Robbery & Aggravated robbery

s 392 Criminal Code

From 1 January 2021

Glossary:

agg aggravated att attempted burg burglary conc concurrent cum cumulative

EFP eligible for parole imp imprisonment

OMG outlaw motorcycle gang

PG plead guilty susp suspended

TES total effective sentence

No.	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal
11.	The State of	24 yrs at time offending (IND	IND 815	IND 815	Appeal allowed.
11.	Western Australia	815).	1110 013	<u>1142 013</u>	rippear anowed.
	v Tawhitapou	26 yrs at time offending (IND 92).	Ct 1: Agg burg.	Ct 1: 8 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned first limb of totality principle and factual error in
	v I a w mapou	27 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Stealing.	Ct 2: 4 mths imp (conc).	sentencing.
	[2024] WASCA 25	27 yrs at time sentenenig.	Ct 2: Steaming. Ct 3: Agg burg.	Ct 3: 6 mths imp (conc).	Scheneng.
	[2024] WASCA 25	Convicted after PG (20%	Ct 3. Agg burg.	et 3. 6 mais mp (cone).	Resentenced:
	Delivered	discount)	IND 92	IND 92	Resentenced.
	15/03/2024	discount)	<u>IND 92</u>	<u>IND 92</u>	15% discount.
	13/03/2024	Criminal history mostly minor	Ct 1: A ag hura	Ct 1: 2 yrs imp (cons)	1370 discount.
		Criminal history; mostly minor	Ct 1: Agg burg.	Ct 1: 2 yrs imp (conc).	INID 015
		and traffic offences.	Ct 2: Agg armed robbery.	Ct 2: 2 yrs 2 mths (HS).	<u>IND 815</u>
		Down in NZ, name an ant resident	Ct 3: Agg robbery.	Ct 3: 14 mths imp (cum).	Ct 1, 2 yes 4 mths imm (sons)
		Born in NZ; permanent resident	IND 015	TEC. A run imm	Ct 1: 2 yrs 4 mths imp (conc)
		status; arrived in Australia at 14	<u>IND 815</u>	TES: 4 yrs imp.	Ct 2: No penalty.
		yrs old; moved to WA when he		EED	Ct 3: 20 mths imp (conc).
		was 22 yrs old.	The respondent entered through the	EFP.	INID 02
		D (1 1 1	front door of SWS's home and stole		<u>IND 92</u>
		Parents separated when he was	various items from the living room the	The sentencing judge erroneously referred to	
		11; father abused alcohol and	kitchen and the study. SWS was at	the offending the subject of ct 1 as occurring	Ct 1: 2 yrs 4 mths imp (conc).
		normalised domestic violence;	home when the offence was committed.	when the victims were not home.	Ct 2: 4 yrs 10 mths (HS).
		grandparents raised him for some	The total value of the property stolen		Ct 3: 2 yrs 2 mths imp (cum).
		time before moving to Australia.	was about \$650 (cts 1 and 2).	The sentencing judge found there was limited	mra a
				evidence of remorse, apart from the pleas of	TES: 7 yrs imp.
		Attended boarding school; bullied	During the same night the respondent	guilty. However, the respondent was still	
		by students; completed high	burgled another home in an adjacent	relatively young and had taken some positive	EFP.
		school in Queensland.	suburb. The respondent and a co-	steps towards rehabilitation.	
			offender entered CS's premises by a	A	At [58] 'the prosecutor's reading of the material facts was
		Worked as a telecommunications	gate and unsuccessfully attempted to	Offending had significant impact on EEC and	erroneously transcribed as "[t]he victim wasn't home at the time of the
		technician, trades assistance and	enter the house through an exterior	BG. EEC has been prescribed a high dose of	offence" However, his Honour found (presumably in reliance upon
		scaffolder.	bedroom door. The respondent and the	antidepressant medication; resulted in the	the erroneous transcription) that SWS was not at home at the time of
			co-offender stole two cans of soft drink	need for psychotherapy. BG has experienced	offending.'
		Alcohol and cannabis use from	from a refrigerator in an undercover	depression, and the offending has exacerbated	
		early age, increased consumption	alfresco area (ct 3).	his bipolar disorder.	At [72] 'in the present case, the respondent's offending, considered as
		of substances prior to offending.			a whole, was very serious. In particular, the respondent's offending the
			IND 92		subject of the counts in IND 92 was egregious. The gravity of the
		On and off again relationship; one			respondent's offending the subject of the counts in IND 92 is obvious.
		child from that relationship.	EEC answered a knock at the front door		In additionthe respondent committed the aggravated robbery against
			of her house. As she opened the door,		AMT while he was on bail for the other offences.'
		Depression and anxiety.	the respondent grabbed the flyscreen		
			door and swung it open. The respondent		At [73] 'denunciation of the respondent's criminality and personal and
			punched EEC to the mouth, then		general deterrence were important sentencing considerations.'
			punched her again and grabbed her by		
			the throat. He then put EEC in a		At [81] 'the total effective sentence of 4 years' immediate
			headlock and dragged her along the		imprisonment was not commensurate with the seriousness of the
			hallway (ct 1).		respondent's offending considered as a whole.'
			BG heard the commotion and came to		At [82] 'we consider that, when the total effective sentence is viewed
			EEC's aid. BG and the respondent		from the perspective of: (a) the maximum penalties for the offences;
			grappled, and a co-offender with a knife		(b) the facts and circumstances of the offences considered as a whole;
			entered the house. BG ran towards the		(c) the vulnerability of the complainants; (d) the general pattern of

10			co-offender and attempted to push him out the front door. BG and the co-offender wrestled for control of the knife, and the co-offender pushed the knife into BG. The respondent grabbed BG around the neck and pulled him away from the co-offender. The respondent and the co-offender kicked BG to the head. The respondent then lay on top of BG and held his shoulders, shaking him and hitting his head on the ground. EEC saw the assault, and went inside to call the police. The co-offender forced his way into the house, held the knife towards EEC and demanded money. EEC gave the co-offender \$200 in cash. (ct 2). Whilst on bail for the above offending, the respondent encountered AMT at a carpark shopping centre. The respondent and a co-offender bumped into AMT, then chased him as he began to walk away. The respondent punched AMT to his face, causing him to drop his wallet. The co-offender took the wallet. As the co-offender began to punch AMT, the respondent told him to 'get the phone'. The co-offender grabbed AMT's mobile phone and ran to his vehicle; the respondent struck AMT several more times and grabbed AMT's other mobile phone (ct 3).	of Philipping Section of the Control	
10.	The State of Western Australia	25 yrs at time offending.26 yrs at time sentencing.	1 x Agg armed robbery.	2 yrs imp.	Dismissed.
	v Slater	Convicted after PG (20%	Slater attended a supermarket and placed about \$100 worth of groceries	EFP.	Appeal concerned length of sentence.
	[2023] WASCA	discount).	into a shopping trolley. He proceeded to	The sentencing judge found it did not matter	At [25]-[32] Discussion of comparable cases.
	105	Reasonably lengthy criminal	leave the store without paying for the items.	whether the threatening words were said as Slater had physically assaulted the store	At [33] There is no doubt that the offence committed by the respondent
	Delivered	history; no prior sentences of imp.		manager and threatened him with the syringe;	was serious her Honour's characterisation of it as being not at the
	05/07/2023	Subject of an 8 mths CBO at time	Confronted by the store manager Slater pushed the trolley away and allegedly	the syringe was a serious weapon because it created fear of a serious blood-borne	most serious end of the offending of this type is justified. Although the victim was shoved at one point, he was not physically injured. The
		offending.	said 'Fuck off mate. I will smash you'.	infection; offence not at most serious end	respondent produced the syringe from his pocket, but did not brandish

