

## From 1 January 2021

Transitional Sentencing Provisions: This table is divided into thirds based on the three relevant periods of Sentencing Provisions:

- Post-transitional provisions period
- Transitional provisions period

aggravated

- Pre-transitional provisions period

These periods are separated by a row which shows when the transitional provisions were enacted, and another showing when they were repealed.

## Glossary:

agg

att	attempt
conc	concurrent
cum	cumulative
ct	count
circ	circumstances
CBO	community based order
CRO	conditional release order
EFP	eligible for parole
imp	imprisonment
ISO	intensive supervision order
PG	plead guilty
PSR	pre-sentence report
SIO	suspended imprisonment order
susp	suspended
TES	total effective sentence

No.	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal
3.	Singh v The State	35 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 1-8; 10 & 11: Fraud.	Ct 1: 4 yrs imp (cum).	Dismissed (leave refused).
J.	of Western	33 yrs at time senteneing.	Ct 9: Property laundering.	Cts 2 & 3: 2 yrs imp (cum).	Dishinssed (leave ferused).
	Australia	Convicted after late PG (10%	Ct 12: Preparation for forgery.	Cts 4; 8; 9 & 11: 2 yrs imp (conc).	Appeal concerned totality principle.
	1 Institution	discount).	et 12. Propulation for forgery.	Cts 5-7 & 10: 3 yrs imp (conc).	rippedi concerned totality principle.
	[2023] WASCA 31	discounty.	Mr Kilsby engaged Singh, a software	Ct 12: 1 yrs imp.	At [73] a particularly serious example of offending of this kind:
		Criminal history; prior	developer, to develop an adult	Ct 12. 1 yis imp.	The appellant's offending was not constituted by the maintenance or
	Delivered	convictions of fraud.	entertainment application suitable for	TES 8 yrs imp.	repetition of a single continuing false representation He engaged in
	14/02/2023	convictions of fraud.	mobile devices (the app). Mr Kilsby had	The organism.	an elaborate fraudulent scheme involving a series of fictitious persons,
	11/02/2023	Born India; youngest of three	conceived and developed the underlying	EFP.	companies and documents. He repeatedly manufactured ongoing
		children; profoundly impacted by	idea and had registered a patent.		extensive chains of communication between fictitious persons he had
		death of father 2016.	raca and nad registered a patent.	The sentencing judge found the appellant's	created and Mr Kilsby [He] was motivated by greed there can
		death of famer 2010.	Singh made a series of fraudulent	offending 'a very serious example of each	be no doubt that the appellant was aware that Mr Kilsby was not the
		Moved to Australia 2004.	representations to Mr Kilsby, including	type of offence'; the offending was serious by	source of all of the funds being paid towards the purported project
		1,10,00 to 11dstraina 200 ii	the creation of several fictitious persons	the amount he derived through the course of	[He] must have known that at least a substantial part of the funds he
		Separated; ex-wife only	and entities, who were purported to be	his offending; the duration and persistence of	obtained from Mr Kilsby came from others The appellant's
		significant relationship; one child;	interested in purchasing the app for	the offending and the level of deception in	offending has had a devastating effect on his victims
		continued to live together; not	substantial sums of money.	which he engaged to hide his offending; he	
		divorced at time sentencing.		went to great lengths to cultivate Mr Kilsby's	At [75] The appellant commenced this offending about two yrs after
			There were a total of 67 cash transfers	trust through false representations convincing	being convicted of two cts of fraud and about a yr after completing a
		Varied work history.	over a period of almost four yrs.	Mr Kilsby to turn to people who knew and	susp term of imp for that offending
		j		trusted him to secure investment funds.	
		Alcohol use; extreme gambling	With this conduct Singh defrauded Mr		At [88] the appellant's TES cannot, even arguably, be said to
		addiction.	Kilsby and nine other individuals of a	The sentencing judge characterised the fraud	infringe the first limb of the totality principle. The appellant's sentence
			total of \$1,462,461.70.	offence as highly sophisticated, extensive;	sits comfortably within the bounds of an appropriate exercise of the
				deliberate, brazen and sustained and 'nothing	sentencing discretion and bears a proper relationship to the overall
			None of the money has been repaid.	short of callous'; the appellant's offending	criminality involved in all of the offences.
			X	was motivated by greed and not need.	
				The sentencing judge found the seriousness of	
				the offending such that terms of imp were the	
				only justifiable outcome and the fact so many	
				offences had been committed over so many	
				victims over four yrs justified a strong	
				measure of accumulation in his sentence.	
			C VY		
				Offending had profound psychological impact	
			Oy	on victims; devasted by the financial losses	
				sustained; some lost their homes or are unable	
			. (9	to meet health or other ordinary living	
				expenses.	
				NT- Coding and 1	
	II11 m1	21	Ct 1. Ct I MV	No finding appellant remorseful.	Disciss I (Issue of set I)
2.	Houlahan v The	21 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Steal MV.	Ct 1: 12 mths imp (cum).	Dismissed (leave refused).
	State of Western	23 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Fraud.	Ct 2: 6 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned length of individual conteness at 1.2 % 0 - 1
	Australia	Convicted often years lete DC (etc.	Ct 2: Stool MV	Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned length of individual sentences cts 1, 2 & 9 and
		Convicted after very late PG (cts	Ct 8: Steal MV.	Ct 8: 15 mths imp (conc).	totality principle.
	[2022] WASCA 85	1 & 2) (10% discount).	Ct 9: Reckless driving.	Ct 9: 18 mths imp (cum).	At [25] As to the centence imposed on at 2 having record to all of the
	Dalivared	Convicted after trial (cts 7-9).	All offeness committed even a namical of	TEC 5 yrs imp	At [35] As to the sentence imposed on ct 2, having regard to all of the
	Delivered		All offences committed over a period of	1E5 3 yrs mp.	relevant circumstances, including the appellant's PG, and the

