## **Threats**

ss 338A and 338B Criminal Code

From 1 January 2021

### Glossary:

agg aggravated att attempted

AOBH assault occasioning bodily harm

conc concurrent cum cumulative ct count

dep lib deprivation of liberty EFP eligible for parole imp imprisonment

PCJ pervert the course of justice

PG plead guilty susp suspended

TES total effective sentence VRO violence restraining order

				-	
No.	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal
7.	The State of	27 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Dep lib.	Ct 1: 9 mths imp (cum)	Allowed.
	Western	28 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Threat to kill.	Ct 2: 18 mths imp (conc).	
	Australia v LSM		Cts 3-5: Agg sex pen without consent.	Ct 3: 18 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned length of
		Convicted after late PG (25%	Ct 6: Att PCJ.	Ct 4: 3 yrs imp (conc).	sentence.
	[2023] WASCA	discount).		Ct 5: 4 yrs imp (conc).	
	132		LSM subjected his wife, F, to a prolonged	Ct 6: 4 yrs imp (cum).	Resentence (15% discount
		No prior criminal history.	episode of physical and sexual violence.	Ct 7: 9 mths imp (cum).	cts 1, 2, 3, 4 5 & 7 and 20%
	Delivered				discount ct 6):
	01/09/2023	Eldest of two children; parents	Whilst out celebrating F's birthday LSM	TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.	
		separated when young; four half-	became jealous and accused F of being	y	Ct 1: 2 yrs imp (cum)
		siblings; close and supportive family.	unfaithful. On leaving to go home they	EFP.	Ct 2: 2 yrs imp (conc).
		sterings, cross and supporter turning.	argued, so F said she would order an Uber.		Ct 3: 5 yrs imp (conc).
		Dyslexic; struggled at school;	At this point LSM grabbed the back of her	The sentencing judge	Ct 4: 6 yrs imp (cum).
		completed yr 11 and trade	neck and forced her to walk to their car. He	found the respondent's	Ct 5: 6 yrs imp (conc).
		apprenticeship.	then drove dangerously at speed and	offending 'incredibly	Ct 6: 5 yrs 9 mths imp
		apprenticeship.	repeatedly told her he was going to crash	serious'; the dep lib	(conc).
		Hard working; consistent employment	the car with her in it.	'involved significant	Ct 7: 18 mths imp (cum).
		history; own business.	When F attempted to get out of the car	levels of control',	et 7. To mais imp (eam).
		instory, own business.	several times, LSM prevented her from	including forcing F into	TES 9 yrs 6 mths imp.
		Good physical health; history of	doing so by grabbing her arm or hair and	the car and driving in a	1L3 / yrs 0 mais imp.
		alcohol and illicit drug use; struggled	pulling her back into the car. She repeatedly	manner that caused 'very	EFP.
		with alcohol and methyl use aged 19 –	asked SLM to pull over or slow down, but	real danger'; the	EIT.
			<u>.</u>		At [4] It is along that the
		25 yrs; relapsed into methyl use;	he continued to drive dangerously.	offending took place over	At [4] It is clear that the
		coming down from methyl and	CIM 14 F	a period of about two hrs.	respondent's sexual
		significantly intoxicated with alcohol	On two occasions SLM stopped the car. F	The sentencine in the	violence against his wife
		at time of offending.	was able to get out of the vehicle and call	The sentencing judge	was a grotesque form of
			triple zero. However, on both occasions he	found the sex offending	'punishment' His sexual
			forced her back into the car. F put her	occurred in the context	offences were calculated to
			mobile phone under her seat, with the triple	that the respondent had	demean his wife and assert
			zero operator still on the line. The recording	already put F in danger; in	his dominance over her. He
			captured parts of the offending the subject	circumstances where she	was callously indifferent to
			of cts 3 - 6.	was entitled to look to	her cries of pain and her
				him for protection, as her	pleas for him to stop
		CAU	Over the course of about 2 hrs SLM	husband; he was	

deprived F of her liberty, during which time he also committed cts 2-6.

On arriving home SLM pushed F into the house, stripped her naked and forcefully penetrated her vagina with his fingers. This incident was captured by the triple zero recording and F could be heard pleading with SLM to stop and his reply, 'I'll rape you if I want'.

SLM then forced F to perform fellatio, causing her to choke. He forced his penis into her mouth a second time, squeezing her throat with his hands while she did so, causing her to choke and experience difficulty breathing. The triple zero recording captured this incident.

SLM then had sexual intercourse with F. This was again heard on the triple zero recording in which F is heard crying, exclaiming in pain, and repeatedly begging him to stop.

A short time later F was able to run partially clothed from the house. SLM was arrested and was remanded in custody.

While in custody SLM's telephone calls were monitored and on a number of occasions, during conversations with F, he sought to suborn her into dropping the charges bought against him.

physically much bigger than F, who was not able to resist him and the offending took place in the family home, where she was entitled to feel safe.

The sentencing judge found the respondent continued his violent behaviour towards F, who was calling out in pain and distress; the telephone calls constituting the att to PCJ, demonstrated the exercise of coercion over her; the whole of the offending has to be seen in the context of the family relationship.

Respondent remorseful; offending out of character.

At [24]-[27] ... there were, in essence, three distinct categories of offending, each of which was inherently serious. All of the offences, ... had the underlying feature that they all involved the coercive control by the respondent of his wife. ...

At [59] Another very serious feature of the respondent's offending ... was the nature and quality of the violence he inflicted on F. Domestic and sexual violence can involve physical injury, sexual assault, psychological injury and emotional trauma. Domestic and sexual violence is a major concern in Australia. ... The respondent's offending included behaviour that was calculated to intimidate, coerce and control F. Denunciation of the respondent's criminal conduct and personal and general deterrence were important sentencing considerations.

