Indecent Assault & Agg Indecent Assault

s 323 & s 324 Criminal Code

From 1 January 2021

Transitional Sentencing Provisions: This table is divided into thirds based on the three relevant periods of Sentencing Provisions:

- Post-transitional provisions period
- Transitional provisions period
- Pre-transitional provisions period

These periods are separated by a row which shows when the transitional provisions were enacted, and another showing when they were repealed.

Glossary:

AOBH assault occasioning bodily harm

agg aggravated att attempted burg burglary

circ circumstances con concurrent cum cumulative

ct count

dep lib deprivation of liberty

imp imprisonment indec indecent

ISO intensive supervision order

PG plead guilty
PNG plead not guilty

sex pen sexual penetration without consent

susp suspended

TES total effective sentence

TIC time in custody

No.	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal
8.	The State of	33–52 yrs at time offending.	5 x Indec deal child U13 yrs.	TES: 10 yrs imp.	Appeal dismissed (leave granted).
0.	Western Australia	56 yrs at time sentencing.	4 x Sex pen child U13 yrs.	125. To yis imp.	rippear distributed (reave granted).
	v LZR	so yis at time semenang.	12 x Indec deal child U16 yrs.	The trial judge found that the offending was	Appeal concerned first limb of the totality principle.
	, 221	Convicted after trial.	2 x Sex pen child U16 yrs.	persistent over an 18–20 year period. As a	12ppour concerned mist inne or the tounity printaper
	[2025] WASCA 46		1 x Indec assault.	result of the lack of complaint, the respondent	At [64] 'the only issue in the present appeal is whether the total
		No criminal history.	1 x Encouraging child U13 yrs to do an	became emboldened in what he could get	effective sentence of 10 years' imprisonment fails to reflect the overall
	Delivered		indecent act.	away with. The persistent nature of the	criminality involved in all of the respondent's offending considered as
	01/04/2025	Born in Turkey; unremarkable	4 x Indec deal child over 16 yrs under	offending counterbalanced the	a whole in a way that enables error to be inferred from the result.'
		childhood, spent some time in	control or supervision.	characterisation of the offending as towards	
		Austria and Germany.	_	the lower end of seriousness.	At [67] 'the present case is unusual in that a large number of victims
			<u>Cts 1–6: RG</u>		were exposed to the respondent's offending, the egregiousness of the
		Left school at 13 yrs to work for		The trial judge found that there was a risk of	individual offences was not as great as in most cases where a total
		his brother; returned to work for	RG was the respondent's niece by	the respondent re-offending.	effective sentence of 10 years or more is imposed. Many of the
		his brother after completing	marriage.		individual offences in the present case, particularly counts involving
		military service; later worked		Victim impact statements were received from	touching buttocks of employees in the respondent's food van, involve
		various jobs, including at a market	Cts 1, 3 and 5: Sex pen child U13 yrs	RG, EH, KV, TMC, AV and PB. The trial	conduct towards the lower end of the scale of seriousness of the
		on the weekends.	(digital).	judge found that the common theme in the	offences of which the respondent was convicted. That is not to say that
		T 1:11 :1 6 :16	Cts 2 and 4: Indec deal child U13 yrs.	statements was a sense of betrayal by a	the offences were not serious all sexual offending against children
		Two children with former wife;	Ct 6: Indec assault.	relative or trusted family friend. The	is deplorable. But the relative severity of much of the offending in the
		children were 15 and 17 yrs at	Cts 7–14: SM	offending has had a profound impact on the	present case is not as egregious as the offending involved in most cases
		time sentencing.	<u>Cts /-14. SWI</u>	victims' relationships with family, friends and	where total effective sentences of 10 years or more are imposed.'
		No personality or major mental	SM was the respondent's niece by	partners.	At [71] 'as counsel for the respondent properly conceded, the total
		disorders.	marriage.		effective sentence imposed in this case is lenient, particularly having
		disorders.	marrage.	. 0	regard to the number of victims, the impact of the offending on the
			Cts 7 and 9: Indec deal child U13 yrs.		victims and the absence of mitigating factors including guilty pleas.
			Ct 8: Sex pen child U13 yrs (digital).	O'	However, 10 years' imprisonment is still a significant total effective
			Ct 10: Encourage a child U13 to do an		sentence. Ultimately, we are not persuaded that the total effective
			indecent act.		sentence of 10 years' imprisonment was so lenient as to be
			Cts 11 and 13: Indec deal child U16.		unreasonable or plainly unjust, so that error in the exercise of the trial
			Cts 12 and 14: Sex pen child U16		judge's sentencing discretion can be inferred form the outcome. In this
			(digital).		difficult case, it was open to the trial judge to take the view that a total
					effective sentence of 10 years' imprisonment reflected the overall
			<u>Ct 15: EH</u>		criminality involved in all of the respondent's offending having regard
			c >>		to all the circumstances, including those personal to the respondent.
			EH was the respondent's niece by		His Honor has not been shown to have erred in the exercise of the
			marriage.		sentencing discretion which the law reposes in a trial judge.'
			Ct 15: Indec deal child U16 yrs.		
			Ct 13. Indee dear clind 0 10 yrs.		
			Cts 16–17: KV		
		X	<u> </u>		
			The respondent was a family friend of		
			KV's parents.		
			•		
			Ct 16: Indec deal child U13 yrs.		
			Ct 17: Indec deal child U16 yrs.		
			G. 10 00 TD 55		
			Cts 18–22: TMC		

