# **Stealing**

# including Steal Motor Vehicle

ss 371A and 378(7) Criminal Code

From 1 January 2021

# Glossary:

agg	aggravated
att	attempted

CBO community based order

conc concurrent cum cumulative ct count

EFP eligible for parole imp imprisonment MV motor vehicle PG plea guilty

TES total effective sentence

No.	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal
20.	Mackey v The	27 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Agg home burg.	Ct 1: 5 yrs 8 mths imp (HS).	Appeal dismissed (leave refused).
	State of Western		Ct 2: Agg Ass with intent to rob.	Ct 2: 1 yr 6 mths imp (cum).	
	Australia	Convicted after trial (cts 1–3).	Ct 3: Wilful damage.	Ct 3: 1 yr imp (conc).	Appeal concerned the first limb of the totality principle and parity with
		Convicted after PG (ct 4).	Ct 4: SMV.	Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (conc).	co-offenders.
	[2025] WASCA				
	120	Minor criminal history; traffic	On the day of the offending Mr Jones,	TES: 7 yrs 2 mths imp.	At [55] 'the appellant was charged with separate offences in counts 1
		offences, numerous wilful damage	Mr French, and Mr Thorne were at the		and 2, constituted by separate acts which occurred at separate times
	Delivered	and trespass offences.	home of another drinking and	EFP.	(albeit that the conduct charged in count 2 was immediately followed
	12/08/2025		socialising for some hours. Shortly after		from the conduct in count 1). It is relevant to the assessment of the
		Born and raised in rural WA	10:00 pm, the group agreed to attend	<u>Co-offender — Mr Jones</u>	overall criminality involved in all of the appellant's offending to note
		communities; middle of three	the victim's property with the intent of		that his criminal responsibility arose under s 7(b), s 7(c) and s 8 of the
		children.	forcibly recovering property.	Jones v The State of Western Australia	Code rather than as a person who "actually did" the acts which
				[2024] WASCA 115	constituted the offences. However, no double punishment is involved
		Left school part way through year	The appellant was woken by a phone		in punishing the appellant for both offences for which he is criminally
		10; completed a boilermaker	call immediately prior to the offending	Ct 1: 6 yrs 6 mths imp (HS).	responsible in that manner. The assessment of the appellant's overall
		apprenticeship; gainfully	and agreed to the meet the group at a	Ct 2: 3 yr 3 mths imp (conc).	criminality properly took into account the two separate offences for
		employed as a welder.	service station to provide assistance.	Ct 3: 1 yr imp (conc).	which the appellant's criminal responsibility for those offences arose
				Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (conc).	[in the course of a single continuous course of conduct] did not
		Diagnosed ADHD at 10 yrs of	<u>Ct 1</u>		preclude some degree of accumulation of the sentences imposed on
		age.		Served cum on a 5 yr sentence for unrelated	counts 1 and 2 of the indictment.'
			Around 11:00 pm, the victim, JB was	offending.	
		<u>Co-offender — Mr Jones</u>	awoken by the sounds of two		At [56] ' the appellant willingly aided and supported Mr Jones, as
			motorcycles in the driveway of the	TES: 11 yrs 6 mths imp.	his criminality moved from forcibly entering the dwelling and
		Jones v The State of Western	premises in which he lived. JB got up,		assaulting the complainant to using violence to steal the complainant's
		Australia [2024] WASCA 115	turned on the living room light and	<u>Co-offender — Mr French</u>	car keys. The appellant was, throughout, physically present, providing
		21 66 1:	opened the front door. JB saw Mr Jones		support, and willing to assist further if required. The appellant's
		31 yrs at time offending.	pacing towards him wielding a baseball	Ct 1: 5 yrs 8 mths imp (HS).	ongoing support of Mr Jones' conduct the subject of count 2 involved
			bat. JB retreated into the premises. Mr	Ct 2: 1 yr 6 mths imp (cum).	criminality going beyond his support of the earlier conduct the subject
		One of four children; suffered	Jones and the co-offenders followed JB;	Ct 3: 1 yr imp (conc).	of count 1.'
		abuse as a child.	Mr Jones then struck JB to the head	Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (conc).	A4 [57] Co. addition in determining the communicate test of effective
		Laft asked in vm 10, shtsined	with the baseball bat.	TEG. 7 sug 2 miles imm	At [57] 'in addition, in determining the appropriate total effective
		Left school in yr 10; obtained	Ct 2	TES: 7 yrs 2 mths imp.	sentence, the trial judge was required to assess the overall criminality
		various trade qualifications;	<u>Ct 2</u>	EFP.	involved in all of the appellant's offending. This included the
		operated his own fabrication	ID eventually moved to the couch.	EFF.	offending charged in counts 3 and 4 of the indictment. Where the
		business.	JB eventually moved to the couch; there, Mr Jones struck him multiple	Co offender Mr. Thomas	principle of totality comes into effect, it is of little importance how the
		Diagnosed with ADHD.	times with the baseball bat. Mr Jones	Co-offender — Mr Thorne	ultimate aggregate is made up The trial judge's conclusion that a total effective sentence of 7 years 2 months' imprisonment reflected
		Diagnosed with ADIID.	then demanded JB's car keys, and	Ct 1: 5 yrs 8 mths imp (HS).	the appellant's overall criminality for all of the offending charged in
		Abused alcohol and cocaine.	threatened to kill him if he did not	Ct 1: 3 yis 8 intus imp (ris). Ct 2: 1 yr 6 mths imp (cum).	counts $1-4$ of the indictment did not involve any error of principle
		Abused alcohol and cocame.	comply. Once in possession of JB's	Ct 2: 1 yr o mins mip (cum). Ct 3: 1 yr imp (conc).	and was not unreasonable or plainly unjust. Having properly reached
		Co-offender — Mr French	keys, Mr Jones and the two co-	Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (conc).	that conclusion in the exercise of her Honour's sentencing discretion, it
		Co-offender — IVII T-TEHCII	offenders then left the building.	Ct 7. 2 yrs mip (conc).	was open to the trial judge to give effect to the conclusion by reducing
		26 yrs at time offending.	offenders then left the building.	TES: 7 yrs 2 mths imp.	the sentence for count 2 which she would otherwise have imposed and
		20 yrs at time offending.	<u>Ct 4</u>	125. 7 yrs 2 mais mp.	ordering that sentence to be served cumulatively upon the sentence for
		Criminal history; AOBH; poss		EFP.	count 1 while the sentences for counts 3 and 4 were to be served
		controlled weapon.	The appellant and the co-offenders then		concurrently.'
		controlled weapon.	drove off in JB's vehicle.	The trial judge was satisfied that Mr Jones,	concurrency.
		Born and raised in WA; parents	drove on in 3D 5 venicie.	Mr French, and Mr Thorne attended JB's	At [65] 'as the trial judge appropriately recognised, the degree of
		separated at 2 yrs of age; father	<u>Ct 3</u>	premises with the common intention of	criminality involved in Mr Jones' commission of the offences charged
		separated at 2 yrs or age, rather	<u> Ct 3</u>	promises with the common intention of	commany involved in wir Jones commission of the offences charged

	died in a car accident at 11 yrs of age.  Left school in yr 11; worked as a tyre fitter.  One young child with autism; married at time of sentencing.  Co-offender — Mr Thorne  26 yrs at time offending.  Born in Victoria; spent childhood in Queensland; family later moved to WA; stable upbringing.  Completed year 12; qualified carpenter; worked in the mining industry.  Abused alcohol and cocaine.	During the incident, numerous glass windows of the residence were smashed, as well as the rear window of another occupant's vehicle.	assaulting and threatening someone, if necessary. The appellant had joined this common intention by the time he entered the complainant's premises. Mr Jones was the instigator of the enterprise, with the co-offenders acting as willing participants.  The trial judge could not make a finding as to who damaged the vehicle, but found the conduct was a probable consequence of the common purpose for which the offenders were equally responsible.  The trial judge found that the appellant was not a party to any discussions that occurred prior to his attendance at the service station; however, he was willing to do the bidding of Mr Jones with limited information.	in the current indictment was greater than the appellant's. Mr Jones was the instigator of the offending and was one of the persons who assaulted the complainant. The appellant's antecedents were better than those of Mr Jones. Other things being equal, it would be expected that the appellant would receive a lower sentence than Mr Jones for the same offences in the current indictment of which they had both been convicted.  At [66] 'however, other things were not equal as between Mr Jones and the appellant. The significant difference was that Mr Jones was already serving a sentence of 5 years' immediate imprisonment when he was sentenced for the offences charged in the current indictment.'  At [67] 'the present case is one where the overall total effective sentences imposed on Mr Jones and the appellant provide a more meaningful comparator than the sentences for the offences charged in the current indictment The overall total effective sentence received by Mr Jones was 4 years 2 months longer than that received by the appellant. That difference appropriately reflects Mr Jones' commission of the grievous bodily harm offence, his greater criminality in committing the offences charged in the current indictment, his less favourable antecedents and the application of the totality principle.'  At [68] 'we do not accept the appellant's submission to the effect that the criminality involved in his offending was less than that involved in the offending by Mr French and Mr Thorne The roles of each in the offending were the same, and each of Mr French, Mr Thorne and the appellant formed or joined in the unlawful common purpose less than an hour before the offending began.'  At [71] 'the antecedents of the appellant, Mr French and Mr Thorne were broadly similar, and the relatively minor differences between them tended to cancel each other out.'  At [73] 'having regard to all of the circumstances of the case, the lack of difference in the sentences imposed on the appellant and on Mr French and Mr Thorne did not give r
	l .		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Walley v The State of Western Australia	49 yrs at time offending. 51 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Agg home burg. Ct 3: SMV	Ct 2: 3 yrs imp. Ct 3: 4 mths imp (conc).	Appeal dismissed (leave granted)  Appeal concerned the first limb of the totality principle.
of Western Australia [2025] WASCA	-	7 -		Appeal concerned the first limb of the totality principle.  At [52] 'the appellant, rightly, accepts that the individual sentence of 3
of Western Australia	51 yrs at time sentencing.  Convicted after PG (10% discount).	Ct 3: SMV  Cts 2 and 3  The appellant and a co-offender, Mr C,	Ct 3: 4 mths imp (conc).  Total: 3 yrs 4 mths imp (served cum on the previous sentences).	Appeal concerned the first limb of the totality principle.  At [52] 'the appellant, rightly, accepts that the individual sentence of 3 years' imprisonment imposed by Christian DCJ on count 2 was not,
of Western Australia [2025] WASCA	51 yrs at time sentencing.  Convicted after PG (10%	Ct 3: SMV  Cts 2 and 3  The appellant and a co-offender, Mr C, entered the victim's house through a	Ct 3: 4 mths imp (conc).  Total: 3 yrs 4 mths imp (served cum on the	Appeal concerned the first limb of the totality principle.  At [52] 'the appellant, rightly, accepts that the individual sentence of 3 years' imprisonment imposed by Christian DCJ on count 2 was not, standing alone, manifestly excessive The facts and circumstances in
of Western Australia [2025] WASCA 112	51 yrs at time sentencing.  Convicted after PG (10% discount).  Extensive criminal history; traffic offences, aggravated burglaries, threats, causing an explosion and	Ct 3: SMV  Cts 2 and 3  The appellant and a co-offender, Mr C, entered the victim's house through a sliding back door, while he was asleep and stole a number of items. The	Ct 3: 4 mths imp (conc).  Total: 3 yrs 4 mths imp (served cum on the previous sentences).	Appeal concerned the first limb of the totality principle.  At [52] 'the appellant, rightly, accepts that the individual sentence of 3 years' imprisonment imposed by Christian DCJ on count 2 was not, standing alone, manifestly excessive The facts and circumstances in which it was committed were undoubtedly serious. The offence occurred on residential premises, at night, when the occupant or
of Western Australia  [2025] WASCA 112  Delivered	51 yrs at time sentencing.  Convicted after PG (10% discount).  Extensive criminal history; traffic offences, aggravated burglaries,	Ct 3: SMV  Cts 2 and 3  The appellant and a co-offender, Mr C, entered the victim's house through a sliding back door, while he was asleep	Ct 3: 4 mths imp (conc).  Total: 3 yrs 4 mths imp (served cum on the previous sentences).  TES: 7 yrs 10 mths imp.	Appeal concerned the first limb of the totality principle.  At [52] 'the appellant, rightly, accepts that the individual sentence of 3 years' imprisonment imposed by Christian DCJ on count 2 was not, standing alone, manifestly excessive The facts and circumstances in which it was committed were undoubtedly serious. The offence

