Attempt to pervert the course of justice and conspire to defeat justice

ss 143 and 135 Criminal Code

From 1 January 2021

Transitional Sentencing Provisions: Each of the two tables is divided into thirds based on the three relevant periods of Sentencing Provisions:

- Post-transitional provisions period (after 14/01/09)
- Transitional provisions period (31/08/03 to 14/01/09)
- Pre-transitional provisions period (before 31/08/03)

These periods are separated by a row which shows when the transitional provisions were enacted, and another showing when they were repealed.

Glossary:

aggravated
attempted
concurrent
cumulative
count
eligible for parole
imprisonment
pervert the course of justic
plead guilty
pre-sentence order
suspended
total effective sentence

No.	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal
5.	The State of	27 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Dep lib.	Ct 1: 9 mths imp (cum)	Allowed.
.	Western Australia	28 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Threat to kill.	Ct 2: 18 mths imp (conc).	Thio wou.
	v LSM	20 yis at time senteneing.	Cts 3-5: Agg sex pen without consent.	Ct 3: 18 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned length of sentence.
		Convicted after late PG (25%	Ct 6: Att PCJ.	Ct 4: 3 yrs imp (conc).	rippedi concerned length of sentence.
	[2023] WASCA	discount).	Ct of the t co.	Ct 5: 4 yrs imp (conc).	Resentence (15% discount cts 1, 2, 3, 4 5 & 7 and 20% discount ct 6):
	132	discounty.	LSM subjected his wife, F, to a	Ct 6: 4 yrs imp (cum).	resentence (15% discount ets 1, 2, 3, 13 & 7 and 20% discount et 6).
		No prior criminal history.	prolonged episode of physical and	Ct 7: 9 mths imp (cum).	Ct 1: 2 yrs imp (cum)
	Delivered	The prior diministration of	sexual violence.	Control of the contro	Ct 2: 2 yrs imp (conc).
	01/09/2023	Eldest of two children; parents		TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.	Ct 3: 5 yrs imp (conc).
	01/05/2028	separated when young; four half-	Whilst out celebrating F's birthday	120 c yis s mus mp.	Ct 4: 6 yrs imp (cum).
		siblings; close and supportive	LSM became jealous and accused F of	EFP.	Ct 5: 6 yrs imp (conc).
		family.	being unfaithful. On leaving to go home		Ct 6: 5 yrs 9 mths imp (conc).
			they argued, so F said she would order	The sentencing judge found the respondent's	Ct 7: 18 mths imp (cum).
		Dyslexic; struggled at school;	an Uber. At this point LSM grabbed the	offending 'incredibly serious'; the dep lib	
		completed yr 11 and trade	back of her neck and forced her to walk	'involved significant levels of control',	TES 9 yrs 6 mths imp.
		apprenticeship.	to their car. He then drove dangerously	including forcing F into the car and driving in	r.
			at speed and repeatedly told her he was	a manner that caused 'very real danger'; the	EFP.
		Hard working; consistent	going to crash the car with her in it.	offending took place over a period of about	
		employment history; own	When F attempted to get out of the car	two hrs.	At [4] It is clear that the respondent's sexual violence against his wife
		business.	several times, LSM prevented her from		was a grotesque form of 'punishment' His sexual offences were
			doing so by grabbing her arm or hair	The sentencing judge found the sex offending	calculated to demean his wife and assert his dominance over her. He
		Good physical health; history of	and pulling her back into the car. She	occurred in the context that the respondent	was callously indifferent to her cries of pain and her pleas for him to
		alcohol and illicit drug use;	repeatedly asked SLM to pull over or	had already put F in danger; in circumstances	stop
		struggled with alcohol and methyl	slow down, but he continued to drive	where she was entitled to look to him for	
		use aged 19 – 25 yrs; relapsed	dangerously.	protection, as her husband; he was physically	At [24]-[27] there were, in essence, three distinct categories of
		into methyl use; coming down		much bigger than F, who was not able to	offending, each of which was inherently serious. All of the offences,
		from methyl and significantly	On two occasions SLM stopped the car.	resist him and the offending took place in the	had the underlying feature that they all involved the coercive
		intoxicated with alcohol at time of	F was able to get out of the vehicle and	family home, where she was entitled to feel	control by the respondent of his wife
		offending.	call triple zero. However, on both	safe.	
			occasions he forced her back into the		At [59] Another very serious feature of the respondent's offending
			car. F put her mobile phone under her	The sentencing judge found the respondent	was the nature and quality of the violence he inflicted on F. Domestic
			seat, with the triple zero operator still	continued his violent behaviour towards F,	and sexual violence can involve physical injury, sexual assault,
			on the line. The recording captured	who was calling out in pain and distress; the	psychological injury and emotional trauma. Domestic and sexual
			parts of the offending the subject of cts	telephone calls constituting the att to PCJ,	violence is a major concern in Australia The respondent's
			3 - 6.	demonstrated the exercise of coercion over	offending included behaviour that was calculated to intimidate, coerce
				her; the whole of the offending has to be seen	and control F. Denunciation of the respondent's criminal conduct and
			Over the course of about 2 hrs SLM	in the context of the family relationship.	personal and general deterrence were important sentencing
			deprived F of her liberty, during which		considerations.
			time he also committed cts 2-6.	Respondent remorseful; offending out of	A. [71] A
		X	Ou amining house CLM 1 1E'	character.	At [71] A very serious feature of the respondent's offending on cts 1, 2
			On arriving home SLM pushed F into		and 7 (which also permeated his offending on cts 3, 4, 5 and 6) was the
			the house, stripped her naked and		pattern of abuse that characterise his interaction with F All of those
			forcefully penetrated her vagina with		cts manifested behaviour by the respondent that was calculated to
			his fingers. This incident was captured		intimidate, coerce and control F.
			by the triple zero recording and F could		At [127] Paggues the respondent did not enter his DC on sounts 1 5
			be heard pleading with SLM to stop and his reply, 'I'll rape you if I want'.		At [127] Because the respondent did not enter his PG on counts $1-5$ and ct 7 at the first reasonable opportunity, her Honour did not have
			ms repry, 1 ii rape you ii I want.		
					the statutory power to reduce the head sentences she would otherwise