		Raised 'Christian family'; parents and grandparents supportive.	He then shoved the manager backwards and tried to retrieve the trolley. The manager grabbed the trolley.	because value of what stolen was low, and the violence used and the level of threat was towards, although not at, the lower end. Moreover, victim was unhurt; the type of	it. The syringe remained capped at all times and, thus, the risk of the victim being injured or suffering a serious blood-borne infection was nowhere near as great as in other cases The offence was not premeditated and although somewhat persistent, lasted about a minute.
		Educated to yr 7; left school after being assaulted by an employee of the school.	At this point Slater pulled a capped syringe from his pocket and allegedly said 'I will stick you', a number of times. He repeatedly moved towards the	offending was too common in stores; Slater showed a degree of persistence acting aggressively even after the store manager let go of trolley; at the time Slater was on CBO;	At [35] The circumstances of the offending and offender were such that an immediate term of imp was inevitable. However, the mitigating circumstances were sufficient to justify a reduction in the term of
		Worked variety of jobs; unemployed at time offending.	manager, quickly and with a degree of aggression, while holding the capped syringe in his hand down by his side.	and although Slater needed food and was using amphetamines which badly affected his judgment, it was no excuse.	immediate imp that might otherwise have been imposed
		Long-term relationship since aged 16 yrs; four children from union.	The store manager released the trolley.	The sentencing judge found given the seriousness of Slater's conduct and the need	
		In good health; history of illicit drug use; cannabis from aged 13 yrs; introduced to methyl at same	At all times the syringe remained capped and he did not hold it up or in any other way brandish it towards the	for deterrence the only appropriate sentence was a term of immediate imp.	
		age; in grip of methyl addiction, using daily, at time offending.	The manager recorded Slater on his	Prospects of rehabilitation; steps taken in custody to address his drug addiction and vocational and parenting skills.	
			mobile phone. Slater responded by walking aggressively towards him a number of times.	E P W	
			The entire incident lasted about a minute.	10,	
			Slater denied making any threats to the manager.		
9.	Ugle v The State of Western Australia	44 yrs at time offending.46 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Agg burg. Cts 2 & 3: Dep lib. Ct 4: Agg robbery.	Ct 1: 5 yrs imp (cum). Cts 2 & 3: 3 yrs imp (conc). Ct 4: 4 yrs imp (conc).	Dismissed. Appeal concerned totality principle.
	[2022] WASCA 135	Convicted after trial.	Ct 4. Agg robbery. Cts 5; 6; 8-11; 13 & 14: Agg sex pen. Ct 7: Threats with intent to compel.	Cts 5; 8 & 13: 17 yrs imp (conc). Cts 6 & 9: 17 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	At [95] In our view, it was reasonably open to the trial judge in the
	Delivered 21/10/2022	Significant prior criminal history; subject to a CBO at time of offending.	The victims were Ms S and her friend, Ms P.	Ct 7: 2 yrs imp (conc). Ct 10: 18 yrs imp (conc). Ct 11: 16 yrs 10 mths imp (conc). Ct 14: 18 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	present case to regard some degree of accumulation of individual sentences to be called for to reflect the overall seriousness of all the appellant's offending
	Co-offender:	Chaotic, deprived and traumatic upbringing; absent father; predominantly raised by	Ugle had met Ms S on one occasion, to purchase drugs from her. He believed she kept a large quantity of cash at her	TES 23 yrs 6 mths imp.	At [96] In assessing the overall criminality involved in the offending considered as a whole it is relevant to take account of the fact that the offences were all committed over a single period of about eight hrs.
	Herz v The State of Western Australia	grandparents; childhood marred by alcohol abuse and domestic violence; sexually abused by relative from aged 8.	home. With the intention of stealing the cash Ugle and the co-offender Herz and two unidentified males drove to her home.	EFP. The trial judge found the appellant's offending agg by his use of the tomahawk	However, it is also relevant the sex offences against S extended over a period of hrs and involved a series of very traumatising sex pen without consent, which themselves justify individual sentences The agg home burglary offence was itself a serious example of that
	[2022] WASCA 73	Two sisters; mother in a nursing	Ugle and Herz and one of the	axe, which he used to intimidate, threaten and coerce S into complying with his demands; he	offence, involving a home invasion in company while armed which
	Delivered 27/06/2022	home at time sentencing.	unidentified males approached the home. Ugle knocked on the door. When	gained entry to the home by fraudulent means (identifying himself as a neighbour) and	committed against a separate complainant, P, was itself an egregious offence Forcing S to inject herself with methyl, after she had

Completed yr 12 high school.

Employed various roles; voluntary community work.

Single; 11 children from three former partners.

History methyl use; commenced using drugs aged 21 yrs.

the door was partially opened they forced it open and Ugle and Herz entered the house. The other male remained outside acting as lookout. Ugle was carrying a tomahawk and covered his hands in socks.

The victims were separated. Ugle, armed with the tomahawk, kept Ms S in one room and Herz stood over Ms P in another. Ms S was directed to hand over all mobile phones and the house and car keys.

Ugle demanded cash from Ms S. When she told him she did not have any he demanded \$4,000 and stated if he did not get this sum he would steal her car and everything in her house.

Ugle trashed the home looking for cash or items to steal. While this occurred Herz guarded the victims. Ugle loaded stolen items of property into the boot of Ms S's BMW.

Both victims were terrified and helpless and feared being seriously harmed.

On realising the home had CCTV cameras Ugle demanded the footage be deleted. Ms S was unable to do so, so he pulled out the CCTV recorder and hard drive and bundled them into the boot of Ms S's car.

Ugle became agitated about the absence of cash so Ms P offered to withdraw money from her account. It was agreed Herz would escort her to an ATM. Ugle held the tomahawk above Ms S's head and threatened to kill her and Ms P's family if she called the police or failed to return. Ms P withdrew \$1,000 from an ATM. This money was given to Ugle, who then demanded she withdraw \$1,000 each day, over the next three days. He told Ms P he would keep Ms S hostage until the full amount was paid.

physical force; he was in company; it was premeditated, planned and could not be seen as opportunistic offending and it was not fleeting in nature; the offending destroyed the sanctuary and safety S ought to have felt within the confines of her home and he made multiple threats to harm and kill, adding an element of terror.