		Born and raised in Perth; parents	victim's vehicle from the driveway. At	appellant's childhood deprivation reduced his	invasion of the victim's privacy and the right to feel protected in his
		abused alcohol, victim of abuse	the time of these offences the appellant	moral culpability.	own home. The appellant and his co-offender stole a number of items,
		from his stepfather; made a ward	was on bail and was subject to a CSIO.	1 ,	including the victim's car keys. The appellant then used the car keys to
		of the State at 10 yrs of age;	J	The sentencing judge accepted that the	steal the victim's vehicle, which was parked in his driveway. The
		subjected to sexual violence.		appellant had taken steps towards his	offence occurred while the appellant was on bail and subject to a
		subjected to sexual violence.		rehabilitation; however, his risk of	CSIO.'
		Limited advantion, completed			CSIO.
		Limited education; completed		reoffending was high.	A4 [52] (1)
		some courses in bricklaying and			At [53] 'there was little mitigation available to the appellant. The
		welding.			appellant's pleas of guilty, which were entered very late, resulted in a
					10% reduction pursuant to s 9AA of the <i>Sentencing Act</i> . This is well
		Never employed.			within an appropriate exercise of the relevant sentencing discretion.
					Parity was a relatively minor consideration. While the appellant's
		Symptoms of anxiety, major			nascent efforts to rehabilitate himself are commendable, there is still
		depression and trauma.			much work to be done before it can be said that the appellant has been
		-			rehabilitated.'
		Abused substances since 8 yrs of			
		age; cannabis from 12 yrs of age.		<b>\\</b>	At [54] 'the appellant was not found to be remorseful, and, having
		,		<b>Y</b>	regard to his prior criminal record, and giving due weight to his
				A. A. C.	childhood deprivation, he remains a high risk of reoffending.
					Accordingly, the dominant sentencing consideration was personal
				<b>10</b> y	deterrence and the protection of the public.'
					deterrence and the protection of the public.
					At [60] theying regard to all the relevant feets and circumstances
				C	At [60] 'having regard to all the relevant facts and circumstances,
					including those personal to the appellant, and giving due weight to all
					of the mitigating factors, including the pleas of guilty and the
				A. C.	appellant's childhood deprivation, we have concluded that there has
					been no infringement of the first limb of the totality principle arising
			X		from the sentence imposed upon the appellant by Christian DCJ.'
18.	Kiddie v The State	36 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Burg.	Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (HS).	Appeal allowed.
	of Western	38 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: SMV.	Ct 2: 18 mths imp (conc).	
	Australia		Ct 3: Att agg armed robbery.	Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).	Appeal concerned the facts taken into account by the sentencing judge
		Convicted after PG (10%	Ct 6: Armed robbery.	Ct 6: 3 yrs imp (cum).	and the first limb of the totality principle.
	[2025] WASCA	discount).	Ct 8: SMV.	Ct 8: 10 mths imp (conc).	
	107	,	Ct 10: Armed robbery.	Ct 10: 3 yrs imp (cum).	Resentenced:
		Extensive criminal history: armed		J 1 \ ,	
	Delivered	robbery and agg robbery; repeat	The appellant committed the crimes	TES: 12 yrs imp.	Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc).
	18/07/2025	offender for home burglaries.	nine days after his release from custody.	125. 12 Jis imp.	Ct 2: 18 mths imp (conc).
	10/07/2023	offender for home burgianes.	inite days arter his release from eastedy.	EFP.	Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (HS).
		Born in the UK; moved to	Cts 1 and 2		Ct 6: 3 yrs imp (ris).
		ŕ		When summarising the offending the subject	Ct 8: 10 mths imp (conc).
		Australia at 10 years old.	The same least harden a voia days to get		± ', ', '
		77 1 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	The appellant broke a window to get	of ct 10, the sentencing judge referred to	Ct 10: 3 yrs imp (cum).
		Traumatic childhood; subjected to	into an unoccupied house (ct 1). He	factual matters which were the subject of a	
		sexual abuse by a family member.	took various items, including the keys	discontinued count.	TES: 9 yrs imp.
			to a Nissan Pulsar parked outside the		
		Anxiety.	house. He then used the keys to steal the	The sentencing judge found that the offending	EFP.
			vehicle (ct 2).	was serious. The robberies were calculated	
				and planned, and the appellant deliberately	
			<u>Ct 3</u>	targeted vulnerable people at night.	At [72] ' we accept the appellant's submission that the sentencing
				_	judge's phrasing "You used not only the threat of violence, but
			On the same day as cts 1 and 2, the	The sentencing judge found that the appellant	actual violence, insofar as the swinging of the plate goes"
			·		

			appellant approached an 81-year-old	remained a significant risk to the community,	demonstrated that his Honour considered that the use of the number
			woman who had just withdrawn \$300	and was not satisfied that the appellant was	plate made count 10 more serious. In effect, his Honour was placing
			from an ATM. He told the victim he	remorseful.	count 10 into a different and more serious category of offending on the
			had a screwdriver and demanded she	Tomorsorui.	basis that actual violence was used, notwithstanding that that actual
			give him the cash she had withdrawn.		violence related to a different armed robbery charge that had been
			The victim's 76-year-old husband then		discontinued.'
			confronted the appellant, and the		discontinued.
			appellant threatened him as well. The		At [75] 'in our view, the error was capable of affecting the sentencing
			husband stepped back, and the appellant		judge's assessment of the seriousness of count 10, and was therefore
			fled.		capable of affecting the sentence. Accordingly, we are satisfied that the
				A	error was material.
			<u>Ct 6</u>		
					At [81] 'the sentencing judge rightly found that, other than the stealing
			Hours after committing ct 3, the		offences, the offending was serious.'
			appellant approached the manager of		
			fast-food store and threatened him with		At [88] 'the robbery offences and the attempted robbery were
			a knife. The appellant demanded that	N Y	particularly serious. The appellant deliberately targeted vulnerable
			the victim drive him to an ATM so that	Y	people at night. He threatened one victim with a screwdriver and the
			the victim could withdraw all of his	A'AO	others with a knife. The victim of count 3 was 81 years old. The
			money. The victim withdrew \$600 and		robbery the subject of count 6 was persistent and prolonged. The
			was told to drive the appellant back to	R Diolic F	appellant threatened to kill the victim and his family if the victim
			the fast-food store. During the drive, the		reported it to the police before the morning and made it clear to the
			appellant told the victim to hand over	C	victim that he knew where the victim lived.'
			his wallet — which he did.	X	
				O y	At [116] 'it is difficult to compare the appellant's total effective
			<u>Ct 8</u>	X.	sentence to the total effective sentences imposed in other cases,
			1 6 1 1 2 2 1 6 1		because of the inevitable differences in the factual circumstances,
			A week after cts 1,2,3 and 6, the		personal circumstances, and the combination of offences in each case.
			appellant — whilst on bail — stole a		Nevertheless, the court must try to ensure a broad consistency in
			Volkswagen Polo that was parked		sentencing. Having reviewed the cases cited by the parties, we are satisfied that the total effective sentence imposed on the appellant was
			outside a community centre.		not broadly consistent with the total effective sentences imposed in
			<u>Ct 10</u>		other cases.'
			<u>Ct 10</u>		other cases.
			On the same day as ct 8, the appellant		At [117] 'the appellant's offending was undoubtedly serious, for the
			approached a woman who had just		reasons identified by the sentencing judge. Nevertheless, we are
			withdrawn money from an ATM. The		satisfied that the total effective sentence imposed by the sentencing
			appellant told her to withdraw money		judge was disproportionate to the total criminality, having regard to the
			from an ATM, under threat of cutting		circumstances of the offending, the appellant's personal circumstances
			her with a knife.		and pleas of guilty, and sentencing standards. Therefore, it infringed
			CAO		the first limb of the totality principle.'
17.	Indich v The State	18 yrs at time offending.	11 x Agg burg.	TES: 6 yrs 2 mths imp.	Appeal dismissed (leave granted).
	of Western	20 yrs at time sentencing.	1 x Att agg burg.	5550	
	Australia	G : 4 1 C PG (150)	11 x SMV.	EFP.	Appeal concerned first limb of totality principle.
	[2025] \$374.004.60	Convicted after PG (15%	1 Att SMV.	The contenting independent 1d 11 0	A4 [60] (the sentence immediate the sentence if the sentence in the sentence i
	[2025] WASCA 68	discount).	1 x Stealing.	The sentencing judge assessed the appellant's	At [68] 'the sentence imposed upon the appellant in this case did not
	Daliyarad	Criminal history	Over a period of ton days the arrestlent	offending as being 'within the lower part of	infringe the first limb of the totality principle.'
	Delivered 09/05/2025	Criminal history.	Over a period of ten days, the appellant	the highest range of offending'. It was described as extremely serious.	At [60] there were significant mitigating factors in this case. In
	03/03/2023	Lived with parents until 8 yrs of	went on a 'crime rampage', committing 10 agg home burglaries, and one	described as extremely serious.	At [69] 'there were significant mitigating factors in this case. In
		Lived with parents until 8 yrs 01	10 agg nome ourgianes, and one		particular, the pleas of guilty, the appellant's youth, and the impact of