			SLM then forced F to perform fellatio, causing her to choke. He forced his penis into her mouth a second time, squeezing her throat with his hands while she did so, causing her to choke and experience difficulty breathing. The triple zero recording captured this incident. SLM then had sexual intercourse with F. This was again heard on the triple zero recording in which F is heard crying, exclaiming in pain, and repeatedly begging him to stop.		have imposed for these offences by 25% her Honour erred in law in doing so In respect of cts 1, 5 and ct 7, this error, regardless of grounds 2 and 3, would have enlivened this court's power to resentence the respondent. At [147] While the respondent's personal circumstances were not to be ignored, they could not, when weighed against the 'incredibly serious nature of the respondent's offending, give rise to what, on any analysis, were unduly lenient individual sentences for cts 3 - 6 and an unduly lenient TES.
			A short time later F was able to run partially clothed from the house. SLM		
			was arrested and was remanded in		
			custody.	1,70	
			While in custody SLM's telephone calls		
			were monitored and on a number of		
			occasions, during conversations with F,	c. V	
			he sought to suborn her into dropping the charges bought against him.		
4.	NSA v The State of	49-55 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Persistently engaged in sexual	Ct 1: 5 yrs imp (cum).	Allowed.
	Western Australia	57 yrs at time sentencing.	conduct child U16 yrs.	Ct 2: 1 yr imp (cum).	
	[2022] WASCA 52	Convicted often DC (200/	Ct 2: Sex pen child U13 yrs (digital). Ct 3: Poss CEM.	Ct 4: 8 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned error in law (cum of sentence of ct 2 with ct 1).
	[2025] WASCA 55	Convicted after PG (20% discount).	Ct 3: Poss CEM. Ct 4: Att PCJ.	Ct 4: 8 mths imp (cum).	Individual sentences not challenged.
	Delivered	discounty.		Ct 2 reduced from 3 yrs imp for totality and	Resentenced (20% discount):
	06/04//2023	Short and minor criminal history.	The victims, S and T, are brother and	Ct 4 reduced from 18 mths imp for totality.	
			sister and NSA's children. T has a	TEG 7	Ct 1: 5 yrs imp (cum).
		Good childhood; supportive	cognitive impairment.	TES 7 yrs imp.	Ct 2: 3 yr imp (conc).
		parents and younger siblings.	By reason of a Family Court order S	EFP.	Ct 3: 4 mths imp (cum). Ct 4: 11 mths imp (cum).
		Victim of sexual abuse aged 10	was placed in the care of her father.		Ct !! I'l mais mip (cum).
		yrs.	Over a period of five yrs, from the time	The sentencing judge found the offending	Ct 4 reduced from 18 mths for totality.
			she was 11 or 12 yrs old, NSA engaged	against S was prolonged and insidious having	
		Dyslexic; left school yr 10.	in varying kinds of sexual conduct with S (ct 1).	regard to the pretexts created by the appellant in order to cover his offending and his	TES 6 yrs 3 mths imp.
		Regular employment history;		ongoing sexualisation of S; S was particularly	EFP.
		worked variety of jobs.	When S was 12 yrs old NSA penetrated	vulnerable and T a very vulnerable young	
		Two adult children in addition to	her vagina with his finger (ct 2).	person by reason of his cognitive impairment.	At [49] s 321A(13) precluded the sentencing judge from ordering the sentence she imposed on ct 2 to be served cum upon the term
		S and T; at time of sentencing	In addition to the conduct the subject of	The sentencing judge found the att to PCJ	imposed on ct 1 it was not open to the sentencing judge to order the
		with current partner four yrs.	cts 1 and 2 NSA would engage in other	serious; he enlisted the assistance of others	accumulation of the sentence on ct 2 with the sentence on ct 1
		1	inappropriate conduct towards S.	close to his daughter to guilt her into	
		Reasonable physical health.	NSA's mobile phone was found to	withdrawing her assertions.	At [75] the sexual acts the subject of ct 1, did not include the offending the subject of ct 2.

