Child Sex Offences

From 1 January 2021

Transitional Sentencing Provisions: This table is divided into thirds based on the three relevant periods of Sentencing Provisions:

- Post-transitional provisions period
- Transitional provisions period
- Pre-transitional provisions period

Glossary:

agg aggravated att attempted

CEM child exploitation material

conc concurrent cum cumulative ct count

CRO conditional release order

EFP eligible for parole imp imprisonment indec indecent

ISO intensive supervision order

PG plead guilty

sex pen sexual penetration without consent

susp suspended

SOTP sex offender treatment program

TES total effective sentence

Child aged under 13 yrs

No.	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal
22.	OMC v The State	30-31 yrs at time offending.	IND X	IND X	Dismissed (leave refused).
	of Western	33 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 1-6 & 8-9: Indec deal child U13 yrs.	Cts 1; 2 & 5: 18 mths imp	
	Australia		Ct 7: Att indec deal child U13 yrs.	(conc).	Appeal concerned totality
		IND X	IND Y	Ct 3: 2 yrs imp.	principle.
	[2023] WASCA	Convicted after trial.	Ct 1: Poss CEM.	Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (conc).	
	86	IND Y		Ct 5: 18 mths imp (conc).	At [39] The appellant took
		Convicted after late PG.	The victim was aged between 10 and 11 yrs	Cts 6 & 9: 2 yrs imp (cum).	advantage of a vulnerable
	Delivered		at the time of the offending. She was the	Ct 7: 12 mths imp (conc).	young child by persistently
	30/05/2023	Short criminal history; no	daughter of OMC's then partner and he was a	Ct 8: 8 mths imp (conc).	sexually abusing her over a
		prior convictions for violence	father figure to her.	IND Y	period of at least 18 mths. The
		or sexual offending.		Ct 1: 12 mths imp (conc).	offences were particularly agg
			The offences were representative of a course		by the use of a degree of force
		Aged 12 mths when parents	of ongoing sexualised conduct towards the	TES 6 yrs imp.	and that the appellant
		separated; lived with his	victim over a period of 18 mths.		frequently persisted when the
		mother until aged 12 yrs,		EFP.	victim made it clear to him
		then resided with his father;	The offending occurred in the family home,		that she did not want him to
		prosocial upbringing;	when OMC was alone with the victim.	IND X	touch her. The appellant
		suffered adverse		The sentencing judge	sought to manipulate the
		psychological effects from	OMC indec dealt with the victim by rubbing	characterised the offending	victim by telling her that if she
		parents' conflict.	her vagina with his fingers or squeezing her	against the victim as 'very	complained about his actions
			breasts (cts 1-6). He touched her vagina both	serious'; the touching	he would be out of her life and
		Good family support.	over and under her clothing.	consisted a gross breach of	he would be unable to pay for
				trust; the victim was aged	the things that she liked
		Good employment history.	On one occasion OMC pulled the victim onto	between 10-11 yrs; a degree of	[he] was undeterred by her
			her bed and att to touch her vagina (ct 7).	force was used in the	protests and attempts to resist
		Partner miscarried around		offending and that it must have	this behaviour.
		time offending began; stress	On another occasion OMC called out to the	been clear to the appellant that	
		of FIFO work impact on his	victim to come into his bedroom. When the	the victim was unhappy as she	At [40] The appellant's actions
		relationship.	victim eventually did so he was standing,	repeatedly asked him to stop	have had and are likely to have
			naked, in the doorway (ct 8).	and leave her alone; he	an ongoing adverse effect
		3 00		manipulated her by telling her	upon the victim.
		CAU	The victim would try to prevent what was	she could not tell her mother	

	1	T			Y
			happening to her and would tell OMC to go	or he would be in trouble and	At [46] In our opinion, having
			away.	would no longer be in her life	regard to all of the relevant
				and the period of time over	facts and circumstances of the
			When arrested OMCs laptop was seized and	which the offending occurred.	present case and all relevant
			was found to contain six videos depicting		sentencing factors, the TES
			penetrative sex of a female child, including	IND Y	bears a proper relationship to
			very young children, one of whom looked no	The sentencing judge found	the overall criminality in all of
			more than 3 or 4 yrs old.	this offence serious and the	the offences committed by the
			·	material 'graphic and	appellant
				revolting'.	
			A A		
				Offending significant negative	
				impact on the victim.	
				r	
				No acceptance of	
			C V	responsibility; continued to	
				deny the offending.	
21.	Guagliardo v The	36-40 yrs at time offending.	IND 1475	IND 1475	Dismissed (on papers).
	State of Western	44 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 3-4; 6-8: Indec deal child U13 yrs.	Ct 3: 12 mths imp (conc).	
	Australia		Cts 5; 9-10: Sex pen child U13 yrs.	Ct 4: 12 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned length of
		Convicted after trial.	XO	Ct 5: 3 yrs imp (conc).	sentence ct 4 (IND 2189) and
	[2023] WASCA		IND 2189	Ct 6: 6 mths imp (cum).	totality principle.
	71	No criminal history.	Cts 1-4: Poss CEM.	Ct 7: 12 mths imp (conc).	
				Ct 8: 18 mths imp (conc).	At [60] In the present case the
	Delivered	Positive childhood; youngest	IND 1475	Ct 9: 4 yrs imp (cum).	seriousness of the contact sex
	02/05//2023	of two children; strong	The four female victims, P, M, R and S, were	Ct 10: 4 yrs imp (conc).	offences was reflected in the
		relationship with his mother;	all aged 10 yrs or under at the time of the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	fact that there were four
		family remain supportive.	offending.	TES 7 yrs 6 mths imp.	victims and that the offences
				•	involved significant breaches
		Educated to yr 12;	Guagliardo was friends with the victims'	IND 2189	of trust. In each case the
		commenced university	parents.	Ct 1: 6 mths imp (conc).	appellant had access to the
		studies before completing		Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc).	children because he was a
		TAFE diploma.	P, aged 10 yrs, was travelling as a passenger	Ct 3: 12 mths imp (conc).	trusted friend of the family. He
			seated in the front of Guagliardo's car.	Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (conc).	obtained access by causing the
		Employed computing field a	During the trip he put his hands on her inner		families to believe that he was
	1			1	

number of yrs; past 19 yrs worked as a labourer, delivery driver and storeman; sole financial provider; struggles financially.

Married 22 yrs; wife remains supportive; two young children.

Diagnosed and medicated for ADHD from aged 12 yrs; suffers chronic fatigue; gall bladder issues; abdominal pain; migraines; anxiety and depression.

No issues with alcohol or illicit substance use.

thigh. He then touched and rubbed her vagina over her clothing (ct 3).

Sometime later Guagliardo was with M. While she was sitting on the armrest of a couch he told her he would massage her. During the massage he placed his hand under her underwear and around her genital area, without touching it. He then touched her just above the clitoris. M asked him to stop, but he continued. (ct 4).

M got up and returned a short time later. Guagliardo again placed his hand under her underwear. She asked him to stop, but he did not do so. While his hands were inside her underwear he penetrated her labia with his fingers (ct 5).

R, aged between 7 and 9 yrs of age, was on her bed. Guagliardo offered to give her a massage and she agreed. He commenced massaging her, groping her breasts above her shirt. He stopped when R's mother came into the room (ct 6).

On another occasion, R, aged 9-10 yrs of age, was sitting next to Guagliardo. She agreed to a massage. When he commenced doing so she told him to stop, but he continued. He grabbed R's breasts under her shirt (ct 7) then moved down towards her hips. She again told him to stop but he continued. Guagliardo then put his hands in her pants and started rubbing her vaginal area (ct 8).

TES 2 imp (cum with IND 1475).

TES 9 yrs 6 mths imp.

EFP.

The trial judge found the offending very serious and not at the lowest or lower end of the scale; the quantity of the CEM was significant; some of the material was classified in the worst category, including material that displayed a significant level of perversion or debauchery; the appellant committed the offending over a lengthy period, being a threeyr-period of consistent interaction with CEM files; the material was downloaded on numerous occasions and he copied it across other devices, indicative of a person with a real and significant interest in CEM: the children involved were vulnerable and he preyed on that vulnerability in order to take possession of the CEM; the offending ended only when the CEM was seized, it was not a case of him voluntarily desisting.

providing massages for therapeutic purposes. He used this access, and the opportunity to touch the children without arousing suspicion, to satisfy his own perverted sexual desires. Whilst no physical or verbal coercion was involved, none was needed. On three occasions the touching advanced to actual sex pen. The victims were vulnerable having regard to their age. S was particularly vulnerable due to her autism.

At [67] Having regard to the max penalties for the offences, the seriousness of the offending conduct (including the number of offences and the number of victims), the personal circumstances of the appellant and the sentences imposed in broadly comparable cases, it is not reasonably arguable that the TES ... imposed on IND 1475 was plainly unreasonable or unjust.

At [69] In respect of the CEM offences committed by the appellant the seriousness is

S, aged 7 yrs, has autism. She suffered from stomach pains. In consultation with her mother Guagliardo would sometimes massage her stomach to relieve her pain. On one occasion he was massaging her he put his fingers inside her vagina, causing her pain (ct 9). On another occasion he kissed and licked her vulva (ct 10).

IND 2189

On the investigation of Guagliardo in relation to allegations of sexual offending, his mobile telephone, and a number of his computer devices were seized. His mobile phone and three of the devices were found to contain CEM at Cat 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5. The material depicted children in the 8-13 yr age category engaging in sexual activity. The total number of images was 35,435 and 323 videos.

When spoken to by police Guagliardo denied the offending.

Offending profound emotional and psychological effects on the victims; all required counselling to cope with the effects of the offending.

Appellant continued to deny the offending; no demonstrated remorse; real risk of reoffending; guarded prospects of rehabilitation. reflected in the very large number of images and videos, the period of time over which the material was collected and the nature of that material. It included numerous images and videos in the most serious categories. Whilst there was no evidence that [he] had engaged in this activity for commercial reward, the factors referred to place this into a serious category of offending of this type.

At [75] Having regard to the max penalty for the offence of poss of CEM, the seriousness of the offending conduct in this case (including the number of images and the nature of those images), the personal circumstances of the appellant and sentences imposed in broadly comparable cases, it is not reasonably arguable that the sentence ... on ct 4 on IND 2189 was manifestly excessive.

At [76] As to whether the overall TES of 9 yrs and 6 mths imp infringed the first limb of the totality principle,

the offending on both indictments occurred within the same time period but involved separate and district conduct. In the circumstant cum sentences were appropriate, 20. The State of Western Australia v THN [2023] WASCA [18] Prior criminal history. Delivered 02/02//2023 Raised in loving and o2/02//2023 Cts 1-3 & 5: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Ct 1: 18 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 15 mths imp (conc). Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (cum). Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (cum). Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (cum). Ct 5: 18 mths imp (c	
the same time period but involved separate and district conduct. In the circumstant cum sentences were appropriate, 20. The State of Western Australia v THN [2023] WASCA 18 Prior criminal history. Delivered Western Australia v THN Raised in loving and Cts 1-3 & 5: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Ct 4: Sex pen child U13 yrs. Ct 5: 18 mths imp (cum). Ct 5: 18 mths imp. Resentenced:	
20. The State of Western Australia v THN [2023] WASCA 18 Prior criminal history. Westerd Australia v Tho Raised in loving and Australia v Tho Raised in loving and Australia v Tho Delivered Australia v Tho Raised in loving and Australia v Tho The State of Western Australia v Tho Cat 1: 18 mths imp (cum). Cat 2: 15 mths imp (conc). Cat 3: 12 mths imp (cum). Cat 4: 2 yrs imp (cum). Cat 4: 2 yrs imp (cum). Cat 4: 2 yrs imp (cum). Cat 5: 18 mths imp (cum). Cat 6: 2 yrs imp (cum). Cat 6: 2 yrs imp (cum). Cat 6: 2 yrs imp (cum). Cat 6: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Cat 7: 18 mths imp (cum). Cat 6: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Cat 6: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Cat 7: 18 mths imp (cum). Cat 8: 18 mths im	in
20. The State of Western Australia v THN [2023] WASCA 18 Prior criminal history. Wasied in loving and Western Australia v THN Raised in loving and Cts 1-3 & 5: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Cts 2-15 mths imp (conc). Cts 3-12	
20. The State of Western Australia v THN [2023] WASCA 18 Prior criminal history. Western Agaised in loving and Western Australia v THN Convicted after trial. Cts 1-3 & 5: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Cts 1-3 & 5: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Cts 1-3 & 5: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Cts 1-3 & 5: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Cts 1-3 & 5: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Cts 1-3 & 5: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Cts 1-3 & 5: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Cts 1-3 & 5: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Cts 1-3 & 5: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Cts 1-3 & 5: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Cts 2: 15 mths imp (conc). Cts 3: 12 mths imp (conc). Cts 4: 2 yrs imp (cum). Cts 5: 18 mths imp (cum). Cts 5: 18 mths imp (cum). The victims, two sisters A and B were aged The victims, two sisters A and B were aged	inct
20. The State of Western 40-42 yrs at time offending. 45 yrs at time sentencing. Ct 4: Sex pen child U13 yrs. Ct 2: 15 mths imp (cum). Ct 3: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (cum). Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (cum). Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (cum). Ct 5: 18 mths imp (cum). Ct 5: 18 mths imp (cum). Ct 5: 18 mths imp (cum). The victims, two sisters A and B were aged Delivered Raised in loving and The victims, two sisters A and B were aged The victims, two sisters A and B were aged The victims, two sisters A and B were aged The victims, two sisters A and B were aged The victims appropriate, Ct 1: 18 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 15 mths imp (cum). Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (cum). The victims, two sisters A and B were aged The victims, two sisters A and B were aged The victims appropriate, TES 3 yrs 6 mths imp. Resentenced:	ices
20.The State of Western Australia v THN40-42 yrs at time offending. 45 yrs at time sentencing.Cts 1-3 & 5: Indec deal child U13 yrs.Ct 1: 18 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 15 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (cum).Allowed.Ct 3: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (cum).Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (cum).Appeal concerned length of individual sentence (ct 4) at totality principle.18Prior criminal history.Prior criminal history.Ct 5: 18 mths imp (cum).Ct 5: 18 mths imp (cum).DeliveredRaised in loving andThe victims, two sisters A and B were agedTES 3 yrs 6 mths imp.Resentenced:	
20.The State of Western Australia v THN40-42 yrs at time offending. 45 yrs at time sentencing.Cts 1-3 & 5: Indec deal child U13 yrs.Ct 1: 18 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 15 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (cum).Allowed.Ct 3: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (cum).Appeal concerned length of individual sentence (ct 4) at totality principle.THN's sexual offending against A and B, and 18Prior criminal history.And B, and do not represent isolated incidents.Ct 5: 18 mths imp (cum).Ct 5: 18 mths imp (cum).DeliveredRaised in loving andThe victims, two sisters A and B were agedTES 3 yrs 6 mths imp.Resentenced:	
Western Australia v THN Convicted after trial. Convi	
Australia v THN [2023] WASCA [18] Convicted after trial. Convicted after trial. Charges not representative of the totality of THN's sexual offending against A and B, and do not represent isolated incidents. Ct 3: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (cum). Ct 5: 18 mths imp (cum). TES 3 yrs 6 mths imp. Resentenced:	
Convicted after trial. [2023] WASCA 18 Convicted after trial. Charges not representative of the totality of THN's sexual offending against A and B, and do not represent isolated incidents. Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (cum). Ct 5: 18 mths imp (cum). TES 3 yrs 6 mths imp. Resentenced:	of
[2023] WASCA 18 Prior criminal history. Delivered Raised in loving and THN's sexual offending against A and B, and do not represent isolated incidents. THN's sexual offending against A and B, and do not represent isolated incidents. TES 3 yrs 6 mths imp. Resentenced:	
Prior criminal history. do not represent isolated incidents. Delivered Raised in loving and The victims, two sisters A and B were aged TES 3 yrs 6 mths imp. Resentenced:	
Delivered Raised in loving and The victims, two sisters A and B were aged TES 3 yrs 6 mths imp. Resentenced:	
Delivered Raised in loving and The victims, two sisters A and B were aged	
relationship with siblings and Ct 2: 15 mths imp (conc).	
other family members; THN was a close and long-time friend of A The trial judge found Ct 3: 12 mths imp (conc).	
family supportive. and B's mother. When she separated from significant aggravating Ct 4: 4 yrs imp (cum).	
her husband THN began staying most features in the respondent's Ct 5: 18 mths imp (conc).	
Living and caring for mother weekends at the family home. A and B offending; the victims were	
with various health issues. regarded him as their uncle. vulnerable young children; he TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.	
held a privileged and entrusted	
Commenced, did not B was alone on her bed when THN entered role in the victims lives and EFP.	
complete, yr 10. the room. She told him to leave. He ignored the offences occurred in their	
her and put his fingers inside her underwear own home; there was a At [49] In our view, having	g
Stable employment history; and touched her anal area (ct 1). On another significant age difference and regard to the serious na	iture
various vocations; lost occasion B was lying on a bed he put his power disparity between him of the offending charged in	n ct
current role on conviction of fingers inside her underwear and rubbed his and each of the victims; there 4 the limited mitigating	
current offences. fingers on her vagina (ct 5). was an element of factors; and all relevant	•
psychological coercion and sentencing principles, the	
Divorced; negatively Almost every weekend THN would regularly grooming; it was persistent sentence imposed after	trial
impacted by breakdown of touch A's vagina. On one occasion and sustained over time and for ct 4 (which represents of	
next relationship; suffered penetrating her vagina with his finger (cts 2- included multiple and distinct 10% of the max penalty) is	
depression and att suicide. 4). offending behaviour and he unreasonable or plainly un	