		age; dysfunctional childhood until	attempted agg burglary. The	The sentencing judge found that the	his mental health issues and deprived childhood.'
		he lived with his grandparents	circumstances of aggravation were that	appellant's risk of re-offending was moderate,	
		from 8 yrs of age.	the appellant was in company and	and would be increased if treatment was not	At [70] 'the appellant concedes that both mental health and a deprived
			knew, or ought to have known that	undertaken for the appellant's underlying	childhood may be "double-edged swords". On the other hand, they
		Experienced learning difficulties	someone was home at the time.	issues.	may indicate that a lower sentence should be imposed because, for
		at school; left school after yr 10;			example, the offender's moral culpability was reduced. On the other
		attended 'Youth Futures'.		The sentencing judge found that the	hand, they may indicate that there is an increased risk that the offender
				appellant's FASD diagnosis had impacted the	will offend again, and that greater weight should be given to the need
		Unemployed and relies on		appellant's attentional and intellectual	to protect the community from that risk.'
		Centrelink.		functioning and ability to control his	1
				behaviour.	At [73] ' while the appellant's deprived childhood and mental health
		Used cannabis and alcohol from		_()	issues were mitigating, they increased the significance of community
		11 yrs.			protection as a sentencing consideration.'
		Diagnosed FASD.			At [76] 'the appellant is very young. He had a deprived childhood and
		~			has FASD, through no fault of his own. Regrettably, however, the
		Single; no children.			nature of the offending and the need to protect the community renders
					inappropriate any sentence other than a substantial term of immediate
					imprisonment. The offending was serious and sustained, and eight of
					the aggravated home burglaries required a minimum of 2 years' immediate imprisonment to be imposed.
					inimediate imprisonment to be imposed.
				<b>4</b> 00.	At [77] 'having regard to all relevant facts and circumstances [t]he
				Ç >	total effective sentence was within the range reasonably open to the
					sentencing judge on a proper exercise of the sentencing discretion.
					Error should not be implied or inferred from the sentencing outcome in
					relation to the total effective sentence. The first limb of the totality
			X	O'	principle was not infringed.'
16.	Hishmeh v The	40 yrs at time offending.	Cts 1 and 2: Steal motor vehicle	Ct 1: 12 mths imp.	Appeal dismissed (leave granted with respect to the ground concerning
	State of Western	42 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 3, 4 and 5: Poss stolen or unlawfully		time spent in custody; all other grounds leave refused).
	Australia		obtained property	Ct 3: 6 mths imp (conc).	
		Convicted after PG (5%	Section 32: Poss of methyl.	Ct 4: 2 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned the first limb of the totality principle, length of the
	[2025] WASCA 14	discount).		Ct 5: 2 mths imp (cum).	individual sentences, the sentencing judge's findings as to the timing
			<u>Ct 1</u>	Section 32: \$1,000 fine.	of guilty pleas and aggravating circumstances as found by the
	Delivered	Lengthy criminal history; driving		TEC 14 4 :	sentencing judge.
	21/01/2025	without a licence; poss weapons;	Police executed a SW at the appellant's	TES: 14 mths imp.	A4 [110] South a married as a 11 11 1
		poss stolen property; AOBH.	home. In a spare room of the house was	EFP.	At [112] 'in the present case, the appellant was not serving a sentence
		Oldest of six siblings, maintained	a safe, which the appellant unlocked.	EFF.	of imprisonment at the time he came to be sentenced in the District
		Oldest of six siblings; maintained a good relationship with family.	Police located the keys to a Mercedes vehicle in the safe. The Mercedes		Court. In these circumstances, the totality principle had no strict application. However, there can be circumstances where the totality
		a good relationship with failing.	vehicle was found in a shed on the	The sentencing judge found that the time the	principle can be applied by analogy.'
		Finished High School in yr 11.	property, which police later established	appellant had spent in custody imposed from	principle can be applied by analogy.
		Timbled High School III yi 11.	had been stolen.	the Magistrates Court would not affect the	At [117] 'it was relevant for the sentencing judge to take into account
		Long history of substance abuse.	The seem storem.	type or length of penalty to be imposed in the	the aggregate sentence for the whole of the offending and consider
			Cts 2, 3, 4 and 5	District Court.	whether it was proportionate to the overall criminality. The fact that
			, , , <u></u>		the appellant had served 7 months' imprisonment on remand, had been
			A number of other stolen items were	The sentencing judge found that the appellant	sentenced to a 7-month community based order, had served
			also located in the shed. In particular, a	was remorseful but not 'to a significant	approximately 4 months of that order and that and that any sentence of
i			<u> </u>		***
			Husqvarna motorbike (ct 2), a chainsaw	degree'.	imprisonment would bring the community based order to an end, were

				1	
				Whilst in prison, the appellant had undertaken	sentencing judge weighed or evaluated in determining the appropriate
			<u>Ct 5</u>	14 sessions of counselling for his addiction.	sentences.'
				The sentencing judge found the counselling	
			Police also located \$7,000 in cash in the	was a significant step towards rehabilitation.	At [118] 'the sentencing judge erred by concluding that the totality
			appellant's other safe.		principle could have no application as regards the sentences imposed
					in the Magistrates Court However, the remaining question is
			Section 32:		whether any different sentence should have been imposed.'
			A small amount of methyl was also		At [123] 'whilst we would grant leave to appeal this ground, it cannot
			located in a gun case. The State		succeed because the appellant has not established that any different
			accepted that the appellant was in joint	A	sentences should have been imposed.'
			possession of the drugs and that they		P
			were for personal use.		At [135] 'the sentencing judge's assessment that the pleas of guilty
			1		were entered late was not inaccurate. The discount of 5% was
				.03	appropriate in the circumstances'.
					At [147] ' it is sufficient to note that the sentences of 12 months'
					imprisonment for each of the stealing motor vehicle counts are within
					the usual range for offences of this type.'
					At [148] 'the offending was serious having regard to the fact that this
					was not a single offence but a number of offences that indicated a
				<b>2</b> 0.	course of conduct All the indications were that the appellant was
				<b>X Y</b>	involved in a criminal enterprise dealing in stolen property. General
					and personal deterrence are important sentencing factors in respect of
				\$	such offending.'
			X		At [149] 'the appellant did not have the benefit of youth or prior good
					character The appellant's incomplete efforts towards rehabilitation,
			. 40		limited remorse and late pleas of guilty were the only mitigating
15.	Jones v The State	21 yrs at time offending	Ct 1: Agg burg	Ct 1: 7 yrs 7 mths imp	factors.'  Appeal allowed (leave refused grounds 1, 2, and 3)
15.	of Western	31 yrs at time offending. 34 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Agg burg. Ct 2: Agg armed robbery.	Ct 1: 7 yrs 7 mths imp. Ct 2: 1 yr 2 mths imp (cum).	Appeal allowed (leave refused grounds 1, 2, and 3).
	Australia	54 yrs at time sentenenig.	Ct 3: Criminal damage.	Ct 3: 1 yr imp (conc).	Sentence appeal concerned findings of fact from the sentencing judge,
	1 Iusti uttu	Convicted after trial.	Ct 4: Steal motor vehicle.	Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (conc).	length of sentence imposed on ct 1, first limb of totality principle, and
	[2024] WASCA				cumulation of sentence.
	115	Criminal history; violent	<u>Ct 1</u>	Cum upon 5 yr sentence already being served	
		offending; bail at time offending.	( )	(Jones v The State of Western Australia	Resentenced:
	Delivered		The victim, JB was awoken by the	[2023] WASCA 30).	
	26/09/2024	Disadvantaged childhood; taken	sounds of two motorcycles in the		Ct 1: 6 yrs 6 mths imp.
		from mother's care at 6 yrs;	driveway of the premises in which he	TES: 13 yrs 9 mths imp.	Ct 2: 3 yr 3 mths imp (conc).
		sexually abused as child.	lived. JB got up, turned on the living	EED	Ct 3: 1 yr imp (conc).
		Laft school dyning vir 10, availer 1	room light and opened the front door.	EFP.	Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (conc).
		Left school during yr 10; qualified in sheet metal fabrication;	JB saw the appellant pacing towards	The centencing judge found that the offending	Cum upon 5 vr centance already being carved (Longs v The State of
		continuous work history.	him wielding a baseball bat. JB retreated into the premises. The	The sentencing judge found that the offending was a home invasion motivated by revenge.	Cum upon 5 yr sentence already being served ( <i>Jones v The State of Western Australia</i> [2023] WASCA 30).
		Continuous work instory.	appellant and the two co-offenders	was a nome invasion motivated by revenge.	mesicin Austrum [2023] WASCA 30).
		Diagnosed ADHD.	followed JB; the appellant then struck	The sentencing judge found the appellant and	TES: 11 yrs 6 mths imp.
			JB to the head with the baseball bat.	the co-offenders attended the premises with	
		Four children aged between 3 and		the common intention of assaulting and	EFP.
·		, ,	•		

14 yrs; three different mothers; 9 yr old suffers from a significant neurological condition; oldest son in care of Department of Communities.

