting. McDonald and Birdsall	was unprovoked and	
		Assisted his mother in bringing	also got out of the car. The three punched	gratuitous; the victim was	Imp susp, without
		up his siblings.	the unknown male. The altercation	vulnerable and his injuries	conditions, 9 mths.
			broadened to include a group of women.	'towards the higher end of	
		Left school yr 9.	are the state of t	the range that one might see	At [29] As the respondent
			Discovering he had lost his gold chain	as bodily harm as opposed	correctly conceded, the
		Employed from time to time;	Lardi accused one or more of the women of	to GBH'.	appellant played a lesser
			Zarar arrased one of more of the women of	10 0211 1	appendit played a resser

plans to re-engage an apprenticeship.

Good health; no alcohol or drug

issues.

taking it. The confrontation escalated when he grabbed one of the women's handbags and refused to return it.

The victim saw the confrontation developing and recorded the scene using his mobile phone. He also took, or attempted to take, a photograph of the Mercedes as it travelled down the street.

Seeing the victim using his mobile phone to record them Lardi and the co-offenders stopped and emerged from the Mercedes. They confronted the victim. Both McDonald and Birdsall punched him. The victim's mobile phone fell to the ground and Lardi picked it up and refused to return it.

Police arrived a short time later and Lardi and Birdsall were arrested. McDonald had already departed.

The victim's mobile phone was recovered intact.

The victim was taken to hospital by ambulance. He suffered a fracture to the left maxillary bone of his face. The injury was treated conservatively.

The sentencing judge accepted the offending was not alcohol-fuelled.

The sentencing judge found the need for general deterrence 'absolutely pivotal in this case' and the seriousness of the offending outweighed each offender's personal circumstances.

No demonstrated remorse by the appellant and his cooffenders. role in the assault ... He did not instigate the attack ... or strike him. Mr McDonald and Mr Birdsall struck the victim and caused his injuries. They were the principal offenders ...

At [30] The appellant's personal circumstances were more favourable than those of Mr McDonald and Mr Birdsall. ...

At [33] Having regard to the appellant's lesser role in the commission of ct 1, and his more favourable antecedents ... a lesser sentence should have been imposed upon the appellant. ...

At [39] The offending was, ... serious. The injuries suffered by the victim were significant. Street violence, particularly when committed in company and against a vulnerable victim, is to be deterred. The seriousness of the offending was such as to call for nothing less than

					Y
				KAO	imp, despite the mitigating
					factors.
3.	OLK v The State	40 yrs at time sentencing.	1 x AOBH.	9 mths imp, conditionally	Dismissed – on papers.
	of Western			susp 12 mths.	
	Australia	Convicted after trial.	The victim, SY, was 20 months-old and		Appeal concerned type of
			OLK's granddaughter.	The trial judge found the	sentence (suspension
	[2021] WASCA	Criminal history; prior assault		offending a 'serious	subject to conditions).
	100	convictions in 2000 and 2010.	Family members, including SY and her	offence'.	
			mother, MA, were having lunch at a home.		At [103] It was reasonably
	Delivered	Carer for seven children; five	Also present were a number of other young	The trial judge reduced the	open for the trial judge to
	03/06/2021	continue to live with her.	children.	appellant's risk of	conclude that a programme
				reoffending by imposition	requirement was required –
		No current drug or alcohol	At around the same time YK, the partner of	of a programme	and that the sentencing
		issues.	one of the family members, attended the	requirement to address her	option of susp imp under pt
			home. He became angry and agitated and	treatment needs in terms of	11 of the [Sentencing Act
			was causing a disturbance.	emotional regulation,	s 39(2)(f)] was not
				decision making and	appropriate – in the
			MA left the house with SY to avoid the	conflict resolution.	circumstances of this
			disturbance. She drove around the block in		particular case The
			a car and returned a short time later. By the	No demonstrated remorse	offending itself was
			time she had returned the police were at the	and lack of insight into her	consistent with the
			house.	behaviour; complied with	appellant resorting to
				protective bail conditions	violence – the appellant
			In the meantime, OLK received a message	and satisfactorily	rushed at MA without
			that her son, YK, was running amok and	completed past community-	cause and directed a series
			had been injured. She and other members of	based dispositions.	of windmill punches
			her family attended the house to punish		towards MA and SY in
			those whom she considered to be		circumstances where doing
			responsible.		so might have escalated an
		X	MA 4 4 6 (h 1 1 - 1 ' CSY		already precarious
			MA got out of the car and was holding SY		situation and despite the
			in her arms when OLK arrived. OLK		presence of numerous
			immediately targeted MA and yelled at her.		family members.
		3.09	She then punched MA, connecting with one		A4 [104] The add 1
			or more blows. However, one of the blows		At [104] The trial judge