		At [71] A very serious feature of the respondent's offending on cts 1, 2 and 7
	050	(which also permeated his offending on cts 3, 4, 5 and 6) was the pattern of abuse that characterise his interaction with F All of those cts manifested behaviour by the respondent that was calculated to intimidate, coerce and control F.
ine of the		At [127] Because the respondent did not enter his PG on counts 1 – 5 and ct 7 at the first reasonable opportunity, her Honour did not have the statutory power to reduce the head sentences she would otherwise have imposed for these offences by 25% her Honour erred in law in doing so In respect of cts 1, 5 and ct 7, this error, regardless of grounds 2 and 3, would have enlivened this court's power to resentence the respondent.  At [147] While the respondent's personal circumstances were not to

				• ( ) 7	
				X.40	be ignored, they could not,
					when weighed against the
					'incredibly serious nature
					of the respondent's
				SECITLY	offending, give rise to
					what, on any analysis, were
					unduly lenient individual
					sentences for cts 3 - 6 and
				7	an unduly lenient TES.
6.	Gomboc v The	31-34 yrs at time offending.	Cts 2 & 11: Agg AOBH.	Ct 2: 10 mths imp (cum).	Allowed.
	State of Western	38 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 4; 10; 12-13; 15; 19; 22: Threat to	Cts 4; 7 & 13: 12 mths	
	Australia		harm.	imp (conc).	Appeal concerned length of
		Convicted after late PG (cts 2, 4, 6, 8,	Ct 5: Act with intent to harm.	Ct 5: 4 yrs 6 mths imp.	sentence. Individual
	[2023] WASCA	10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 19, 22, 23, 26 & 32)	Cts 6; 9; 23; 28-29 & 32: Threat to kill.	Cts 6; 9; 23 & 28: 3 yrs	sentences not challenged.
	115	(18% discount).	Ct 7: Agg unlawful wounding.	imp (conc).	
			Ct 8: Wilful and unlawful damage.	Cts 8 & 12: 10 mths imp	Resentenced:
	Delivered	Convicted after very late PG (cts 5, 7,	Ct 26: Armed to cause fear.	(conc).	
	24/07/2023	9, 28 & 29) (8% discount).		Cts 10 & 15: 14 mths imp	Cts 2; 6; 9; 23; 28 & 32: 3
			Gomboc was in a relationship with the	(conc).	yrs imp (conc).
		Limited criminal history; previous	victim, which lasted for a number of yrs.	Ct 11: 2 yrs 2 mths imp	Cts 4; 7 & 13: 12 mths imp
		conviction for common assault	They had purchased a house together.	(conc).	(conc).
		involving then fiancé.	_()	Ct 19 & 22: 16 mths imp	Ct 5: 4 yrs 6 mths imp
			During the course of their relationship,	(conc).	(cum).
		Only child; good upbringing; family	Gomboc subjected the victim to regular	Ct 26: 18 mths imp	Cts 8 & 12: 10 mths imp
		remain supportive.	physical and verbal abuse. He punched and	(conc).	(conc).
			kicked her, strangled her, negligently	Ct 29: 3 yrs 6 mths imp	Cts 10 & 15: 14 mths imp
		Completed yr 12; experienced verbal	wounded her with a knife, smothered her	(cum).	(conc).
		abuse and bullying at school.	with a pillow, threw objects at her, and	Ct 32: 3 yrs imp (cum).	Ct 11: 2 yrs 2 mths imp
		, k	repeatedly threatened to kill her, and was		(conc).
		Good work history; 7 yrs of army	often armed when he did so.	TES 11 yrs 10 mths imp.	Cts 19 & 22: 16 mths imp
		service; qualified scaffolder.		EFP.	(conc).
			In addition to having taken photographs of		Ct 26: 18 mths imp (cum).
		Relationship with victim ended 2018;	several of her injuries, the victim regularly	The sentencing judge	Ct 29: 3 yrs 6 mths imp
		new romantic relationship commenced	made audio recordings of the offending.	found there were a	(cum).
		2021; partner remains supportive.		number of serious	

The victim was left with severe anxiety and features of the appellant's TES 9 yrs 6 mths imp. Good physical health; significant post-traumatic stress disorder, suffered offending as a whole; it EFP. history of mental health problems; physically, mentally, emotionally and persisted for three and a PTSD arising during time in military half years; there were 19 At [9] ... it is clear that it financially separate and distinct was necessary that the service. offences over that period appellant be sentenced to a Heavy alcohol and cannabis use. very significant TES. The of time and he had time to reflect on his conduct and appellant's offending was choose not to do it again, abhorrent and sickening. but did not; he deployed a Notwithstanding [his] pleas of guilty, his mental health number of methods and weapons to clearly issues and the otherwise high regard in which he communicate to the victim that he could end was held by others, the persistent, callous and her life at his hands and very quickly, so as to menacing nature of his offending required a long make her fearful of him: the appellant was term of imp. The threatened physically stronger than and actual violence used by the victim, who was the appellant must be vulnerable to his physical denounced by the courts in violence; the offending the strongest possible was in the context of a terms. ... domestic relationship; the threats to kill or harm At [194] ... Her Honour were often accompanied rightly recognised that the by the presence of totality of the appellant's offending was extremely weapons and physical violence, which no doubt serious and called for a elevating the fear of harm very substantial term of or death the victim imp. It was necessary that a experienced, and the fact TES be imposed for the that his offending appellant's abhorrent and