The trial judge found the sex offending deplorable violations that destroyed, not only the sanctity of S's body, but the sanctuary of her home; the sex penetrations were violent and forceful in nature; while the offending constituted one course of conduct, it nevertheless was persistent, ongoing, repetitive and brutal; the appellant sex penetrated S persistently over the course of three to four hrs; collectively this offending included every conceivable type of penetration to the victim and he recorded the offences; he did not wear a condom; when the victim cried and pleaded with him to stop, it did nothing to deter him from continuing to violate her and he berated S for not acting like she was enjoying the abuse.

Offending traumatic and ongoing impact on S and P; trauma to S, devastating and widespread; att suicide.

No demonstrated remorse or victim empathy.

already done so earlier in the evening at the appellant's direction, represented a separate violation of S's personal autonomy and carried the risk of harmful effects. ...

At [97] ... a TES of 23 yrs 6 mths' imp was within the discretionary range properly open to the trial judge. The TES ... did not infringe the first limb of the totality principle. It was not unreasonable or plainly unjust. ...

He made further threats to kill her and her family if she did not comply with his demands. Ms P was eventually allowed to leave. Ugle then told Herz he could leave and he did so. After Herz left Ugle, still holding the tomahawk, started touching Ms S's leg. She became extremely upset and told him she did not want to do anything with him. Angered by her response and ignoring her refusals he pulled down her leggings and recorded her with her underwear down. He asked for sex and she complied out of fear. He forced his finger deep inside Ms S, causing her pain. He then forced his erect penis inside her mouth and exposed and touched her vagina, while recording her. Earlier Ms S offered methyl to Ugle and Herz, in the hope of de-escalating the situation. Concerned there might be something wrong with the drugs Ugle told Ms P to inject some of it. Instead, Ms S allowed Ugle to inject her. Later Ugle arranged for Herz to return to Ms S's home with more methyl. Ugle injected himself with some of the drug and then directed Ms S to inject herself too. She refused. Angry, he threatened that if she did not do so he would make her take all of the drug. Compelled by Ugle's threats, and despite being fearful of an overdose, she injected herself. Ugle then directed Ms S into the bedroom. He tried to kiss Ms S, then removed her clothes. Ms S was crying and extremely upset. He filmed himself performing cunnilingus on M S. He then forced her to perform fellatio on him, ignoring her pleas when she told him she did not want to. Ugle then again inserted his penis into her vagina.

			Due to the aggressive manner in which Ugle was penetrating her Ms S began to bleed. He told her to take a shower. Inserting his finger into her anus before she did so. While Ms S showered he entered the ensuite and unsuccessfully att to insert his penis into her vagina from behind. Out of the shower Ugle again performed cunnilingus on Ms S. He then forcefully had intercourse with her. The tomahawk still next to him. Ms S was crying and clearly distressed. Ugle responded with fits of anger and told her to stop crying and to start acting like she was enjoying it. The sexual offending lasted three to four hrs. At the conclusion of the sexual assaults Ms S suggested to Ugle that they drive to her mother's home, where she could get the money he wanted. Ugle agreed. At Ms S's mother house he told her to collect the cash and to immediately return to the vehicle, while he waited in the car. Inside the house Ms S's mother saw her in a highly distressed state, crying and shaking. She told her mother she had been raped and she immediately called the police. Concerned Ms S was taking much longer than anticipated Ugle concealed the tomahawk in the car, left the vehicle and started to walk away. On hearing sirens he began to run. He was pursued	of Pulblic)	
8.	Creusot v The	Creusot	by police, who apprehended and arrest him. Ct 1: Agg burg.	Creusot	Dismissed (leave refused).
	State of Western Australia	56 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Agg armed robbery.	Ct 1: 3 yrs 4 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 4 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned length of sentence (totality and double punishment).
	[2022] WASCA	Convicted after trial.	Creusot and Howell broke into a home unit, smashing a window to gain entry.	TES 7 yrs 10 mths imp.	Individual sentences not challenged.
	117	Substantial criminal history.	One was armed with a handgun. They were both wearing hooded jumpers	EFP.	At [191] ct 2 was, as the trial judge observed, a very serious example of agg armed robbery. The appellants disguised themselves
	Delivered 06/09/2022	Parents separated while young; primarily raised by grandmother;	pulled tightly over their faces.	Howell Ct 1: 2 yrs 4 mths imp (aym)	and brought with them a loaded handgun. They used the gun in demanding money from the complainant. Further, one of the appellants
		irregular contact with father;	The victim, on hearing a noise, called	Ct 1: 3 yrs 4 mths imp (cum).	deliberately discharged the gun.

			. 1 11' 10' 11 . 1 1		
		ongoing and supportive	out and armed himself with a torch and	Ct 2: 4 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	A. [105] . (C. / O
		relationship with mother and	can of pepper spray. When he	Conc with sentence already serving.	At [195] if ct 2 were viewed in isolation from ct 1, the sentence
		sisters.	discovered Creusot and Howell		imposed would be so low as to invite the question – why is the
			attempting to get in he attempted to	TES 7 yrs 10 mths imp.	sentence so low? far from revealing the trial judge's failure to have
		Completed yr 10.	fend them off by brandishing the torch.		regard to the need to avoid double punishment, the individual
				EFP.	sentences imposed on ct 2 positively point to the conclusion that her
		Employed truck driver 25 yrs,	The handgun was pointed at the victim.		Honour properly did so.
		until loss of his MDL.	Creusot and Howell then took turns	The trial judge found the appellants'	
			searching for money, while the other	offending at the high end of seriousness for	At [192] These agg features of the appellants' offending distinguished
		16 yr relationship; two children;	held the gun at the victim and	offences of this kind; it was premeditated;	it from the vast majority of agg armed robbery offences, underlining
		history of domestic violence.	demanded money.	involved the use of a disguise and the	the seriousness of the appellants' offending.
		-		bringing of a handgun; the use of violence in	
		Entrenched history of alcohol,	They repeatedly asked the victim to	physically assaulting the victim was	At [208] The appellants' offence by ct 1 was in the more serious
		cannabis and methyl use;	identify the location of his money. He	gratuitous, given the absence of resistance;	category of a violent home invasion.
		willingness to engage in substance	denied having any.	the victim was vulnerable and the appellants	
		abuse counselling.		were armed and the use of the gun was	At [222] it cannot reasonably be argued that the TES infringed
			In an effort to extract information from	particularly serious as it was not only	the first limb of the totality principle. That total sentence bears a proper
		Howell	the victim, the gun was fired into a	brandished, but it was fired.	relationship to the overall criminality of each of the appellants'
		$\overline{40}$ yrs at time sentencing.	wardrobe, near to where the victim was		offending
			sitting.	The trial judge found only a term of imp the	
		Convicted after trial.	6	only appropriate sentence given the	
			Before leaving the unit, the victim was	seriousness of the offending.	
		Substantial criminal history.	threated he would be killed if he went to	and the same of th	
		Repeat offender.	the police.	Creusot	
			me ponee.	Offending agg by fact one month before	
		One of four children; good	Creusot and Howell were later	offending placed on CSIO.	
		relationship with mother and	identified by DNA from blood inside	onenamy places on egre.	
		sisters; father mostly absent;	the house. They denied ever being at the	Howell	
		witnessed violence and substance	unit.	High risk of reoffending if unable to abstain	
		abuse.		from drug use.	
		Attended school until yr 7.			
		Thended sensor until yr /.			
		Never employed.	A ~ (2)		
		Trever employed.			
		22 yr relationship; acts of	CA		
		domestic violence against his			
		partner; three children.			
		1			
		Solvent and cannabis use from	2.09		
		aged 12 yrs; methyl use; sustained	×		
		from drugs in custody.			
7.	The State of	35 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Agg burg.	Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc).	Allowed.
	Western Australia	37 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Agg armed robbery.	Ct 2: 3 yrs imp (conc).	
	v McDonagh	,	<i>55</i>	J 1 (****/*	Appeal concerned plea discount; error in finding (cooperation
		Convicted after late PG (25%	McDonagh and four co-offenders	TES 3 yrs imp. CSIO 18 mths.	provided) and length and type of sentence.
	[2022] WASCA	discount).	travelled to the home unit of the		
	108	,	victims, Mr H and Ms G. McDonagh	Genuinely remorseful; insight into his	Resentenced to (10% discount):
		Significant prior criminal history.	was carrying a large spanner, hidden up	offending; acceptance of responsibility;	
<u> </u>	1	- G prior triminal motory.		,	