				exploited the vulnerability of	
1		Abstained sexual behaviour		the immature victims for his	
1		time of offending on belief		own selfish sexual	At [51] The TES imposed
		suffering STD; later testing		gratification.	was less than the sentence
		indicated he had not		gratification.	which we would regard as
		contracted the disease.		The trial judge found the	commensurate with the
		contracted the disease.		offending in ct 4 not isolated,	seriousness of the offence
		Diagnosed with ADHD in		but rather part of (albeit an	charged in ct 4. As the trial
		high school; various health		escalation of) a persistent	judge correctly recognised, the
		issues; kidney disease; four	. • . (course of conduct; it was	fact that the respondent
		heart attacks; first aged 21		accompanied by a threat of	offended on multiple separate
		yrs; heart surgery.		more serious offending to	occasions against two
				follow and a threat of	complainants requires some
		Alcohol abuse and		punishment if she did not	accumulation of the sentences
		recreational illicit drugs use		comply.	in order for the TES to reflect
		in teens; largely abstained	X	0.00 11 1	the overall criminality
		from drinking from 21 yrs;		Offending devasting	involved in all of the
		daily cannabis use from 17		psychological impact on	offending
		yrs.		victims.	
			· * CCCCL	D 1	
				Respondent not remorseful;	
				continues to deny offending;	
				no demonstrated insight or	
				acceptance of responsibility;	
			Y .	no participating in sex	
				offenders' treatment programs	
			Y	while in custody.	
19.	Newton v The	31-34 yrs at time offending.	Cts 1; 28; 30; 33; 35; 37 & 39: Indec deal	Cts 1; 28; 30; 33; 37 & 39: 18	Dismissed.
	State of Western	36 yrs at time sentencing.	child U13 yrs.	mths imp (conc).	
	Australia		Cts 2-6; 9; 10; 14; 16; 20; 22; 24 & 26: Sex	Ct 2: 5 yrs imp.	Appeal concerned length of
1		Convicted after PG (25%	pen child U13 yrs.	Cts 3; 4 & 20: 5 yrs imp	sentence (individual sentences
	[2023] WASCA 7	discount).	Cts 7; 8, 11-13; 15; 17-19; 21; 23; 25; 27; 29;	(conc).	not challenged).
			31; 32; 34; 36; 38 & 40: Indec recording	Ct 5: 4 yrs imp (cum).	
1	Delivered	No prior criminal history.	child U13 yrs.	Cts 6; 7; 9; 11-13; 15; 17-19;	At [7] While we accept that the
	17/01//2023	CAU	Cts 41 & 42: Poss CEM.	21; 23; 25; 27; 29; 31; 32; 34;	TES imposed on the appellant

Only child from parents' union; three older half-siblings; parents profoundly deaf; mother suffering cancer time of sentencing.

Left school yr 11; TAFE studies; university degree.

Employed various roles; most recent work ceased following charges.

Number of short-term relationships; no significant unions since aged 20 yrs.

History of cannabis and alcohol use.

Ct 43: Fail to obey data access order.

Newton was a close friend of the victim's mother and her stepfather. Over a period of about four and a half yrs Newton repeatedly sexually abused the victim from when she was eight yrs old.

The sexual activity occurred in a caravan occupied by Newton and at another address at which he resided.

The offences involved the penetration of the victim's vagina with his penis. He also penetrated her mouth and vagina with his penis and took photographs of the offending.

On other occasions Newton took photographs standing naked over the victim, while her legs were in the air and his penis was pointed toward her vagina and while the victim was kneeling in front of his erect penis.

On the execution of a SW at Newton's address, a computer and hard drive were located, which later revealed 11,009 images or videos of CEM material.

Six comic books depicting children engaged in sexual poses or activities were also found.

Also located was Newton's tablet device, for which he refused to provide the passcode.

36; 38; 40 & 42: 12 mths imp (conc).

Cts 8 & 35: 12 mths imp (cum).

Cts 10; 14; 16; 26; 22 & 24: 4 yrs imp (conc).

Ct 41: 15 mths imp (conc). Ct 43: 3 mths imp (cum).

TES 12 yrs 6 mths imp.

EFP.

The sentencing judge found the offending serious; the victim was very young; the significant age disparity between her and the appellant; the gross breach of trust; the persistence of the offending and the fact the appellant recorded much of it.

The sentencing judge found the CEM material in the appellant's poss included material in the more serious category of CEM.

Accepting of responsibility; evidence of remorse; average risk of reoffending.

was certainly high, and at the upper end of the range of sentences customarily imposed following pleas of guilty for offending of this type, we are not satisfied that the TES was so high as to manifest error. The sexual offending ... involved a high degree of criminality and the fact that he recorded the offending, for his own gratification, distinguished his offending from a number of the previous cases relied upon by him. The offending, as a whole, called for a very substantial term of imp and we are not satisfied that the learned sentencing judge erred in imposing the sentence that she did.

At [63] ... The sentence was certainly severe. It nevertheless fits broadly within the range of sentences imposed for offending of this type, and the present case had a number of particular features not present in many of the authorities.

At [64] ... the offending itself was very serious. In particular it involved four distinct

			RYOSECULIA	categories of offending, the presence of which called for accumulation of terms of imp, thus increasing the TES. The presence of these additional categories serves to distinguish the present case from many of the cases on which the appellant relied.
		Difference of Rule of		At [65] the sexual offending against the victim was itself very serious, given the victim's young age, the significant age disparity between the appellant and the victim, the gross breach of trust for his own sexual gratification and the significant period over which and numerous (18) occasions on which the offending occurred. The seriously damaging effects on the victim the appellant persistently and callously treated the victim as a sexual plaything for his sexual gratification.
	CAEC OF VIII			At [66] the offending included recording and retaining photographs of his offending on the victim. That conduct contributed substantially to [his] overall