			The respondent was a family friend of TMC's parents.		
			Cts 18–22: Indec deal child U16 yrs.		
			<u>Cts 23–24: NC</u>		
			The respondent was a family friend of NC's parents.		
			Cts 23 and 24: Indec deal child over 16 yrs under control or supervision.	Orosecti	
			<u>Ct 25: AV</u>	-405	
			The respondent was a family friend of AV's parents.	R Politic Pro	
			Ct 25: Indec deal child over 16 yrs under control or supervision.		
			Cts 26–27: PB	c ? ·	
			The respondent was a family friend of PB's parents.	40)	
			Ct 26: Indec deal child U16. Ct 27: Indec deal child over 16 yrs under control or supervision.		
			Cts 28–29: TK		
			The respondent was a family friend of TK's parents.		
			Cts 28–29: Indec deal child U16 yrs.		
			- C		
7.	SYO v The State of	38 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Agg burg.	Ct 1: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	Appeal dismissed (leave refused on grounds 2 and 3).
	Western Australia		Ct 2: With intent to harm, did an act	Ct 2: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
		Convicted after PG (25% for cts	which life health or safety of a person	Ct 3: 10 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned Bugmy principles, insufficient weight given to
	[2024] WASCA 31	1–3, 10% for ct 4).	was likely to be endangered.	Ct 4: 5 yrs 6 mths imp (HS).	delay, and totality of sentence.
			Ct 3: Threat with intent to compel.	Ct 5: No penalty.	
	Delivered	Minor criminal history; unlawful	Ct 4: Agg indecent assault.	TEG	At [66]–[72] discussion of <i>Bugmy</i> principles.
	28/03/2024	damage; breach of restraining	Ct 5: Stealing.	TES: 9 yrs imp.	At [70] fit may be appropriate to distinguish between two different
		order; agg burg; minor drug	C+ 1	EED	At [70] 'it may be appropriate to distinguish between two different
		related offences; breach of	<u>Ct 1</u>	EFP.	classes of case. The first is where profound childhood deprivation has

violence restraining order.

Raised by his mother; minimal involvement with his father; mother was physically abusive at times; often left home alone for days as a child; lived with grandmother from 13 yrs; unstable home; frequently saw violence perpetrated by uncles and aunts.

Left high school at start of yr 9; completed TAFE course at 15 yrs.

Worked in mining and construction since 14 yrs; FIFO work until voluntary separation in 2012.

Several relationships of significance; one young daughter; most relationships marred by violence and drug use.

No major history of illness or injury; testing indicated presence of antisocial personality traits.

Used alcohol to excess from teenage yrs; cannabis use form 13 yrs; developed a methyl habit from late 20s; drug use escalated after losing his job.

Positive personal references.

The appellant forced his way into the home of DB, a former partner. Once inside, the appellant walked into a bedroom which DB and PC were sleeping.

Ct 2

The appellant hit PC several times with a metal bar. The strikes were to PC's head, body, face, arms and legs. The appellant then ordered PC out of the bed and told him to move into the corner of the bedroom.

<u>Ct 3</u>

The appellant then demanded DB take off her pants. The appellant said he wanted to inspect DB's vagina to find out whether she had engaged in sexual intercourse with PC. When DB refused, the appellant slapped her and raised the bar above his head as if to hit her with it.

<u>Ct 4</u>

Fearing for her life, DB complied with the appellant's demands. The appellant used one of his hands to touch DB's vagina, moving her labia majora for a short time before removing his hand. The appellant again accused DB of having sex with PC and raised the bar in a threatening manner. The appellant again touched her labia majora with his hand.

Ct 5

The appellant demanded DB's phone so that he could check her text messages. Before he left, the appellant took her phone with him.

The sentencing judge found the appellant had accepted responsibility for his offending, had shown some insight into its impact on his victims, and had taken positive steps to rehabilitation.