Cannabis use since 11 yrs; cocaine use since 25 yrs; daily cocaine use form 29 yrs.

#### <u>Ct 2</u>

JB eventually moved to the couch; there, the appellant struck him multiple times with the baseball bat. The appellant then demanded JB's car keys, and threatened to kill him if he did not comply. Once in possession of JB's keys, the appellant and the two co-offenders then left the building.

# <u>Ct 4</u>

The appellant and the co-offenders then drove off in JB's vehicle.

# <u>Ct 3</u>

During the incident, numerous glass windows of the residence were smashed, as well as the rear window of another occupant's vehicle.

threatening someone, if necessary. JB was not the intended target of the actions of the appellant and co-offenders.

The sentencing judge found that the appellant instigated the offending, and that he escalated the violence. Accordingly, the appellant's culpability was 'extremely high'.

The offending had a significant impact on the victim; embarrassment of injuries; lingering fearfulness; fears for safety upon the appellant's release.

At [154] 'the appellant's actions at the Orange Avenue premises on the night in question plainly support the impugned finding...his actions demonstrated an intention to exact some form of revenge.'

At [163] 'in the present case, the objective facts of the appellant's offending on ct 1 were egregious. The appellant went to the Orange Avenue premises late at night. He was armed and in company...The appellant entered the extension by kicking the security door and one of the other men assaulted JB with weapons. The assault continued for some time. The appellant told [JB's mother] that he would kill JB if he did not give him the keys to his vehicle. The appellant instigated the offending and escalated the violence.'

At [164] 'there was limited mitigation. The appellant had a disadvantaged childhood...Nevertheless, the appellant obtained a number of trade qualifications and has worked continuously since leaving school.'

At [165] '...the appellant was not youthful for sentencing purposes. He did not have the mitigation that a plea of guilty would have brought...The appellant was on bail for other violent offending when he committed the offending in question....'

At [180] 'we accept that, in the present case, the sentence of 7 yrs 7 mths imp imposed on the appellant for ct 1 is towards the upper end of the range of sentences open to the trial judge on a proper exercise of her discretion.'

At [181] 'however, in our opinion...the length of the sentence was not unreasonable or plainly unjust.'

At [189] 'the appellant's complaint in the context of ground 3 is, in essence, that the individual sentences for cts 1 and 2 should have been ordered to be served concurrently.'

At [190] 'there is no substance in the appellant's complaint. It was not artificial to separate the acts of violence committed by the appellant against JB into separate counts in the context of a single continuing assault.'

At [193] 'in the present case, although cts 1, 2, 3, and 4 were committed in close temporal proximity, it was necessary to order that part of the appropriate sentence for ct 2 to be served cumulatively upon the appropriate individual sentence for ct 1 in order to ensure the total effective sentence imposed on the appellant was commensurate with the seriousness of his overall offending.'

At [207] '...we are persuaded that the overall total effect sentence of 13 yrs 9 mths imprisonment did exceed the overall total effective

					sentence that was required to satisfy all relevant sentencing factors,
					having regard to the overall seriousness of the offending and all
					relevant sentencing principles.
13.	Vidovic v The	51 yrs at time offending.	Cts 1–6: Stealing	Ct 1: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (HS).	Appeal dismissed (leave refused, leave granted for ground of appeal
13.	State of Western	55 yrs at time orienting.	Cts 1–0. Steaming	Ct 2: 15 mths imp (conc).	concerning the nature of the compensation order).
	Australia	33 yrs at time sentencing.	The appellant stells a significant amount		concerning the nature of the compensation order).
	Australia	Convicted often twick	The appellant stole a significant amount	Ct 4: 12 mths imp (cum).	Appeal as a same of first limb of totality minerials and the adequacy of
	[2024] WASCA (2	Convicted after trial.	of formwork equipment from Mr O. Mr	Ct 4: 12 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned first limb of totality principle and the adequacy of
	[2024] WASCA 63	NT 1	O operated a formwork business with	Ct 5: 4 mths imp (conc).	the compensation order.
	D 11 1	No relevant criminal history.	his brother. The business hired out a	Ct 6: 6 mths imp (conc).	
	Delivered		formwork system that comprised		At [104] 'there is no challenge, nor could there reasonably be one, to
	11/06/2023	Born in Bosnia; fled and settled in	various props, beams and frames used	The sentencing judge found that the appellant	the finding that the appellant's offending was in the "middle range of
		Australia as an adult; wife and	in the construction of buildings.	honestly believed he was owed \$80,000 by	seriousness".'
		three children.		Mr O and his brother, and that he took matters	
			Mr O stored the formwork at the	into his own hands by selling the formwork	At [105] 'the theft of the formwork equipment was calculated and
		Previously employed as a labourer	appellant's property, which was fenced	for scrap.	continued over a period of time. The appellant stole a large amount of
		and concreter; unemployed at	and secured by padlocks. The		formwork worth, on any view, significantly more than the debt of
		sentencing due to a shoulder	agreement to store the formwork was an	The sentencing judge found that the offending	
		injury.	oral contract. After the relationship	was deliberate and persistent, which involved	income has been lost.'
			between Mr O and the appellant	a great deal of effort.	
		Suffers significant trauma from	deteriorated, the appellant took a		At [106] 'when the [victims] confronted the appellant about the theft,
		service in the Bosnian way; no	perceived outstanding debt into his own	The offending had placed a large financial	he falsely denied involvement in it. He also lied to policeHe took
		formal diagnosis of PTSD.	hands and sold 64 tonnes of the	burden on the victim; the burden has led to	steps which thwarted any attempts that the [victims] could have made
		Tormar diagnosis of F155.	formwork as scrap metal.	the breakdown of his relationship; fears for	to recover the framework equipment.'
			Tormwork as scrap metai.	his future.	to recover the framework equipment.
			Due to the uncertainty about the	ms ruture.	At [111] 'as to the allegation that the total effective sentence infringed
			quantity of the items alleged to have	The sentencing judge found that the appellant	the first limb of the totality principle, given the amount of property
			been stolen, the trial judge directed the	lacked any remorse; evidenced by his denial	stolen and the fact that the thefts were systemic and repetitive, some
				to the police and the victim.	<b>■</b>
			jury to return a special verdict regarding	to the police and the victim.	accumulation of the sentences was required in order to properly reflect
			the quantities of items stolen on cts 1–4.	The content in a local content of the	the appellant's overall criminality.'
			The jury returned a special verdict of	The sentencing judge characterised the	A ( [124] ( )
			'an unknown quantity' for cts 1–4.	offending as in the middle range of	At [134] 'in our opinion, having regard to the policy considerations
			\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \	seriousness.	underpinning the making of a reparation order under pt 16 of the
					Sentencing Act, the sentencing judge was correct to exercise his
			A = (2)	The sentencing judge found it was appropriate	discretion to make such an order in favour of the victim.'
				to make the order for compensation because it	
			C VY	allowed Mr O to register the judgment and	At [135] 'despite the appellant's impecuniosity, the making of a
				take whatever action he wished to recover the	reparation order was appropriate.'
			У У	money.	
13.	Bradley v The	25 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: AOBH.	Ct 1: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	Appeal dismissed (leave refused).
	State of Western	29 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Stealing.	Ct 2: 2 mths imp (cum).	
	Australia		Ct 3: Stealing.	Ct 3: 1 mth imp (conc).	Appeal concerned length of sentence.
		Convicted after late PG (15%			
	[2024] WASCA 94	discount).	The appellant and a co-offender were	TES: 2 yrs 8 mths imp.	At [50] 'although the bodily injuries suffered by the victim were not as
			dropped off at a house near the victim,		serious as those suffered by victims in other cases, the offence on ct 1
	Delivered	Extensive criminal history;	Mr W. The two walked to the victim's	EFP.	was nevertheless a serious example of its type when all the relevant
	22/05/2024	stealing; agg burg; crim damage;	house and turned off the power to the		facts surrounding its commission are considered. The offence was
		impersonating a police officer;	house.	The sentencing judge found that the offending	premeditated. It involved the appellant and [the co-offender] being in
		agg AOBH; being armed to cause		the subject of ct 1 was premeditated. The	company and acting in concert.
		fear; multiple breach of VROs	<u>Ct 1</u>	assault only ended when the victim managed	
		and protective bail conditions.		to defend himself and escape; the offenders	At [51] 'the appellant and [the co-offender] did not voluntarily desist
				1, 7, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	,