	T				/
			made contact with SY's face.	KAO	considered that a
					programme requirement
			SY sustained minor injuries, consisting of a		was required, and imposed
			swollen lip and bleeding around her nose		such a requirement as part
			and mouth. She did not suffer any		of a conditionally susp
			permanent injuries and made a full	SECITAL	term of imp because the
			recovery.		appellant's offending and
					personal circumstances,
				X Y	bespoke a need for
				7	behavioural change in
			A'AO		terms of enhanced conflict
					and dispute resolution
					skills to reduce the risk of
					re-offending
2.	Davies v The	29 yrs at time offending.	Ind	Ind	Dismissed.
	State of Western	30 yrs at time sentencing.	$1 \times AOBH$.	$\overline{3}$ yrs imp.	
	Australia				Appeal concerned length
		Convicted after early PG (25%	<u>Breach</u>	EFP.	of sentence ct 1.
	[2021] WASCA	discount).	1 x Breach of CSIO.		
	71			<u>Breach</u>	At [83]-[84] It is, in our
		Criminal history.	Ind	Fine \$1,000.	view, that the
	Delivered		Davies was drinking and socialising at the		appellant's offending was
	30/04/2021	Parents separated when young;	accommodation facilities of a mine site	The sentencing judge found	at the upper end of the
		minimal contact with his father;	when he became involved in a physical	the offending serious; the	scale of seriousness for an
		otherwise positive childhood;	fight with another worker. Two other men,	appellant approached B; he	offence of this type
		stable and supportive family	one of whom was the victim B, broke up	was armed with two rocks;	The appellant's sentence
		upbringing.	the fight and held Davies until he calmed	there was the absence of	for AOBH was
		1,00	down.	any threat or provocation	undoubtedly high. That is
		Completed high school.		from B; B was vulnerable	particularly so given the
			Later that same night B was seated on a	by reason of being seated;	25% discount for the early
		Good work history; mainly in	chair when Davies approached him holding	the blow was forceful and	PG. In the end, however,
		FIFO capacity on mine sites.	two rocks. With one of the rocks he struck	B suffered serious injury.	we have concluded that the
			B to the side of the head, momentarily		sentence does not reach,
		Long-term on and off	knocking him unconscious.	Appellant demonstrated	although it approaches, a
		relationship since mid-teenage		remorse and victim	length which could be

yrs; relationship marred by domestic violence; two children; separated from partner who remains supportive of him.

Good physical health; struggles with stress and FIFO lifestyle.

Regular user of alcohol; regularly drinks to intoxication; trouble controlling his temper when doing so. B suffered two skull fractures, swelling and bleeding on the brain and a laceration to the head that required stiches. He was flown to Perth for treatment and was unfit for work for several months.

Breach

Intoxicated Davies twice entered an occupied hotel room. On the first occasion he pushed past the occupant, but left on being asked to leave. On the second occasion the occupant awoke to find him in the room. He behaved violently and bizarrely, tossing and kicking furniture and holes in the wall. When forcibly restrained by a hotel manager Davies punched the manager in the face and broke the manager's thumb.

In respect of this offending Davies was sentenced in the District Court to 16 mths imp, conditionally susp for 16 mths for burglary and criminal damage. On a PG he was convicted and fined \$800 in the Magistrates Court of AOBH for the assault on the hotel manager.

The CSIO was due to expire about one mth after the offending the subject of the ind.

empathy; steps taken towards rehabilitation; including psychological counselling and anger management and to address his excessive drinking.

Increased risk of reoffending by losing his temper and becoming involved in violence if appellant continued his reliance on alcohol. properly characterised as unreasonably or plainly unjust. ...