Is. XMB v The State of Western Australia September Size of yes at time offending. Cis 1-4; 6; 9 & 10 Sex pen child U13 yrs. Cis 2; 3; 4; 6 & 10: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Cis 5; 7; 8 & 11-13: Indee deal child U13 yrs. Cis 5; 7; 8 & 11-13: Indee deal child U13 yrs. Cis 5; 7; 8 & 11-13: Indee deal child U13 yrs. Cis 5; 8; 8; 12: 18 mths imp (conc). Cis 5; 8; 8; 12: 18 mths imp (conc). Cis 7; 41: 12 mths imp (conc). Cis 8; 8 & 10: 12: 12 mths imp (conc). Cis 8; 8 & 10: 12: 12 mths imp (conc). Cis 8; 8 & 10: 12: 12 mths imp (conc). Cis 9; 12: 12 mths imp (conc). Cis 1: 12 mths imp (conc).		T	T	T		<u> </u>
Result time [he] viewed, and used, those images for his sexual gratification. At [67] the very significant quantity of CEM in the appellant's poss called for a further increase in the TES. At [68] As this Court has recognised, a cum sentence will often be appropriate for failure to comply with a data access order. Cts 1-4; 6; 9 & 10 Sex pen child U13 yrs. Cts 1 & 9: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Cts 2; 3; 4; 6 & 10: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (comply with a data access order. Convicted after retrial. No prior criminal history. No prior criminal history. Delivered O5/01//2023 Born NZ; child when Born NZ; child when Born NZ; child when Osciolar to the properties of th					X	
Result time [he] viewed, and used, those images for his sexual gratification. At [67] the very significant quantity of CEM in the appellant's poss called for a further increase in the TES. At [68] As this Court has recognised, a cum sentence will often be appropriate for failure to comply with a data access order. Cts 1-4; 6; 9 & 10 Sex pen child U13 yrs. Cts 1 & 9: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Cts 2; 3; 4; 6 & 10: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (comply with a data access order. Convicted after retrial. No prior criminal history. No prior criminal history. Delivered O5/01//2023 Born NZ; child when Born NZ; child when Born NZ; child when Osciolar to the properties of th						
acach time [he] viewed, and used, those images for his sexual gratification.						
Result time [he] viewed, and used, those images for his sexual gratification. At [67] the very significant quantity of CEM in the appellant's poss called for a further increase in the TES. At [68] As this Court has recognised, a cum sentence will often be appropriate for failure to comply with a data access order. Cts 1-4; 6; 9 & 10 Sex pen child U13 yrs. Cts 1 & 9: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Cts 2; 3; 4; 6 & 10: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (comply with a data access order. Convicted after retrial. No prior criminal history. No prior criminal history. Delivered O5/01//2023 Born NZ; child when Born NZ; child when Born NZ; child when Osciolar to the properties of th						
Result time [he] viewed, and used, those images for his sexual gratification. At [67] the very significant quantity of CEM in the appellant's poss called for a further increase in the TES. At [68] As this Court has recognised, a cum sentence will often be appropriate for failure to comply with a data access order. Cts 1-4; 6; 9 & 10 Sex pen child U13 yrs. Cts 1 & 9: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Cts 2; 3; 4; 6 & 10: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (comply with a data access order. Convicted after retrial. No prior criminal history. No prior criminal history. Delivered O5/01//2023 Born NZ; child when Born NZ; child when Born NZ; child when Osciolar to the properties of th						
Result time [he] viewed, and used, those images for his sexual gratification. At [67] the very significant quantity of CEM in the appellant's poss called for a further increase in the TES. At [68] As this Court has recognised, a cum sentence will often be appropriate for failure to comply with a data access order. Cts 1-4; 6; 9 & 10 Sex pen child U13 yrs. Cts 1 & 9: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Cts 2; 3; 4; 6 & 10: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (comply with a data access order. Convicted after retrial. No prior criminal history. No prior criminal history. Delivered O5/01//2023 Born NZ; child when Born NZ; child when Born NZ; child when Osciolar to the properties of th						
Result time [he] viewed, and used, those images for his sexual gratification. At [67] the very significant quantity of CEM in the appellant's poss called for a further increase in the TES. At [68] As this Court has recognised, a cum sentence will often be appropriate for failure to comply with a data access order. Cts 1-4; 6; 9 & 10 Sex pen child U13 yrs. Cts 1 & 9: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Cts 2; 3; 4; 6 & 10: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (comply with a data access order. Convicted after retrial. No prior criminal history. No prior criminal history. Delivered O5/01//2023 Born NZ; child when Born NZ; child when Born NZ; child when Osciolar to the properties of th						
used, those images for his sexual gratification. At [67] the very significant quantity of CEM in the appellant's poss called for a further increase in the TES. At [68] As this Court has recognised, a cum sentence will often be appropriate for failure to comply with a data access order. 18. XMB v The State of Western Australia [2023] WASCA 4 Delivered O5/01//2023 Born NZ; child when Used, those images for his sexual gratification. At [67] the very significant quantity of CEM in the appellant's poss called for a further increase in the TES. At [68] As this Court has recognised, a cum sentence will often be appropriate for failure to comply with a data access order. Cts 1 & 9: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Cts 2; 3; 4; 6 & 10: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc). Cts 5; 8 & 12: 18 mths imp (conc). Cts 5; 8 & 12: 18 mths imp (conc). Cts 7 & 11: 12 mths imp (conc). At [67] The offending in this case had a number of significant aggravating						
sexual gratification. At [67] the very significant quantity of CEM in the appellant's poss called for a further increase in the TES. At [68] As this Court has recognised, a cum sentence will often be appropriate for failure to comply with a data access order. 18. XMB v The State of Western Australia [2023] WASCA 4 Delivered 05/01//2023 Delivered 05/01//2023 Delivered 05/01//2023 Sexual gratification. At [67] the very significant quantity of CEM in the appellant's poss called for a further increase in the TES. At [68] As this Court has recognised, a cum sentence will often be appropriate for failure to comply with a data access order. Cts 1 & 9: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Cts 2; 3; 4; 6 & 10: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc). Cts 2; 3; 4; 6 & 10: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc). Cts 5; 8 & 12: 18 mths imp (conc). Cts 5; 8 & 12: 18 mths imp (conc). Cts 7 & 11: 12 mths imp (case had a number of significant aggravating)						= = =
At [67] the very significant quantity of CEM in the appellant's poss called for a further increase in the TES. At [68] As this Court has recognised, a cum sentence will often be appropriate for failure to comply with a data access order. 18. XMB v The State of Western Australia Convicted after retrial. 18. Convicted after retrial. 19. Cts 1 & 9: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). 10. Cts 2; 3; 4; 6 & 10: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc). 10. Cts 2; 3; 4; 6 & 10: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc). 10. Cts 5; 7; 8 & 11: 13: Indec deal child U13 yrs. 20. Cts 5; 8 & 12: 18 mths imp (conc). 20. Cts 7; 8 & 11: 12 mths imp (conc). 20. Cts 1 & 9: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc). 20. Cts 1 & 9: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc). 21. Cts 1 & 9: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc). 22. Cts 1 & 9: 3 yrs 6 mths imp				• (
The State of Western Australia Convicted after retrial. Australia Convicted after retrial. No prior criminal history. Delivered O5/01//2023 Born NZ; child when Born NZ; child when Born NZ; child when Oscillators Os				1		sexual gratification.
The State of Western Australia Convicted after retrial. Australia Convicted after retrial. No prior criminal history. Delivered O5/01//2023 Born NZ; child when Born NZ; child when Born NZ; child when Oscillators Os						At [67] the very significant
appellant's poss called for a further increase in the TES. At [68] As this Court has recognised, a cum sentence will often be appropriate for failure to comply with a data access order. 18. XMB v The State of Western Australia [2023] WASCA 4 No prior criminal history. Delivered 05/01//2023 Born NZ; child when At [68] As this Court has recognised, a cum sentence will often be appropriate for failure to comply with a data access order. Cts 1-4; 6; 9 & 10 Sex pen child U13 yrs. Cts 5; 7; 8 & 11-13: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Cts 5; 7; 8 & 11-13: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Cts 2; 3; 4; 6 & 10: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Cts 2; 3; 4; 6 & 10: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc). Cts 5; 8 & 12: 18 mths imp (conc). At [67] The offending in this case had a number of significant aggravating						
18. XMB v The State of Western Australia [2023] WASCA 4 No prior criminal history. Delivered 05/01//2023 Born NZ; child when [a time increase in the TES. At [68] As this Court has recognised, a cum sentence will often be appropriate for failure to comply with a data access order. Cts 1-4; 6; 9 & 10 Sex pen child U13 yrs. Cts 5; 7; 8 & 11-13: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Cts 2; 3; 4; 6 & 10: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Cts 2; 3; 4; 6 & 10: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc). Cts 5; 8 & 12: 18 mths imp (conc). Cts 7 & 11: 12 mths imp (conc). At [67] The offending in this case had a number of significant aggravating						
18. XMB v The State of Western Australia [2023] WASCA 4 [2023] WASCA 4 Delivered O5/01//2023 Born NZ; child when Australia At [68] As this Court has recognised, a cum sentence will often be appropriate for failure to comply with a data access order. Cts 1-4; 6; 9 & 10 Sex pen child U13 yrs. Cts 5; 7; 8 & 11-13: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Cts 1 & 9: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Cts 2; 3; 4; 6 & 10: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc). Cts 5; 7; 8 & 11-13: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Cts 5; 8 & 12: 18 mths imp (conc). Cts 5; 8 & 12: 18 mths imp (conc). Cts 7 & 11: 12 mths imp (case had a number of significant aggravating				C. V		
18.XMB v The State of Western Australia58 yrs at time offending. Growicted after retrial.Cts 1-4; 6; 9 & 10 Sex pen child U13 yrs. Cts 5; 7; 8 & 11-13: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Cts 2; 3; 4; 6 & 10: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (comc). Cts 5; 8 & 12: 18 mths imp (conc). Cts 5; 8 & 12: 18 mths imp (conc). Cts 7 & 11: 12 mths imp (cum). Significant aggravating						Tartifer merease in the TES.
The State of Western Australia Section 2007 S						At [68] As this Court has
18.XMB v The State of Western Australia58 yrs at time offending. 67 yrs at time sentencing.Cts 1-4; 6; 9 & 10 Sex pen child U13 yrs. Cts 5; 7; 8 & 11-13: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Cts 2; 3; 4; 6 & 10: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Cts 2; 3; 4; 6 & 10: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).Dismissed. Oismissed. Cts 2; 3; 4; 6 & 10: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc). Sentence after retrial.Image: Delivered O5/01//2023No prior criminal history. Delivered O5/01//2023No prior criminal history. Sentence after relationship. A daughter, C, and a son, X. The children's mother had another relationship with a man of the relatio				A		recognised, a cum sentence
18.XMB v The State of Western Australia58 yrs at time offending. 67 yrs at time sentencing.Cts 1-4; 6; 9 & 10 Sex pen child U13 yrs. Cts 5; 7; 8 & 11-13: Indec deal child U13 yrs.Cts 1 & 9: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Cts 2; 3; 4; 6 & 10: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).Dismissed.[2023] WASCA 4Convicted after retrial.XMB lived with his son, who had two children from a former relationship. A daughter, C, and a son, X. The children's mother had another relationship with a manCts 1 & 9: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Cts 2; 3; 4; 6 & 10: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc). Cts 5; 8 & 12: 18 mths imp (conc). Cts 5; 8 & 12: 18 mths imp (conc). Cts 7 & 11: 12 mths imp (case had a number of significant aggravating						will often be appropriate for
18.XMB v The State of Western of Western Australia58 yrs at time offending. 67 yrs at time sentencing.Cts 1-4; 6; 9 & 10 Sex pen child U13 yrs. Cts 5; 7; 8 & 11-13: Indec deal child U13 yrs.Cts 1 & 9: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).Dismissed.[2023] WASCA 4Convicted after retrial.XMB lived with his son, who had two children from a former relationship. A daughter, C, and a son, X. The children's mother had another relationship with a manCts 1 & 9: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).Appeal concerned length of sentence after retrial.Cts 5; 8 & 12: 18 mths imp (conc).Cts 5; 8 & 12: 18 mths imp (conc).At [67] The offending in this case had a number of significant aggravating				XO		failure to comply with a data
of Western Australia 67 yrs at time sentencing. Cts 5; 7; 8 & 11-13: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Cts 2; 3; 4; 6 & 10: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc). Cts 5; 8 & 12: 18 mths imp Convicted after retrial. XMB lived with his son, who had two children from a former relationship. A daughter, C, and a son, X. The children's 05/01//2023 Born NZ; child when Cts 5; 7; 8 & 11-13: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Cts 2; 3; 4; 6 & 10: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc). Cts 5; 8 & 12: 18 mths imp (conc). Cts 7 & 11: 12 mths imp (cum). At [67] The offending in this case had a number of significant aggravating						access order.
Australia [2023] WASCA 4 Convicted after retrial. [2023] WASCA 4 No prior criminal history. Delivered 05/01//2023 Born NZ; child when yrs. Cts 2; 3; 4; 6 & 10: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc). Cts 5; 8 & 12: 18 mths imp (conc). Cts 5; 8 & 12: 18 mths imp (conc). Cts 7 & 11: 12 mths imp (cum). Appeal concerned length of sentence after retrial. At [67] The offending in this case had a number of significant aggravating	18.	XMB v The State	58 yrs at time offending.		Cts 1 & 9: 3 yrs 6 mths imp	Dismissed.
Convicted after retrial. [2023] WASCA 4 No prior criminal history. Delivered 05/01//2023 Born NZ; child when Convicted after retrial. XMB lived with his son, who had two children from a former relationship. A daughter, C, and a son, X. The children's mother had another relationship with a man mths imp (conc). Cts 5; 8 & 12: 18 mths imp (conc). Cts 7 & 11: 12 mths imp (conc).		•	67 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 5; 7; 8 & 11-13: Indec deal child U13	` /	
Cts 5; 8 & 12: 18 mths imp Cts 5; 8 & 12: 18 mths imp At [67] The offending in this case had a number of significant aggravating		Australia		yrs.		
Delivered 05/01//2023 No prior criminal history. children from a former relationship. A daughter, C, and a son, X. The children's mother had another relationship with a man characteristics. Cts 7 & 11: 12 mths imp case had a number of significant aggravating			Convicted after retrial.			sentence after retrial.
Delivered 05/01//2023 daughter, C, and a son, X. The children's case had a number of mother had another relationship with a man cumber of significant aggravating		[2023] WASCA 4		1 .	•	
05/01//2023 Born NZ; child when mother had another relationship with a man (cum).			No prior criminal history.	1 ·	` '	
witnessed father's suicide. who had a daughter, M, of similar age to C. Ct 13: 12 mths imp (conc). features, including the age		05/01//2023			` '	
			witnessed father's suicide.	who had a daughter, M, of similar age to C.	Ct 13: 12 mths imp (conc).	
disparity, the breach of trust,						
Very strong work history; C and X are therefore XMB's biological TES 9 yrs imp. the persistence of the				<u> </u>	TES 9 yrs imp.	
employed since aged 13 yrs. grandchildren and C and M stepsisters. offending and the use of			employed since aged 13 yrs.	grandchildren and C and M stepsisters.		
The sentencing judge found grooming and threats to ensure					The sentencing judge found	grooming and threats to ensure

	1	I m	F71 00	1 00 11	
		Two significant	The offences were not isolated instances,	the offending serious involving	compliance and silence,
		relationships; commenced	they occurred during weekend visits over a	a high level of criminality; agg	the fact that there were two
		alcohol use breakdown of	period just short of 19 mths. At the time the	by the young age of the	victims was also an important
		second relationship.	victims, C and M, were between 8-9 yrs of	victims; the large age disparity	consideration.
			age and between 8-10 yrs respectively.	between the appellant and the	
				victims; it occurred over an	At [73] Having regard to all
			XMB engaged in sexual activity with C. It	extended period of time; the	relevant circumstances and
			involved digital pen, fellatio and cunnilingus.	appellant groomed each of the	sentencing factors, including
			XMB also made C masturbate him and there	victims and engaged in	the number and circ of the
			was an incident he masturbated in her	increasingly more serious	offences, involving two
			presence.	offences against them; he	victims, taken together with
				provided the victims with	the max penalties
			The offences against M also involved XMB	treats, consistent with masking	and the sentences imposed in
			digitally penetrating her. On one occasion he	what he was doing; and there	comparable cases, in our
			exposed his erect penis to M and invited her	were other uncharged acts.	respectful view, even giving
			to touch it. She refused.	Were outer unertainged acts.	full weight to the mitigating
				Offending substantial impact	factors in the
			Oy	on both victims.	appellant's favour, the first
				on both victims.	sentence was manifestly
			-12 CLOIL	No evidence of remorse.	inadequate. We are satisfied
			k O	140 evidence of femolse.	that the sentencing judge was
					correct to conclude that the
					original sentence of 6 yrs and
					6 mths' imp was manifestly
			Y Y		inadequate in that it was not a
					proper reflection of the total criminal
			\		
					conduct, notwithstanding the
					appellant's
157	CDI Th Cu	52.57	Cto 1 2. Dominton do no continuo de	C(1, 4 ()	personal circumstances.
17.	CDL v The State	53-57 yrs at time offending.	Cts 1-3: Persistently engaged in sexual	Ct 1: 4 yrs imp (conc).	Dismissed (leave refused) – on
	of Western	60 yrs at time sentencing.	conduct child U16 yrs.	Ct 2: 4 yrs imp (cum).	papers.
	Australia		Cts 4-6 & 8: Produced CEM.	Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).	A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	[2022] XX 4 CC 4	Convicted after trial (cts 1-6	Ct 9: Poss CEM.	Ct 4: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned totality
	[2022] WASCA	& 8).		Ct 5: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	principle.