			When the victim stepped outside to	did not desist of their own volition.	in the attack, even after the victim attempted to escape. Rather, the two
		Born in WA; supportive family.	investigate, the offenders began		men pursued him into the house and continued the attack.'
			shouting at Mr W and demanding to	The sentencing judge found the appellant and	
		Left school in yr 11; commenced	know where he kept his motorbikes.	co-offender equally liable under s 8 for ct 1.	At [52] 'it is important to acknowledge that the State did not continue
		apprenticeship but did not finish.	The victim ran inside and was pursued		with the charge of aggravated home burglary, and the appellant was
			by the offenders. Once inside, a struggle	The sentencing judge found there were few	not to be punished for that offence. Nonetheless, a serious aspect of the
		Worked in FIFO.	ensued, and the victim was struck with	mitigating factors.	offending on ct 1 was that it occurred inside the victim's home, a place
			the baseball bat to the upper back, hip,	88	in which he was entitled to feel, and be, safe.'
		Methyl use; under influence at	and forearm.		in which he was elicited to feel, and se, sale.
		time offending; taken steps	und foreurm.		At [53] 'it is well accepted that there is no tariff for the offence of
		towards rehabilitation.	Cts 2 & 3		AOBH Recently this court observed that there were discernible
		towards renaomtation.	<u>Cts 2 &amp; 5</u>		signs that sentences for the offence of AOBH were "firming up".
		Has one young daughter wishes	The appellant drove a vehicle bearing		signs that sentences for the offence of AODIT were infining up.
		Has one young daughter; wishes to reconnect with her.			At [55] 'the most significant mitigating factor were the appellant's
		to reconnect with her.	no licence plates to a carpark, stole		At [55] 'the most significant mitigating factor were the appellant's
			another vehicle's licence plates and		pleas of guilty, for which his Honour gave a significant discount'
			drove off. The appellant then drove to a		A. [72] ( 1
			service station, had the car filled up		At [56] 'when all the relevant circumstances are taken into account, it
			with fuel, and drove off.	• 6	cannot reasonably be said that the sentence of 2 yrs 6 mths' immediate
	GT10 FT G A				imprisonment was unreasonable or plainly unjust.'
12.	SYO v The State of	38 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Agg burg.	Ct 1: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	Appeal dismissed (leave refused on grounds 2 and 3).
	Western Australia		Ct 2: With intent to harm, did an act	Ct 2: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	
		Convicted after PG (25% for cts	which life health or safety of a person	Ct 3: 10 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned <i>Bugmy</i> principles, insufficient weight given to
	[2024] WASCA 31	1–3, 10% for ct 4).	was likely to be endangered.	Ct 4: 5 yrs 6 mths imp (HS).	delay, and totality of sentence.
			Ct 3: Threat with intent to compel.	Ct 5: No penalty.	
	Delivered	Minor criminal history; unlawful	Ct 4: Agg indecent assault.	Oy	At [66]–[72] discussion of <i>Bugmy</i> principles.
	28/03/2024	damage; breach of restraining	Ct 5: Stealing.	TES: 9 yrs imp.	
		order; agg burg; minor drug			At [70] 'it may be appropriate to distinguish between two different
		related offences; breach of	<u>Ct 1</u>	EFP.	classes of case. The first is where profound childhood deprivation has
		violence restraining order.			in some way impaired the capacity of an offender to behave
			The appellant forced his way into the	The sentencing judge found the appellant had	lawfullyThe second class of case is where the offender retains full
		Raised by his mother; minimal	home of DB, a former partner. Once	accepted responsibility for his offending, had	capacity to make choices about unlawful behaviour, although the poor
		involvement with his father;	inside, the appellant walked into a	shown some insight into its impact on his	choices which the offender makes may be influenced by childhood
		mother was physically abusive at	bedroom which DB and PC were	victims, and had taken positive steps to	experience.'
		times; often left home alone for	sleeping.	rehabilitation.	
		days as a child; lived with			At [105] 'having reviewed the material before the sentencing judge, we
		grandmother from 13 yrs;	<u>Ct 2</u>	Offending had severe impact on DB; anxiety,	agree with his Honour's conclusion that the material did not establish,
		unstable home; frequently saw	X	panic attacks, depression and PTSD;	on the balance of probabilities, that any relevant capacity of the
		violence perpetrated by uncles	The appellant hit PC several times with	sleeplessness; felt angry, helpless, degraded	appellant was impaired by profound childhood deprivation which
		and aunts.	a metal bar. The strikes were to PC's	and fearful from appellant's conduct.	reduced his moral culpability for the offending or diminished the
			head, body, face, arms and legs. The		significance of personal and general deterrence as sentencing
		Left high school at start of yr 9;	appellant then ordered PC out of the bed	The sentencing judge found the offending was	considerations.'
		completed TAFE course at 15 yrs.	and told him to move into the corner of	principally related to the appellant's illicit	
			the bedroom.	drug use.	At [106] 'the procedural history of this matter shows the appellant
		Worked in mining and	) ^		experienced some delay before he was finally sentenced.'
		construction since 14 yrs; FIFO	<u>Ct 3</u>	The sentencing judge found that the appellant	
		work until voluntary separation in		had suffered from some dysfunction and	At [125] 'there is nothing to suggest that his Honourdid anything
		2012.	The appellant then demanded DB take	disadvantages during childhood; however	other than sentence the appellant according to the rules of reason and
			off her pants. The appellant said he	such experienced were not to be characterised	justiceand within those limits which an honest person competent to
		Several relationships of	wanted to inspect DB's vagina to find	as profound childhood deprivation.	discharge the duties of his office ought to confine himself. When that
1		significance; one young daughter;	out whether she had engaged in sexual	_	is appreciated, all that is left of the appellant's submission is a

		most relationships marred by violence and drug use.  No major history of illness or injury; testing indicated presence	intercourse with PC. When DB refused, the appellant slapped her and raised the bar above his head as if to hit her with it.		contention that the sentencing judge should have given more weight to the mitigatory effect of delay. It follows that the appellant's submissions cannot be accepted.'  At [139] 'the offences committed by the appellant were extremely
		of antisocial personality traits.  Used alcohol to excess from teenage yrs; cannabis use form 13 yrs; developed a methyl habit from late 20s; drug use escalated after losing his job.  Positive personal references.	Fearing for her life, DB complied with the appellant's demands. The appellant used one of his hands to touch DB's vagina, moving her labia majora for a short time before removing his hand. The appellant again accused DB of having sex with PC and raised the bar in a threatening manner. The appellant again touched her labia majora with his hand.  Ct 5  The appellant demanded DB's phone so that he could check her text messages. Before he left, the appellant took her phone with him.	of Pulping Records	serious. The appellant entered his former partner's home without her consent, and in the very early hours of the morning, when she was asleep The offence charged in ct 2 was particularly serious. In what was a completely unprovoked attack by a physically powerful man, the appellant used a metal bar to repeatedly strike the much younger PC, who was initially asleep and defenceless.'  At [143] 'in relation to ct 1, it was necessary for the sentencing judge to give effect to the need for general deterrence in relation to offences of home burglaries, particularly those that involve the use of violenceIt is also equally necessary to ensure that the sentences imposed for cts 2,3 and 4 reflect the importance of general deterrence in sentencing for offences involving violence, and in relation to cts 1,3 and 4, the use of violence by men on women with whom they are, or have been, in a domestic relationships.'  At [151] [in considering the relevant factors] 'we are of the view that it is not reasonably arguable that the total effective sentence was unreasonable or plainly unjust.'
11.	The State of	24 yrs at time offending (IND	IND 815	IND 815	Appeal allowed.
	Western Australia v Tawhitapou	<ul><li>815).</li><li>26 yrs at time offending (IND 92).</li><li>27 yrs at time sentencing.</li></ul>	Ct 1: Agg burg. Ct 2: Stealing.	Ct 1: 8 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 4 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned first limb of totality principle and factual error in sentencing.
	[2024] WASCA 25		Ct 3: Agg burg.	Ct 3: 6 mths imp (conc).	
	Delivered	Convicted after PG (20% discount)	IND 92	IND 92	Resentenced:
	15/03/2024	,	<u></u>		15% discount.
		Criminal history; mostly minor and traffic offences.	Ct 1: Agg burg. Ct 2: Agg armed robbery. Ct 3: Agg robbery.	Ct 1: 2 yrs imp (conc). Ct 2: 2 yrs 2 mths (HS). Ct 3: 14 mths imp (cum).	<u>IND 815</u>
		Born in NZ; permanent resident	640		Ct 1: 2 yrs 4 mths imp (conc)
		status; arrived in Australia at 14	<u>IND 815</u>	TES: 4 yrs imp.	Ct 2: No penalty.
		yrs old; moved to WA when he was 22 yrs old.	The respondent entered through the	EFP.	Ct 3: 20 mths imp (conc).
			front door of SWS's home and stole		IND 92
		Parents separated when he was	various items from the living room the	The sentencing judge erroneously referred to	
		11; father abused alcohol and	kitchen and the study. SWS was at home when the offence was committed.	the offending the subject of ct 1 as occurring when the victims were not home.	Ct 1: 2 yrs 4 mths imp (conc).
		normalised domestic violence; grandparents raised him for some	The total value of the property stolen	when the victims were not nome.	Ct 2: 4 yrs 10 mths (HS). Ct 3: 2 yrs 2 mths imp (cum).
		time before moving to Australia.	was about \$650 (cts 1 and 2).	The sentencing judge found there was limited	

Attended boarding school; bullied by students; completed high school in Queensland.

Worked as a telecommunications technician, trades assistance and scaffolder.

Alcohol and cannabis use from early age, increased consumption of substances prior to offending.

On and off again relationship; one child from that relationship.

Depression and anxiety.

During the same night the respondent burgled another home in an adjacent suburb. The respondent and a co-offender entered CS's premises by a gate and unsuccessfully attempted to enter the house through an exterior bedroom door. The respondent and the co-offender stole two cans of soft drink from a refrigerator in an undercover alfresco area (ct 3).