	19/07/2022	Very lengthy unenviable criminal history; frequently in detention or imprisoned since aged 14 yrs.  Dysfunctional upbringing; parents separated aged 7 yrs; raised by mother; tumultuous relationship with father; exposed to alcohol and illicit drugs young age; antisocial behaviours and associations.  Mother and sister supportive.  Educated to yr 9.  Introduced to methyl aged 13 yrs.	During a burglary, the victim's motor vehicle was stolen. It was not alleged Houlahan had taken part in the burglary. However, he drove the vehicle and put fuel in the vehicle, paying using the victim's debit card. The vehicle was later found damaged. A forensic examination located Houlahan's DNA on the steering wheel. The cost to repair the vehicle was \$2,310.  In the early hrs of the morning the victim and his family were asleep in their home. Houlahan broke into the house through a window. He used a pair of socks as gloves. Inside the home he stole items of property, including the keys to a motor vehicle. He then drove the vehicle from the premises.  That same morning Houlahan sped past an unmarked police car, who activated the car's lights to pull him over. He did not stop. When police activated both lights and sirens, he accelerated away from the pursuing police car. He drove in excess of 45 km p/hr over the speed limit in order to evade the police. At certain points he reached speeds of between 155 km p/h and 160 km p/hr. He also drove through a number of major intersections at high speed and on the incorrect side of the road. Police deployed a stinger device, which Houlahan deliberately evaded.  Eventually the vehicle came to rest	EFP.  MDL disq for life.  The sentencing judge found the appellant's offending 'very serious'; he drove on suburban streets, often at extreme speeds, posing a very real danger to others and showing a total disregard for other road users; the agg home burglary was particularly serious, it occurred at night when people were in the house.  The sentencing judge found the appellant had a continuing and entrenched disobedience of the law in very serious ways; nothing to indicate on the path to rehabilitation.  Financial loss and great inconvenience caused to victims.	modest amount [he] defrauded, the sentence of imp was not manifestly excessive, bearing in mind that [he] used the petrol he obtained by fraud to enable him to continue driving the stolen vehicle.  At [36] As to the sentence imposed on ct 9, the submissions of the appellant substantially understate the seriousness of the offence. While the offence lasted between six and 10 min, it involved a very determined and sustained att to evade arrest. He was driving a stolen car and at one point had a passenger in the vehicle. In doing so [he] drove with extreme speed on a major highway and suburban streets in a manner which put the lives and safety of other road users in jeopardy. The driving involved a selfish disregard for the safety of others  At [44] In the present case, her Honour was correct to accumulate some of the sentences to properly reflect the appellant's overall criminality which encompassed five distinct offences in two separate incidents committed over a 15-day period The TES was an appropriate reflection of the appellant's overall criminality,
			Eventually the vehicle came to rest against a tree. Houlahan ran from the		
			vehicle and hid. He was eventually located by police.		
1.	NHI v The State of Western Australia	38 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 1-4: Fraud.	Ct 1; 3-4: 16 mths imp (conc). Ct 2: 16 mths imp (cum).	Allowed.
	[2021] WASCA 32	Convicted after early PG (25% discount).	NHI and Mr L created a company for the purpose of securing bank loans to purchase and develop four blocks of	TES 2 yrs 8 mths imp.	Appeal concerned totality principle and length of cooperation discount.  Resentenced:

Delivered
25/02/2021

20% discount for past and future cooperation.

Minor criminal history; road traffic offences only.

Parents very hardworking, rarely present; as a result lived with extended family; often responsible for caring for his younger siblings.

Aged 27 yrs when parents separated; experienced stress and anxiety resulting from dealing with family's major financial decision.

Living with his mother at time of sentencing.

Two long-term relationships; marriage ended due to the offending and his mental health; seven children; continues to support and provide for his family financially.

Completed yr 12 and first yr of a business degree.

Employed various jobs; real estate agent time offending; purchased a real estate agency; the company ran at a loss and eventually declared bankrupt; since gained work outside real estate industry.

Mental health issues; history of anxiety, depression and stress; diagnosed with ADHD and bipolar disorder.

History of drug and alcohol misuse; commenced using cannabis in high school; daily use at university; ceased using cannabis and commenced using alcohol excessively; adverse impact on his employment and land. Mr L was appointed the company's sole director.

NHI and Mr L falsified various documents in a loan application to obtain the funds for the purchase in Mr L's name. These documents created a misleadingly positive impression of Mr L's financial position.

NHI facilitated a meeting with a broker and subsequently provided the documents, he knew to be false, to the broker. He was aware throughout the loan application process that Mr L was having financial difficulties.

The bank approved four loans of \$216,000 to purchase the land. Each loan was secured by a mortgage over the respective block of land.

The development of the land never occurred, and the bank subsequently exercised its rights as mortgagee and sold all four blocks.

The loans would not have been made without NHI's and Mr L's dishonest activity and, had the development been successful, NHI would have benefited by earning a commission from the sales of the developed properties as the real estate agent.

EFP.

The sentencing judge found the appellant's offending involved a significant level of deception; the fraudulent activity was persistent and premeditated; it occurred over a period of time and involved dealing with a mortgage broker who was dealing with financial institutions.

Genuinely remorseful; substantial steps taken towards rehabilitation; undertaking to give evidence against Mr L; attending counselling to address his mental health and relationship issues at time sentencing; low risk of reoffending.

Ct 1: 14 mths imp (cum).

Ct 2: 10 mths imp (cum).

Cts 3 & 4: 14 mths imp (conc).

TES 2 yrs imp.

EFP.

At [53] ... the appellant's assistance was, ..., limited to identifying the co-offender of the offence the appellant committed – it did not extend to assisting the prosecution of other offences. Also, the appellant's cooperation did not place his welfare at risk, .... In all the circumstances, ... we are not satisfied that the discount of 20% for the appellant's cooperation is so low as to reveal implied error.

At [63]-[64] ... the appellant's offending is agg by its persistence. His offending involved the creation of several false documents and a course of conduct extending over a period of more than two mths. ... Nevertheless, we are persuaded that the total effective term ... was, in all the circumstances, so high as to reveal error. ...

At [66] ... the appellant had provided substantial past assistance and promised substantial future assistance ... while the 20% discount was not to be directly applied to the TES, the appellant's substantial cooperation called for a significant further reduction in the TES that would otherwise have been appropriate.

	relationships.			
Transitional provisions repealed (14/01/2009)				
Transitional provisions enacted (31/08/2003)				
		Fice of the Director of Public Prosect		