At [85] We have reached this conclusion taking into account ... the facts and circumstances of the offending including the fact that, when he committed the AOBH by striking B to the head with the rock, the appellant was subject to a CSIO. ... The objective seriousness of the offending including both the injuries as suffered by B and the real potential that B might have suffered more serious consequences. ... B's vulnerability. ... the place which the appellant's criminal behaviour occupies on the scale of seriousness for offences of this kind. ... [his] early PG. ... The necessity for personal deterrence as evinced by the appellant's continued violent offending, while intoxicated, despite being the subject to a CSIO which also resulted from

				Y.40	violent offending while
					intoxicated steps
				Second	towards rehabilitation and
					demonstrated remorse
					[and] The moderating
					effect on the severity of the
					individual 3 yr sentence of
					the TES and the otherwise
				X Y	lenient outcome in respect
				Y	of the appellant's breach of
			Α'ΑΟ		the CSIO
1.	Drage v The	42 and 44 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Agg burg.	Ct 1: 3 yrs 9 mths imp	Dismissed – on papers.
	State of Western	45 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Agg AOBH.	(cum).	1 1
	Australia			Ct 2: 3 yrs 9 mths imp	Appeal concerned totality
		Convicted after early PG	The victim was Drage's de facto partner,	(cum).	principle and length of
	[2021] WASCA 6	(17.5% discount ct 1 and 20%	LM. Their relationship was marred with		sentence ct 2.
		discount et 2).	domestic violence.	TES 7 yrs 6 mths imp.	
	Delivered				At [47] The offending the
	12/01/2021	Long criminal history; terms of	Drage and LM had both been drinking at	EFP.	subject of ct 2 was very
		imp; no convictions of violence	home. Drage was verbally abusive and		serious. First, the offending
		since 2004.	struck LM. LM's 10-yr-old son called the	The sentencing judge found	was protracted and
			police who attended and served him with a	the offending 'a protracted	sustained over a
		Deprived background; regularly	police order, requiring him to stay away	and cowardly attack of	considerable period of
		assaulted by alcoholic	from the premises for 24 hrs.	quite unbelievable	time, was violent, resulted
		stepfather; left home aged 11		savagery'; each attack,	in serious injuries and was
		yrs; lived on the streets aged 14-	The same night Drage returned to the	particularly the assault the	particularly degrading and
		16 yrs.	premises and entered the home by breaking	subject of ct 2 was	humiliating of LM.
		4,40	a glass door. He went to the bedroom in	prolonged, sustained and	Second, the offending
		Sporadic employment history;	which LM and her son were located. They	repeated; neither was a one-	involved a weapon and
		never worked more than 10 mths	braced themselves against the door to	off aberration; ct 2 was	resulted in an open wound
		at a time.	prevent him from entering the room, but he	towards the higher end of	to LM's person. Third, the
			overpowered them. He then dragged LM	the scale of offences giving	offending occurred whilst
		Prior 12 yr relationship; marred	out of the room, pushed her to the ground	rise to bodily harm; the	[he] was on bail for the
		by domestic violence and	and kicked her several times. He verbally	victim was 'especially	offending the subject of ct
		substance abuse; four children.	abused her 10-yr-old son.	vulnerable' – a	1.

Cannabis use from aged 12 yrs; methyl use from 16 yrs; history of excessive alcohol use; exacerbated substance abuse following death of his teenage son in 2018.

History of mental health problems; prescribed medication for depression.

LM sustained bruising, lacerations and a bloody nose.

Drage evaded police and was not arrested until some 16 mths later. After some mths remanded in custody he was granted bail, with a condition that he not behave in an intimidatory, offensive or emotionally abusive manner towards LM.

Nine days after Drage's release to bail he attacked LM on and off over a two-day period. He punched and kicked her causing bruising and soft tissue injuries. He also ripped out her hair and made her walk around like a dog and punctured her thigh with a small knife.

Police attended the premises to conduct a welfare check on LM. Drage was abusive and aggressive towards the officers and told them LM was not at home. The officers heard LM scream and cry for help and located her hiding under a bed, her face swollen and covered in blood.

Drage fled from the scene but was later apprehended.

vulnerability that arose from being in a family and domestic relationship with the appellant.

The sentencing judge found accumulation of both sentences was required to mark the obvious escalation in the offending and disregard for the law.

No remorse or insight into his offending.

At [61] ... the two offences were quite separate in time. ... the offending the subject of ct 2 occurred more than 21 mths later ... The circumstances of the offences did not overlap. ...

At [62] The ... agg home burg offence was a serious offence of its type. It involved a violent assault on the appellant's de factor partner, in the presence of LM's 10-yr-old son when, less than half an hr earlier. [he] had been issued with a 24-hr police order. The offending demonstrated disregard for the law and a preparedness to offend despite recent intervention of the police to defuse an earlier altercation that night. ...