routinely incorporated

statements designed to

sickening offending that

properly punished him and

victim. in the strong terms	offending like it
The sentencing judge terms	act poccible
The sentencing judge	est possible
found the submissions At [198]	
made by the appellant's avoid the con	
counsel served to the TES imp	
minimise the appellant did	
responsibility for his proper relation	
	inality involved
responsibility onto the in all of the c	offences.
victim; his physical and	
	our view, this is
setting was 'very truly one of t	
entrenched behaviour' when the me	
	ast look at the
	see whether it
addressed his attitude and looks wrong	
	te a last look at
	of almost 12
Offending profound yrs, in light of the printing of the printi	
impact on the victim; appellant's P	rehabilitation
continues to require daily potential for medication and ongoing as he has, the	
therapy. looks wrong.	•
Limited demonstrated At [223] I	Nevertheless,
	set out at length
above, the pe	•
callous and r	
nature of his	
required a lo	•
	ing of this kind
must be deno	
severe penalt	•

5.	The State of	Tumata	Tumata	Tumata	Allowed.
	Western	24 yrs at time offending.	$8 \times Agg$ sex pen without consent.	TES 14 yrs imp.	
	Australia v	28 yrs at time sentencing.	3 x Agg indec assault.		Appeal concerned totality
	Tumata		1 x Demanding property with oral threats.	Sheppard	principle (individual
		Convicted after PG (cts 1, 6, 34 and	10 x AOBH.	TES 13 yrs 6 mths imp.	sentences not challenged).
	[2022] WASCA	35) (10% discount).	8 x Act with intent to harm.		
	161	Convicted after trial (cts 2-5; 7-22; 25;	2 x Threats to harm.	Woods	Resentenced:
		28; 29; 31; 32; 36-38	<b>0</b> ^	TES 12 yrs imp.	
	Delivered		Sheppard	, , ,	<u>Tumata</u>
	06/12/2022	Lengthy criminal history.	8 x Agg sex pen without consent.	The sentencing judge	TES 17 yrs imp.
			3 x Agg indec assault.	found Tumata and	EFP.
		Parents separated when aged 4 yrs;	1 x Demanding property with oral threats.	Sheppard the ringleaders	
		raised by mother; sent to live with a	11 x AOBH.	and that Woods' acted	Sheppard
		relative in NZ aged 12 yrs due to his	7 x Acts with intent to harm.	'more as a follower' and	TES 16 yrs 6 mths imp.
		behaviour; returned to live with his	1 x Threat to harm.	he was overall less	EFP.
		father, now estranged.		culpable than Tumata and	
			Woods	Sheppard;	Woods
		Limited literacy and numeracy skills.	8 x Agg sex pen without consent.	after the initial extortion	TES 14 yrs 6 mths imp.
			1 x Agg indec assault.	the three respondents,	EFP.
		No history of paid employment; other	1 x Demanding property with oral threats.	sometimes as a pair or	
		than labouring work about aged 17 yrs.	4 x AOBH.	individually, engaged in a	At [113] The offending was
			4 x Acts with intent to harm.	concerted, persistent and	aptly characterised by the
		Commenced cannabis and alcohol use	1 x Threat to harm.	ongoing course of	State as sadistic,
		aged 12 yrs; regular user of methyl and		conduct against M over an	malicious, humiliating and
		alcohol excessively.	The victim, M, was aged 22 yrs. He was	extended period; they	intimidating. The
			remanded in custody and had never been to	subjected M to	respondents, in concert,
		Sheppard	prison before.	increasingly violent	deliberately preyed upon a
		23 yrs at time offending.		physical and sexual	highly vulnerable victim.
		27 yrs at time sentencing.	Tumata, Sheppard and Woods, who were	attacks to enforce their	Together, the
			also prisoners, entered M's cell, alleging he	demand for money;	respondents waged a
		Convicted after PG (ts 1, 4, 6, 7, 16	was an informant. Sheppard told M he had	Tumata and Sheppard	campaign of terror upon M,
1		and 35) (10% discount).	to pay a fine, to increase each wk until it	were physically powerful	which caused him
1		Convicted after trial (cts 2; 3; 5; 8-15;	was paid. If the fine was not paid M was	men, M, helpless and	significant physical injury
		17-22; 25; 28; 29; 32; 34; 36; 38 and	told he would be killed.	defenceless and extremely	and broke him
		39.		frightened and scared of	psychologically. The

Lengthy criminal history.

Positive, stable and prosocial upbringing until the deaths of his mother and grandmother aged 15-16 yrs; struggled to deal with the grief; became homeless and associated with negative family members.

Completed yr 10; no real work history.

Methyl use from aged 15-16 yrs.

#### Woods

26 yrs at time offending. 30 yrs at time sentencing.

Convicted after trial (cts 1; 2; 4; 5; 7-14; 18-22; 28 and 29.

Significant prior criminal history.

Parents separated aged 2 yrs; lived with mother and siblings; positive home life; eventually lived with father, exposing him to domestic violence and substance abuse.

At time sentencing father and four brothers serving terms of imp.

Left school during yr 10; never had paid employment.

After this incident, over a period of 18 days and on an almost daily basis, Tumata, Sheppard and Woods subjected M to violence and brutality of the most extreme kind. This included beating, kicking and indecently assaulting him, choking him to the point he lost consciousness, burning him with boiling water and repeatedly sexually penetrating him with their bodies, a broom handle and a pencil.