#### IND 92

EEC answered a knock at the front door of her house. As she opened the door, the respondent grabbed the flyscreen door and swung it open. The respondent punched EEC to the mouth, then punched her again and grabbed her by the throat. He then put EEC in a headlock and dragged her along the hallway (ct 1).

BG heard the commotion and came to EEC's aid. BG and the respondent grappled, and a co-offender with a knife entered the house. BG ran towards the co-offender and attempted to push him out the front door. BG and the co-offender wrestled for control of the knife, and the co-offender pushed the knife into BG.

The respondent grabbed BG around the neck and pulled him away from the co-offender. The respondent and the co-offender kicked BG to the head. The respondent then lay on top of BG and held his shoulders, shaking him and hitting his head on the ground.

EEC saw the assault, and went inside to call the police. The co-offender forced his way into the house, held the knife towards EEC and demanded money. EEC gave the co-offender \$200 in cash. (ct 2).

Whilst on bail for the above offending,

evidence of remorse, apart from the pleas of guilty. However, the respondent was still relatively young and had taken some positive steps towards rehabilitation.

Offending had significant impact on EEC and BG. EEC has been prescribed a high dose of antidepressant medication; resulted in the need for psychotherapy. BG has experienced depression, and the offending has exacerbated his bipolar disorder.

TES: 7 yrs imp.

EFP.

At [58] '...the prosecutor's reading of the material facts was erroneously transcribed as "[t]he victim wasn't home at the time of the offence" ... However, his Honour found (presumably in reliance upon the erroneous transcription) that SWS was not at home at the time of offending.'

At [72] 'in the present case, the respondent's offending, considered as a whole, was very serious. In particular, the respondent's offending the subject of the counts in IND 92 was egregious. The gravity of the respondent's offending the subject of the counts in IND 92 is obvious. In addition...the respondent committed the aggravated robbery against AMT while he was on bail for the other offences.'

At [73] 'denunciation of the respondent's criminality and personal and general deterrence were important sentencing considerations.'

At [81] '...the total effective sentence of 4 years' immediate imprisonment was not commensurate with the seriousness of the respondent's offending considered as a whole.'

At [82] 'we consider that, when the total effective sentence is viewed from the perspective of: (a) the maximum penalties for the offences; (b) the facts and circumstances of the offences considered as a whole; (c) the vulnerability of the complainants; (d) the general pattern of sentences for the offences in question; (e) the importance of denunciation and personal and general deterrence; and (f) all other relevant sentencing factors...the total effective sentence was not merely lenient or at the lower end of the available range.'

At [83] 'the total effective sentence was substantially less than the sentence that was open to his Honour on a proper exercise of his sentencing discretion.'

		the respondent encountered AMT at a carpark shopping centre. The respondent and a co-offender bumped into AMT, then chased him as he began to walk away. The respondent punched AMT to his face, causing him to drop his wallet. The co-offender took the wallet. As the co-offender began to punch AMT, the respondent told him to 'get the phone'. The co-offender grabbed AMT's mobile phone and ran to his vehicle; the respondent struck AMT several more times and grabbed AMT's other mobile phone (ct 3).		
10. Goddard v The State of Western Australia  [2023] WASCA 164  Delivered 28/11/2023	33 yrs at time offending. 34 yrs at time sentencing.  Convicted after PG (25% discount).  Significant criminal history; all offences dealt with in Magistrates Court; multiple convictions of driving without a licence; multiple convictions for steal MV and other dishonesty offences.  Born in Perth; positive upbringing; parents and sister are supportive; had two significant relationships with a daughter who was 8 yrs at time of sentencing.  Completed yr 10; found school difficult due to ADHD and dyslexia; unemployed at time of offending; had previously worked for 8 yrs as a ceiling fixer.  Long standing addiction to methylamphetamine; drug use since age of 15; completed counselling to address substance misuse; expressed desire to engage in further intervention.	Ct 1: Steal MV. Ct 2: Agg burg. Ct 3: Stealing. Ct 4: Agg burg. Ct 5: Stealing. Ct 6: Att agg burg.  Ct 1  The appellant and co-offender attended the victim's residence. They then entered his parked vehicle, and drove off in it.  Cts 2 and 3  The appellant (alone) attended a house and gained access through an unlocked laundry door. Once inside, the appellant stole a briefcase, laptop, and wallet.  Cts 4 and 5  The appellant and co-offender entered a home through an unlocked door. The offenders stole various items to the value of \$3,600.  Ct 6  The appellant (alone) attended another residence with the intention of stealing property. The appellant woke the victim whilst trying to force open a pair of	Ct 1: 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 18 mths imp (cum). Ct 3: 12 mths imp (cone). Ct 4: 3 yrs imp (cum; HS). Ct 5: 12 mths imp (cone). Ct 6: 12 mths (cum).  TES: 6 yrs imp.  EFP.  Sentencing judge did not make a finding of remorse, but accepted the appellant had expressed a level of victim empathy.  No specific findings of the appellant's prospects of rehabilitation.  Sentencing judge had express regard to totality principle, reducing cts 1, 2, and 6 for reasons of totality.	Appeal dismissed (leave refused).  Appeal concerned the first limb of the totality principle.  At [25] 'while it is true that the appellant did not damage the houses or actually confront the victims, these circumstances are not mitigating. The appellant's actions gave rise to the risk of confrontation, which is inherent in the conduct he engaged in. Offences such as those committed by the appellant engender in victims senses of fear, insecurity and vulnerability, which are heightened when the offences are committed at night while they are asleep.'  At [26] 'it is well recognised that sentences for home burglary need to be firmed up.'  At [29] 'while all of the offences were committed within hoursand could easily be considered a "spree", the appellant's counsel accepted some accumulation was necessary in order to properly reflect the appellant's overall criminality. In our opinion, having regard to all relevant sentencing factors, a total effective sentence of 6 years' imprisonment was a proper reflection of the appellant's overall criminality.'

			large French doors, resulting in the		
			victim turning on the outside lights. The		
			appellant fled on foot.		
9.	Ritchie v The State	28 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Agg burglary.	Ct 1: 4 yrs imp (cum).	Appeal allowed.
	of Western		Ct 2: Stealing.	Ct 2: 2 yrs imp (cum).	
	Australia	Convicted after very late PG	Ct 3: Steal MV.	Ct 3: 12 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned error in sentencing (cum of cts 1 and 2 contravened
	[2022] XX/A CC A	(10% discount).	41 .051 6 11	mpg c	s 11 Sentencing Act) and totality principle.
	[2023] WASCA	D : 11 : 4 II : 6	About 85 kg of gold ore was stored in a	TES 6 yrs imp.	
	120	Prior criminal history; all offences	locked shipping container at a mine site.	EED	Sentence for ct 3 not challenged.
	Dalissanad	punished by fines.	A locked safe, which at the time, and	EFP.	Santanaina annon aon ao da d
	Delivered 11/08/2023	Born New Zealand, moved to	unknown to Ritchie and his co-	The contonaine judge found the offending was	Sentencing error conceded.
	11/08/2023	,	offenders, was empty, was also inside the container.	The sentencing judge found the offending was	Resentenced (10% discount):
		Australia aged 18 yrs.	the container.	planned and premeditated; the appellant was in company, which ensured the gold was	Resentenced (10% discount).
		Supportive relationship; step-	Ritchie and his co-offenders drove to	located and removed quickly and efficiently;	Ct 1: 5 yrs imp (cum).
		father to partner's two sons.	the mine site in a vehicle with a	the offending was protracted, persistent and	Ct 2: No penalty.
		rather to partner 5 two sons.	hydraulic loading crane.	committed at night; the appellant had att to	Ct 3: 12 mths imp (cum).
		Regularly employed since	ny studie rouding cruite.	conceal his identify; a co-offender carried a	co. 12 mais mp (com).
		arriving in WA; worked for	At the mine site their actions were	firearm as he walked around the site and a	TES 6 yrs imp.
		drilling services company at time	captured on CCTV footage. They had	substantial quantity of property, with a total	
		offending; employed as a	covered their faces with balaclavas.	value of \$327,000, was stolen and a	EFP.
		concreter while on bail.		significant amount of the property was not	
			Using an angle grinder Ritchie and the	recovered or destroyed by fire.	At [64] 'in the present case, the grounding offence for the agg burglary
		Good physical and mental health;	co-offenders cut open the padlocks on		offence charged in ct 1 was stealing gold ore, a safe, welding
		illicit drug use, but not a factor in	the shipping container. Then, using the	No demonstrated 'real remorse'; opportunity	equipment, chains and car keys The property the subject of the
		his offending.	hydraulic loading crane, they loaded the	to provide information as to the whereabouts	stealing offence charged in ct 2 was no different from the property the
			gold ore into the rear of their vehicle.	of the unaccounted for gold and the firearm	subject of the grounding offence for the agg burglary offence charged
				carried by his co-offender.	in ct 1.'
			They also attempted to cut open the safe		
			using an oxyacetylene set and		At [65] 'in the circumstances, the evidence necessary to establish the
			equipment from a nearby workshop.		commission of ct 1 also established, without more, all of the elements
			When this was unsuccessful they used a		of, and consequently the commission of, ct 2. No distinct additional
			front end loader at the site to remove the safe from the container and load it		evidence was required to establish the commission of ct 2.'
			onto a LandCruiser at the site.		At [66] 'consequently, the common law principle against double
			onto a Landerdisci at the site.		punishment and s 11(1) of the <i>Sentencing Act</i> precluded the primary
			Ritchie and the co-offenders put the		judge from imposing additional punishment or sentencing the appellant
			oxyacetylene set and equipment into the		for ct 2. Her Honour infringed the common law principle and s 11(1)
			same vehicle as the safe. They then left		by sentencing the appellant for ct 2 and ordering that the sentence for
			the site in their vehicle and the		ct 2 be served cum upon the sentence for ct 1.'
			LandCruiser.		_
			<b>Y</b>		At [77] 'we have taken into account the serious features of the
			During the burglary one of the co-		appellant's offending The combined effect of those features means
			offenders walked around the site		that the offending on ct 1 was an especially serious example of agg
			carrying a rifle with a cut down stock.		burglary of commercial premises.'
			Along with the gold ore, valued at		At [82] ' the overall seriousness of the appellant's offending on ct 1
			\$275,500, they stole the LandCruiser		and ct 3, having regard to all relevant sentencing factors, would not be
			valued at \$52,000, the safe valued at		adequately marked if the individual sentences were not wholly