Offending had severe impact on DB; anxiety, panic attacks, depression and PTSD; sleeplessness; felt angry, helpless, degraded and fearful from appellant's conduct.

The sentencing judge found the offending was principally related to the appellant's illicit drug use.

The sentencing judge found that the appellant had suffered from some dysfunction and disadvantages during childhood; however, such experienced were not to be characterised as profound childhood deprivation. in some way impaired the capacity of an offender to behave lawfully...The second class of case is where the offender retains full capacity to make choices about unlawful behaviour, although the poor choices which the offender makes may be influenced by childhood experience.'

At [105] 'having reviewed the material before the sentencing judge, we agree with his Honour's conclusion that the material did not establish, on the balance of probabilities, that any relevant capacity of the appellant was impaired by profound childhood deprivation which reduced his moral culpability for the offending or diminished the significance of personal and general deterrence as sentencing considerations.'

At [106] 'the procedural history of this matter shows the appellant experienced some delay before he was finally sentenced.'

At [125] 'there is nothing to suggest that his Honour...did anything other than sentence the appellant according to the rules of reason and justice...and within those limits which an honest person competent to discharge the duties of his office ought to confine himself. When that is appreciated, all that is left of the appellant's submission is a contention that the sentencing judge should have given more weight to the mitigatory effect of delay. It follows that the appellant's submissions cannot be accepted.'

At [139] 'the offences committed by the appellant were extremely serious. The appellant entered his former partner's home without her consent, and in the very early hours of the morning, when she was asleep...The offence charged in ct 2 was particularly serious. In what was a completely unprovoked attack by a physically powerful man, the appellant used a metal bar to repeatedly strike the much younger PC, who was initially asleep and defenceless.'

At [143] 'in relation to ct 1, it was necessary for the sentencing judge to give effect to the need for general deterrence in relation to offences of home burglaries, particularly those that involve the use of violence...It is also equally necessary to ensure that the sentences imposed for cts 2,3 and 4 reflect the importance of general deterrence in sentencing for offences involving violence, and in relation to cts 1,3 and 4, the use of violence by men on women with whom they are, or have been, in a domestic relationships.'

At [151] [in considering the relevant factors] 'we are of the view that it is not reasonably arguable that the total effective sentence was unreasonable or plainly unjust.'

imposed for cts (1) and (3) and
ality served cum)
o tariff for sexual offences. This
committed in a very wide range
nt to observe that there is no
ases] care must be taken to guard
xistence of a hierarchy of sexual
nces in both the circumstances of
ere the subject of the appeal in
l in the personal circumstances
ant difference is the fact that in
to offend against two women
ter stalking them in his car for a
or them in a dark side street.
ntences for counts 1 and 3, and
e respondent were substantially
were ultimately imposed in
occurred through clothing, in our
of the respondent's conduct. The
meaning, and amounted to a
rate women.'
red to be used to suggest that the
of the scale of seriousness. While
ommitted by the respondent
do not think that this reduces the
ar ar

At [56] 'the respondent's conduct was shocking, humiliating, and it has had a profound impact on his two victims'.