3.	Curry v The State of Western Australia [2023] WASCA 10 Delivered 25/01/2023	31 yrs at time sentencing. Convicted after trial. Long criminal history; on bail at time of offending. Dysfunctional childhood; father physically and psychologically abusive and drug user; close relationship with his mother who remains supportive. Qualified electrician. Entrenched drug problem; long term user of methyl.	contain three photographs of T, aged about 12 years old, posing in women's lingerie and high-heeled shoes. The photographs were classified at Cat 1 (ct 3). In custody, NSA used intermediaries to suborn S to not cooperate in the prosecution against him (ct 4). 1 x Conspiracy to PCJ. Curry, and the co-offenders Mr Taylor; Ms Taylor and Rodgers, conspired to PCJ by pressuring and prevailing a victim, V, to provide a false statement in a criminal prosecution. Curry and Mr Taylor were both in custody. They knew each other and were housed in the same unit. There was also a further connection in that Taylor's mother, Ms Taylor, was a friend of Curry's mother. When it became known that V was prepared to provide a new statement Mr Taylor discussed the situation with Curry, providing him with a version of events significantly minimising the incident and his involvement in it. Curry agreed with Mr Taylor that, upon his release from prison, he would approach V to prevail him to change his account of the incident. Two wks after Curry's release from custody he contacted V, informing him he was entire or behelf of pagella in	Demonstrated lack of victim empathy and insight into consequences of his behaviour. 2 yrs imp (cum). EFP. Cum on TES 7 yrs 2 mths imp already serving. TES 9 yrs 2 mths imp. Co-offenders: Mr Taylor - 2 yrs imp, cum on existing TES 6 yrs imp. Ms Taylor - 2 yrs imp, conditionally susp 2 yrs. Rodgers - 3 yrs imp. The sentencing judge found the appellant played a critical role in carrying out the conspiracy; he was tasked with ensuring V substantially watered down his allegations and in letting V know that there would be unpleasant consequences if he was not cooperative; the conspiracy stood no chance of succeeding without his actions.	At [120] the appellant's offending the subject of ct 1 had a number of serious elements. The appellant's offending involved an egregious breach of the position of trust occupied by the parent of a child. As the appellant's daughter, S was, 'particularly vulnerable'. The offending was extremely prolonged, occurring over a period spanning five yrs. The appellant engaged in a series of pretexts to facilitate his carrying out of the various sexual acts. Further, the offending has had a profound adverse effect upon S. Dismissed (leave refused). Appeal concerned parity and totality principles. At [67] There was no material disparity in the sentencing outcomes for Mr Taylor and the appellant At [75] differences between Mr Taylor and the appellant did not justify a difference in sentencing outcome At [101] In was necessary, to properly mark the appellant's overall criminality, to order a degree of accumulation of the sentence concerning the conspiracy to PCJ. A failure to do so would have been erroneous the high order of seriousness of the appellant's offending, and the fact that he committed the offence of conspiracy to PCJ while on bail, required a degree of accumulation. At [106] The TES bears a proper relationship to the overall criminality involved in all of the offences,
			approach V to prevail him to change his account of the incident. Two wks after Curry's release from	unpleasant consequences if he was not cooperative; the conspiracy stood no chance	