			1 ** ***	1	
			\$3,000, the oxyacetylene set and		accumulated.'
			equipment at about \$1,300 and some		
			chains, straps and the vehicle's car keys		
			at \$250.00.		
			The stolen Landcruiser and safe were		
			later found in remote bushland		
			destroyed by fire.		
			desdroyed by the.		
			Only about 20 oz of gold from the gold		
			ore was recovered.		
			When arrested Ritchie denied any		
			involvement in the offending.		
8.	Thornley v The	32-33 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Agg burg.	Ct 1: 18 mths imp (cum).	Dismissed (leave refused).
	State of Western	34 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Stealing.	Ct 2: No penalty.	
	Australia		Ct 4: Receiving.	Ct 4: 10 mths 16 days imp (cum).	Appeal concerned parity and totality principle.
		Convicted after PG.		· C Y	
	[2023] WASCA		The complainant and his wife owned a	TES 2 yrs 4 mths 16 days imp.	At [48] We are satisfied that the disparity between the appellant's
	107	Short criminal history; prior drug	high-value dwelling. They lived		sentence and that imposed on Mr Beynon did not infringe the parity
		offending, including poss of a	overseas so employed caretakers to	Cum with sentence of 4 yrs 6 mths imp	principle or the principle of equal justice. The disparity was objectively
	Delivered	trafficable quantity of methyl	pack the furniture and the contents of	already serving.	a sufficient, even generous, reflection of their different circumstances.
	13/07/2023	wiss.	the property prior to the home's	TEG 6 10 1 16 1 '	
		Donanto atili to author fourily	renovation. Some antique furniture was	TES 6 yrs 10 mths 16 days imp.	A4 [56] The annullest while on heil and in commons with Ma
		Parents still together; family	placed in one of the main rooms of the	EFP.	At [56] The appellant, while on bail and in company with Mr Beynon, took advantage of the fact that the complainant's home was
		supportive.	home.	ERF.	unoccupied and committed a premediated and well-organised burglary
		Regular employment history;	From time to time the caretakers would	Co-offender Beynon sentenced to a TES 3 yrs	on the house, which resulted in the theft of a substantial amount of
		small business operator.	check the premises, which were	imp.	valuable property Offences of the kind committed by the appellant
		sinair ousmoss operator.	secured, including by locked gates.	mp.	and Mr Beynon are prevalent. This court has stated many times that
		Long-time user of methyl; using	seeds and increasing of received games.	The sentencing judge found the offending 'a	sentences for this kind of offending must be firmed up The TES
		approx 1 g of methyl a day;	In the early hrs of the morning Thornley	serious premediated and sophisticated course	imposed upon the appellant for the offences was, on any view,
		spending \$3,000 a wk on the	and his co-offender Beynon entered the	of conduct'.	modest.
		drug; significant daily use of	home without the consent of the		
		methyl coincided with significant	owners. They removed from the	Steps undertaken to address drug addiction	At [58] The appellant has fallen a long way short of demonstrating that
		escalation in seriousness of his	property numerous items, including	while in custody.	the overall TES ultimately imposed upon him infringed the first limb
		offending.	furniture, household effects and wine.		of the totality principle
			Oy		
			A short time later Thornley and Beynon		
			were seen by police driving in separate		
			vehicles. The vehicles were stopped and		
			searched and a number of items were		
			observed in each vehicle. Both were		
			allowed to continue on their way.		
			About one mth leter Daynon att to call		
			About one mth later, Beynon att to sell a chest on Gumtree. The chest had been		
			stolen from the property and was of		
			significant value.		
			organization ratio.		
<u> </u>	1	1	ı	1	1

			Thornley was captured a number of times on CCTV at his home address unloading property from his vehicle. The property was stolen from the complainant's house.  The burglary at the complainant's home was not discovered for some wks. Fingerprints, identified as belonging to Thornley and Beynon, were found inside the house.		
			A search of Thornley's home located a number of items, including several large items of furniture, that had been stolen from the complainant's house.	oroseci	
			The following day a search of Beynon's home recovered further items belonging to the complainant, including crockery and linen.		
7.	Houlahan v The	21 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Steal MV.	Ct 1: 12 mths imp (cum).	Dismissed (leave refused).
	State of Western	23 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 2: Fraud.	Ct 2: 6 mths imp (conc).	
	Australia		Ct 7: Agg burg.	Ct 7: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned length of individual sentences cts 1, 2 & 9 and
	[2022] XXACCA 95	Convicted after very late PG (cts	Ct 8: Steal MV.	Ct 8: 15 mths imp (conc).	totality principle.
	[2022] WASCA 85	1 & 2) (10% discount). Convicted after trial (cts 7-9).	Ct 9: Reckless driving.	Ct 9: 18 mths imp (cum).	At [35] As to the sentence imposed on ct 2, having regard to all of the
	Delivered	Convicted after that (cts 7-9).	All offences committed over a period of	TES 5 yrs imp.	relevant circumstances, including the appellant's PG, and the
	19/07/2022	Very lengthy unenviable criminal	15 days.	TES 5 yis mip.	modest amount [he] defrauded, the sentence of imp was not
	-2, 0, 1, 2022	history; frequently in detention or		EFP.	manifestly excessive, bearing in mind that [he] used the petrol he
		imprisoned since aged 14 yrs.	During a burglary, the victim's motor		obtained by fraud to enable him to continue driving the stolen vehicle.
			vehicle was stolen. It was not alleged	MDL disq for life.	
		Dysfunctional upbringing; parents	Houlahan had taken part in the burglary.		At [36] As to the sentence imposed on ct 9, the submissions of the
		separated aged 7 yrs; raised by	However, he drove the vehicle and put	The sentencing judge found the appellant's	appellant substantially understate the seriousness of the offence. While
		mother; tumultuous relationship	fuel in the vehicle, paying using the	offending 'very serious'; he drove on	the offence lasted between six and 10 min, it involved a very
		with father; exposed to alcohol and illicit drugs young age;	victim's debit card. The vehicle was later found damaged. A forensic	suburban streets, often at extreme speeds, posing a very real danger to others and	determined and sustained att to evade arrest. He was driving a stolen car and at one point had a passenger in the vehicle. In doing so [he]
		antisocial behaviours and	examination located Houlahan's DNA	showing a total disregard for other road users;	drove with extreme speed on a major highway and suburban streets in
		associations.	on the steering wheel. The cost to repair	the agg home burglary was particularly	a manner which put the lives and safety of other road users in
			the vehicle was \$2,310.	serious, it occurred at night when people were	jeopardy. The driving involved a selfish disregard for the safety of
		Mother and sister supportive.	640	in the house.	others
			In the early hrs of the morning the		
		Educated to yr 9.	victim and his family were asleep in	The sentencing judge found the appellant had	At [44] In the present case, her Honour was correct to accumulate
		Introduced to methyl aged 13 yrs.	their home. Houlahan broke into the house through a window. He used a pair	a continuing and entrenched disobedience of the law in very serious ways; nothing to	some of the sentences to properly reflect the appellant's overall criminality which encompassed five distinct offences in two separate
		miroduced to memyr aged 13 yrs.	of socks as gloves. Inside the home he	indicate on the path to rehabilitation.	incidents committed over a 15-day period The TES was an
			stole items of property, including the	material on the path to remonituation.	appropriate reflection of the appellant's overall criminality,
			keys to a motor vehicle. He then drove	Financial loss and great inconvenience caused	11 1
			the vehicle from the premises.	to victims.	

			That same morning Houlahan sped past an unmarked police car, who activated the car's lights to pull him over. He did not stop. When police activated both lights and sirens, he accelerated away from the pursuing police car. He drove in excess of 45 km p/hr over the speed limit in order to evade the police. At certain points he reached speeds of between 155 km p/h and 160 km p/hr. He also drove through a number of major intersections at high speed and on the incorrect side of the road. Police deployed a stinger device, which Houlahan deliberately evaded.  At one point Houlahan stopped to let a	21058	
			Eventually the vehicle came to rest against a tree. Houlahan ran from the vehicle and hid. He was eventually located by police.	D JOJIC ,	
6.	The State of	36 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Agg armed robbery.	Ct 1: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	Allowed.
	Western Australia		Ct 2: Steal MV.	Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc).	
	v O'Driscoll	Convicted after trial.			Appeal concerned length of sentence ct 1 and totality principle.
			The victim, Mr W, left a friend's house	TES 2 yrs 6 mths imp.	
	[2022] WASCA 65	Long criminal history.	to drive home. As he walked up the		Resentenced:
			driveway to his vehicle he was	Cum with sentence already serving (3 yrs 6	
	Delivered	Older brother and identical twin	confronted by O'Driscoll, holding a	mths imp).	Ct 1: 5 yrs imp (conc).
	09/06/2022	brother; 12 yrs of age when father	firearm, possibly a sawn-off shotgun.		Ct 2: 18 mths imp (conc).
		disappeared; suffered significantly		TES 6 yrs imp.	
		at the loss of his father' victim of	O'Driscoll was aggressive and		Cum with sentence already serving.
		sexual abuse.	demanded Mr W hand over his car	EFP.	
			keys, threatening to shoot him if he did		TES 8 yrs 6 mths imp.
		Left school yr 11; engaged in	not do so.	The sentencing judge found the offending	EFP.
		destructive behaviours.	X	involved a degree of premeditation having	
			In shock Mr W did not immediately	regard to the fact he was already holding the	At [48] Having regard to all of the circumstances of the case, the
		Struggled to hold down a job.	comply. O'Driscoll grabbed him and	firearm at the time he first engaged Mr W; he	sentence of 5 yrs' imp her Honour would have imposed but for the
			tried to drag him towards the road, all	also armed himself with a tomahawk; the	totality principle was, at least, lenient. But to reduce that sentence by
		Three significant personal	the while keeping the gun pointed in his	offending conduct was persistent and lasted 7	50% for totality was too great a discount for this purpose and has
		relationships; daughter aged 17	face.	or 8 minutes; he used actual violence against	resulted in the imposition of a manifestly inadequate sentence for the
		yrs; current partner of eight yrs		Mr W, injuring him; he left the scene without,	offence
		supportive.	O'Driscoll struck Mr W to the side of	in any way, assisting Mr W; Mr W was	A. [50]
		III.	his ear with the firearm. As Mr W was	vulnerable and suffered serious psychological	At [52] the agg armed robbery offence was a particularly serious
		History of substance abuse;	bent over with his jacket over his head	harm.	example of its type. The sentence imposed by her Honour was,
		commenced using alcohol and	O'Driscoll struck him with an object	Ongoing navahalasisal travers	manifestly inadequate. When this offence is considered, along with all
		cannabis aged 14 yrs; methyl at	(probably the firearm) on the back of	Ongoing psychological trauma suffered by	of the respondent's other offending, the TES does not bear a proper
		aged 17 yrs; methyl use persisted over time.	his head.	the victim; lost his job as a result of the offending.	relationship to the overall criminality involved in all of the offences,
	1	Over time.		Offending.	