					At [67] 'the aggregate sentence must reflect the fact that the respondent offended against two women.'
5.	Moore v The State	Convicted after trial.	66 x Sex pen without consent.	TES: 30 yrs imp.	Appeal dismissed (leave granted).
	of Western Australia	No physical or mental health	10 x Indec assault. 7 x Sexual coercion.	EFP.	Sentence appeal concerned second limb of totality principle.
	[2023] WASCA 156	issues.	2 x Agg indec assault. 1 x Agg sexual coercion. 1 x Agg sex pen without consent.	The sentencing judge found the offending was in a 'truly exceptional category', falling within the worst category for totality	At [88] ' although the total sentence is long, it incorporated very significant allowances for totality. These included reducing individual sentences and making the majority of the sentences wholly concurrent.
	Delivered 06/11/2023		The appellant committed 87 sex offences against 13 women, over a 12 year period. In all but 13 of the offences, the appellant drugged the victims with an unknown substance in	purposes. The sentencing judge found the appellant derived ongoing sexual gratification from watching the extreme violence he had	Cumulative sentences were imposed in respect of only five of the 13 victims. From this perspective it is difficult to see how the sentence could have been further reduced without failing to be an adequate reflection of the overall criminality.'
			order to offend against them. The offences included multiple acts of sexual penetration without consent, the use of bondage, domination, urination,	inflicted on his victims. The sentencing judge had explicit regard to the totality principle: only six of the 87 individual sentences were accumulated to	At [89] 'the second limb of the totality principle does not operate at the expense of the first. A total effective sentence must still be proportionate to the overall criminality of the appellant's offending. Moreover, the second limb of the totality principle is not an absolute rule. If a sentence is crushing in the relevant sense, that outcome may
			acts intended to demean the complainants and bestiality. The appellant had photographed or	The offender had made no steps towards rehabilitation at the time of sentencing.	permit a reduction in the total sentence, but it does not require one.' At [91] 'there is no reason to believe that the appellant will die before his sentence is complete. For that reason, it could be argued that the
			videoed the victims whilst the sexual acts were occurring, and retained those images, which were subsequently seized by police.	At [78] 'no summary of [the victim impact] statements can possibly convey the profound, devastating and enduring effect that the offending has had upon the victims.'	sentence in this case is not crushing in the relevant sense.' At [94] ' it cannot be ignored that the appellant continued his offending over a 12-year period and much of that offending was undetected for many years because of the effects of the stupefying drugs that he used on the victims. He enjoyed underserved liberty during those years, and any complaint that any otherwise appropriate sentence will consume much of his remaining life deserves little sympathy.'
			CEICE OF FILL		At [96] ' the number of offences, the nature of the offences, the number of victims and the length of time over which the offending continued places this total offending into a category of extraordinary seriousness. Indeed, the offending in this case was of such seriousness that the appellant has forfeited any right to expect that he will be released at an age where he could enjoy any significant life after prison.'
4.	The State of Western Australia	24 yrs at time offending. 26 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Agg indec assault. Ct 4: Sex pen without consent.	Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (conc).	Allowed.
	v Rayapen	Convicted on late PG (in full	The victim, aged 21 yrs, was	TES 2 yrs imp, susp 2 yrs.	Appeal concerned length of sentence and error in sentencing (degree of remorse and plea discount).
	[2023] WASCA 55 Delivered	satisfaction of the ind) (15% discount).	celebrating the end of exams on Rottnest Island. During the afternoon the victim, along with a male friend,	The sentencing judge found 'the inherent exercise of mercy' in combination with other	Resentenced (10% discount):
	12/04//2023	No criminal history.	socialised at a nearby unit.	factors, concluded that it was not appropriate	Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc).

		Down Italy, may ad to LIV and air	Loten Devemon amined at the smit. The	to impose an immediate term of imp.	Ct 4: 3 yrs 3 mths imp (conc).
		Born Italy; moved to UK aged six yrs; moved to Australia with	Later, Rayapen arrived at the unit. The victim and Rayapen did not know each	The centenging judge found that while there	TES 3 yrs 3 mths imp.
		family aged 17 yrs; raised loving	other. During the night they interacted	The sentencing judge found that while there was a degree of persistence in the offending,	1ES 5 yrs 5 mais mip.
		and caring family; not subjected	with each other.	it was opportunistic and overall it lacked any	EFP.
		to any severe physical	with each other.	real premeditation; the widespread	EII.
		punishment, trauma, abuse or	In the early hrs of the morning the	mainstream and social media reporting had no	At [164] we have concluded that the learned sentencing judge erred
		adversity during childhood.	victim returned to her unit with her	doubt been a source of humiliation to	in concluding that Mr Rayapen had 'deep and genuine remorse' at the
		adversity during emidies d.	male friend. Rayapen tagged along with	Rayapen and he had lost the ability to practice	'highest end or remorse'
		Positive and supportive	them and was told he could stay the	law in WA, or anywhere in the	ingliest old of femolise :
		references; offending inconsistent	night.	Commonwealth.	At [171]-[172] we are satisfied that the discount of 15% from the
		and out of character.			head sentence was such that we should infer error on the part of the
			The victim got into bed, which was	Significant steps taken towards rehabilitation;	sentencing judge Mr Rayapen did not PG, or indicate he would PG,
		Time of offending studying law at	made up of two beds pushed together.	attending alcohol counselling.	at the earliest reasonable opportunity. On the contrary, Mr Rayapen
		university; moved to Melbourne	Rayapen lay in the bed next to her. On		PG at the latest available opportunity.
		to complete his studies.	the other side of the bed was the	Low risk of reoffending; deeply and	
			victim's male friend.	genuinely remorseful; deep sense he had	At [186] the State case is properly characterised as strong. That was
		In a relationship at time		brought dishonour to his family; attempt at	a matter relevant to the discount to be given for Mr Rayapen's PG.
		sentencing.	During the night Rayapen squeezed the	self-harm.	
			victim's breasts, causing her pain and		At [228] The sentencing judge was wrong to conclude that there were
		No history of illicit drug use;	bruising, and penetrated her vagina with		exceptional circumstances capable of justifying the exercise of mercy
		commenced drinking alcohol aged	his fingers. She physically resisted him	0,0	his Honour was wrong to conclude that, having regard to all
		18 yrs; variable drinking pattern,	and curled herself up into a foetal	C	relevant sentencing factors, there was a proper basis for imposing a
		during university would get drunk	position. Six times she told him 'no'. Rayapen only desisted when she pushed		sentence other than immediate imp.
		on a regular basis; taking antidepressant medication since	on his throat with her hand.		At [240] The sentence [for the offence of sex pen without consent]
		offending.	on his throat with her hand.		was not commensurate with the seriousness of the offence,
		oriending.	The next day the victim confronted	O _Y	was not commensurate with the seriousness of the offence,
			Rayapen and he told her he was sorry		At [241] the TES did not bear a proper relationship to the overall
			for what had happened.		criminality involved in all of the offences
			Some days later the victim made a		At [243] As to the objective seriousness of the offence, the offence in
			pretext call to Rayapen and he made		the present case, while not in the most serious category, was
			some admissions of wrongdoing.		nevertheless a serious case of its kind. The victim was in a vulnerable
					position, affected by alcohol and, at least on the verge of sleep, when
			C. A.Y		Mr Rayapen began the offending conduct. Prior to the offence of sex
					pen, Mr Rayapen had persistently touched the victim without her
			0 7		consent, with sufficient force to cause her bruising. Her repeated
					attempts to prevent that conduct, by physical resistant Mr Rayapen and
			2.09		saying 'no', left no ambiguity as to her wish to be left alone.
			X		Notwithstanding those attempts, Mr Rayapen persisted, escalating to the offence of unlawful sex pen.
3.	The State of	35 yrs at time first offending.	5 x Sen pen without consent.	Ct 1: 2 yrs imp (conc).	Allowed.
	Western Australia	44 yrs at time sentencing.	1 x Indec assault.	Ct 2: 2 yrs imp (conc).	
	v Buscunan			Ct 3: 18 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned sentenced on mistaken basis ct 3 offence of indec
	Cabrera	Convicted after trial.	The offending occurred when the	Ct 6: 2 yrs imp (cum).	assault; length of individual sentences cts 1, 2, 3, 6 & 9 and totality
			victims visited Buscunan Cabrera in his	Ct 8: 9 mths imp (conc).	principle.
	[2023] WASCA 34	No prior criminal history.	capacity as a practitioner of natural	Ct 9: 2 yrs imp (cum).	
			medicine.		Resentenced:
	Delivered	Born Chile, moved to Australia		TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.	

