Assault Public Officer

ss 318 and 318A Criminal Code

From 1 January 2021

Transitional Sentencing Provisions: This table is divided into thirds based on the three relevant periods of Sentencing Provisions:

- Post-transitional provisions period
- Transitional provisions period
- Pre-transitional provisions period

These periods are separated by a row which shows when the transitional provisions were enacted, and another showing when they were repealed.

Glossary:

200	aggravated
agg	00
att	attempted
burg	burglary
conc	concurrent
cum	cumulative
ct	count
EFP	eligible for par
	immiganmant

EFP eligible for parole imp imprisonment
PG plead guilty susp suspended

TES total effective sentence

No.	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal
1.	Brooks v The State	39 yrs at time sentencing.	Indictment -Supreme	Indictment - Supreme	Dismissed (leave refused) – on papers.
	of Western		Ct 1: Agg armed robbery.	Ct 1: 4 yrs 4 mths imp (cum).	- same (conversion) on papers.
	Australia	Indictment -Supreme	Ct 2: Armed so as to cause terror.	Ct 2: 9 mths imp (cum).	Indictment - Supreme
		Convicted after trial.			Appeal concerned length of sentence and totality principle.
	[2021] WASCA		Magistrate Court	TES 5 yrs 1 mth imp (cum on sentence	
	156	Magistrates Court	Offending comprised 19 offences on	imposed by Supreme Court).	Magistrate Court
		Convicted after PG (20%	various dates, including breaches of	EFP.	Appeal concerned totality principles and error (allowing summary
	Delivered	discount).	bail, unlicensed possession of a firearm,		charges to not be dealt with by superior court).
	03/09/2021		no authority to drive, trespass, burglary	Magistrate Court	
		<u>Indictment - District</u>	and stealing.	TES 1 yr 3 mths imp.	Indictment - District
		Convicted after late PG (15%		EFP.	Appeal concern error in cum sentences; totality principle (crushing
		discount).	Magistrate Court appeal commenced in		effect of accumulated sentences from different jurisdictions) and error
			Supreme Court referred to Court of	<u>Indictment - District</u>	(plea discount).
		Lengthy criminal history;	Appeal.	Ct 1: 6 mths imp (conc).	
		including interstate offending.		Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc).	At [54] The Supreme Court judge was called upon to sentence the
			<u>Indictment – District</u>	Ct 3: 15 mths imp (conc).	appellant only for two offences: It was well open to her Honour to
		Traumatic childhood; experienced	Cts 1 & 3: Criminal damage.	Ct 4: 15 mths imp (conc).	order a degree of accumulation between [the] two offences, bearing in
		death of older sister when he was	Cts 2 & 4: Stealing.	Ct 5: 6 mths imp (conc).	mind that they involved distinct criminality and had different victims.
		aged 6 yrs; mother a yr later.	Cts 5-6: Poss stolen or unlawfully	Ct 6: 12 mths imp (conc).	
			obtained property.	Ct 7: 12 mths imp (conc) (no EFP).	At [56] What occurred in the District Court, mths after the Supreme
		Lived with physically violent	Ct 7: Escaping lawful custody.	Ct 8: 14 mths imp (cum on Supreme Court	Court judge imposed sentence, does not (and cannot) provide any basis
		grandmother; subsequently lived	Cts 8 & 12: Robbery.	and Magistrates Court sentences).	to allege an infringement of either limb of the totality principle by the
		with his father who was	Ct 9: Aiding a person to escape lawful	Ct 9: 6 mths imp (conc).	Supreme Court judge's sentence
		physically and emotionally	custody.	Ct 10: 3 mths imp (conc).	A4 [02]
		abusive.	Ct 10: Assault public officer. Ct 11: Assault with intent to rob.	Ct 11: 3 mths imp (cum).	At [83] we are satisfied that there is no reason to suppose that, had
		Left school aged 12 yes		Ct 12: 21 mths imp (cum).	the summary offences, and the indictable offences all been dealt with
		Left school aged 13 yrs;	Ct 13: Burglary. Ct 14: Agg Burglary.	Ct 13: 15 mths imp (conc).	together, the overall disposition would have been any more favourable from the appellant's perspective the sentencing judge in the
		commenced using drugs.	Ct 14. Agg Burgiary. Ct 15: Steal motor vehicle.	Ct 14: 2 yrs imp (conc). Ct 15: 9 mths imp (conc).	District Court was acutely aware of, and carefully weighed, the
		Left home aged 15 yrs; reconciled	et 13. Stear motor venicle.	et 13. 7 mais imp (cone).	sentences that had already been imposed in determining what
		with his family aged 28 yrs.	Indictment – Supreme Court	Sentenced in the Supreme Court, District	sentences should be imposed for the offences dealt with in the District
		with his family aged 20 yrs.	Brooks and a co-offender decided to rob	Court and the Magistrates Court for a total of	Court.
		Inconsistent early employment	a newsagency. With their faces covered	36 offences. The most serious offences, were	
		history; trade work late twenties;	and each carrying a knife they rushed	committed in a period of about three wks.	At [87]-[88] In our view, the appellant's offending conduct that was
		self-employed roof plumber early	into the newsagency.	The result of the three sentencing exercises:	the subject of his sentence in the Magistrates Court was of a nature and
		thirties.			extent that demanded a sentence that was cum on the sentence in the
			The co-offender shouted at the woman	TES 9 yrs 6 mths imp. EFP.	Supreme Court to a not insubstantial extent Not is it reasonably
		2 yr relationship at time	working behind the counter to give him		arguable that the sentences imposed by the Chief Magistrate produced
		offending; young son together;	money. When the co-offender went	<u>Indictment - Supreme</u>	a result that was, in the relevant sense, crushing, so as to infringe the
		partner history of substance abuse	behind the counter the woman picked	The trial judge found the armed robbery	second limb of the totality principle
		and offending behaviour, reported	up a cricket bat, so he pushed the	objectively very serious; the offence was	
		to have made significant positive	woman with force, causing her to fall	planned; both offenders were armed and	At [117]-[119] The appellant was sentenced in the District Court for
		changes in her lifestyle; partner	on the floor. He put the knife near her	disguised; they chose a vulnerable target and	15 offences. Several of them involved appalling offending that would
		and her parents supportive.	neck and repeated his demand for	threatened two vulnerable women, both	have terrified or endangered members of the public. Further, [he] used
			money.	shouting and screaming.	violence to escape from legal custody the appellant's offending the
		Severe symptoms of anxiety,			subject of cts 7 – 12 of itself would ordinarily have justified and
		depression and stress; diagnosed	The woman's daughter heard her	The trial judge took into account time spent	required a TES substantially higher than the TES imposed in the
		with PTSD.	mother's screams and began to	by the appellant on remand for the murder	District Court. As the judge observed, cts 11 and 12 were each very
			telephone the police. Brooks screamed	charge and time already spent in protective	serious offences in which the appellant used violence towards entirely