Tumata, Sheppard and Woods also threatened to rape his partner.

the three respondents who terrorised him: the attacks designed to intimidate and frighten; they attacked M's personal dignity and caused him to suffer significant embarrassment: the sexual offences designed to cower, humiliate and demean for the purpose of forcing him to pay money when there was no legitimate basis for the demand; the respondents' domination and control over M extended to his communications with his family and the attacks generally occurred inside a prison cell away from the sight of prison guards and other prisoners, with one of the respondents acting as a lookout.

No demonstrated insight into the consequences of their offending; no exhibited remorse, apart from the PGs entered by Tumata and Sheppard.

Offending profound effect on the victim.

respondents' acts were merciless. They involved a level of deliberate callousness, cruelty and depravity seldom seen by this court.

At [114] An especially serious feature of the offending was that it was committed in a prison by inmates upon another inmate. ... Prisoners. particularly those who, like M, are young, alone and have never been incarcerated before, may be highly vulnerable to the threats and intimidation of more experienced prisoners such as, in this case, the respondents. ... [The victim's] vulnerability would have been apparent to the respondents, who immediately proceeded to take advantage of it. ...

At [118] ... the eight offences of agg sex pen involved a high level of criminality. The respondents together committed each of these offences over three separate

				• ( ) /	
		Long-term relationship; two children.			and distinct incidents on different days, either as a
		Introduced to methyl by his father.			principal or an aider
					Each offence was
					committed in company and
					was designed to, and did in
					fact, terrify, degrade and
					humiliate M as well as
					cause him physical and
					psychological harm
			110		
					At [120] The seriousness of
					the offences of agg sex pen
					without consent was
					heightened because they
			X Y		occurred in the context of
					the ongoing extortion of M,
					All of these offences,
					when considered together,
			V O Y		substantially increased each
					respondent's overall
4	D'II 44 TEL C4 4	D'11 //	D'11 W	D'11 //	criminality,
4.	Billett v The State	Billett	Billett	Billett	Appeal allowed.
	of Western	27 yr at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Agg burg.	Cts 1 & 4: 18 mths imp	A
	Australia	Consists defense and DC (250)	Ct 2: Threat to harm.	(conc).	Appeal concerned length of
	[2022] WASCA	Convicted after early PG (25%	Ct 4: A ag hyra	Cts 2 & 5: 12 mths imp	sentences cts 1, 4 and 5 and
	[2022] WASCA 158	discount).	Ct 4: Agg burg. Ct 5: Act with intent to harm.	(conc). Ct 3: 7 mths imp (conc).	totality principle.
	150	Prior criminal history; prior conviction	Ct 3. Act with intent to narm.	Ct 5. / mins mip (conc).	Resentenced cts 1 and 4:
	Delivered	for violent offending.	Klinger	TES 18 mths imp.	Resemenced cts 1 and 4:
	01/12/2022	Tor violent offending.	Ct 1: Agg burg.	1125 16 mms mp.	Billett
	01/12/2022	Parents separated aged 18 yrs; close	Ct 1. Agg burg. Ct 3: Unlawful damage.	Klinger	Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc).
		relationship with mother and sister;	Ct 4: Agg burg.	Cts 1 & 4: 18 mths imp	Ct 1: 3 yrs mp (cone). Ct 4: 4 yrs 3 mths imp
		little contact with alcoholic father, now	Ct 4. Agg burg. Ct 6: AOBH.	(conc).	(conc).
		in care suffering dementia.	Ct 0. AOBH. Ct 7: Threat to harm.	Ct 3: 7 mths imp (conc).	(cone).
		in care surrering ucinentia.	Ct /. Timeat to natiff.	Ct 3. / mais mip (conc).	

Struggled at school; left yr 10; recently completed a Certificate in community services; aspires to do youth work.

Worked intermittently; unemployed past five yrs; undertaking volunteer work.

Two significant relationships; three children, youngest aged 12 mths at time sentencing; current partner positive and stable influence..

Long-term history of alcohol and substance abuse; allowed access to alcohol and firearms as a child; commenced binge drinking whilst at school.

Diagnosed with ADHD aged 8 yrs; medicated until aged 12 yrs; diagnosed and medicated with depression at 15 yrs; suffers sleep apnoea; use of cannabis to assist sleep.

#### Klinger

29 yrs time offending.

Convicted after early PG (25% discount).

Prior criminal history.

Third child of four children; father 'a

Billett, Klinger and another man were socializing at a tavern.

During the evening Billett obtained an address for a Mr Scerri. Some wks earlier there had been an incident involving him and Mr Scerri. So Billett harboured a grievance against him.

After Billett told TL and Klinger about the incident all three decided to go together to attend the address and confront Mr Scerri.

After driving to the address all three got out of the vehicle. Billett had with him a machete, Klinger a 15-inch tyre wall tester and TL a tomahawk.

The house was occupied by a Mr Sorell, who was house-sitting for the owner. Mr Scerri was living in a caravan parked at the front of the premises. Billett and Klinger entered the house through an unlocked door and to a bedroom occupied by Mr Sorrell. TL remained outside, acting as a lookout.

Billett approach Mr Sorrell, pointing the machete at him and asked for the whereabouts of Mr Scerri. Mr Sorrell told him he was in the caravan. Billett told Mr Sorrell not to move and that he was a dead man, whilst pointing the machete at him. Mr Sorrell was in fear for his life. When Billett and Klinger left the room he ran

Cts 6 & 7: 12 mths imp (conc).

TES 18 mths imp.

The sentencing judge found the home burglaries serious, particularly as they involved forcible entry into premises known or suspect to be occupied and accompanied by threatened or actual violence.