21/02//2023

with family in 1983.

Completed yr 12; Bachelor of Iridology and Advanced Diploma in Natural Medicine.

Employed father's naturopath business; eventually took over business with his brother.

Married 10 yrs; two children.

Good physical and mental health.

No issues with drugs and alcohol.

The offending extended over a period of about five-yrs on five separate occasions.

<u>Ct 1</u>

The victim, AL, was aged 18 or 19 yrs. In the company of her boyfriend AL consulted Buscunan Cabrera, who performed iridology on her. He told her she had thrush. She was then told to remove her clothes and to lay down on the examination table. She was uncomfortable but did as instructed. He then touched her clitoris. He repeatedly told her that she had thrush. AL told him that she knew what thrush felt like and she did not have it.

Ct 2

The victim, NL, was aged 31 yrs. She consulted Buscunan Cabrera for shoulder and knee pain. During the examination he asked her to remove her pants. She did so, keeping her underwear on. He then manipulated her knee. After performing iridology on NL he told her she might have thrush and that he had to check her vagina. NL agreed because she felt desperate about her pain and thought it somehow might help. During the examination he inserted a finger into her vagina, then informed her he had found inflammation.

Ct 3

The victim, FJ, was aged 33 yrs. She visited Buscunan Cabrera for recurring thrush. After performing iridology on FJ he told her he needed to know what he was dealing with and asked her to remove her lower clothing. She complied. He used his fingers to press her clitoris and down around her labia for about one minute.

Ct 6

The victim, TC, was aged 29 yrs. She consulted Buscunan Cabrera as she suffered from migraines and had coeliac

EFP.

The trial judge found the respondent's offending very serious; it was opportunistic and carried out for sexual gratification over a considerable, lengthy period of time; the victims were vulnerable and the offending aggravated by his position of trust, which he ultimately breached by conducting examinations that were not medically warranted.

No findings of remorse; acceptance of responsibility or demonstrated insight into his offending; low risk of re-offending if employed different role and not as a naturopath.

The trial judge found the only appropriate sentencing disposition was a term of imp.

Ct 1: 3 yrs 9 mths imp (cum).

Cts 2 & 6: 3 yrs 9 mths imp (conc).

Ct 3: 3 yrs 3 mths imp (conc).

Ct 8: 9 mths imp (cum).