18	Convicted after very late PG	CDL maintained contact with his ex-wife	Ct 6: 2 yrs 4 mths imp (conc).	
	(ct 9) (5% discount).	who had re-married and given birth to	Ct 8: 6 mths imp (cum).	At [74] Without question,
Delivered		triplets. He would often look after the triplets	Ct 9: 12 mths imp (cum).	the offending was very serious.
18/02/2022	No prior criminal history.	and, on occasions, he took them on outings.		The appellant committed
		CDL offended against two of the triplets, E	TES 12 yrs 6 mths imp.	offences in respect of four
	Born and raised in WA; good	and C, over a period of yrs.		victims, all of whom were very
	childhood; siblings with		EFP.	young and highly vulnerable.
	whom he still maintains	Later CDL met and befriended B and M's		B was a toddler. E and C were
	contact.	mother. He would babysit the children.	The trial judge found the	each young girls, The
			offending against E, C and B	appellant took advantage of
	Educated to yr 12; did well at		demonstrated a high degree of	the relationships that he had
	school.	of age; the victim, C, was aged between 6	perversion or deviance on the	with their mothers to sexually
		and 10 yrs of age; the victim, M, was aged 11	appellant's part; E, C and B	abuse the victims. The
	Employed variety of	or 12 yrs of age and the victim B, was a	were very young and could not	offending against E and C
	occupations.	toddler, aged 22 mths.	have been more vulnerable;	occurred over about a yr in the
			the offending was brazen;	case of E and over a period of
	Divorced; son from union.	CDL indec dealt with E, C, B and M. He	there was 'a considerable age	yrs in the case of C. While the
		made video recordings of E on 80 separate	difference' between the	offending in relation to B
	Involved in children's sport.	occasions; C on 71 separate occasions and B	appellant and each of the	occurred over a much shorter
		on 30 separate occasions.	victims; he was in a position of	period and was less physically
	Diabetic; experiences sciatic		trust and authority and the	invasive, having regard to B's
	back pain following work	The charges in respect of E, C and B are	offending involved a	age and all the circumstances
	injury.	representative of the appellant's offending	significant abuse of trust; he	of the offending, it involved a
		behaviour.	repeatedly used the	high degree of criminality.
	No issues with alcohol or		opportunity to look after the	
	illicit drugs.	CDL video recorded some of the offences he	children to sexually abuse	At [75] Not only did the
		committed against E, C, B and M. The CEM	them; the offending was	appellant commit the offences
	4.0	he produced was classified at Cat 1 to 3.	repetitious and, in the case of	the subject of cts 1, 2 and 3, he
	- K		E and C, occurred on many	recorded what he had done
	CA	A number of computer devices were seized	occasions over a period of yrs;	The only reasonable inference

from CDL's home. They contained 26,425

videos and images of children aged under 1

yr to approx 10 yrs of age. Cat 1: 893 videos

and 21,260 images; Cat 2: 109 videos and

204 images; Cat 3: 111 videos and 1,237

the offending against B was

space of a matter of wks.

limited to four occasions in the

No expressions of remorse and

that can be drawn from the

appellant's recording of the

material is that he wished to

watch it in the future for his

sexual gratification. In

-	1		1		
			images; Cat 4: 731 videos and 1,418 and in	no effort made towards	addition, the appellant
			Cat 5: 178 videos and 328 images.	rehabilitation.	committed the offence against
					M and was found in poss of
					a very substantial amount of
				SECOLO	CEM Some accumulation
					was required having regard to
					the number of victims and
					offences committed by the
				Y	appellant, to the seriousness of
					the offences and to her
					Honour's reduction of the
					individual sentences for cts 1,
					· · ·
					2, 3, 8 and 9 in applying the
1.6	TANK MI C.				totality principle
16.	LNV v The State	57 yrs time sentencing.	Ct 1: Indec dealings with child U13 yrs.	Ct 1: 12 mths imp (conc).	Dismissed (leave refused).
	of Western		Ct 3: Indec dealings with child U13 yrs.	Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc).	
	Australia	Convicted after trial.	Ct 4: Sex pen of a child U13 yrs.	Ct 3: 2 yrs imp (cu,).	Appeal concerned totality
					principle.
	[2021] WASCA	Minor prior criminal history.	LNV was in a relationship with the mother of	TES 2 yrs imp. EFP.	
	203		the victim, JR, a male aged 8 yrs.		At [54] They are self-
		Born Italy; raised in		Cum with two earlier terms of	evidently serious offences
	Delivered	Australia from aged 3 yrs;	On two separate occasions during the	imp totalling 14 yrs 6 mths.	There was very little that could
	02/12/2021	good upbringing.	relationship LNV sexually abused JR while		be said in mitigation the
			he was in his mother's bedroom on the bed	EFP after 14 yrs 6 mths imp.	TES of 2 yrs' imp was plainly
		Left school aged 16 yrs;	watching television.		an appropriate reflection of the
		employed various roles;		The trial judge found the	appellant's overall criminality
		unemployed prior to	On the first occasion LNV placed his hand	offending 'serious' and a	and could not, arguably, be
		offending.	over JR's clothing and onto JR's genital area	significant breach of trust	said to infringe either limb of
			and squeezed his penis (ct 1).	against a particularly	the totality principle.
		Divorced; three adult	4 F (** -).	vulnerable victim, given his	and to among processing
		children.	On the second occasion, LNV lay near JR,	youth and the presence of a	At [55] The offences
1			pulled down JR's pants and placed his hand	deviant sexual interest in	against JR were separate and
		Suffering depression at time	on his genital area over the top of his	children, together with a	distinct in nature and
1		offending; death of family	underwear and rubbed and slapped JR's penis	proven willingness to commit	warranted, in our view,
1		member and father's ill	(ct 3).	crimes fuelled by hatred, gives	additional punishment. To do
		member and rather 8 m	(Ct 3).	crimes ruened by haded, gives	additional pullishment. 10 do

		T	T		Y
		health.	When JR rolled over and under the blankets	rise to concerns about public	otherwise would be to fail to
			LNV then inserted his finger into JR's anus,	protection.	reflect the serious and
		Good physical health.	underneath his clothing (ct 4). This hurt JR.		additional criminality involved
				The trial judge found some	in this offending and would
		Regular user of cannabis		additional punishment was	result in a TES that would not
		since his youth; occasional		required to reflect the	properly reflect all of what the
		use of methyl.		appellant's wrongdoing	appellant did. Nor would it
		_		towards JR and that any	have properly recognised the
				additional punishment would	serious harm done to the
				be moderate because of the	victim.
			A A	lengthy sentence he was	
				already serving.	At [56] It is unarguable
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		that, had the appellant been
				Counselling and treatment	sentenced for the offences he
				undertaken during 4 ½ yrs in	committed against JR and was
			$C \rightarrow$	custody; but no meaningful	not subject to the other
				steps made towards	sentences, he would have
				rehabilitation; continues to	received a substantially higher
			A C	deny any sexual interest in	TES.
				children; no victim empathy or	
			CCOTO	insight into his offending.	At [59] We do not regard the
					TES of 16 yrs 7 mths' imp as
					being crushing as that term is
					understood
15.	SAL v The State	41 yrs at time sentencing.	IND 673	IND 673	Dismissed (leave refused -
	of Western		2 x Indec recorded a child U13 yrs.	9 yrs imp, cum.	plea discount).
	Åustralia	IND 673	12 x Sex pen of a child U13 yrs.		
		Convicted after PG (15%	3 x Indec dealings with a child U13 yrs.	IND 469	Appeal concerned plea
	[2021] WASCA	discount).		13 yrs imp, cum.	discount and totality principle.
	192	IND 469	IND 469		
		Convicted after PG (10%	17 x Indec dealings with a child U13 yrs.	IND 625	At [101] Although it may
	Delivered	discount).	13 x Sex pen of a child U13 yrs.	6 yrs imp.	be accepted that the appellant's
	16/11/2021	IND 625	4 x Procured a child U13 yrs to do indec act.	_ ^	childhood deprivation and, in
		Convicted after late PG (5%	3 x Encouraged a child U13 to engage in	TES 28 yrs imp. EFP.	particular, the sexual abuse she
		discount).	sexual behaviour.		suffered, has had an adverse
	1	CXX	1	1	,

Minor prior criminal history.

Dysfunctional and traumatic background; victim of child sexual abuse; ward of State aged 14 yrs.

Left school yr 9.

Employed various unskilled occupations; worked intermittently as a sex worker, encouraged or pressured to do so by W.

Three long-term relationships commencing aged 14-15 yrs marred by physical and sexual violence.

Satisfactory physical health; history of self-harm; suicidal throughs; chronic symptoms of depression and anxiety; medicated.

Commenced using cannabis aged 10 yrs; alcohol from age 12 yrs; methyl from aged 28 yrs.

- 3 x Stupefying in order to commit indictable offence.
- 1 x Procured a child U13 yrs to engage in sexual behaviour.
- 2 x Engaged in conduct knowing it may result in a child suffering harm as a result of sexual abuse (while under her care and control).

IND 625

- 2 x Stupefying in order to commit indictable offence.
- 7 x Sex pen of a child 13-16 yrs.
- 2 x Encouraged a child 13-16 yrs to engage in sexual behaviour.

The victims of the offending the subject of IND 673 and IND 469 were SAL's natural daughter and son, who were both U13 yrs at the time of offending. This offending was committed over a period spanning between 2011 and 2015.

The victim of the offences the subject of IND 625 was DMC, who was a female aged 13-14 yrs. These offences were committed in one prolonged incident in 2011.

SAL's partner, W, and their friend, Mr Coulter, were co-offenders in respect of the above offending.

IND 673

All offences occurred on the same day and involved SAL's daughter, who had just

The sentencing judge found the circumstances of the offending 'truly unique'; almost the worst imaginable and in a class of their own; the offending was 'shocking' and 'one of the most serious examples of sex offending within a family to have come before a court in this State'.

The sentencing judge found the appellant and W engaged in conduct that was both perverted and born out of a warped sense of desire for carnal lust without any regard whatever to the victims and in doing so had stolen the victims' innocence.

The sentencing judge found the offending was aggravated by the fact the appellant was the biological mother of two of the victims, whose duty was to protect and nurture them; the offending constituted 'enormous breaches' of the mother/child relationship and she delivered the children into the hands of other adult offenders; the offences were deprayed and perverted and in order to commit the offences

psychological effect upon her and, perhaps, ... damaged her personality and her ability to properly parent her children, it did not diminish her ability to know that to perpetrate childhood sexual abuse upon the victims in this case was morally wrong, and thus did not diminish her moral culpability for the offending.

At [103] ... any diminution in the appellant's moral culpability is well and truly outweighed by the prodigious, deliberate, planned and systematic offending she engaged in.

At [125] ... we are not persuaded that the reduction of 15% on IND 673 was unreasonable or plainly unjust. It was not manifestly inadequate.

At [128] Having regard to all ... circumstances relevant to IND 469, we have not been persuaded that a reduction of 10% was unreasonable or plainly unjust. It was not manifestly inadequate.

		turned 8 yrs old. They were committed by	she administered a stupefying	At [129]-[130] The
		SAL, together with W and Mr Coulter.	drug,	appellant entered her PG [in
				respect of IND 625] at a late
		At various stages during the offending SAL		stage in the proceedings, after
		said and did things designed to secure the		the matter had been set down
		child's cooperation and normalise the		for trial and evidence had
		behaviour.		been pre-recorded Having
				regard to all of the
		The offences were recorded and disseminated	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	circumstances, the reduction of
		and came to light when a memory card	*	5% was not unreasonable
		containing the video footage was found and	\cup	plainly unjust. It was not
		handed to police.	r	manifestly inadequate.
		The three victims were subsequently		At [153] The seriousness of
		interviewed and disclosed the offending the		the appellant's offending is
		subject of IND 469 and IND 625.		self-evident. It involves such a
				high level of overall
		<u>IND 469</u>		criminality that its seriousness
		These offences involved SAL's daughter and		can hardly be overstated. The
		son, then aged as young as 4 yrs.		offending taken as a whole is,
				having regard to other cases
		The victims were shown pornographic		that have come before this
		movies of sexual activity involving children		court, the worst we have seen.
		and adults; some of the offending involved		
		the use of a vibrator.		At [154] The appellant's role
				in the offending was as an
		During some of the offending SAL's		active participant, a facilitator
	10	daughter, and on at least one occasion her		and an aider of her co-
		son, were administered the drug methyl by		offenders. The appellant was
	X 0,	having them smoke a pipe.		not an unwilling or unwitting
				participant. To the contrary,
	O'	Some of the sexual activity was filmed, but		she actively encouraged her
	C	the footage has not been recovered.		own children to participate in
	3 0	DID (25		their abuse and normalised it.
1	-C.A.U	IND 625		[Her children] were

children and she would regularly visit SAL vulnerable. They were made and W's home. She became close with SAL. available to other adults, both men and women, to sexually When DMC was 13 or 14 yrs old SAL and W abuse. The offences were in no told her they had a surprise for her. They then way isolated. They were injected her with methyl. repeated. ... W, in the presence of SAL, then subjected At [155] ... We note the DMC to numerous sexual acts that continued appellant's use of stupefying over an extended period of time. Some of the substances and the high degree sexual activity caused her extreme pain and of perversion and deviancy frequently employed in the were accompanied by threats. commission of the offences. ... The SD memory card, which was discovered some yrs after the offending, gives rise in [the victim] that the recording has been distributed to others. The possibility of her being revictimised in the future by the distribution of the recording remains. At [156] The seriousness of the offending against DMC must not be overlooked. The appellant groomed DMC [and she was] provided with methyl and sex pen on multiple occasions by the appellant and W over an extended period of time. At [166] ... We recognise the