			Rodgers and a number of attempts were made for V to see Rodgers. Eventually Curry drove V to meet Rodgers, resulting in a two-page handwritten statement written by Rodgers and		
			signed by V. At the time Rodgers was well aware that V was there to falsely		
			recant important parts of his earlier		
			statements to the police.		
			V provided the new statement to Curry, who provided it to Ms Taylor. Rodgers	Section of the sectio	
			also provided a copy to the lawyer involved in the prosecution.		
			V later contacted police and informed	402	
			them what was happening.		
2.	Ridgway v The	41 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Att PCJ.	Ct 2: 12 mths imp (cum).	Dismissed (leave refused).
	State of Western		Ct 3: With intent to harm did an act	Ct 3: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	
	Australia	Convicted after trial.	resulting in bodily harm. Ct 5: Poss unlicensed ammunition.	Ct 5: 6 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned errors of fact (injuries suffered and seriousness of victim's injuries); length of individual sentence ct 3 and totality
	[2021] WASCA	Extensive criminal history;		TES 4 yrs imp.	principle.
	143	convicted wide variety of offences	Ridgway was in custody on remand		
		over more than 20 yrs; numerous	when a SW was executed at the home	EFP.	At [50] Having regard to the relevant testimony of STH, the six
	Delivered	sentences of imp.	where he usually lived with his partner,		photographs and the evidence of Dr Wee, it was well open to the
	13/08/2021	Demonts as a second of the sec	ADT. A quantity of methyl was located	The sentencing judge found the offending	sentencing judge to make the findings he did about the injuries
		Parents separated aged 7 yrs; lived with his mother; childhood	at the home and ADT was charged with	serious and it was an aggravating factor that	suffered by STH, including the impugned findings concerning
		marred by father's substance use	two offences, including poss of methyl wiss.	the offending was committed while he was on home detention bail.	bruising, tenderness and the small superficial penetrating wound to the left arm.
		and violence.			
			Some days later Ridgway was released	The sentencing judge found the offence of att	At [52] Dr Wee identified one of the four wounds, being the 'small
		Left school during yr 11.	to bail and returned to live at the house. He arranged for the victim, STH, to sign	PCJ was pre-planned; he involved STH in the offence; although it was not carried out over a	superficial penetrating wound to the left arm', as more recent. This was consistent with STH's evidence that he had been stabbed in the arm
		Sporadic work history;	a statutory declaration form, blank save	longer period of time and the police were not	with scissors by the appellant his Honour did not find that there
		unemployed time sentencing; full-	for the details of the witness before	induced to act on the false statutory	were four penetrating wounds to the left arm. He referred only to one
		time employment available upon	whom he had purportedly executed the	declaration.	such wound. His Honour did not err in his finding
		his release from prison.	document.	The sentencing judge found ct 3 towards the	At [54] – [54] There is no merit in the claim that his Honour
		Three children from three	Ridgway later completed the factual	low to mid-end of the scale of seriousness; the	erroneously assessed the injuries suffered by STH as being 'towards
		relationships; married ADT after	details of the statutory declaration,	violence against STH were acts of vengeance;	the low to mid-end of the scale' Finally, his Honour did not err in
		this offending; wife and mother-	falsely stating the methyl found during	the injuries sustained by STH were not	his finding that the act of setting STH alight using a flammable
		in-law supportive.	the search belonged to STH. He then	serious or permanent, but the deliberate act of	substance had the potential to result in a 'potential risk to [STH's] life,
			provided the completed statutory	setting STH alight using a flammable	health and safety'. Such an act plainly had this potential
		Long-standing history of illicit	declaration form to police.	substance had the potential to result in very	
		drug use, particularly heroin and		serious consequences and was a high risk act.	At [67] Ct 2 was a reasonably serious example of its type. The
		methyl; attempts made to	Two days later STH went to Ridgway's		appellant hatched a plan in which he recruited STH to falsely take the
		rehabilitate himself; past	home. Ridgway was angry with him for	Some signs of remorse; participated in	blame for the offence committed by ADT. [He] had STH sign the
		participation in drug rehabilitation	not giving the false statutory declaration	counselling while in custody; motivated to	blank statutory declaration form, then later completed the factual
		programs, including naltrexone implants.	to the police. He grabbed STH by his shirt and neck chain and dragged him	avoid further illicit substance use.	details in which STH purportedly stated that the methyl found during the search of the house belonged to him Although the police
		impiants.	sinit and neck chain and dragged hilli	1	the search of the house belonged to him Although the police