Ct 9: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).

TES 7 yrs 3 mths imp.

EFP.

At [57] ... it is apparent from his Honour's findings of fact that the pen the subject of ct 3 (while very serious) was less invasive than the penetrations the subject of cts 2, 6 and 9 (all of which involved digital pen of the vaginal canal) and slightly less invasive than the pen the subject of ct 1.

At [81] In the present case, the facts and circumstances of the respondent's offending in relation to cts 1, 2, 3, 6 and 9 were very serious. The respondent was in a position of trust in relation to the complainants and he breached that trust. The complainants regarded the respondent as a professional healer and they put their faith in him. The complainants suffered from a variety of ailments and were vulnerable. The impact of the respondent's offending upon the complainants was significant. His offending adversely affected their trust in medical professionals. The relevant examinations carried out by the respondent were not medically warranted. His motivation was sexual gratification. The offending was brazen, especially in relation to the complainant the subject of ct 1 ... whose boyfriend at the time was in the consulting room when the offending occurred. ...

At [85] ... each individual sentence imposed on the respondent for cts 1, 2, 3, 6 and 9 was not commensurate with the seriousness of the offence. ... the length of each individual sentence was unreasonable or plainly unjust.

At [87] Each individual sentence for cts 1, 2, 3, 6 and 9 was substantially less than the sentence open to his Honour on a proper exercise of the sentencing discretion. ...

At [93] ... the TES ... did not bear a proper relationship to the overall criminality involved in all of the offences, viewed together, and having regard to all relevant facts and circumstances and all relevant sentencing factors. ... The TES was unreasonable or plainly unjust.

			disease. After he performed iridology on her the conversation turned to sexual intercourse. TC was taken aback. She said intercourse was fine but sometimes painful. He said there could be ulcers on her vaginal walls and asked to examine her. During the examination he circled the entrance to her vaginal canal with his finger, then inserted two fingers about 3 cm into her vagina.		
			Cts 8 and 9 CM was aged 26 yrs. She had lupus, which caused her fatigue, join pain and rashes so she consulted Buscunan Cabrera. During the consultation he performed iridology on her. Following a discussion of her symptoms he asked to look at her joints and chest. She removed her top and bra. She was not given anything to cover herself. He examined her breasts by touching them (ct 8).	c Pullolic Prosecti	
			Buscunan Cabrera then spoke to CM about vaginal discharged and asked to check her for it. CM agreed. During the examination he used a torch and inserted a finger into her vagina and moved it around (ct 9).		
2.	The State of	<u>Tumata</u>	<u>Tumata</u>	<u>Tumata</u>	Allowed.
	Western Australia	, ,	$8 \times Agg$ sex pen without consent.	TES 14 yrs imp.	
	v Tumata	28 yrs at time sentencing.	3 x Agg indec assault.		Appeal concerned totality principle (individual sentences not
			1 x Demanding property with oral	Sheppard TEG 12	challenged).
	[2022] WASCA	Convicted after PG (cts 1, 6, 34	threats.	TES 13 yrs 6 mths imp.	Desentanced
	161	and 35) (10% discount). Convicted after trial (cts 2-5; 7-	10 x AOBH. 8 x Act with intent to harm.	Woods	Resentenced:
	Delivered 06/12/2022	22; 25; 28; 29; 31; 32; 36-38	2 x Threats to harm.	TES 12 yrs imp.	Tumata TES 17 yrs imp.
		Lengthy criminal history.	Sheppard	The sentencing judge found Tumata and	EFP.
			8 x Agg sex pen without consent.	Sheppard the ringleaders and that Woods'	
		Parents separated when aged 4	3 x Agg indec assault.	acted 'more as a follower' and he was overall	Sheppard
		yrs; raised by mother; sent to live	1 x Demanding property with oral	less culpable than Tumata and Sheppard;	TES 16 yrs 6 mths imp.
		with a relative in NZ aged 12 yrs	threats.	after the initial extortion the three	EFP.
		due to his behaviour; returned to	11 x AOBH.	respondents, sometimes as a pair or	Wasda
		live with his father, now	7 x Acts with intent to harm.	individually, engaged in a concerted,	Woods TES 14 yrs 6 mths imp
		estranged.	1 x Threat to harm.	persistent and ongoing course of conduct against M over an extended period; they	TES 14 yrs 6 mths imp. EFP.
		Limited literacy and numeracy	Woods	subjected M to increasingly violent physical	
		skills.	8 x Agg sex pen without consent.		At [113] The offending was aptly characterised by the State as

No history of paid employment; other than labouring work about aged 17 yrs.

Commenced cannabis and alcohol use aged 12 yrs; regular user of methyl and alcohol excessively.