DMC was good friends with one of W's

completely and utterly

			C. R. JOH	Richer	appellant had a dysfunctional upbringing, including the childhood sexual abuse However, having regard to the sheer magnitude and seriousness of the crimes committed by the appellant and the need for proper punishment, denunciation and general and specific deterrence, very little weight can be given to those personal circumstances At [167] The offending the subject of the three indictments was so serious and the mitigating factors so few, that,, we remain unpersuaded that the first limb of the totality principle has been infringed.
14.	VRE v The State	19 yrs at time offending.	1 x Sex pen child U13 yrs.	18 mths imp.	Dismissed (leave refused).
	of Western	23 yrs at time sentencing.		•	
	Australia		The victim, A, was 6-7 yrs old and was	EFP.	Appeal concerned type of
	FA0A41 TV A CC 4	Convicted after trial.	VRE's stepsister.		sentence.
	[2021] WASCA	No maior opimie al bistore	At the time of the offer dia a VDE and A	The trial judge found, while	At [24] Control to the
	185	No prior criminal history.	At the time of the offending VRE and A lived in the same house, along with VRE's	the offending did not involve physical threats, coercion or	At [34] Contrary to the submission of the appellant,
	Delivered	Difficult upbringing; bullied.	mother and stepfather.	violence, the appellant	the present offence was not 'so
	19/10/2021	Ziment apoiniging, outlied.	mount and stoppanion.	engaged in 'serious offending';	minor'. Such a characterisation
		Occasional contact with his	Early in the day, VRE kissed and licked A in	he took advantage of a young	fails to have regard to the very
		mother; no contact with	the mouth. Later that same day he removed	and vulnerable victim who was	young age of the victim, her
		biological father; supportive	A's clothes and, for a very brief period, he	his stepsister and who was	vulnerability and the impact of
		grandmother.	performed cunnilingus upon her.	entitled to expect his	the offending upon her

				protection.	While perhaps opportunistic, it
		Completed yr 10 high school.		protection.	must be said that the appellant
		Completed yr 10 mgn senoor.		The trial judge found prison	plainly took advantage of his
		Never worked; in receipt of		would be more difficult for the	stepsister who, was entitled
		unemployment benefits at		appellant due to his language	to the appellant's protection.
		time sentencing.		disability; however a susp term	
		<u> </u>		of imp inappropriate given the	
		Severe expressive language		nature, gravity and extent of	At [35] We reject the
		disorder.		the offending.	proposition that the offending
			• (has had little effect upon the
			A A \	Low risk of reoffending.	victim. It is clear that the
					offending has not been
				Offending confusing and	forgotten by her and has
				difficult on victim.	adversely affected her
					wellbeing and happiness
			X		she feels guilt for reporting what occurred and for the
					appellant's subsequent
					incarceration
					mearecration
			Cities of the ci		At [39] in our opinion, the
					sentence of 18 mths'
					immediate imp was a merciful
					sentence which properly took
					into account the mitigating
					circumstances. The sentence
			y		is not unreasonable or
					plainly unjust.
13.	NE v The State of	53 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 1-3; 9-10 & 12: Indec deal child U13 yrs.	Cts 1; 3 & 10: 18 mths imp	Dismissed.
	Western Australia	26-32 yrs at time offending.	Cts 4-5; 7-8 & 11: Sex pen child U13 yrs.	(conc).	Annual concerned totality
	Australia	Convicted after late PG (20%	Ct 6: Procured child U13 yrs to do indec act.	Ct 2: 3 mths imp (cum). Cts 4; 7; 8 & 12: 3 yrs imp	Appeal concerned totality principle.
	[2021] WASCA	discount).	The cts on the ind representative of an	(conc).	principie.
	172	discount).	ongoing course of conduct over a period of	Ct 5: 3 yrs imp (cum).	At [57] The appellant's
	112	Minor criminal history.	six yrs.	Cts 6 & 9: 2 yrs imp (conc).	tetraplegia did not give him a
	l	1	1 ~ <i>J</i> -~-		

Delivered 17/09/2021

Two siblings; lived with various family members after death of his mother aged 5 yrs; portion of his childhood spent living in children's homes and with foster families; no meaningful relationship with his father since mother's death.

Seriously injured motor vehicle accident aged 18 yrs; requires 16-18 hrs care a day; faces serious health issues and future surgical intervention; physical health continuing to deteriorate.

Not in a relationship at time sentencing; two sons with victim's mother; primary carer of his children during their childhood.

Drug use when young.

The victim was NE's de facto daughter. The sexual abuse commenced when she was 6 yrs old and continued until she was 11 yrs old.

NE is, and was at the time of the offending, a tetraplegic.

Cts 1 & 2

When the victim was about 6 yrs old NE asked her to select and watch a pornographic video with him. During the video he got the victim to remove her underwear. He then placed his hand on her vagina.

Cts 3 & 4

On another date, when the victim was aged about 7 yrs old, NE asked her to put on a pornographic video depicting a man performing cunnilingus on a woman. He then told the victim to remove her underwear and lay down on a bench. He then positioned his wheelchair alongside the bench and performed cunnilingus on her.

Ct 5

NE was lying in bed when he asked the victim, aged 8 yrs, to sit on his face. The victim complied and he performed cunnilingus on her.

<u>Ct 6</u>

On another occasion, when the victim was 8 yrs old, NE told her to pull out a vibrator and turn it on. On his instructions she placed the

Ct 11: 5 yrs imp.

TES 8 yrs 3 mths imp.

EFP.

The sentencing judge found the offending agg by the appellant's repetitive, sustained and persistent conduct; the gross breach of trust and the manipulation and grooming of a young and vulnerable victim and subjecting her to a high level of psychological coercion and, given his medical condition, she had to be an active physical participant in her own abuse; the offending the subject of ct 12 involved another child and the large age disparity between him and the victim.

The sentencing judge found prison would be more onerous for the appellant due to his tetraplegia and ongoing deterioration of his physical health; however the seriousness of the offending such that imp the only appropriate sentencing option.

license to engage in a course of very serious child sexual offending without appropriate punishment. ...

At [59] ... there are a number of features of the appellant's offending which, even in light of his early PG, would ordinarily make a sentence in excess of 10 yrs appropriate. These include the very young age of the victim, who was only about 6 yrs old when the abuse began, the persistence and nature of the offending, and the devastating effect which the offending had on the victim. The victim was also in a particularly vulnerable position, even after the appellant and the victim's mother separated. ... In our view, the agg features of the offending which the sentencing judge identified placed the offending in this case at the higher end of the range of seriousness of sexual offending against a single child complainant.

At [60] ... We are not persuaded that the sentencing judge erred in balancing the

vibrator on the outside of her vagina. Remorseful and accepting of mitigating and agg factors in responsibility; insight into his this case. To the contrary, in Cts 7 & 8 offending; negligible risk of our view, the TES ... imposed On another occasion, when the victim was 8 reoffending. properly reflected the overall criminality involved in all of vrs old. NE asked her to look at his erect Continuing devastating impact penis. He then told her to kiss his penis with the appellant's offences her lips and put his penis in her mouth. She on victim. viewed in their entirety, having complied. regard to all of the circumstances of the case Cts 9 & 10 including those personal to the When the victim was 11 yrs old NE's appellant.... relationship with her mother ended. She and her mother moved out of NE's home, but after a few wks she returned to live with NE. The victim was sleeping on a mattress in NE's room when he asked her to come on the bed next to him. He then asked her to masturbate his penis, which she did. As she did so he rested his hand on her vagina. Ct 11 NE's disability required him to wear a condom to hold the tubes of his urinary bag in place. It was changed regularly as part of his care. When the victim was 11 yrs old NE asked the victim to remove the condom. He then asked her to sit on his penis and put it into her vagina as far as she could without it hurting. The victim complied. Ct 12 The victim was 11 yrs old when she and a friend went to NE's house. The victim's

friend was asked and encouraged to change

			1		/
			NE's condom while the victim instructed her	×	
			how to do it. In order to remove the condom		
1			NE's penis needed to be erect, so the victim		
			told her friend how to do that. They both then		
			played with his penis until it became erect.		
12.	YNT v The State	59 yrs at time sentencing.	2 x Sex pen child U13 yrs (digital pen).	Ct 1: 2 yrs imp (cum).	Dismissed.
	of Western			Ct 2: 4 yrs imp (cum).	
	Australia	Convicted after trial.	The victim was the granddaughter of YNT's		Appeal concerned length of
			de facto partner. She was aged 10-11 yrs old	TES 6 yrs imp.	individual sentences and
	[2021] WASCA	No prior criminal history.	and, at the time of the offending, she and her	, y , , ,	totality principle.
	89		mother were living with YNT and her	EFP.	
		Good worth ethic; series of	grandmother.	/	At [209] there were a
	Delivered	long-term steady		The trial judge found the	number of seriously
	27/05/2021	employment; FIFO worker at	The offences occurred over a period of about	offending a gross breach of	aggravating features of the
		time offending.	7 months and the two charged acts were part	trust; the victim a vulnerable	appellant's offending. The
		l	of an ongoing course of conduct.	child who had never had a	appellant was the only father
		Dysfunctional home life;	or an ongoing course or consecutive	father figure and who saw the	figure whom the complainant
		suffering depression; living	The conduct occurred at night, when the	appellant as a family member.	had ever known and was
		with an alcoholic at time	victim's mother was at work and when her	appearant as a rainity member.	treated by her as a family
		offending; unexpected	grandmother was drunk and had taken	The trial judge found the	member. The impact of that
		breakdown of his first	antidepressants.	offending sexually motivated	breach of trust on the
		marriage.	antidepressants.	and that the appellant's	complainant's ability to trust
		marriage.	Ct 1	generosity to the victim and	others in the future is likely to
			One night YNT came into the victim's	her mother fostered in him a	be profound. The
			bedroom and sat next to her on her bed. He	sense of entitlement.	psychological impact of the
				sense of entitlement.	
			placed his hand on her thigh, moved his hand	Significant mayabalagical	offending on the complainant was severe, and at least
			up and ultimately penetrated her vagina with	Significant psychological	,
			his finger. He then tried multiple times to	damage suffered by victim;	contributed to the
			have sexual intercourse with her, becoming	periods of self-harming and	complainant's self-harming.
		X 0'	angry and frustrated when he was	attempt at suicide.	The offending was not an
			unsuccessful. YNT told her not to tell her		isolated or out-of-character
			mother or grandmother what had happened.	Continued to deny the	event, but part of an
		C		offending; very low risk of	established pattern of similar
		3 00	After this incident YNT repeatedly came into	reoffending on account of his	offending. The offending in ct
		CAY	the victim's bedroom when her mother was	age.	2 had the aggravating factor of

					Y
			at work. Each time the same scenario	Orosecutile.	the use of force to overcome
			occurred.		the complainant's resistance to
					the offending. Covering the
			Ct 2		complainant's mouth, while
			One of these occasions occurred not long		forcefully and painfully
			after the victim's 11 th birthday. YNT came		digitally penetrating [her]
			into her room and got into her bed. She tried		vagina and verbally abusing
			to push him away. This made YNT mad, and		her, were particularly
			he called her a 'stupid bitch' He then pinned	Y	traumatic and egregious
			her to the bed and, instead of penetrating her		aspects of that offending.
			vagina with one finger as on other occasions,		aspects of that offending.
			he forced three of his fingers into her vagina		
			while covering her mouth with his other hand		
			to muffle her screams. This caused the victim		
			extreme pain. He penetrated her forcefully		
			for some time before leaving.		
11.	The State of	45-47 yrs time offending.	Cts 1 & 2: Indec dealings with de facto child	Ct 1: 9 mths imp (conc).	Allowed.
	Western	49 yrs at time sentencing.	U16 yrs.	Ct 2: 9 mths imp (cum).	
	Australia v AHD		Ct 4: Sex pen of de facto child U16 yrs	Ct 4: 3 yrs 9 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned length of
		Convicted after PG (25%	(penile/vaginal pen).	Ct 5: 3 yrs 9 mths imp (conc).	sentences cts 4, 5, 6 & 7 and
	[2021] WASCA	discount ct 7; 20% discount	Cts 5 & 7: Sex pen of de facto child U16 yrs	Ct 6: 3 yrs imp (conc).	totality principle.
	13	cts 4-6 and 15% discount cts	(penile/anal pen).	Ct 7: 4 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	
		1-2).	Ct 6: Sex pen of de facto child U16 yrs		Ct 1: 9 mths imp (conc).
	Delivered		(penile/oral pen).	<u>Breach</u>	Ct 2: 9 mths imp (conc).
	29/01/2021	PG accepted in full discharge		3 mths imp (conc).	Ct 4: 6 yrs imp (cum)
		of the ind.	Breach		Ct 5: 6 yrs imp (cum)
			1 x Breach of CBO.	TES 9 yrs imp.	Ct 6: 5 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).
		Prior criminal history; no			Ct 7: 7 yrs imp (conc).
		previous convictions for sex	The victim was ADH's de facto daughter, she	EFP.	
		offending.	was aged between 6-7 at the time of the		TES 12 yrs imp.
		6.	offending the subject of cts 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6	The sentencing judge found	
		Mostly stable childhood;	and aged 8 when ct 7 was committed.	the victim vulnerable; she was	EFP.
		some alcohol and violence		subject to the respondent's	
		between his parents.	The cts on the ind were a representative of an	power and authority and his	At [53]-[76] Discussion of
		between ms parents.	ongoing course of conduct over a period of	offending constituted a gross	comparable cases.
			ongoing course of conduct over a period of	oriending constituted a gross	comparable cases.

No formal qualifications.

Consistent work history.

Occasional use of methyl.

Suffers diabetes and depression.

two and a half yrs.

AHD sexually abused the victim in the family home.

The victim complained to her mother about the offending the subject of cts 1 and 2. However her mother believed ADH's denials.

When the victim complained to her grandmother ADH was charged with the offences the subject of cts 1 and 2. He was released to bail, subject to protective bail conditions. However, he returned to live with the victim at the family home. His offending against the victim escalated and cts 4, 5 and 6 were committed while he was on bail and subject to the protective bail conditions.

AHD used coercion to secure the victim's submission and as the offending progressed, it became a normal part of her life, to be tolerated, until it became unnecessary for him to coerce her.

When committing the offences the subject of ct 4, 5 and 7 AHD covered the victim's face. He told the victim not to tell anyone what had happened.

At the time of committing ct 7 ADH had a venereal disease, which he transmitted to the victim. As a result the victim suffered severe pelvic inflammatory disease and peritonitis.

breach of trust; when the victim complained to her mother and her mother believed the respondent's denials this increased the victim's vulnerability, as he knew that her mother would provide no assistance to the victim.

The sentencing judge found the respondent most likely motivated by sexual gratification; the victim was young and she became so accustomed to the abuse she became compliant; the sex abuse the subject of cts 4, 5, 6 and 7 was premediated and planned; ct 7 was committed when the respondent had gonorrhoea, which he transmitted to the victim.

Offending profound impact on the victim; highly disturbed and traumatised; continues to suffer complications from the sexually transmitted disease including ongoing pelvic pain and increased risk of infertility.