Delivered 22/08/2022

503 days spent in custody prior to sentencing.

Dysfunctional deprived upbringing; violent father; parents separated when an infant; lived with mother; limited contact with his father; felt neglected, rejected and abandoned by his father.

Mother's new partner verbally, emotionally, physically and sexually abusive; this relationship ended when aged about 5 yrs.

Another of mother's relationships lasted about seven yrs; this man was charged, convicted and imp for sex abuse of his eldest half-sister.

Alternated living between his parents until aged about 19 yrs.

Three significant relationships; young autistic son.

Current partner and mother remain very supportive.

Bullied at school; antisocial peer group; expelled yr 9.

Completed yr 10 at TAFE; number of employment courses.

Employed various labouring roles; number of periods of unemployment.

Diagnosed with ADHD; medicated since aged 13 yrs; diagnosed and medicated for depression, anxiety and PTSD.

History of illicit drug use; under influence of alcohol, cannabis and methyl at time offending.

his sleeve.

At the unit Ms G, partially opened the front door. As she did so, one of the co-offenders pulled her out of the doorway by her hair. She was wearing only a towel. She ran and hid between some cars.

McDonagh and the co-offenders then entered the unit. Mr H was inside and retreated to a bedroom where he tried unsuccessfully to escape through a window. He then shut the door and barricaded it. Outside McDonagh yelled out to Mr H words to the effect that he was going to kill him as he owned them money.

McDonagh then kicked the door multiple times and struck it with the spanner, damaging it and causing a large hole. He then struck Mr H on the arm with the spanner through the hole he had created.

McDonagh and one of the co-offenders then forced the door open and ran into the bedroom. McDonagh and two co-offenders surrounded Mr H and demanded property and money from him. McDonagh also struck Mr H several times with the spanner to the head and body. A co-offender then grabbed Mr H's wallet containing \$470 in cash, a gold necklace and a mobile telephone.

After taking these items McDonagh and the co-offenders left the unit together.

Ms G suffered soreness to her back and neck. Mr H suffered bruising, a significant muscle tear in his arm and a cut requiring sutures.

cooperative with law enforcement.

Abstained from alcohol and illicit substances; complied with all conditions and directions of home detention bail.

Offending profound psychological impact on victim Mr H.

Ct 1: 6 mths imp (cum).

Ct 2: 5 yrs imp (cum).

TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp. EFP.

At [57] The respondent's offending on ct 1 and ct 2 was egregious. The offending involved some planning and premeditation. The respondent acted in company. The circumstances of the commission of the offence would have been frightening to the victims. The respondent seriously assaulted [Mr H] with the spanner. The victims' home was damaged. Property was stolen. ... The respondent's PGs were mitigating, but were indicated and entered at a late stage of the proceedings. ... the respondent is at a high risk of future violent offending unless he continues to address the problems referred to [in the psychological report]. ...

At [64] In the present case, after evaluating the sentence ... for ct 1 ... we are satisfied that it was not reasonably open to the sentencing judge to fail to be satisfied that it was inappropriate to suspend or conditionally suspend (wholly or partly) the sentence of imp. ...

At [70] In the present case, after evaluating the sentence ... imposed by her Honour for ct 2 ... we are of the opinion that the sentence was manifestly inadequate as to type.

At [84] We have further reduced each sentence that we would otherwise have imposed for each offence to recognise the respondent's compliance with the conditionally sus sentences imposed by the sentencing judge ...

At [87] ... we have reduced the sentence we would otherwise have imposed for ct 1 from 3 yrs immediate imp ... for the purpose of totality and to avoid punishing the respondent twice ... In particular, the respondent has been punished for his violence and his AOBH in the resentencing for ct 2, but not in the resentencing for ct 1.