Sheppard

23 yrs at time offending.27 yrs at time sentencing.

Convicted after PG (ts 1, 4, 6, 7, 16 and 35) (10% discount). Convicted after trial (cts 2; 3; 5; 8-15; 17-22; 25; 28; 29; 32; 34; 36; 38 and 39.

Lengthy criminal history.

Positive, stable and prosocial upbringing until the deaths of his mother and grandmother aged 15-16 yrs; struggled to deal with the grief; became homeless and associated with negative family members.

Completed yr 10; no real work history.

Methyl use from aged 15-16 yrs.

Woods

26 yrs at time offending. 30 yrs at time sentencing.

Convicted after trial (cts 1; 2; 4; 5; 7-14; 18-22; 28 and 29.

Significant prior criminal history.

Parents separated aged 2 yrs; lived with mother and siblings; positive home life; eventually lived with father, exposing him to domestic violence and substance abuse.

- 1 x Agg indec assault.
- 1 x Demanding property with oral threats.
- 4 x AOBH.
- 4 x Acts with intent to harm.
- 1 x Threat to harm.

The victim, M, was aged 22 yrs. He was remanded in custody and had never been to prison before.

Tumata, Sheppard and Woods, who were also prisoners, entered M's cell, alleging he was an informant. Sheppard told M he had to pay a fine, to increase each wk until it was paid. If the fine was not paid M was told he would be killed.

After this incident, over a period of 18 days and on an almost daily basis, Tumata, Sheppard and Woods subjected M to violence and brutality of the most extreme kind. This included beating, kicking and indecently assaulting him, choking him to the point he lost consciousness, burning him with boiling water and repeatedly sexually penetrating him with their bodies, a broom handle and a pencil.

Tumata, Sheppard and Woods also threatened to rape his partner.

money; Tumata and Sheppard were physically powerful men, M, helpless and defenceless and extremely frightened and scared of the three respondents who terrorised him; the attacks designed to intimidate and frighten; they attacked M's personal dignity and caused him to suffer significant embarrassment; the sexual offences designed to cower, humiliate and demean for the purpose of forcing him to pay money when there was no legitimate basis for the demand; the respondents' domination and control over M extended to his communications with his family and the attacks generally occurred inside a prison cell away from the sight of prison guards and other prisoners, with one of the respondents acting as a lookout.

No demonstrated insight into the consequences of their offending; no exhibited remorse, apart from the PGs entered by Tumata and Sheppard.

Offending profound effect on the victim.

sadistic, malicious, humiliating and intimidating. The respondents, in concert, deliberately preyed upon a highly vulnerable victim. ...

Together, the respondents waged a campaign of terror upon M, which caused him significant physical injury and broke him psychologically. The respondents' acts were merciless. They involved a level of deliberate callousness, cruelty and depravity seldom seen by this court.

At [114] An especially serious feature of the offending was that it was committed in a prison by inmates upon another inmate. ... Prisoners, particularly those who, like M, are young, alone and have never been incarcerated before, may be highly vulnerable to the threats and intimidation of more experienced prisoners such as, in this case, the respondents. ... [The victim's] vulnerability would have been apparent to the respondents, who immediately proceeded to take advantage of it.

At [118] ... the eight offences of agg sex pen involved a high level of criminality. The respondents together committed each of these offences over three separate and distinct incidents on different days, either as a principal or an aider. ... Each offence was committed in company and was designed to, and did in fact, terrify, degrade and humiliate M as well as cause him physical and psychological harm. ...

At [120] The seriousness of the offences of agg sex pen without consent was heightened because they occurred in the context of the ongoing extortion of M, ... All of these offences, when considered together, substantially increased each respondent's overall criminality,

. . .