Expressed remorse but no demonstrated insight into his

At [78] The respondent's offending in relation to ct 7 was extremely serious. The offending was not isolated. The sexual abuse against the complainant was ongoing. It is true that the respondent did not use force or threats in relation to this ct. However, force or threats were unnecessary having regard to the age of the complainant and the respondent having normalised the sexual abuse because of its regularity and frequency. The respondent was the complainant's step-father and therefore was in a position of authority and power in relation to her. His offending constituted a gross breach of trust. The complainant was especially vulnerable because of her very young age, the respondent's status as her stepfather and her mother's ongoing failure or refusal to protect her. ... The offending on ct 7 was premediated and planned. [He] was not deterred by his arrest and prosecution for the offending the subject of cts 1 and 2. He indulged his sexual preoccupation with the

	I	1			y
			She required hospitalisation and surgery.	offending; high risk of	complainant and cared nothing
				reoffending.	for her welfare and well-being.
			Breach of CBO		
			ADH punched his partner in the head and		
			struck her with a mop handle. He was		At [88] the offending in
			convicted in the Magistrate Court of common		relation to each of ct 4 and ct 5
			assault and placed on a CBO.		was significantly agg by the
			-		offending having occurred
					while the respondent was on
				· ·	bail for the offences charged in
			A A		cts 1 and 2. [He] deliberately
				·	breached the protective
					conditions of the grant of bail.
					[that] demonstrated an
					attitude of defiance of the law
			$C \rightarrow$		and a determination not only to
					continue, but indeed to
					escalate, his offending in the
			A		knowledge that the
			· rector		complainant's mother would
			X O		not protect her.
					At [92] the offending in
			• 1		relation to ct 6 was
					significantly agg by the
					offending having occurred
			y		while the respondent was on
		4.0			bail and by the respondent
		K			having ejaculated into the
		C /			complainant's mouth.
10.	UGN v The State	49-55 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1 & 6: Sex pen child U13 yrs.	Ct 1: 3 yrs 6 mths imp.	Dismissed.
	of Western	68 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 2-5; 7-8: Indec dealing child U13 yrs.	Ct 2: 21 mths imp (conc).	
	Australia			Ct 3: 12 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned both limbs
		Convicted after trial.	The victim, C, was a female aged 7-12 yrs.	Ct 4: 18 mths imp (cum).	of the totality principle.
	[2021] WASCA	CAU		Ct 5: 4 mths imp (conc).	Individual sentences not

1	"
	ı

Delivered 28/01/2021

Extremely limited criminal history; no prior sexual offending.

Born Vietnam; five siblings; difficult and impoverished life; parents died when he was young; maintains regular contact with only one of his siblings.

Spent 2 yrs refugee camp before being granted asylum in Australia in 1979.

Very little formal education; left school aged 7 yrs; significant literacy issues and struggled to learn English.

Twice married; supportive family; living with his wife and stepdaughter, her husband and very young child at time sentencing.

Stable employment history; reliable and diligent worker; employed 40 yrs various processing plants.

No physical health difficulties.

The age gap between UGN and the victim was about $41 \frac{1}{2}$ yrs.

The offending occurred over a period of five yrs and involved five separate incidents. The offences of sexual penetration involved UGN penetrating C's vagina with his finger.

UGN was a friend of C's mother. He regularly visited the family home and C's mother frequently entrusted him with her care.

On one occasion UGN rubbed C's vagina before sexually penetrating her. At the same time he masturbated until he ejaculated (cts 1 and 2).

On another occasion UGN grabbed C's hand and put it on his penis. She pulled her hand away. He continued to lean over her and masturbate until he ejaculated (cts 3 and 4).

On another occasion, in the presence of a neighbour, UGN grabbed C in the area between her buttocks (ct 5).

On another occasion UGN masturbated, while at the same time he rubbed C's vagina. He then sexually penetrated her (cts 6 and 7).

On another occasion UGN showed C a DVD depicting adults engaging in sexual activity (ct 8).

Ct 6: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 7: 21 mths imp (conc). Ct 8: 8 mths imp (conc).

TES 8 yrs 6 mths imp.

EFP.

The sentencing judge found the appellant offended against C in the same manner as described in cts 1 and 2 on other uncharged occasions.

The sentencing judge found the offending was agg by being part of a course of sexual conduct that occurred over a period of at least five yrs; he groomed C by buying her treats, and as the yrs went by, money and clothes and given the large age difference between him and C.

The sentencing judge found the appellant took advantage of the trust C's mother had placed in him, in order to abuse a vulnerable child; some of the offending took place in C's home where she was entitled to feel safe and some of the offending was clearly premeditated.

challenged.

At [45] The offences committed by the appellant were plainly serious. ...

At [47] The offences involved five separate incidents and were agg by having been committed over a period of about five yrs. Some accumulation of the individual sentences was therefore warranted. The offences were not isolated events and were, in effect, representative of ongoing sexual behaviour towards C. The offending was motivated by the appellant's sexual attraction towards C.

At [48] The offending was further agg because the appellant groomed and rewarded C to the point where the appellant's behaviour was normalised. C's mother trusted the appellant ... The offending breached the trust that had been placed in [him]. Some of the offences were premeditated. Some were committed in C's home where she was entitled to be safe.

			7
			At [52] The objective
		Offending serious effect on	circumstances of the offending
		victim; continues to have	were, in our opinion, very
		profound effects upon her life	serious. The fact that the cts of
		as an adult; ruined her	sex pen did not involve the
		relationship with her mother.	penile penetration of C's
			vagina is not to the point.
		Low risk of reoffending; no	Having regard to what the
		demonstrated genuine	appellant actually did and the
		remorse; continued to deny the	effect of his offending upon C,
	AA	offending.	it cannot reasonably be said
			that the sentencing judge
			overestimated the objective
			seriousness of what the
			appellant did to C.

Child aged 13-16 yrs

No.	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal
9.	Coutts v The	29-30 yrs at time offending.	Cts 1-8: Sex pen child 13-16 yrs.	Cts 1 & 5: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	Allowed.
	State of Western	32 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 9: Indec deal child 13-16 yrs.	Cts 2 & 3: 4 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	
	Australia			Cts 4 & 8: 4 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned totality
		Convicted after early PG	The two victims, were B, a boy aged	Ct 6: 4 yrs imp (conc).	principle.
	[2023] WASCA	(20% discount).	15 yrs, and D, a girl aged 14 yrs.	Ct 7: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	
	38			Ct 9: 1 yr 6 mths imp (cum).	Resentenced (20% discount):
		Prior criminal history.	The offending in respect of B arose out		
	Delivered		of one incident. The offending in	TES 10 yrs 6 mths imp.	Ct 2: 4 yrs imp (cum).
	01/03/2023	Traumatic and dysfunctional	respect of D occurred over a six-mth		Ct 9: 1 yr 6 mths imp (conc).
		childhood; eldest of two sons	period and the charges representative	EFP.	
		and two older half-brothers;	of ongoing sexual conduct.		All other individual sentences
		parents separated when aged		Earlier proceedings:	and orders for cum or conc
		4 yrs; in care of his father	Cts 1 & 2	Coutts PG to the separate charges	unaffected.
		until aged 12 yrs; father often	Coutts met B on an online dating	against B and was sentenced to 12	
		wheelchair-bound due to	application. B told Coutts he was 18	mths imp and 6 mths imp	TES 8 yrs 6 mths imp.
		muscular disorder; returned	yrs old. They exchanged sexualised	respectively, both sentences	

to live with his mother after period in foster care; mother own difficulties, including misuse of prescription medication and mental health issues.

Subjected to severe, repeated and degrading sexual and physical abuse by his father; removed from his care by child protection aged 12 yrs; six-mths spent in foster care; father subsequently imp for the abuse; father deceased.

Close relationship with mother; no longer in contact with other family members.

Disrupted education; completed yrs 1 and 2 at primary school; then home schooled by his father; rarely completed homework and schoolwork; later attended three primary schools; diagnosed with ADHD; struggled with schoolwork; victimised by peers; repeated yr 7; frequently susp and expelled in high school; continuing limitations with literacy and numeracy; certificates in education and

indecent messages, including images and recordings.

On meeting for the first time Coutts and B engaged in sexual intercourse. This marked the beginning of a sexual relationship. Coutts believed that B was over the age of 16 yrs. Sometime later he discovered that B was 15 yrs old.

After becoming aware of B's age Coutts met B and drove him to his home where they engaged in further sexual activity.

The earlier proceedings:

Coutts also exchanged sexual images with B after he became aware he was under the age of 16 yrs. This conduct resulted in Coutts being charged and dealt with separately with one ct each of using an electronic communication with intent to expose a person U16 to indee material and possess CEM.

Cts 3-9

Coutts was a friend of D's family and he had gained the trust of her mother. He would spend time with D and invite her to spend weekends at his home.

D came to believe she was in a relationship with Coutts and the relationship became a sexual one. conditionally susp 18 mths.

The sentencing judge found the offending agg by the fact there were two victims; there was a significant age difference between the appellant and each of the victims; it involved breaches of trust; D was sexually inexperienced and, as a result of the offending conduct, suffered an infection; the offending in each case was repeated; he secured the cooperation of the victims by friendship and in the case of D, she believed they were in a relationship.

The sentencing judge found the appellant's childhood trauma impacted his offending and would make him a more vulnerable prisoner.

Victims adversely affected by offending.

Expressed remorse; well above average risk of reoffending.

TES.

At [36] We have set out the earlier proceedings ... they are relevant to the present appeal for the following reasons. First, they provide context to the offences that are the subject of the appeal. Secondly, it is now apparent that the appellant was untruthful in the earlier proceedings about when the sexual relationship with B ceased. ... the appellant's lack of honesty regarding his conduct in relation to B is relevant in assessing his remorse and the need for personal deterrence. Thirdly, the appellant was on bail for the earlier offences at the time he committed the offences against D. ...

At [78] ... the appellant had some significant mitigating factors. ... Whilst [he] had not been honest about his conduct or sexual behaviour when dealt with for the prior offences, he was completely frank when dealt with for these offences ... Further, whilst personal factors are usually accorded

		hospital/patient care assistance. Employed various jobs from aged 16 yrs; no regular work since 28-29 yrs; on disability support pension due to mental health issues. History of self-harm from aged 9 yrs; suffers depression, anxiety and trauma symptoms; visual and auditory hallucinations when stressed; diagnosed with McArdle's disease, same medical condition as his father. Abuse of opioid prescription medication from aged 18 yrs; some alcohol and cannabis use.	Coutts and D engaged in sexual conduct, including intercourse and digital penetration.	in Proseculture	lesser weight, the appellant's history of childhood trauma was relevant. It explained, without justifying, his sexual conduct and was relevant in assessing his moral culpability. At [91] When the appellant's PG are taken into account the difference between the sentences is greater than would be expected, even allowing for the fact that there were two victims At [99] Taking all relevant factors into account the TES is disproportionate to the overall offending The TES is unreasonable and unjust
8.	Amedi v The	22 yrs 7 mths at time	Cts 1; 3-7: Sex pen child 13-16 yrs.	Ct 1: 2 yrs imp (cum).	Dismissed (leave refused).
	State of Western Australia	offending. 24 yrs at time sentencing.	The victim, D, was aged between 15	Ct 3: 2 yrs imp (conc). Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (conc).	Appeal concerned first limb of
	1 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	24 yrs at time sentenenig.	yrs 10-and-a-half mths and 15 yrs 11	Ct 4. 2 yrs imp (conc). Ct 5: 4 yrs imp (cum).	totality principle and length of
	[2022] WASCA	No prior criminal history.	mths.	Ct 6: 2 yrs imp (conc).	individual sentences.
	172	· XX		Ct 7: 2 yrs imp (conc).	
		Convicted after very late PG	Amedi met D online via a messaging		At [58] The individual
	Delivered	(cts 3 and 7) (5% discount).	application in a chat group	TES 6 yrs imp.	sentences imposed for each of
	23/12/2022	Convicted after twist (etc. 1. 4.	predominantly used by swinging	EFP.	cts 1, 3, 4, 6 and 7 concerned offences in which it was not
		Convicted after trial (cts 1, 4-	couples.	EFF.	alleged that the offending was
		6).	D told Amedi she was aged 17 yrs, but	The sentencing judge rejected	agg by the absence of consent
	<u> </u>		2 total initial one was aged 17 yls, but	The semeneing juage rejected	agg of the absence of consent

Second youngest of six children to Kurdish parents; raised in a nurturing and supportive family; close relationship with parents and siblings.

Completed yr 12; Bachelor of Applied Science; TAFE diploma.

Exclusive relationship with current partner; partner supportive; intends to marry a Kurdish woman.

Disability support work; employed draftsman at time sentencing.

No significant health issues; addicted to sexual behaviour and history of use of online sites to meet others for sexual behaviour.

Cannabis use.

still at school and wore a uniform.

The communications between Amedi and D through the messaging application were sexually explicit, including sending each other nude photographs of their genital areas. They eventual met in person.

On the first occasion they arranged to meet, D did not turn up. So Amedi sent her messages to the effect that unless she met him he would distribute nude photographs of her which she had sent to him. When she messaged him, asking what he wanted he told her he wanted sex. They arranged to meet at her workplace.

On Amedi's arrival at D's workplace they met in a toilet, where they engaged in anal intercourse (ct 1).

Following this first encounter, Amedi and D continued to exchange messages about meeting each other, and others, for sexual activity. D told Amedi she did not want to engage in anal sex again.

About two weeks later Amedi and D again met D, this time at her home. They smoked cannabis and again engaged in sexual activity, including anal intercourse (cts 3; 4-7).

submissions a conditionally susp term of imp should be imposed.

The sentencing judge found ct 5 the most serious offence as anal pen occurred without D's consent and ct 1 was agg by the fact he threatened to distribute intimate images of her and he did not wear a condom.

The sentencing judge found the victim vulnerable; there was an age disparity of almost seven yrs; the appellant exerted some pressure on D to engage in sexual activity and he attempted to secure her participation in sexual activity by offering to pay her.

Offending adverse effects on victim; requires ongoing counselling.

Very little demonstrated remorse, insight or victim empathy.

on the part of the complainant. It is also the case that D was close to the age of 16. However, the offending concerned a vulnerable victim and a not insignificant age disparity between her and the appellant.

At [59] On each of the two occasions on which the appellant engaged in sexual activity with D, he exerted some pressure on her to engage in that activity. The offending on ct 1 was agg by the appellant's threats to distribute intimate images of D if she did not meet with him for the purpose of sexual activity. ... all of the offending was agg because the appellant did not wear a condom. Cts 6 and 7 involved ... forceful oral pen. Cts 6 and 7 occurred after the act of anal pen the subject of ct 5.