. Herz	z v The State of	54 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Agg burg.	Ct 1: 4 yrs imp (cum).	Dismissed (leave refused).
	tern Australia	56 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 2 & 3: Dep lib.	Ct 2: 2 yrs imp (conc)	
			Ct 4: Agg armed robbery.	Ct 3: 2 yrs imp (conc).	Appeal concerned error in sentencing (double punishment cts 1 and 4)
[202	22] WASCA 73	Convicted after trial.		Ct 4: 3 yrs 3 mths imp (cum).	and parity principle.
			The victims were Ms S and her friend,		
Deli	vered	Criminal history; no prior	Ms P.	TES 7 yrs 3 mths.	At [42] Each offence (cts 1 and 4) had some significantly different
27/0	06/2022	sentences of imp.			circumstances. Notably, each theft involved a different victim. Each
			The co-offender Ugle had sold drugs to	EFP.	offence also involved some significantly different legal and factual
		Raised loving and supportive	Ms S and he believed she kept a large		elements. Although the offences occurred in the course of one overall
		family environment.	quantity of cash at her home. With the	Appellant sentenced on basis he was not the	series of criminal actions, there is nothing in the sentencing remarks to
			intention of stealing the cash Ugle and	principle offender.	indicate that her Honour infringed the principle against double
		Educated to yr 11.	Herz drove to Ms S's home. Herz and		punishment. Each individual sentence for cts 1 and 4 was towards the
			Ugle were accompanied by two	The sentencing judge described the offending	lower end of the range open on a proper exercise of her discretion.
		Employed number of positions;	unidentified males.	as 'serious criminal behaviour' and	
		owned and ran successful		characterised the severity of the offending as	At [46] While the appellant's involvement in the offending was less
		business.	Herz, Ugle and one of the unidentified	being 'at the very least mid-range'.	than that of Mr Ugle, it was significant. He actively assisted Mr Ugle
			males approached the home. Ugle		to forcibly enter (Ms S's] house. He offered support, encouragement
		Previous long-term relationship;	knocked on the door. When the door	The sentencing judge found the appellant and	and muscle in subduing the victims, both of whom were vulnerable,
		two adult children.	was partially opened he and Herz forced	Ugle committed the offences in company and	and terrifying them into submission. The appellant stood watch over
			it open and entered the house. The other	armed with an offensive weapon and the	[Ms S] and [Ms P] while Mr Ugle searched the house and stole various
		Suffers back pain from	male remained outside acting as	victims' vulnerable women who were	items. The appellant accompanied [Ms P] to the ATM to ensure she
		degenerative spine; depression;	lookout.	subjected to threats to kill.	withdrew \$1,000 in cash and obtained from her the PIN to her ATM
		2008 suicide attempt.	Hala was sameing a tamahawk and	Vistima severale and advancely transmitted	card, which Mr Ugle intended to use to withdraw, another \$3,000.
		Compakie was a sad 16 years	Ugle was carrying a tomahawk and	Victims severely and adversely traumatised.	The sentencing judge characterised the appellant's role with respect
		Cannabis use aged 16 yrs;	covered his hands in socks.	No finding of convince removes on victim	to ct 2 and 3 as 'crucial'. This characterisation is correct.
		commenced using methyl aged 39 yrs; abstinent from methyl eight	The victims were separated. Herz stood	No finding of genuine remorse or victim	At [48] Despite the fact that the offences were part of one criminal
		yrs; recommenced using 2017;	over Ms P in one room and Ugle, still	empathy.	transaction, they were multi-faceted. Some accumulation was required
		continued methyl use on bail in	armed with the tomahawk, kept Ms P in		in order to appropriately reflect the appellant's overall criminality.
		breach of bail condition.	another. Ms S was directed to hand over		in order to appropriately refrect the appenant's overall eliminanty.
		oreach of ban condition.	all mobile phones and the house and car		
			keys to prevent the victims from		
			leaving.		
			A A		
			Ugle demanded cash from Ms S. When		
			she told him she did not have any he		
			demanded \$4,000 and stated if he did		
			not get this sum he would steal her car		
			and everything in her house. Ms S,		
			scared and in shock began to cry.		
			Ugle then trashed the home looking for		
			cash or valuable items to steal. While		
			this occurred Herz guarded the victims.		
			Eventually Ugle loaded stolen items of		
			property into the boot of Ms S's BMW.		
			At some point Herz picked up the		
1			tomahawk.		

			Both victims were terrified and helpless and feared being seriously harmed. When Ms P questioned whether they would be killed Herz told her if she did not do as she was told she would be. On realising the home had CCTV cameras Ugle demanded the footage be deleted. When Ms S was unable to do so he pulled out the CCTV recorder and		
			hard drive and bundled them into the boot of Ms S's car. Ugle became agitated about the absence of cash so Ms P offered to withdraw money from her bank account. Herz escorted her to an ATM. Prior to their leaving Ugle held the tomahawk above Ms S's head and threatened to kill her and Ms P's family if she called the police or failed to return with the cash.	R Pilolic Pros	
			Ms P withdrew \$1,000 from an ATM and gave the money to Herz, who gave the cash to Ugle on his return to the house. Ugle then demanded that she withdraw \$1,000 each day, over the next three days. He told her he would keep Ms S hostage until the full amount was paid. Ugle made further threats to kill Ms S, Ms P and her family if she did not comply with his demands.		
			Ms P was eventually allowed to leave, but not before Herz asked for, and received, the PIN to her account.		
5.	The State of	36 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Agg armed robbery.	Ct 1: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	Allowed.
	Western Australia v O'Driscoll	Convicted after trial.	Ct 2: Steal MV. The victim, Mr W, left a friend's house	Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc). TES 2 yrs 6 mths imp.	Appeal concerned length of sentence ct 1 and totality principle.
	[2022] WASCA 65	Long criminal history.	to drive home. As he walked up the		Resentenced:
	Delivered 09/06/2022	Older brother and identical twin brother; 12 yrs of age when father	driveway to his vehicle he was confronted by O'Driscoll, holding a firearm, possibly a sawn-off shotgun.	Cum with sentence already serving (3 yrs 6 mths imp).	Ct 1: 5 yrs imp (conc). Ct 2: 18 mths imp (conc).
		disappeared; suffered significantly at the loss of his father' victim of sexual abuse.	O'Driscoll was aggressive and demanded Mr W hand over his car	TES 6 yrs imp. EFP.	Cum with sentence already serving.

			keys, threatening to shoot him if he did		TES 8 yrs 6 mths imp.
		Left school yr 11; engaged in	not do so.	The sentencing judge found the offending	EFP.
		destructive behaviours.		involved a degree of premeditation having	
			In shock Mr W did not immediately	regard to the fact he was already holding the	At [48] Having regard to all of the circumstances of the case, the
		Struggled to hold down a job.	comply. O'Driscoll grabbed him and	firearm at the time he first engaged Mr W; he	sentence of 5 yrs' imp her Honour would have imposed but for the
			tried to drag him towards the road, all	also armed himself with a tomahawk; the	totality principle was, at least, lenient. But to reduce that sentence by
		Three significant personal	the while keeping the gun pointed in his	offending conduct was persistent and lasted 7	50% for totality was too great a discount for this purpose and has
		relationships; daughter aged 17	face.	or 8 minutes; he used actual violence against	resulted in the imposition of a manifestly inadequate sentence for the
		yrs; current partner of eight yrs		Mr W, injuring him; he left the scene without,	offence
		supportive.	O'Driscoll struck Mr W to the side of	in any way, assisting Mr W; Mr W was	
		11	his ear with the firearm. As Mr W was	vulnerable and suffered serious psychological	At [52] the agg armed robbery offence was a particularly serious
		History of substance abuse;	bent over with his jacket over his head	harm.	example of its type. The sentence imposed by her Honour was,
		commenced using alcohol and	O'Driscoll struck him with an object		manifestly inadequate. When this offence is considered, along with all
		cannabis aged 14 yrs; methyl at	(probably the firearm) on the back of	Ongoing psychological trauma suffered by	of the respondent's other offending, the TES does not bear a proper
		aged 17 yrs; methyl use persisted	his head.	the victim; lost his job as a result of the	relationship to the overall criminality involved in all of the offences,
		over time.		offending.	
		- · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Still holding the firearm, O'Driscoll	,	
			took a tomahawk from Mr W's vehicle	Appellant not remorseful and no acceptance	
			and brandished it, again demanding Mr	of responsibility for his offending.	
			W's car keys and threatening to shoot	or responsibility for this orienting.	
			him.		
			Mr W put his keys on the bonnet of his	C. V	
			vehicle. Using the keys O'Driscoll		
			started the vehicle and drove from the	Oy	
			area. The vehicle was located the	X.	
			following day, crashed into a tree.		
			Tonowing day, crashed into a tree.		
			Mr W suffered a laceration to the back		
			of his head which required staples. He		
			also suffered bruising and abrasions.		
4. Jahhi	ie v The State	22-23 yrs at time offending.	IND 2405	IND 2405	Dismissed (leave refused).
of We		24 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 4; 7 & 12: Agg robbery.	Ct 4: 2 yrs 3 mths imp (conc).	Distributed (leave relation).
Austra		21 yis at time senteneing.	Cts 5 & 11: Agg armed robbery.	Ct 5: 4 yrs imp (head).	Appeal concerned lengths of individual sentences cts 5 and 7; totality
110000		IND 2405	Cts 8 & 10: Agg burglary.	Ct 7: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	principle and error in sentencing commencement date.
[2022]		Convicted after late PG – cts 4, 7-	Cts 9; 14-15: Stealing.	Ct 8: 2 yrs 2 mths imp (conc).	principle and error in senteneing commencement date.
	-	9 and 11-16 (18% discount).	Ct 13: Steal MV.	Ct 9: 1 yr 8 mths imp (conc).	At [73]-[74] Ct 5 involved a violent attack on a rideshare driver, using
Delive		Convicted after very late PG – cts	Ct 16: Att agg burglary.	Ct 10: 2 yrs imp (conc).	a weapon, while the appellant was in company. The appellant sprayed
		5 and 10 (15% discount).	or in agg outgrain.	Ct 11: 3 yrs 4 mths imp (conc).	the victim in the face while the victim was driving, thereby
057027		IND 1443	IND 1443	Ct 12: 3 yrs imp (conc).	endangering the victim and members of the public. The victim was
		Convicted after early PG (25%	Ct 1: Wilful damage by fire.	Ct 12: 3 yrs hip (conc). Ct 13: 1 yr 6 mths imp (conc).	providing a service to the public. He was vulnerable to an unexpected
		discount).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ct 14: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	attack while he was driving. The offending has had profound and
			IND 2405	Ct 15: No further punishment.	enduring effects on the victim, who has suffered PTSD and suicidal
		Extensive criminal history;	Ct 4	Ct 16: 1 yr's imp (conc).	depression the sentence of 4 yrs imp on ct 5 is comfortably within
		including offences of violence and	Jabbie approached the victim walking	F ()	the range of sentences available on a proper exercise of the sentencing
		dishonesty.	down the street. Without warning he hit	IND 1443	discretion
		y -	the victim around the head, causing him	Ct 1: 1 yr's imp (cum).	
		Disadvantaged and difficult	to fall to the ground. He further	1 (, , ,	At [75]-[76] Ct 7 involved a violent attack on a 65-yr-old taxi driver.
		upbringing; born Liberia; only	assaulted the victim. Jabbie stole the	TES 8 yrs 6 mths imp.	The appellant punched and kicked the victim, rendering him