The sentencing judge found the offending the subject of cts 1 and 4 agg by the fact the respondents were in company with each, that they knew or ought to have known the premises were occupied, they were both armed and both made threats and did harm.

# Billett Accepting of responsibility; understanding of seriousness of offending;

TES 4 yrs 3 mths imp. EFP.

Cts 1, 2, 3 and 5 conc with the sentence imposed ct 4.

#### Klinger

Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc). Ct 4: 4 yrs 3 mths imp (conc).

Cts 1, 3 6 and 7 conc with the sentence imposed ct 4.

TES 4 yrs 3 mths imp. EFP.

At [57] ... the seriousness of the offending was selfevident ... There were a significant number of aggravating features: ... this was not opportunistic offending, but, rather, planned conduct with the respondents agreeing to attend at the premises and arming themselves with weapons before arriving; ... the offences were committed in company and at night; ... the offences were at residential premises where it was likely, and indeed the respondents

big drinker'; both father and mother frequently physical and emotionally abusive; parents separated when young child; lived with his mother until moving to live with his father aged 11 yrs.

Attended high school until yr 9; educated special school leaving yr 10.

Numerous jobs; difficulties maintaining employment; attempted to join the army; survived on Centrelink benefits.

Number of intimate relationships; son born a short time prior to sentencing.

History of alcohol abuse; increasing when he suffered depression.

from the house, jumped a fence and hid.

Meanwhile, Billett and Klinger ran to the caravan. They smashed windows of the caravan then forced open the caravan door.

Mr Scerri crawled onto his bed and curled into a ball to protect himself. He felt a couple of blows and then something harder all over his body. He recognised the voice of Billet telling him to stay away from his house and kids. Klinger then screamed words to the effect 'Do you want to die?'.

Mr Scerri att to get up to defend himself. He believed he saw three men, one he recognised as Billett. Mr Scerri could see one of the men had a tomahawk. Mr Scerri was able to chase the men from the caravan.

Police arrived at the house to find Mr Scerri bleeding from a large cut to his ankle and numerous cuts to his body. He was taken to hospital by ambulance and treated for various injuries. The most serious a 5 cm laceration and fracture to his ankle that required surgery.

steps taken to change his lifestyle; maintaining abstinence from alcohol and illicit substances.

<u>Klinger</u> Significant remorse and insight into his offending. fully expected, residents to be present; ... the purpose of the burglary offences was to enter and, at least, intimidate the occupant by threatening him with weapons; ... the burglary on the house involved threats to Mr Sorrell, and threatening behaviour with weapons; ... the burglary on the caravan involved forcible entry and the breaking of windows; ... threats to Mr Scerri and a serious assault upon him: ... Mr Scerri was outnumbered and tramped, and thus vulnerable to the attack upon him; and ... the offences were, in essence, a revenge or vigilante attack

At [58] ... offences committee as vigilante action are particularly serious. ... Plainly, Klinger was a willing and active participant in what he believed to be a revenge attack.

At [60] The second burglary, that the caravan,

				Y	
				SECILLIE	was particularly serious because it involved forced entry and the smashing of windows and an assault
					upon an outnumbered
					victim on his bed at night.
					The fact that Mr Scerri
					curled upon his bed in an
				<b>Y</b>	effort to protect himself is a
			<b>Y</b>		good indication of the
			A. A. C.		ferocity of the attack.
3.	Ugle v The State	44 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Agg burg.	Ct 1: 5 yrs imp (cum).	Dismissed.
	of Western	46 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 2 & 3: Dep lib.	Cts 2 & 3: 3 yrs imp	2 Islands Cu.
	Australia		Ct 4: Agg robbery.	(conc).	Appeal concerned totality
		Convicted after trial.	Cts 5; 6; 8-11; 13 & 14: Agg sex pen.	Ct 4: 4 yrs imp (conc).	principle.
	[2022] WASCA		Ct 7: Threats with intent to compel.	Cts 5; 8 & 13: 17 yrs imp	
	135	Significant prior criminal history;		(conc).	At [95] In our view, it was
		subject to a CBO at time of offending.	The victims were Ms S and her friend, Ms	Cts 6 & 9: 17 yrs 6 mths	reasonably open to the trial
	Delivered		P.	imp (conc).	judge in the present case to
	21/10/2022	Chaotic, deprived and traumatic		Ct 7: 2 yrs imp (conc).	regard some degree of
		upbringing; absent father;	Ugle had met Ms S on one occasion, to	Ct 10: 18 yrs imp (conc).	accumulation of individual
		predominantly raised by grandparents;	purchase drugs from her. He believed she	Ct 11: 16 yrs 10 mths imp	sentences to be called for to
		childhood marred by alcohol abuse and	kept a large quantity of cash at her home.	(conc).	reflect the overall
	Co-offender:	domestic violence; sexually abused by	With the intention of stealing the cash Ugle	Ct 14: 18 yrs 6 mths imp	seriousness of all the
		relative from aged 8.	and the co-offender Herz and two	(cum).	appellant's offending
	Herz v The State		unidentified males drove to her home.		
	of Western	Two sisters; mother in a nursing home		TES 23 yrs 6 mths imp.	At [96] In assessing the
	Australia	at time sentencing.	Ugle and Herz and one of the unidentified		overall criminality involved
	[2022] WA GGA		males approached the home. Ugle knocked	EFP.	in the offending considered
	[2022] WASCA	Completed yr 12 high school.	on the door. When the door was partially		as a whole it is relevant to
	73	England and the state of the st	opened they forced it open and Ugle and	The trial judge found the	take account of the fact that
	Delissana 1	Employed various roles; voluntary	Herz entered the house. The other male	appellant's offending agg	the offences were all
	Delivered	community work.	remained outside acting as lookout. Ugle	by his use of the	committed over a single
	27/06/2022	Cincles 11 skildren from these formers	was carrying a tomahawk and covered his	tomahawk axe, which he	period of about eight hrs.
		Single; 11 children from three former	hands in socks.	used to intimidate,	However, it is also relevant

partners.