Entrenched drug use.

at her to put the phone away and pointed his knife at her, telling her that he would stab her.

The co-offender grabbed the till drawer and took about \$450 in cash before running. Brooks pushed the daughter off balance and followed.

When Brooks was chased by two men, he stopped and threatened one of them with his knife.

Brooks hid some items of clothing in an att to avoid being caught. He was arrested some wks later. He denied any involvement in the offence.

Indictment – District Court

Brooks drove a stolen truck up to the double gates of a business. After trying to break the padlock to the gates with bolt cutters, he att to smash through them with the truck. The gates and the linked chain fence were extensively damaged (ct 1).

Brooks drove a stolen truck to the entry of a business. After cutting the lock to a gate he drove to a parked caravan valued at \$45,000 and hitched the caravan to the back of his vehicle. As he drove away the chain snapped, so he left, leaving the caravan behind (ct 2).

At a car wash Brooks, driving the same stolen truck, reversed at speed into two industrial vacuum units causing \$29,358.20 in damage. He and his male passenger then att unsuccessfully to take one of the units. They left and returned a short time later with a chisel and hammer, which they used to separate one of the units from its base. They then carried it to the truck and left (cts 3 and 4).

During a burglary, a dinghy, boat trailer, boat engine and a fuel jerry can were stolen.

current offending.

Letter of apology tendered; otherwise no demonstrated genuine remorse; not at a low risk of reoffending; reasonable prospects of rehabilitation; steps taken to become a better father while on remand.

Indictment – District

The sentencing judge found the appellant's offending the subject of cts 1-4 serious and premediated acts of dishonesty; it would have been a terrifying experience for the victims of cts 11 and 12, were ordinary members of the community going about their daily business; the offending necessitated a sentence that sufficiently denounced the appellant's conduct and provided appropriate personal and general deterrence.

custody, and would in the future serve, for the innocent members of the public in an att to steal their cars, the second att of which was successful. ... Other elements of the appellant's offending were also serious. ... the two home burglaries, ... were both serious offences warranting substantial terms of imp.

> At [126] ... the [District Court] judge did not err in failing to award a 25% discount for the appellant's PG. Indeed, it was not open to the judge to have done so.

Brooks arranged to store a boat at a rural property. The owner agreed and a short time later he attended the property with a boat, a boat motor and fuel jerry Some wks later a stealing offence occurred. The stolen items included a bobcat and trailer. The bobcat was fitted with a GPS tracking device. The same day Brooks attended the same rural property with the stolen bobcat to store it at the property. The bobcat was tracked to its location and police were alerted. A search of the property located the stolen bobcat (cts 5 and 6). Brooks was apprehended in connection with an armed robbery (the Supreme Court offence). He was conveyed to a police station and detained. His partner was also held in the same detention area. The two shouted at each other and became increasingly agitated. When an officer opened his cell door he grabbed the officer and during a struggle took the officer's swipe card. After freeing his partner he ran away (cts 7-10). After fleeing custody Brooks ran in front of a vehicle, opened the driver's door, grabbed hold of the driver and tried to forcibly remove her from the car. Fearing for herself and her passenger she accelerated away (ct 11). Brooks then got in the passenger seat of a stationary vehicle. He shouted at the driver to go and, fearing for his safety, he complied. He ignored the driver's request to get out and became more agitated. At a red light he told the driver to get out, which he did. Brooks threatened the driver if he called the police. The vehicle was later found extensively damaged (ct 12). Brooks gained entry to a home by smashing a sliding door. He cut the

		phone line and searched a bedroom. He left the premises by forcing open a rear					
		window. No items were stolen (ct 13).					
		On the same day Brooks broke into a					
		different residence. The occupants were		A			
		home at the time. Manipulating a		9			
		locked door he entered the premises and		4015			
		stole an iPhone, a laptop and the keys to					
		a vehicle. Using the car keys he stole		X			
		the occupants vehicle. He was later seen					
		by police driving the vehicle and failed					
		to stop when requested to do so, leading					
		to a police pursuit (cts 14-15).					
Transitional provisions repealed (14/01/2009)							
Maximum penalty s 318, other than those falling within s 318(1)(l), reduced to 7 yrs imp (27/04/2008)							
Transitional Provisions Enacted (31/08/2003)							
Maximum penalty for s 318 increased from 5 yrs to 10 yrs imp (20/01/1995)							