child; parents separated when young; largely raised by grandparents.

Came to Australia to live with his father; arriving via refugee camp; troubled relationship with stepmother; offended against his stepsister; removed from the family home by Department of Communities until aged 17 yrs.

Poorly educated; limited employment opportunities; some salesperson and gardening work.

Two young sons from former relationship; relationship marred by violence; no contact with his children for over two yrs.

Diagnosed with depression aged 19 yrs.

Commenced alcohol and cannabis use aged 13 yrs; methyl aged 17 yrs.

victim's mobile phone, headphones and wallet.

<u>Ct 5</u>

Two days later, the victim, an Uber driver, agreed to drive Jabbie and three other males. Jabbie was in the front seat when he sprayed the victim in the face with an unknown substance as he was driving. The victim, in pain, stopped his vehicle, got out and ran away, before falling. Jabbie went up to the victim, searched his pockets and took his wallet and a sum of money. Jabbie then tried to leave in the victim's vehicle, but he could not start it. The victim required treatment for his injuries.

Ct 7

About nine days later the victim, aged 65 yrs, collected Jabbie and a female in his taxi. When he was unable to pay the fare at the end of the journey the victim told him he would return them to where he had picked them up. Jabbie became aggressive and punched the victim. He instructed the victim to stop the car. When he did so Jabbie continued kicking and punching him. The victim lost balance and was rendered unconscious.

Jabbie then removed \$2,700 in cash from the victim's pocket. The victim was hospitalised due to his injuries.

Ct 8

Several days later Jabbie and a cooffender entered a house and stole a number of items valued at \$1,170. While inside the house the victim and her daughter returned. Jabbie tried to hide before fleeing.

Ct9

After fleeing the home the subject of ct 8 Jabbie jumped a fence into the backyard of the neighbouring home. He stole two cans of soft drink from a

EFP.

The sentencing judge found the appellant's overall offending 'very serious; given the number of victims, some of whom were elderly, and the ongoing consequences for the victims.

The sentencing judge found the offending the subject of IND 1332 was serious because of the risk of harm to others at the prison. The risk of serious injury or death caused by fire was considerably increased within the confines of the prison due to the significantly delayed ability to escape the area's security mechanisms.

Appellant remorseful; some insight into his offending; high risk of reoffending.

unconscious. Again, the victim was providing a service to the public. The appellant stole a large sum of money ... from the victim. The appellant's offending has had significant medical, psychological and financial consequences on the victim, ... the sentence of 3 yrs 6 mths on ct 7 is well within the range of sentences available on a proper exercise of the sentencing discretion. ...

At [80] The appellant's offending caused serious harm to a number of different victims. He violently attacked the victims of cts 4, 5, 7, 11 and 12, many of whom continue to suffer significant adverse effects from the attack. ...

At [81] Given the substantial number of serious offences the subject of [IND 2405], accumulation, to some substantial degree, was necessary to reflect the seriousness of the offending. ... Accumulation of the sentence on the offence the subject of [IND 1443] was necessary and appropriate, given that the offence was serious and was committed while the appellant was a sentenced prisoner.

At [82] In our view, the TES ... was well within the proper exercise of the sentencing judge's discretion.

fridge in a side room. He fled when the occupants returned home. Cts 10 and 11 That same day Jabbie entered the garage of the victim, aged 77 yrs, with the intention of stealing his car. The victim went to investigate the noise and was confronted by Jabbie, who sprayed him with a fire extinguisher. Jabbie then tried to enter the house to find the car keys, however the victim pushed him back and closed the door. Jabbie then fled. Cts 12 and 13 The next day Jabbie approached the victim's vehicle. The victim, aged 64 yrs, had just finished work and gotten into his car. Jabbie elbowed the driver's window, smashing it completely. The victim sustained a large cut to his arm. Jabbie took the keys to the vehicle. The victim got out of the car and an altercation ensured. After the fighting stopped Jabbie took the car keys and demanded property from the victim. The victim said he did not have anything and asked for his keys back. Jabbie refused and left on foot, taking the car keys with him. The victim walked to his place of work. Jabbie then went inside and confronted him again. This time demanding his watch. After a brief altercation he stole the victim's watch. The victim's employer intervened and asked Jabbie to return the victim's belongings, but he refused and left in the victim's vehicle.

Cts 14 and 15

Later that same day Jabbie smashed a window of the victim's residential unit. He stole jewellery, including family heirlooms of sentimental value, with a value estimated at about \$30,000. Some of the jewellery was recovered, but a large amount remains outstanding.