History methyl use; commenced using drugs aged 21 yrs.

The victims were separated. Ugle, armed with the tomahawk, kept Ms S in one room and Herz stood over Ms P in another. Ms S was directed to hand over all mobile phones and the house and car keys.

Ugle demanded cash from Ms S. When she told him she did not have any he demanded \$4,000 and stated if he did not get this sum he would steal her car and everything in her house.

Ugle trashed the home looking for cash or items to steal. While this occurred Herz guarded the victims. Ugle loaded stolen items of property into the boot of Ms S's BMW.

Both victims were terrified and helpless and feared being seriously harmed.

On realising the home had CCTV cameras Ugle demanded the footage be deleted. Ms S was unable to do so, so he pulled out the CCTV recorder and hard drive and bundled them into the boot of Ms S's car.

Ugle became agitated about the absence of cash so Ms P offered to withdraw money from her account. It was agreed Herz would escort her to an ATM. Ugle held the tomahawk above Ms S's head and threatened to kill her and Ms P's family if she called the police or failed to return. Ms

threaten and coerce S into complying with his demands; he gained entry to the home by fraudulent means (identifying himself as a neighbour) and physical force; he was in company: it was premeditated, planned and could not be seen as opportunistic offending and it was not fleeting in nature; the offending destroyed the sanctuary and safety S ought to have felt within the confines of her home and he made multiple threats to harm and kill, adding an element of terror.

The trial judge found the sex offending deplorable violations that destroyed, not only the sanctity of S's body, but the sanctuary of her home; the sex penetrations were violent and forceful in nature; while the offending constituted one course of conduct, it nevertheless was persistent, ongoing, repetitive and brutal; the

... the sex offences against S extended over a period of hrs and involved a series of very traumatising sex pen without consent, which themselves justify individual sentences ... The agg home burglary offence was itself a serious example of that offence, involving a home invasion in company while armed ... which was used to threaten the victims. ... The agg robbery offence committed against a separate complainant, P, was itself an egregious offence. ... Forcing S to inject herself with methyl, after she had already done so earlier in the evening at the appellant's direction, represented a separate violation of S's personal autonomy and carried the risk of harmful effects. ...

At [97] ... a TES of 23 yrs 6 mths' imp was within the discretionary range properly open to the trial judge. The TES ... did not infringe the first limb of the totality principle. It was not unreasonable or plainly

		P withdrew \$1,000 from an ATM. This	appellant sex penetrated S	unjust
		money was given to Ugle, who then	persistently over the	
		demanded she withdraw \$1,000 each day,	course of three to four	
		over the next three days. He told Ms P he	hrs; collectively this	
		would keep Ms S hostage until the full	offending included every	
		amount was paid. He made further threats	conceivable type of	
		to kill her and her family if she did not	penetration to the victim	
		comply with his demands.	and he recorded the	
			offences; he did not wear	
		Ms P was eventually allowed to leave. Ugle	a condom; when the	
		then told Herz he could leave and he did so.	victim cried and pleaded	
			with him to stop, it did	
		After Herz left Ugle, still holding the	nothing to deter him from	
		tomahawk, started touching Ms S's leg. She	continuing to violate her	
		became extremely upset and told him she	and he berated S for not	
		did not want to do anything with him.	acting like she was	
		Angered by her response and ignoring her	enjoying the abuse.	
		refusals he pulled down her leggings and	3 2	
		recorded her with her underwear down. He	Offending traumatic and	
		asked for sex and she complied out of fear.	ongoing impact on S and	
		He forced his finger deep inside Ms S,	P; trauma to S,	
		causing her pain. He then forced his erect	devastating and	
		penis inside her mouth and exposed and	widespread; att suicide.	
	• ^	touched her vagina, while recording her.	-	
			No demonstrated remorse	
		Earlier Ms S offered methyl to Ugle and	or victim empathy.	
	~ Y	Herz, in the hope of de-escalating the		
	4.40	situation. Concerned there might be		
		something wrong with the drugs Ugle told		
	C. Vy	Ms P to inject some of it. Instead, Ms S		
		allowed Ugle to inject her.		
		Later Ugle arranged for Herz to return to		
		Ms S's home with more methyl. Ugle		
	CAU	injected himself with some of the drug and		

then directed Ms S to inject herself too. She refused. Angry, he threatened that if she did not do so he would make her take all of the drug. Compelled by Ugle's threats, and despite being fearful of an overdose, she injected herself. Ugle then directed Ms S into the bedroom. He tried to kiss Ms S, then removed her clothes. Ms S was crying and extremely upset. He filmed himself performing cunnilingus on M S. He then forced her to perform fellatio on him, ignoring her pleas when she told him she did not want to. Ugle then again inserted his penis into her vagina. Due to the aggressive manner in which Ugle was penetrating her Ms S began to bleed. He told her to take a shower. Inserting his finger into her anus before she did so. While Ms S showered he entered the ensuite and unsuccessfully att to insert his penis into her vagina from behind. Out of the shower Ugle again performed cunnilingus on Ms S. He then forcefully had intercourse with her. The tomahawk still next to him. Ms S was crying and clearly distressed. Ugle responded with fits of anger and told her to stop crying and to start acting like she was enjoying it. The sexual offending lasted three to four