		At time sentencing father and four brothers serving terms of imp.			
		Left school during yr 10; never had paid employment.			
		Long-term relationship; two children.			
		Introduced to methyl by his father.			
1.	Musgrave v The State of Western	23 yrs at time offending. 25 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Indec assault. Ct 2: Sex pen (digital).	Ct 1: 6 mths imp (conc). Ct 2: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	Dismissed.
	Australia [2021] WASCA 67	Convicted after trial.	The victim, S, was a young female backpacker from Europe. On her arrival	TES 3 yrs 6 mths imp.	Appeal concerned error in characterisation of the seriousness of ct 2 and length of sentence of ct 2.
	Delivered	Prior juvenile and adult criminal history.	in Perth she obtained work at a country tavern owned by Musgrave's parents.	EFP.	At [3]-[6] Ground 1 challenges the remark that the offence of sex pen without consent committed by the appellant, which consisted of
	23/04/2021	Youngest of three siblings; home environment free from substance	She was provided with a room, containing two beds, attached to the tavern.	The trial judge characterised the sexual penetration as no less serious by the fact that it was a digital penetration than it would have	[him] inserting his fingers into the complainant's vagina, was 'no less serious' by the fact that it was digital pen than it would have been had it been a penile pen. Underlying that challenge is the proposition that
		abuse and violence; experienced some difficulties growing up;	On New Year's Eve S completed her	been had it been a penile penetration.	penile-vaginal sex pen without consent is inherently more serious criminal conduct That proposition is not only wrong, as a matter of
		overweight; father a strict disciplinarian with high	shift and joined patrons and Musgrave's family in the celebrations. During the	The trial judge found the appellant's offending aggravated by his persistence; the	law. It is incoherent this Court has repeatedly confirmed, there is no hierarchy of sex pen. The seriousness of every offence of unlawful
		expectations; sexually abused by two ministers of religion aged 14 yrs.	evening she sat at a table and spoke with Musgrave, his mother and other people. However, S did not know	victim's vulnerability and defencelessness and the power imbalance, in that she was a foreigner who had recently arrived in	sex pen must be determined by its own individual circumstances At [186]-[187] the statement by the sentencing judge that the
		Left school aged 14; bullied; often	Musgrave's name and at no time did she talk solely with him.	Australia, she had limited English skills and she was employed by his parents.	appellant's offending in relation to ct 2 was 'no less serious by the fact that it was a digital penetration than it would have been had it been a
		retaliated resulting in his expulsion.	At about 4.00am S went to her room and went to sleep in her bed. Sometime	Offending very significant and continuing impact on victim.	penile penetration' indicated that, in her Honour's view, the sentence that should be imposed on the appellant for ct 2 involving digital penetration should not be materially less than the sentence that would
		Commenced TAFE pre- apprenticeship; did not complete	later Musgrave went to her room without invitation. He knocked	No victim empathy or demonstrated remorse;	have been imposed if the ct had involved penile penetration her Honour's view was not erroneous.
		the course. Some short term relationships; no	persistently on the door until she answered. He said something which she did not understand before asking S for a	continued to deny the offences; little understanding of appropriate conduct towards women; elevated risk of reoffending if	At [205] The appellant did not simply digitally penetrate the complainant's vagina without her consent. [He] sexually penetrated
		established long term relationships.	hug. She told him, 'no'. S then made it clear she was not interested in him and	treatment needs not addressed.	[her] despite [her] having made plain that she was not interested in him. Later, when the appellant was getting into her bed [she] reiterated
		Short periods of work various roles; employment terminated	that she wanted to sleep on her own. He then asked if he could sleep in her bed, to which she responded 'no'.		, forcefully and unequivocally, that she did not want any physical contact with him. The appellant ignored [her] wishes and, despite her having in substance expressly refused consent, sexually penetrated her
		primarily because of alcohol and drug misuse; unemployed two yrs	As he was the son of her employer S did		while she was sleeping. [His] offending was persistent and involved some premeditation. He breached the trust which the complainant had
		prior to sentencing. Good physical health; history of	not consider herself to be in any danger from Musgrave, and appreciating he was drunk and would be unable to drive		shown by permitting him to sleep separately from her but in her room. At [283] Nothing in the definition in s 319(1) or in s 325 of the
		hospital admissions for drug induced psychosis; periods of	a motor vehicle, she offered him the other bed in her room. He agreed.		Criminal Code suggests that any particular form of sex pen is, of itself, more serious than another That is not to suggest, that all

depression and suicidal ideation.		offences of sex pen without consent will be equally serious. Rather, the
	As S was falling asleep she realised	seriousness of a particular offence will fall to be assessed by reference
History of cannabis and alcohol	Musgrave was getting into her bed. She	to all of the circumstances of the case,
use; later amphetamines and other	screamed and told him to leave her	
drugs, including LSD; intravenous		At [322] The offending in ct 2 was clearly not at the most serious
methyl use aged 14-15 yrs.	into the other bed. Sometime later	end of the spectrum of offending conduct of this kind. Nevertheless,
	Musgrave offered to get out of her bed.	this case involved a very serious instance of sex pen without consent.
	S agreed and she returned to her own	
	bed and went back to sleep.	
	Later S woke up to find Musgrove in	
	her bed. Her clothing was pulled down.	
	He was touching her breasts and	
	penetrating her vagina with his fingers.	
	Shocked, S tried to push Musgrove away. She immediately got out of bed	
	and left the room crying.	
	and left the foom crying.	
	A short time later S returned to her	
	room, locked the door, showered and	
	prepared to leave. S then left the tavern	
	and hitchhiked to a regional urban area.	
	She reported the matter to the police	
	that same evening.	