	Suffers anxiety; depression and antisocial personality disorder.	inside. He then punched STH a number of times to the face and body, forced him onto a couch and continued to beat him over a long period of time. He also sprayed aerosol degreaser onto STH's arm and set it on fire, causing a burn to his arm. STH fled the house and hid. Sometime later STH was found by police and taken to hospital. He sustained a broken nose, bruising and a small superficial penetrating wound to his arm, caused by Ridgway stabbing him with scissors. Ridgway was arrested the next day at his home. A SW located 42 rounds of .22 calibre ammunition hidden in a vent in a bedroom.		
Charles v The State of Western	33 yrs at time sentencing.	1 x Att to PCJ.	2 yrs 6 mths imp.	Allowed.
Australia	Convicted after early PG (15%	Charles was in contact with a Mr Salt,	EFP.	Appeal concerned error of fact (late PG).
[2021] XX A C C A	discount).	who was remanded in custody on		December 24 (250) disease ()
[2021] WASCA 114	Longthy animinal history large	serious drug offences. Salt was a	The sentencing judge found the PG came late	Resentenced (25% discount):
114	Lengthy criminal history; large number of offences involving	schedule 2 offender for the purposes of the <i>Bail Act 1982</i> (WA) and needed to	in the day in the face of an exceptionally strong case.	22 mths imp.
Delivered	dishonesty.	demonstrate exceptional circumstances	strong case.	22 mas mp.
23/06/2021	Difficult life.	to obtain bail.	Remorseful; accepting of responsibility for the offending; steps taken towards	EFP.
		In support of his application for bail Mr	rehabilitation; addressing drug use and mental	At [43], the appellant's PG was entered at the first reasonable
	Diagnosed with borderline	Salt sent three letters to the Magistrates	health issues.	opportunity. The sentencing judge's finding that the plea was entered
	personality disorder; secondary	Court.		late in the day was a material error of fact
	diagnosis of PTSD; substance use disorder.	One of the letters was purportedly		At [49] The offending was a planned course of conduct sustained
	disorder.	written by Mr Salt's ex-partner. Her		over a number of days, involving the writing of three letters and the
	Drug user.	name and been misspelt and the mobile		assumption of a number of false identities. It involved an att to mislead
		telephone number provided in the letter		a court in the exercise of its judicial functions. However, it is relevant
		was registered to Charles.		to note that the course of conduct was not designed or likely to result
		The author of the second letter was		in the wrongful conviction or acquittal of any person of an offence
		purportedly written by a Naomi Rodling		While the appellant's conduct was a serious example of the offence, it was not the most serious category of offending against s 143 of the
		Lester, who claimed to have taken care		Criminal Code.
		of Mr Salt's son and that work was		
		available for Mr Salt. In fact, Mr Salt's		At [51] the seriousness of the offending was such that a sentence of
		son had never resided with Charles and		immediate imp was the only appropriate kind of sentence.
		there was no such offer of employment.		

	The third letters indicated a Ms Black was prepared to rent a property she owned to Mr Salt. The home was actually owned by a person in NSW, and was leased to Charles. Charles, claiming to be Ms Black, was subsequently interviewed by a					
	Community Corrections officer preparing a home detention report.					
	Some weeks later a search warrant was executed at Charles' home, where the original letters sent to the Magistrates Court were located. Drafts of the letters were also found.	Prosection of the second secon				
	During the search Charles denied any knowledge of the letters, but admitted going by names which included Naomi Rodling Lester.	Olojic				
Transitional Provisions Repealed (14/01/2009)						
Transitional Provisions Enacted (31/08/2003)						
	Maximum penalty for attempt PC.	I increased from 2 yrs to 7 yrs imp (16/12/1987)				