At [61] Ct 5, was, The most serious offence committed by the appellant involving, as it did, an act of anal pen which, to the appellant's knowledge occurred despite D's express

					Y
				X -10	refusal of consent.
				in Riosectific	At [62]-[63] it is not
					reasonably arguable that any
					of the individual sentences
					imposed was manifestly
					excessive. They were not
					unreasonable or plainly unjust.
				X , Y	To the contrary, each
				· C . Y	represented an appropriate
					exercise of the sentencing
			A 4		discretion the offending
) y	involved two separate
					incidents. Some accumulation
					of the sentences was
					appropriate
7.	OTR v The State	20-43 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Indec deal child U14 yrs.	Ct 1: 6 mths imp (cum).	Dismissed (leave refused –
	of Western	57 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 4-7: Indec deal child 13-16 yrs.	Ct 4, 6, 7 & 18: 2 yrs imp (conc).	totality principle).
	Australia		Cts 8-10, 12, 13, 15-18: Sex pen child	Ct 5: 1 yr 6 mths imp (cum).	
		Convicted after trial.	U13 yrs.	Cts 8-10 & 15: 3 yrs 6 mths imp	Appeal concerned length of
	[No 2] [2022]		Ct 11: Procured a child U13 yrs to	(conc).	TES and totality principle.
	WASCA 123	Limited criminal history.	engage in sex behaviour.	Ct 11: 2 yrs imp (cum).	
				Cts 12 & 17: 4 yrs imp (conc).	At [81] It was necessary, in
	Delivered	Youngest of three children;	OTR engaged in sexual activity with	Ct 13: 4 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	our view, in order to properly
	27/09/2022	father died aged two yrs;	three victims, GN and JP, both boys	Ct 16: 5 yrs imp.	mark the appellant's overall
		mother remarried; volatile	and his biological daughter CT.		criminality, to order some
		relationship with step-father;	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	TES 9 yrs imp (IND/667).	accumulation of the sentences
		spent time with grandparents.	The offences against JP and CT were	NYD (5.5	concerning CT. CT was
		D 111 1 1 2 66	representative of an overall pattern of	IND/667 cum on earlier TES of 5 yrs	subjected to repeated and
		Bullied at school; suffered	conduct towards each of them over	imp imposed on IND/666 concerning	prolonged sex offending which
		physical injuries; attended a	time.	sexual offences committed against	has had severe consequences
		psychologist on exhibiting	Ct 1 CC 1: CN	two boys U13 yrs.	for CT's mental and physical
		potential for self-harm.	Ct 1 – offending against GN OTR was aged 20 or 21 yrs.	TEC 14	wellbeing In all the circumstances it was
			LILK was aged 20 or 21 wrs	TES 14 yrs imp.	circumstances if was
		Strong employment history;	OTK was aged 20 of 21 yis.	TES 11 yis mip.	appropriate to order that the

Bachelor of Education; qualified schoolteacher; 27yr teaching career; ended 2010 after charges of sex offending against a child (acquitted).

Married aged 23 yrs; three children; separated.

Treated for anxiety; depression; hypertension and gastric ulcers. OTR and the victim GN are second cousins. GN was 11 yrs old.

OTR provided GN with alcohol. Drunk and feeling dizzy and a little bit ill, GN lay down on a mattress. OTR put his hand on GN's penis and stroked it.

Cts 4-7 – offending against JP

The offending against JP occurred over a period of about 2 yrs, when OTR was aged between 36 and 38 yrs.

JP is OTR's nephew. At the time of the offending OTR was aged between 13 and 14 yrs. OTR would engage in sexual activity with JP, involving mutual masturbation and masturbating in front of JP.

Cts 8-13; 15-18 – offending against CT

The offending against CT occurred over a period of about 3 or 4 yrs, very soon after the offending against JP ended.

At the time of the offending OTR was aged between 38 and 43 yrs and CT was aged between 4 and 7 yrs.

OTR engaged in sexual activity with CT, involving touching; digital and penile penetration and fellatio. On one

The sentencing judge found the offences involved a significant abuse of trust; all three victims had a familial connection and close relationship with the appellant; who took advantage of his position of trust to deprive each child of his or her innocence for his own sexual gratification; there was an element of coercive or forceful behaviour in the offending involving GN and CT.

Offending significant impact on victims; offending considerable harm to both GN and CT and psychological consequences likely to affect them for the rest of their lives.

No remorse or contrition; no acceptance of responsibility for his criminal conduct.

term for [ct 16] and the ...
term for [ct 11] be served cum.
So too it was necessary to
provide for accumulation of
the sentence concerning GN
and some accumulation of the
sentences concerning JP. A
degree of accumulation is to be
expected where there are
multiple victims.

At [82] In addition, in order to properly mark the seriousness of the overall offending, [it] was correct to order that the TES in relation to the offending the subject of IND/667 should be served cum upon the TES ... in relation to the offending the subject of IND/666. ... The objective seriousness of the offending against GN, JP and CT as a whole – and in particular the offending against CT – demanded condign punishment.

At [84] The TES of 14 yrs' imp bears a proper relationship to the overall criminality involved in all of the offences, viewed in their entirety, having regard to all relevant facts and circumstances and all relevant

		1			Y
			occasion OTR placed an electric	V. ()	sentencing factors.
			toothbrush on her clitoris.		
6.	GUE v The State	69 yrs at time sentencing.	3 x Sex pen child 13-16 yrs.	Ct 1: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	Dismissed (leave refused –
	of Western			Ct 2: 3 yrs 3 mths imp (cum).	length of sentence).
	Australia	Convicted after trial.	The victim was 7 yrs old when GUE	Ct 3: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	
			entered a relationship with her aunt.		Appeal concerned totality
	[2022] WASCA	No criminal history.	The offending occurred when the	TES 6 yrs 9 mths imp.	principle and length of
	121	,	victim was 'at the very latest' 13 yrs		sentence (individual sentences
		Married 23 yrs; three sons;	and a few mths old.	EFP.	not challenged).
	Delivered	divorced.			
	20/09/2022		GUE agreed to teach the victim to play	The trial judge found the offences	At [61] the appellant's
		Current partner (victim's	the drums. Her lessons spanned a	'very serious instances of offences of	offending had serious features.
		aunt) very significant	period of about two yrs.	their kind'; the offending agg by	[He] groomed the complainant
		ongoing physical disabilities;		having occurred over a period of	in order to facilitate his abuse
		requires physical assistance;	GUE, who had a qualification in	grooming calculated to make the	of her. His offences were not
		her full-time carer.	massage, would sometimes give	victim receptive to the abuse; each	isolated; they were part of a
			various members of the victim's	offence was part of a course of	course of sexual offending
		Long work history;	family massages. When the victim had	sexual offending; the large age	against the complainant. There
		employed various business	muscle soreness she asked GUE for	disparity of 41 ½ yrs between the	was a very substantial age
		enterprises; retired at time	massages. The massages took place	victim and the appellant; the	disparity between the
		sentencing.	after drum lessons.	appellant's abuse of a position of	complainant and the appellant.
				significant trust.	Being a girl of 12 or 13 yrs of
		Currently in good health;	At some point after the massages		age at the time of the
		heart attack 2015; medicated	began GUE began to groom the victim	The trial noted the effect the	offending, the complainant
		for cholesterol and blood	to accept him touching her in a sexual	appellant's imp would have on his	was vulnerable. The appellant
		pressure.	manner.	partner.	abused his position of trust as
		. 0			the partner of the
			GUE engaged in a pattern of sexual	Little risk of reoffending.	complainant's aunt who was
			abuse. On multiple occasions he would		treated by her as an uncle and
		X	stimulate her clitoris and, on		who was trusted to teach her
			occasions, would massage her breasts.		drumming
			On and accession CITE divitables and the		A4 [62] In our view it
			On one occasion GUE digitally pen the		At [63] In our view, it was
		2	victim's vagina. When she told him it		open to the trial judge, on a
			hurt he stopped (ct 1).		proper exercise of her

			On another occasion he touched the victim's clitoris and performed oral sex on her (cts 2 and 3).	in Ryoseculius	Honour's discretion, to impose the sentences that were ultimately imposed. At [72] given the seriousness of the appellant's offending, the mitigatory effect of his partner's debilitating health problems can be given only quite limited weight.
5.	Oreo v The State of Western Australia [2022] WASCA 62 Delivered 03/06/2022	48-49 yrs at time offending. 50 yrs at time sentencing. Convicted after early PG (25% discount). Minor prior criminal history. Two siblings; loving and caring parents; not subjected to abuse; father alcoholdependent; witnessed his father assault his mother.	Cts 1-2; 6-8: Indec deal child 13-16 yrs. Cts 3-5; 9: Sex pen child 13-16 yrs. Ct 10: Procured a child U13 yrs to do indec act. Ct 11: Poss CEM. The offending involved two separate victims, J and T, both 14-yr old boys, and three separate incidents. The first victim, J, met Oreo on an online dating application. On the site J	Cts 1 & 2: 4 mths imp (conc). Cts 3 & 4: 18 mths imp (conc). Ct 5: 3 yrs imp (cum). Cts 6 & 7: 4 mths imp (conc). Cts 8 & 10: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 9: 18 mths imp (cum). Ct 11: 12 mths imp (cum). TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp. EFP. The sentencing judge found there	Allowed. Appeal concerned miscarriage of justice (erroneous understanding conduct in relation to J was criminal in that J was U18 yrs and any belief J was at least 16 yrs not mitigating). Returned to District Court for re-sentencing.
		Parents deceased; supportive sister. Homosexual; came out 3 yrs prior to sentencing; difficulties dealing with his sexuality. Completed yr 10 high school.	indicated he was about 20 yrs old. When Oreo questioned J as to his age he told Oreo he was 16 yrs old. Oreo met J at a public toilet. J was wearing his school uniform. Inside a toilet stall they kissed and engaged in a number of sexual acts (cts 1-6).	was a significant likelihood the appellant was aware J was under the age of 16 yrs and that he was aware T was 14-yrs old. The sentencing judge found the offending aggravated by the fact it involved two different 14-yr-old males; the offending and surrounding text messages indicated a sexual	At [48] it was an admitted fact that J had told the appellant he was 16 yrs old. While we accept that the appellant may have faced some challenges in proving an honest belief, we cannot conclude that he had no reasonable prospect of doing so. The fact that counsel's

Sound work history; employed at time of offending.

History of amphetamine and methyl abuse.

with each other about meeting for sex.

A few days later they again arranged to meet. Oreo picked J up in his car before he went to school. J was again wearing his school uniform. After parking the car Oreo kissed J on the mouth, touched his penis and performed oral sex on him, before dropping J near his school (cts 7-9).

After this incident J's mother found text messages on her son's phone about meeting men for sex and contacted the police. When interviewed J disclosed the offending and identified Oreo from a digiboard.

About a month later Oreo met the second victim, T, through a dating application. They began communicating by text and in one text message T told Oreo he was 14 yrsold.

Oreo then sent T multiple sexually explicit text messages and arranged to meet him, implicitly for the purpose of engaging in sexual activity (ct 10).

During the text messages Oreo asked T to send him a photo of his penis and he complied. On receiving the photo Oreo messaged another phone contact stating, 'I have a horny 14-yr-old for

interest in underage males and his willingness to act on that interest; it was premediated; involved unprotected pen sexual activity; there was a significant age disparity; he sent messages and intended to distribute the image of T's penis in an att to enlist other adult males to engage in sexual activity with T and he suggested J use illicit drugs as a sexual aid.

The sentencing judge concluded that some accumulation was appropriate to reflect the fact that there were two separate complainants and three separate incidents.

High risk of reoffending; some acceptance of responsibility; no insight into the impact of his offending behaviour or taken full responsibility for his offending behaviour.

misapprehension effectively deprived the appellant of the opportunity to att to prove that fact constitutes a miscarriage of justice in these circumstances.

At [52] ... we are satisfied that the misunderstanding of defence counsel gave rise to a miscarriage of justice in all the circumstances of this case.

					<u> </u>
			you tomorrow'. He then attempted to	X	
			send the photo of T's penis to this		
			person, but the message failed to send		
			(ct 11).		
			The meeting with T did not occur.	Seculture	
			Oreo was arrested the following		
			morning.		
4.	Tullock v The	45 yrs at time offending.	1 x Sex pen of a child U16 yrs.	7 yrs 8 mths imp.	Dismissed (leave refused) - on
	State of Western	,			papers.
	Australia	Convicted after trial.	The victim, K, was aged 15 yrs. She	EFP.	F of case
			was intoxicated, having consumed a		Appeal concerned length of
	[2022] WASCA	Very long and serious	significant amount of alcohol earlier in	The sentencing judge found the	sentence.
	11	criminal history; significant	the day.	appellant's meeting with K	
		period of his adult life spent		opportunistic and his conduct	At [29] It was indisputably
	Delivered	in custody.	Tullock, who was not previously	'somewhat predatory'; he was much	a various serious example of
	11/02/2022		known to K, met her in the Perth CBD.	older than K, who was vulnerable by	its type. The offence involved
	11/02/2022	Born and raised WA.	He gave her sips from an alcopop	reason of her age and level of	a high degree of criminality.
		Both and faised W1.	drink and told her that he could	intoxication; he took her to a	The appellant enticed K to an
		Left school yr 7.	provide her with more alcohol. K	secluded location, described by K as	isolated location with the
		Left school yi 7.	agreed to walk with him to collect it.	a 'dirty stairwell in a public carpark'	promise of more alcohol. Her
		Limited employment history.	agreed to wark with mili to collect it.	under the pretext of providing an	state of intoxication was such
		Emitted employment instory.	It would have been obvious to Tullock	already drunk K with more alcohol	that she could, in no way,
		Four children.	that K was intoxicated.		
		Four children.	that K was intoxicated.	and he used some degree of physical force on K.	protect herself. The appellant
		Enternal and anternal	Va - 45 11 - 6 1 - 17 4	force on K.	took sexual advantage of a
		Entrenched and extensive	In a stairwell of a carpark, K was too	The sentencine in the form 141.	child who was vulnerable by
		history of illicit substance	drunk to speak, leaning against a wall	The sentencing judge found the	reason of her age and her state
		use; commenced using	and trying to stay awake.	serious features of the offending and	of intoxication. K plainly did
		alcohol and cannabis early		the appellant's criminal history	not consent and was, at one
		teens; using methyl and	Just before 6.10 pm, Tullock grabbed	underscored the need to give	point, unconscious. The
		heroin 15 yrs; intoxicated by	K's forearm, bruising it. He then	significant weight to the sentencing	appellant engaged in sexual
		methyl and alcohol at time	pulled down her pants and had sexual	objectives of punishment, protection	intercourse with K that
		offending, but not to a	intercourse with her, without a	of the public and personal and	culminated in his ejaculation
		significant degree.	condom. He ejaculated inside her.	general deterrence and expressly	inside her His actions
		CAU	During intercourse K passed out so he	found no mitigating circumstances.	exposed her to the risk of