hrs. At the conclusion of the sexual assaults

				• Y	
			Ms S suggested to Ugle that they drive to		
			her mother's home, where she could get the		
			money he wanted. Ugle agreed. At Ms S's		
			mother house he told her to collect the cash	cecilii	
			and to immediately return to the vehicle,		
			while he waited in the car. Inside the house		
			Ms S's mother saw her in a highly		
			distressed state, crying and shaking. She		
			told her mother she had been raped and she	7	
			immediately called the police.		
			miniculatory cancer the police.		
			Concerned Ms S was taking much longer		
			than anticipated Ugle concealed the		
			tomahawk in the car, left the vehicle and		
			started to walk away. On hearing sirens he		
			began to run. He was pursued by police,		
			who apprehended and arrest him.		
2.	The State of	38 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Dep lib.	Ct 1: 6 mths imp (conc).	Allowed.
	Western	39 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Threat to kill.	Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc).	
	Australia v		Ct 3: Agg AOBH.	Ct 3: 2 yrs imp.	Appeal concerned length of
	Chungarai	Convicted after late PG (10%	Ct 4: Agg unlawful wounding.	Ct 4: 18 mths imp (cum).	sentences cts 1 and 3 and
	Ü	discount).		1	totality principle.
	[2021] WASCA	,	Chungarai and the victim, aged 36 yrs, were	TES 3 yrs 6 mths imp.	
	147	Lengthy criminal history; prior	in a domestic relationship and had two		Resentenced (10%
		convictions and sentence of imp for	children together.	EFP.	discount):
	Delivered	violent offending; including an offence	8		,
	18/08/2021	against same victim.	At the time of the offending Chungarai was	The sentencing judge	Ct 1: 18 mths imp (conc).
			subject to protective bail conditions	found the offending a	Ct 2: 22 mths imp (conc).
		Born Derby; raised in regional	prohibiting him from contacting the victim.	very serious example of	Ct 3: 3 yrs 9 mths imp
		community; one of eight children;	However, he was living with her and their	domestic violence; the	(cum).
		parents separated when young;	daughters at the time.	sustained nature of the	Ct 4: 2 yrs 3 mths imp
		predominantly raised by his father;	<b>3</b>	assault was an agg	(cum).
		aged 17 yrs when mother died.	During the evening Chungarai consumed a	feature; the victim was	(
		age a system another dress.	substantial volume of alcohol and was in a	vulnerable and the	TES 6 yrs imp. EFP.
		Left school yr 10; basic literacy skills.	very intoxicated state. The victim was also	assaults brutal,	122 0 Jis imp. 211.
		Left school yr 10, busic inclucy skills.	very interneuted state. The victim was also	assauts oraui,	

Employed various roles; plans to return to work on release from custody.

Two daughters; aged 5 yrs and aged 1 yr time offending.

Long history alcohol abuse; commenced drinking after death of his mother.

drinking alcohol, although nowhere near to the same extent as Chungarai.

In the early hrs of the morning, they began arguing. Chungarai took a razor and shaved off most of the victim's hair, causing numerous lacerations to her scalp. This constituted the start of the protracted and agg AOBH the, which continued over the course of five to six hrs.

The victim's screams awoke the two daughters. Outside, she made up a bed and lay down with the children. She was breastfeeding, while the other child lay asleep next to her, when Chungarai came outside and started hitting her, punching her twice in the face as she breastfed (ct 3).

Chungarai demanded the victim bring their daughters inside. Scared and wanting to avoid being hit further, she complied.

Sometime later, the pair resumed arguing. He warned the victim about calling the police. He also smashed an empty bottle and held the broken bottle in his hand while threatening to kill her (ct 2). Chungarai threw the bottle at a wall, smashing it, causing glass chips to land on the victim and their 1-yr-old child.

The victim repeatedly asked Chungarai to settle down and for a period he went to sleep. On waking, he smashed a wooden frame and, using the sharp part of the wood, humiliating and degrading to the victim.

Offending ongoing psychological and emotional impact on victim and the eldest daughter.

Remorseful; understands what he has done; efforts made to rehabilitate himself in custody.

At [56] ... The [agg AOBH] offence was sustained over five to six hrs. It occurred in stages, which gave the respondent the opportunity to calm down and stop. ... The offence involved at least five incidents, all of which involved an assault and some of which could have been charged as a separate offence of AOBH: ... the victim was an intimate partner of the [respondent] and the offending occurred in front of her 5-yr-old child. ... Part of the assault was committed while the victim was breastfeeding ... magnifying the victim's vulnerability and meaning there was a risk of injury to the child. ... The attack was persistent, sustained, controlling and carried out in a way to cause maximum terror and humiliation to the victim. ... The victim's injuries were serious and extensive. ...

At [57] ... the respondent's offending as a whole were very serious examples of

stabbed the victim in her leg and back. She suffered two large cuts (ct 4).

Chungarai then pushed the victim, who was bleeding heavily from her injuries, into a wall and punched her. The victim went to the toilet and a substantial amount of her blood went onto the wall and toilet seat. He continued to punch her and told her to clean the blood up as he did so.

On two occasions Chungarai used electrical cord to tie the victim's feet together so she could not get away, while telling her that if she left, he would hit her even more (ct 1).

While the victim was tied up, Chungarai jumped on her feet. This conduct a continuation of ct 3.

At another point in the evening Chungarai threw a butter knife at the victim, hitting her in the face and causing a large split above her eye. This conduct also a continuation of ct 3.