			Ct 16 The following day Jabbie attempted to gain access to the victim's house by kicking in the door. The victim heard the noise and saw Jabbie on a CCTV camera and called the police. Jabbie left and did not gain access to the house. IND 1443 While incarcerated Jabbie put a sheet over a device he had set up through an electrical socket in his cell. The sheet ignited and the fire spread to the mattress before being extinguished. The fire caused around \$2,000 of damage.	70°S	
3.	The State of Western Australia v Doodson [2021] WASCA 148 Delivered 19/08/2021	44 yrs at time offending and sentencing. Convicted after early PG (25% discount). Extensive criminal history. Difficult childhood marked by deprivation; parents separated at aged 5 yrs; middle child of three children; violent alcoholic father; exposed to violence, alcohol abuse and dishonesty at an early age; supportive family. Left school aged 16 yrs. Joined OMG; rose to senior member; engaged in significant crime as a gang member; claims to have retired from the gang. Four children from three relationships; ex-wife mother of his youngest child.	In the early hrs, the victim was awoken by Doodson knocking on his door. He had met Doodson through his housemate, Mr Gustafson, and he knew Doodson was a member of an OMG. Doodson was in a state of great distress and attended the house seeking comfort and assistance from Mr Gustafson. The victim allowed him to enter his home. A short time later the victim saw Doodson smoking a cigarette inside the house and asked him to smoke outside. In response Doodson struck the victim to the face with his elbow. Stunned, the victim stumbled backwards. Doodson then punched him in the face with a closed fist. Doodson shouted at the victim that he was going to take his motorcycle and demanded the keys to his Harley Davidson. The victim att to distance himself from Doodson, who continued to point at him in an aggressive manner while repeatedly demanding the motorcycle keys.	EFP. Cum with sentence of 6 yrs 9 mths imp already serving. TES 7 yrs 9 mths imp. Released to parole the day prior to the commission of the agg robbery offence. The sentencing judge found the offending 'particularly serious'; the victim suffered serious bodily harm and terror in his own home; the respondent took advantage of the violence he had unjustifiably used against the victim to demand his motorcycle and transfer papers; he enlisted Mr Gustafson to assist in driving the motorcycle away and the offences were committed while he was on parole. Remorseful; high risk of aggressive behaviour if emotionally distressed and intoxicated; prepared to accept treatment; some prospects of rehabilitation.	Allowed. Appeal concerned length of sentence and totality principle. Resentenced (25% discount): 2 yrs 9 mths imp. Cum with sentence of 6 yrs 9 mths imp. TES 9 yrs 6 mths imp. EFP. At [53] the respondent committed a serious agg robbery offence in the early hrs of the day following his release on parole. This was the second occasion on which the respondent had been released on parole [He] remained a violent and dangerous offender, for whom considerations of a personal deterrence and community protection loomed large in the exercise of the sentencing discretion At [55] The agg robbery offence was a serious example of a serious offence. Actual violence was used in a manner which terrified the victim in what should have been the sanctity of his own home The victim suffered bodily harm of a serious nature as a consequence of the violence inflicted upon him by the respondent. The property stolen was valuable. While not planned, the offending was persistent both in the demands made on the morning of the offence and subsequent demands for signed vehicle transfer papers. The offence was agg by the fact that it was committed just after [his] release on parole. At [56] Having regard to all of the circumstances of the three offences and the respondent's personal circumstances, in our view the TES fails to bear a proper relationship to the overall criminality involved in

			The victim, fearing for his safety, gave the keys and ownership documents for his motorcycle to Doodson, who then ordered the victim to sign over the motorcycle to him. He was unable to do so as he did not have any transfer papers at his house. Doodson left in his vehicle, asking Mr Gustafson to follow behind him on the motorcycle.		all of the offences, viewed in their entirety. The decision to impose a sentence of only 12 mths' immediate imp for the agg robbery offence, was unreasonable or plainly unjust
			The next day, Doodson continued to communicate with the victim through Mr Gustafson, demanding he complete the transfer papers. Fearing for his safety, the victim completed the seller portion of the transfer papers and had them delivered to Doodson.	Ryoseci	
			The victim's motorcycle was customised and was valued at approx. \$30,000.		
			The victim attended hospital. He was treated for fractures to the bones in his		
			face and diagnosed with nerve damage	. 0	
			to his cheek, nose and upper mouth.		
	Morley v The State	27 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Agg assault with intent to steal.	Ct 1: 2 yrs 8 mths imp (cum).	Dismissed.
	of Western Australia	28 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Armed robbery.	Ct 2: 3 yrs 4 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned totality principle.
	Austratia	Convicted after PG (20%	The victim was volunteering as a	TES 6 yrs imp.	Appear concerned totality principle.
	[2021] WASCA	discount).	carpark attendant. She was wearing a	120 0 J. 5 III. P.	At [36] [The maximum penalties for the offences] are one yardstick
l l	134	,	bum bag in which she put cash received	EFP.	of the seriousness of the appellant's offending.
		Limited criminal history; no prior	for parking.		
	Delivered	sentences of imp; no offending	M. I. S. I. J.	The sentencing judge found the appellant's	At [37] ct 1 had a number of serious aspects. It was premediated.
	30/07/2021	between 2014-2019.	Morley formed a plan to rob the victim.	offending involved a degree of planning and premeditation; he was armed with a knife on	His use of a knife while wearing a scarf to conceal his face, would have made the incident a very distressing experience for the victim.
		Disadvantaged and dysfunctional	Morley approached the victim and as he	both occasions; both victims were vulnerable,	There was a degree of persistence in the appellant's offending as, when
		upbringing; parents separated	did so he pointed a knife with a 15cm	and he concealed his face with a scarf	the victim did not hand over the money in response to his demand, he
		before he was born; exposed to	long blade at her and demanded money.	committing the first offence, reinforcing the	took hold of the strap of the victim's bum bag, trying to take it from
		domestic violence and parents'	Taking hold of the strap of the victim's	distress for the victim.	her. Such a confrontation had the potential for serious unforeseen
		substance abuse.	bum bag he persisted in trying to take it	Domonostyl, vietim amouthyn accounted	injury to the victim. His offending came to an end only because of the
		Long term relationship; two	from her, all the while holding the knife. The victim frantically tried to	Remorseful; victim empathy; accepted responsibility for his offending; insight into	intervention of others.
		young sons; partner pregnant with	take the bag off.	factors contributing to his drug use; positive	At [38] Both victims were vulnerable people who were in the
		twins; separated at time of		steps taken towards rehabilitation; unlikely to	course of providing services to members of the public
		offending; reunited prior to	Other volunteers approached so Morley	reoffend if able to maintain abstinence from	
		sentencing.	let go of the bum bag and fled.	drug use.	At [39] The two offences were quite distinct, occurring a week apart
		Good employment history;	One wk later Morley entered a fast-food		and having no relationship. In the circumstances, accumulation of the sentences, at least to a substantial degree, was appropriate.
		Good employment instory,	One wik later whorley efficied a rast-1000		somenees, at reast to a substantial degree, was appropriate.