					<u> </u>
		No diagnosed mental health	poured water on her face, after which		pregnancy and disease. At the
		conditions or disorders, but	she regained consciousness.	Offending serious and ongoing	time of the offence, he had a
		on remand prescribed		adverse effect on victim.	positive hepatitis C status. The
		medication for depressive-	At the time of the offence K's blood		offence was accompanied by a
		like-symptoms.	alcohol level was close to 0.18%.	No demonstrated victim empathy or	degree of force which left K
		ince symptoms.	alcohor lever was crose to 0.1070.	remorse.	bruised
				Temorse.	oransea
					At [30] Her Honour was
				7 y	correct to find that there were
					no mitigating factors in the
				1°, C)	
			/		case The appellant poses a risk of further serious
					offending. While his prior
					criminal record is not an
					aggravating factor, her Honour
			X Y		was entitled to regard the
					record as underscoring the
					need to impose a sentence
					which, among other things,
					emphasised the sentencing
					objectives of punishment,
					personal and general
			CITECTO!		deterrence and the protection
			• 1		of the public. Unfortunately,
					the appellant's prospects of
					rehabilitation, at this point, do
			Y		not appear strong.
3.	WNO v The State	27 yrs at time offending.	Cts 1; 2; 4-6; 8-10 & 12: Indec deal	Cts 1; 2; 4 & 5: 6 mths imp (conc).	Dismissed (leave refused).
	of Western	29 yrs at time sentencing.	child 13-16 yrs.	Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).	
1	Australia	C TY	Cts 3; 7 & 11: Sex pen child 13-16 yrs.	Ct 6: 9 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned totality
		Convicted after trial.		Ct 7: 2 yrs imp (cum).	principle.
	[2021] WASCA	Oy	The victim, J, was aged 14 yrs. She	Cts 8 & 9: 9 mths imp (conc).	
1	141	Criminal history; no prior	was WNO's niece.	Cts 10 & 11: 6 mths imp (cum).	At [38] His Honour rightly
		convictions of a sexual		Ct 12: 18 mths imp (conc).	regarded the offences
	Delivered	nature.	J's parents were on a week-long	ct 12. 10 mais mp (cone).	committed by the appellant as
	Donvoicu	Traction .	to parents were on a week long	l	committee of the appendix as

12/08/2021

Upbringing marked by degree of deprivation and disadvantage; very close to his mother; grief-stricken after her death.

Completed yr 9 high school.

Worked in IT with older brother; employment prospects upon release from prison.

Using methyl on a daily basis at time offending.

overseas holiday. She and her 17 yr old brother were staying at the family home by themselves.

The morning after J's parents departed Perth WNO rang J and asked her if she wanted to go out. She declined. He then asked if she wanted to come to his house instead. J again declined. Upset by J's refusals he travelled to her house and yelled at her. He then apologised and asked her to go with him to the shops. She agreed. On the way WNO pulled over his vehicle and kissed her on the lips. He also put his hand inside her pants and touched her buttocks (ct 1).

Later that day WNO asked J to give him 'a proper kiss'. Despite her refusal he again kissed her on the lips (ct 2).

That evening WNO drove to J's house. J was in her bedroom. He entered her room locked the door and put on a movie. She told him he was not meant to be in her room and attempted to leave. Before she could do so he grabbed her, pulled her onto her bed and put his hand under her top and pants, squeezing her breast and rubbing the outside and inside of her vagina (ct 3).

WNO spent the night in her bedroom

TES 6 yrs 9 mths imp.

EFP.

The sentencing judge found the offending serious; it was sustained over a period of five days; was persistent; overbearing and oppressive conduct and to a degree premeditated; it was a gross breach of trust and J was particularly vulnerable, given the absence of her parents and the inability of her grandfather and older brother to offer her protection.

The sentencing judge found no other penalty other than imp was appropriate.

Offending profound and adverse effect upon J.

Appellant not truly remorseful; risk of reoffending dependent upon his methyl use in the future.

Although not the subject of charges the appellant had, on previous occasions, slept in J's bed and touched her breasts, bottom and vagina. serious. ...

At [40] All of the appellant's offending was serious. The appellant treated J not as his niece, but as his girlfriend. He did so in a controlling and sometimes forceful way. Without in any way minimising the seriousness of the unwelcome kissing, some of which was accompanied by behaviour which could be described as 'groping', the acts of digital pen were particularly serious. The act of masturbating while touching [her] buttocks in her bed was also highly offensive.

At [41] In our opinion, the TES imposed ... did not infringe the totality principle. The appellant's offending, considered as a whole, exhibited a high degree of criminality. ... Some accumulation of the sentences was required, given that the offending occurred on different days in separate incidents. ...

and the next morning, while they were outside, he gave J a hug and kissed her on the lips. She wiped her lips, he told her not to do that and kissed her again (ct 4). He then left J's house. Later that day, as she was walking to the shops, WNO stopped to talk with her. He pulled her close and kissed her on the lips (ct 5). He then drove J to the shops, behaving as if they were in an intimate relationship. On the drive home he squeezed one of her breasts over her clothing (ct 6). The next evening WNO again went to J's home. In her bedroom he squeezed her breasts under her bra and touched and penetrated her vagina with his fingers (ct 7). Two days later WNO drove to J's house in the morning and told her not to go to school. She ignored him. Angry, he screamed at her and slapped her hard across the cheek. When he continued to prevent her from leaving she gave up attempting to get to school. WNO then drove J to a family member's house, on the way kissing her on the lips (ct 8). On the way back he hugged and kissed her in the car and, on one occasion, touched her breasts (ct 9). Back at her house he again kissed her on the lips (ct 10).

	T				
			The following day WNO picked J up	×	
			as she walked home from school. He	-40Secilly	
			stayed the night in her bedroom. He		
			squeezed her breasts on top of her bra		
			and put his hand in her pants and, over		
			her underwear, pushed his fingers		
			inside her vagina. She told him to stop	AC () Y	
			and pulled his hand out of her pants (ct		
			11). Later that night J woke up to find		
			he was still next to her in her bed. He	· C · Y	
			had his hand on her buttocks and was		
			masturbating (ct 12).		
2.	DRH v The State	35-37 yrs at time offending.	Ct 5: Encourage child 13-16 yrs to	3 yrs imp.	Dismissed.
	of Western	58 yrs at time sentencing.	engage in sexual behaviour.		
	Australia			EFP.	Appeal concerned length of
		Convicted after trial.	The victim, BM, was aged 13-14 yrs.		sentence and finding offence
	[2021] WASCA			The trial judge was satisfied beyond	charged on ct 5 not an isolated
	97	No prior relevant criminal	In 1996 DRH was BM's grade 7	reasonable doubt that the offending	incident.
		history.	primary school teacher. At the	the subject of ct 5 was not an isolated	
	Delivered		beginning of 1997 BM entered	occasion.	At [90] In our opinion, the trial
	02/06/2021	Single.	secondary school and around this time		judge's finding that the
			he began meeting with DRH. BM	The trial judge found the offending	appellant had engaged in acts
		Partner in a cleaning business	would regularly visit DRH at his home	serious; there was an age difference	of a sexual nature with BM
		at time of sentencing.	and he would also occasionally spend	of 22 yr between the appellant and	before the appellant committed
			the night. DRH would sometimes	BM; BM was vulnerable as a result	ct 5 was not inconsistent with
		Character references describe	speak to BM about nudity and other	of his personal circumstance; BM	the jury's verdicts of not guilty
		the appellant as a kind,	matters and give him cigarettes and	trusted the appellant, which trust	on cts 1, 2 and 7 or with the
		caring and supporting person.	alcohol.	arose originally out of the appellant	directed acquittals on cts 3, 4
		X		having been his teacher before the	and 6
		In good health time of	At the time of the offending BM was	sexual activity commenced; he	
		sentencing.	staying at DRH's house because he	groomed BM resulting in BM having	At [99] In our opinion, the
			had been kicked out of home. They	become accepting of the sexual acts	appellant's offending on ct 5
			both drank alcohol and were naked.	between them and he permitted BM	was serious The offending
			DRH was on all fours and bent over a	to drink and smoke cannabis so that	was not isolated or an
		CAY	bed when BM tried to anally penetrate	he would be more accommodating.	aberration The offending

_		ı			
			him with his penis, however he could	×	was preceded by the grooming
			not achieve penetration.	Detrimental and enduring impact on	of BM. At all material times
				victim.	BM was, to the appellant's
			Afterwards BM felt disgusted at		knowledge, vulnerable. The
			himself.	No evidence of remorse or steps	offending involved predatory
				taken towards rehabilitation.	behaviour by the appellant. He
			In 2017 BM contacted the police and		did not evince any remorse.
			reported the offending.		·
1.	Jetter v The State	44 yrs at time offending.	Cts 1 & 2: Sex pen child 13-16 yrs.	Ct 1: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	Allowed.
	of Western		Ct 3: GBH.	Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	
	Åustralia	Convicted after early PG		Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).	Appeal concerned length of
		(25% discount).	Jetter and the victim did not know each		sentence cts 1 and 2 and
	[2021] WASCA	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	other. The victim was aged 15 yrs, 11	TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.	totality principle.
	80	Prior criminal history; no	mths and 1 wk.	G=0 0 900 0 00000	results, preserves
		prior sexual offending;		EFP.	Resentenced (25% discount):
	Delivered	history of using violence.	The victim told Jetter she was 18 yrs		
	07/05/2021	limited y of doing violation.	old.	The sentencing judge found the	Ct 1: 3 mths imp (cum).
	0770872021	Born to very young parents;	ora:	appellant's moral culpability was	Ct 2: 6 mths imp (conc).
		adopted by an aunt; raised in	The victim approached Jetter and	decreased; by the victim telling him	Ct 3: 2 yrs 9 mths imp (cum).
		loving environment; three	suggested they consume drugs	she was aged 18 yrs; she was not	Ct 3. 2 yrs 7 mins mp (cum).
		younger sisters; maintained	together. In the stairwell of a carpark	coerced into the offending and	TES 3 yrs imp.
		contact with biological	they had sexual intercourse. The victim	willingly participated in the acts of	125 5 yrs mip.
		parents and their other	was a willing participant (ct 1).	sexual intercourse.	EFP.
		children.	was a wiffing participant (ct 1).	sexual intercourse.	LII.
		cinidicii.	Later that same day the victim and	The sentencing judge found the	At [12] The State conceded
		Sexually assaulted as a child;	Jetter travelled to the house at which	gravemen of the sexual offending	that the sentence of 2 yrs
		in his 20s when adoptive	Jetter was staying. The house belonged	was that having only just met the	6 mths imp for each of cts 1
		mother died.	to his aunt.	victim and not knowing anything	and 2 was manifestly
		mother died.	to his aunt.		excessive as to length (but not
		I of a hard on the condition	The sisting store is at the boson with	about her, he did not do more to	
		Left school yr 11; excelled at	The victim stayed at the house with	ascertain her age before embarking in	as to type)
		sport; bullied by other	Jetter for a few nights. During that	sexual activity with her.	A. [62]
		children; disciplined by	time she and Jetter had sexual		At [63] the appellant's
		teachers when he retaliated.	intercourse. The victim was a willing	The sentencing judge characterised	culpability in relation to the
			participant (ct 2).	the sexual offending as falling at the	sexual offending was
		Worked on a station before		lower end of the scale of seriousness	ameliorated by [his] honest

for offending of this type. leaving school; undertook On her third day at the house Jetter and belief that the complainant was traineeships and completed his aunt spoke to the victim about the aged 18 and the absence of any reason for him to doubt that certificate in civil recent death of the aunt's brother. Seriousness of the offence of GBH When the victim laughed in response increased by the appellant's use of a construction and engineering; the complainant was of that unemployed since leaving the aunt slapped her in the face. Jetter weapon; the victim's young age; her age; ... the complainant was vulnerability and that she suffered a school. then swung a baseball bat at the very close to the legal age of victim, the second swing hitting her in serious injury, requiring surgery. consent, namely 16 yrs; ... Two children; aged 18 yrs the arm (ct 3). [and] the complainant was a and 9 yrs; limited contact No sexual interest in children: not willing participant in the acts The victim ran from the house. A especially troubled by having struck of sexual intercourse; ... with them. the victim with a bat, regarded this neighbour intercepted the victim and Attempts at self-harm and called the police. A short time later he violence as a normal response. At [64] However, on the other suicidal ideations in his 20s; was arrested. hand, there was a very Cooperative; remorseful and methyl use from aged 22; substantial age disparity disgusted by the fact he engaged in between the appellant and the never undertaken programs The victim suffered a fractured arm or rehabilitation to address and underwent surgery, involving the sexual intercourse with a 15 yr old; complainant. The complainant his substance abuse. open reduction and internal fixation of high risk of future offending was especially vulnerable the humerus and the application of a involving violence; an average risk because, like the appellant, she was indigent, homeless and a of future sexual offending due to his brace. impulsivity and unaddressed drug drug abuser. In those Jetter admitted having had consensual circumstances, the public abuse. intercourse with the victim, believing interest which underpins the she was aged over 18 yrs. He also offence in question required admitted striking her with the bat and that the appellant obtain some breaking her arm. reliable confirmation (apart from the complainant's assertion) as to her age before engaging in sexual intercourse with her. Transitional provisions repealed – 14/01/2009 Transitional provisions enacted – 31/08/2003

		1	