Throughout the five to six hr period the victim was too scared to leave, as Chungarai threatened to harm their children if she did so.

The victim suffered deep lacerations to various parts of her face, superficial lacerations, bruising, swelling and tenderness. She was hospitalised for two domestic violence....

At [61] The respondent's offence of dep lib had many serious elements ...

At [65] – [66] ... the sentence for each of cts 1 and 3 was not merely 'lenient' or 'at the lower end of the available range'. In our opinion, the sentence for each of cts 1 and 3 was not commensurate with the seriousness of the offence. ... Each of those sentences was manifestly inadequate. ...

At [67] ... Ct 2 had serious elements. The respondent's threat to kill ... came in the midst of, and not at the beginning of, his attack on the complainant. That magnified her vulnerability ...

At [68] In our opinion, the TES for cts 1, 2, 3 and 4 fell well short of bearing a proper relationship to the overall criminality involved in all of the respondent's offences, ... In our

				• ( ) Y	_
			days. One of her wounds developed an	KAO	respectful opinion, the TES
			infection that required numerous		was not merely 'lenient' or
			treatments.		'at the lower end of the
					available range'; it was
					unreasonable and plainly
					unjust
1.	Lepoidevin v The	34 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Threats with intent to compel.	Ct 1: 18 mths imp (cum).	Dismissed.
	State of Western	35 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 2 & 3: Wilful damage.	Ct 2: 6 mths imp (cum).	
	Australia			Ct 3: 6 mths imp (conc).	Appellant challenged
		Convicted after PG (20% discount).	Lepoidevin and his wife were separated, but	_	findings of fact and law
	[No 2] [2021]		he remained living in the family home with	TES 2 yrs imp.	(error PTSD not causative
	WASCA 19	Prior criminal history; convictions for	the three children.		of the offending and PTSD
		assaulting and obstructing a public		EFP.	did not reduce the
	Delivered	officer.	Over a period of about 6 ½ hrs Lepoidevin		importance of general and
	09/02/2021		consumed about a third of a bottle of vodka.	The sentencing judge	personal deterrence).
		Breach of VRO and bail conditions	He was affected by alcohol.	found the appellant's	
		eight days after offending subject of		conduct was calculated,	At [61] It is clear that
		this appeal.	Lepoidevin was watching television when	deliberate and sustained;	his Honour accepted that at
			he became upset the children were being	the offending was a	the time of the offending,
		Unremarkable upbringing; raised in a	disruptive. When he began shouting and	serious example of	the appellant had PTSD
		happy household; positive relationship	acting in a manner that caused Mrs	domestic violence.	
		with parents and siblings.	Lepoidevin to be concerned for her and the		At [66] In our opinion,
			children's welfare, she took the children to	The sentencing judge	his Honour was correct to
		Two children (twins aged 7 yrs) and	her parents' home.	found the appellant had	find that the evidence
		stepson (aged 14 yrs) from wife's	<b>y</b> •	PTSD at the time of the	before him was insufficient
		previous relationship.	On realising his wife and children had left	offending, but found there	for him to be satisfied that
			Lepoidevin telephoned his father-in-law,	was no evidence this was	the appellant's PTSD was
		Completed high school.	who confirmed the children were at his	a causative factor for his	causative of the appellant's
			home.	conduct and while a	offending.
		Good employment history.		mitigating factor, he did	
			Lepoidevin sent a threatening text message	not accept the proposition	At [75] as there was no
		Long term heavy alcohol use; suffers	to his wife, telling her 'I promise to take	the PTSD displaced or	evidence about the nature,
		significant cirrhosis of the liver.	everything you love in this world',	diminished the	effect and severity of the
			'You'll never get them back' and 'I'll	importance of general or	appellant's PTSD, and how
		Diagnosed after offending with PTSD;	destroy everything that you know to be	personal deterrence.	it may have operated on the
	<u> </u>	Diagnosed and offending with 1 15D,	acousty everything that you know to be	personal acterrence.	it may have operated on the

	resulting from prior paramedic work	existence you will lose life itself'.	KA	appellant's ability to		
	and attendance at multiple motor		The sentencing judge	appreciate the gravity of his		
	vehicle accidents, including one where	Enraged, Lepoidevin drove to his father-in-	found that having regard	actions, there was no proper		
	young child traumatically killed;	law's home. On arrival he sounded the	to the seriousness of the	basis to find that general		
	substance use disorder (alcohol and	vehicle's horn. Getting no response he	offending, its sustained	deterrence was moderated.		
	Zopiclone).	walked up to the house. Seeing his father-	nature, the nature of the			
		in-law inside he threatened and made	threats, the terror the			
		gestures for him to come outside. When his	appellant caused the			
		father-in-law refused he deliberately drove	victim and the need for			
		his vehicle into the roller door of the	general deterrence, it was			
		garage.	not appropriate to impose			
			a susp term of imp.			
		Lepoidevin was aware there were people,	•			
		including his children, inside the residence.	Appellant some degree of			
		Using a brick he smashed a window of the	remorse; but sentencing			
		home. While carrying out these actions he	judge not satisfied his			
		shouted out threats to his father-in-law that	remorse was 'entire or			
		he was going to kill him. He continued with	complete'.			
		his efforts to break into the house and with	•			
		the threats to kill his father-in-law, while				
		calling out to his wife and children.				
		His father-in-law was able to spray				
	• ^	Lepoidevin with pepper spray.		1		
		Police arrived a short time later and		1		
	_ Y	Lepoidevin was arrested.				
Transitional Provisions Repealed (14/01/2009)						
Transitional Provisions Enacted (31/08/2003)						