		working up until offending. Diagnosed and medicated for depression and anxiety. History of drug use; ceased using during his relationship; under the influence of drugs and alcohol at time offending.	store. The victim, a young female employee, was the only person in the store. Holding a boxcutter knife he walked around the counter and demanded the victim open the cash register. Out of fear the victim did what she was told. When the register was open Morley took \$323 in cash. Morley was arrested the next day.		At [41] The criminality of the appellant's offence the subject of ct 2 could well have justified an individual sentence for that offence which was longer than the sentence imposed by his Honour At [42] the TES can fairly be said to be high. It was open to have imposed a lower TES. However, taking into account the matter outlined in [36] – [41] above, and giving full weight to the mitigating factors, we are not persuaded that error in the exercise of the sentencing discretion can be inferred
1.	The State of	23 yrs at time offending and	1 x Unlawful detention with intent to	Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (cum).	Allowed.
1.	Western Australia	sentencing.	gain a benefit by threat or demand.	Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (cuin). Ct 2: 3 yrs imp (conc).	Allowed.
	v ADS	senteneng.	Ct 2: Agg burg (commercial property).	Ct 3: 3 yrs 4 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned length of individual sentences cts 1, 2 and 3 and
	, 125	Convicted after early PG (25%	Ct 3: Agg robbery.	Ct 4: 8 mths imp (cum).	totality principle.
	[2021] WASCA 99	,	Ct 4: Wilful destruction of evidence.		
	,	,		TES 7 yrs imp.	Resentenced (25% discount):
	Delivered	Prior criminal history; on parole	The victim, Mr L, was aged 81 yrs and		
	02/06/2021	for previous offending at time	he walked with the aid of a walking	EFP.	Ct 1: 5 yrs imp (cum).
		offending.	stick. He owned a business dealing in		Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).
			firearms and military collectables.	The sentencing judge found the offending	Ct 3: 4 yrs imp (cum).
		Born Europe; very young when		involved planning and preparation and	Ct 4: 9 mths imp (conc).
		came to Australia; normal	After closing the store for the day Mr L	involved some persistence; the respondent	
		childhood; loving family.	departed in his motor vehicle. ADS and	was actively involved in the preparation to	TES 9 yrs imp.
			his co-offender followed in a hired van,	commit the offences, including carrying out	
		Learning difficulties; left school	which ADS had earlier in the day fitted	surveillance of the store and the victim, he	EFP.
		after yr 9; struggles with reading	with stolen registration plates.	purchased items needed for the offending and	
		and writing.		obtaining the van and the stolen number	At [80] The facts and circumstances of each of cts 1, 2 and 3 were
			At an intersection ADS and the co-	plates; he was 'actively and willingly	extremely serious.
		Employment history adversely	offender deliberately drove the van into	involved in all aspects of this offending' and	A. F041 A
		affected by drug use and time in	the rear of Mr L's vehicle. They	was equally culpable; he participated for	At [81] As to ct 1, the offenders monitored [Mr L's] movements for
		prison; worked as a painter.	directed Mr L to drive a short distance	financial reward.	some time prior to the offending. They planned to ambush [him] when
		One long town relationship, little	and park. After doing so Mr L got out	The centencine judge found the victim was	he was alone and most vulnerable. The staging of the traffic accident to
		One long term relationship; little contact with 6 yr old son.	of his vehicle and approached the offenders' van with the intention of	The sentencing judge found the victim was vulnerable because of his advanced age and	lure [him] from his vehicle to the offenders' van was a pernicious feature of the offending. [Mr L] was subjected to a very frightening
		Contact with 6 yr old son.	exchanging details. ADS and the co-	physical condition; they detained and	ordeal. He was physically assaulted and threatened, including by
		Illicit drug use from aged 15 yrs;	offender dragged and forced Mr L into	restrained him; threatened him with actual	threats to kill. [He] feared for his life. He was unlawfully detained for a
		commenced using methyl aged	the van.	violence and to kill him and inflicted bodily	significant period, namely about two hrs. [Mr L] has suffered
		16-17 yrs; affected by methyl at	the van.	harm on him.	emotional and psychological consequences from the offending The
		time of offending.	Mr L was punched in the face and		kidnapping was undertaken to facilitate the commission of the planned
		time of offending.	threatened repeatedly that he would be	Very traumatic affect on victim; continues to	agg burg and the planned agg robbery. Bearing in mind all of those
			killed if he did not provide them access	suffer emotional and psychological	features of the offending in relation to ct 1, there is no doubt that ct 1
			to his store and the vaults of his	consequences, including trauma, recurring	was a very serious example of the offence
			business. He was handcuffed, his feet	nightmares; difficulties sleeping and painful	
			were tied together with cable ties and a	recurring problems with his back and	At [82] As to ct 2, the manner in which the offenders gained entry to
			cloth was placed over his head and	shoulders.	the store highlighted the seriousness of their offending against
			secured with duct tape. He was also		commercial premises.

struck on the leg with an object and punched on various parts of his body and once on his head. They took his mobile phone and his bag containing personal items.

ADS then drove the van to Mr L's store, where, acting under threats, he supplied the offenders with the alarm code to the security system and the access code to the vault.

Mr L was locked inside the van as ADS and the co-offender entered the store and removed large quantities of firearms and ammunition. They then returned to the van and struck Mr L with an object, demanding the codes and keys to the safe. He provided those details to avoid being assaulted again.

Mr L was then taken into the store and the handcuffs and blindfold removed. He was threatened with further violence if he did not provide the safe codes.

When the safe was unlocked ADS and the co-offender removed a large quantity of handguns, which they loaded onto trolleys and wheeled out of the store.

Mr L was able to lock the door to the store and activate the duress alarm. ADS and his co-offender then left. Police arrived a short time later.

A total of 141 firearms, valued at \$215,000, were stolen, along with 10,700 rounds of assorted ammunition valued at \$6,000.

Mr L was taken to hospital by ambulance. He suffered bruising/swelling to his leg, wrists, ankles and above his eye and a cut to one of his fingers.

Later the same day ADS and the co-

Expressions of remorse and victim empathy; medium risk of future offending.

At [83] As to ct 3, the offending involved the offenders stealing a large quantity of firearms and ammunition, having a substantial commercial value, for the purpose of selling the firearms and ammunition on the black market. ... If the firearms and the ammunition had been sold and distributed to criminals, there was a real risk that they may have been used for dangerous and life threatening activities.

At [84] ... The fact that all of the offences were committed while the respondent was on parole for previous offending was an egregious feature of his conduct.

At [86] In our opinion, the sentence for each of cts 1 and 3 were not commensurate with the seriousness of the offence. ... the length of the sentence for each of cts 1 and 3 was unreasonable or plainly unjust.

At [90] In our opinion, the TES for cts 1, 2, 3 and 4 did not bear a proper relationship to the overall criminality involved in all of the respondent's offences, viewed together, and having regard to all relevant facts and circumstances and all relevant sentencing factors. ...

offender drove to a location where they burnt the clothing they had worn while committing the offences as well as items taken from Mr L, including his wallet, glasses and keys.	
Less than a week later police located the firearms and ammunition in a storage room at business premises connected to ADS.	
ADS continued to deny knowing what the co-offender was planning.	