			Still holding the firearm, O'Driscoll		
			took a tomahawk from Mr W's vehicle	Appellant not remorseful and no acceptance	
			and brandished it, again demanding Mr	of responsibility for his offending.	
			W's car keys and threatening to shoot		
			him.		
			Mr W put his keys on the bonnet of his		Ġ
			vehicle. Using the keys O'Driscoll		
			started the vehicle and drove from the		
			area. The vehicle was located the		
			following day, crashed into a tree.		
					$\mathcal{O}^{v}$
			Mr W suffered a laceration to the back		
			of his head which required staples. He		
			also suffered bruising and abrasions.	.07	
5.	Jabbie v The State	22-23 yrs at time offending.	<u>IND 2405</u>	<u>IND 2405</u>	Dismissed (leave refused).
	of Western	24 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 4; 7 & 12: Agg robbery.	Ct 4: 2 yrs 3 mths imp (conc).	
	Australia	7777 4 40 7	Cts 5 & 11: Agg armed robbery.	Ct 5: 4 yrs imp (head).	Appeal concerned lengths of individual sentences cts 5 and 7; totality
	500001 <b>XX</b> 4 0 0 4 4 0	<u>IND 2405</u>	Cts 8 & 10: Agg burglary.	Ct 7: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	principle and error in sentencing commencement date.
	[2022] WASCA 10	Convicted after late PG – cts 4, 7-	Cts 9; 14-15: Stealing.	Ct 8: 2 yrs 2 mths imp (conc).	
	D 1' 1	9 and 11-16 (18% discount).	Ct 13: Steal MV.	Ct 9: 1 yr 8 mths imp (conc).	At [73]-[74] Ct 5 involved a violent attack on a rideshare driver, using
	Delivered	Convicted after very late PG – cts	Ct 16: Att agg burglary.	Ct 10: 2 yrs imp (conc).	a weapon, while the appellant was in company. The appellant sprayed
	09/02/2022	5 and 10 (15% discount).	IND 1442	Ct 11: 3 yrs 4 mths imp (conc).	the victim in the face while the victim was driving, thereby
		IND 1443	<u>IND 1443</u>	Ct 12: 3 yrs imp (conc).	endangering the victim and members of the public. The victim was
		Convicted after early PG (25%	Ct 1: Wilful damage by fire.	Ct 13: 1 yr 6 mths imp (conc).	providing a service to the public. He was vulnerable to an unexpected
		discount).	IND 2405	Ct 14: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (conc). Ct 15: No further punishment.	attack while he was driving. The offending has had profound and
		Extensive criminal history;	Ct 4	Ct 15: No further pullishment. Ct 16: 1 yr's imp (conc).	enduring effects on the victim, who has suffered PTSD and suicidal depression the sentence of 4 yrs imp on ct 5 is comfortably within
		including offences of violence and	Jabbie approached the victim walking	et 10. 1 yr s mip (cone).	the range of sentences available on a proper exercise of the sentencing
		dishonesty.	down the street. Without warning he hit	IND 1443	discretion
		dishonesty.	the victim around the head, causing him	Ct 1: 1 yr's imp (cum).	discretion
		Disadvantaged and difficult	to fall to the ground. He further	ot 1. 1 yr 5 mip (cum).	At [75]-[76] Ct 7 involved a violent attack on a 65-yr-old taxi driver.
		upbringing; born Liberia; only	assaulted the victim. Jabbie stole the	TES 8 yrs 6 mths imp.	The appellant punched and kicked the victim, rendering him
		child; parents separated when	victim's mobile phone, headphones and	J I	unconscious. Again, the victim was providing a service to the public.
		young; largely raised by	wallet.	EFP.	The appellant stole a large sum of money from the victim. The
		grandparents.			appellant's offending has had significant medical, psychological and
			<u>Ct 5</u>	The sentencing judge found the appellant's	financial consequences on the victim, the sentence of 3 yrs 6 mths
		Came to Australia to live with his	Two days later, the victim, an Uber	overall offending 'very serious; given the	on ct 7 is well within the range of sentences available on a proper
		father; arriving via refugee camp;	driver, agreed to drive Jabbie and three	number of victims, some of whom were	exercise of the sentencing discretion
		troubled relationship with	other males. Jabbie was in the front seat	elderly, and the ongoing consequences for the	
		stepmother; offended against his	when he sprayed the victim in the face	victims.	At [80] The appellant's offending caused serious harm to a number of
		stepsister; removed from the	with an unknown substance as he was		different victims. He violently attacked the victims of cts 4, 5, 7, 11
		family home by Department of	driving. The victim, in pain, stopped his	The sentencing judge found the offending the	and 12, many of whom continue to suffer significant adverse effects
		Communities until aged 17 yrs.	vehicle, got out and ran away, before	subject of IND 1332 was serious because of	from the attack
		<b>D</b> , ,	falling. Jabbie went up to the victim,	the risk of harm to others at the prison. The	
		Poorly educated; limited	searched his pockets and took his wallet	risk of serious injury or death caused by fire	At [81] Given the substantial number of serious offences the subject of
		employment opportunities; some	and a sum of money. Jabbie then tried	was considerably increased within the	[IND 2405], accumulation, to some substantial degree, was necessary
		salesperson and gardening work.	to leave in the victim's vehicle, but he	confines of the prison due to the significantly	to reflect the seriousness of the offending Accumulation of the
		Two young cons from former	could not start it. The victim required	delayed ability to escape the area's security	sentence on the offence the subject of [IND 1443] was necessary and
		Two young sons from former	treatment for his injuries.	mechanisms.	appropriate, given that the offence was serious and was committed

relationship; relationship marred by violence; no contact with his children for over two yrs.

Diagnosed with depression aged 19 yrs.

Commenced alcohol and cannabis use aged 13 yrs; methyl aged 17 yrs.

### <u>Ct 7</u>

About nine days later the victim, aged 65 yrs, collected Jabbie and a female in his taxi. When he was unable to pay the fare at the end of the journey the victim told him he would return them to where he had picked them up. Jabbie became aggressive and punched the victim. He instructed the victim to stop the car. When he did so Jabbie continued kicking and punching him. The victim lost balance and was rendered unconscious.

Jabbie then removed \$2,700 in cash from the victim's pocket. The victim was hospitalised due to his injuries.

### <u>Ct 8</u>

Several days later Jabbie and a cooffender entered a house and stole a number of items valued at \$1,170. While inside the house the victim and her daughter returned. Jabbie tried to hide before fleeing.

# <u>Ct 9</u>

After fleeing the home the subject of ct 8 Jabbie jumped a fence into the backyard of the neighbouring home. He stole two cans of soft drink from a fridge in a side room. He fled when the occupants returned home.

### Cts 10 and 11

That same day Jabbie entered the garage of the victim, aged 77 yrs, with the intention of stealing his car. The victim went to investigate the noise and was confronted by Jabbie, who sprayed him with a fire extinguisher. Jabbie then tried to enter the house to find the car keys, however the victim pushed him back and closed the door. Jabbie then fled.

#### Cts 12 and 13

The next day Jabbie approached the victim's vehicle. The victim, aged 64

Appellant remorseful; some insight into his offending; high risk of reoffending.

while the appellant was a sentenced prisoner.

At [82] In our view, the TES ... was well within the proper exercise of the sentencing judge's discretion.

			yrs, had just finished work and gotten into his car. Jabbie elbowed the driver's window, smashing it completely. The victim sustained a large cut to his arm. Jabbie took the keys to the vehicle. The victim got out of the car and an altercation ensured. After the fighting stopped Jabbie took the car keys and demanded property from the victim. The victim said he did not have anything and asked for his keys back. Jabbie refused and left on foot, taking the car keys with him.  The victim walked to his place of work.		
			Jabbie then went inside and confronted him again. This time demanding his watch. After a brief altercation he stole the victim's watch. The victim's employer intervened and asked Jabbie to return the victim's belongings, but he refused and left in the victim's vehicle.	e Pulojic Pro	
			Cts 14 and 15 Later that same day Jabbie smashed a window of the victim's residential unit. He stole jewellery, including family heirlooms of sentimental value, with a value estimated at about \$30,000. Some of the jewellery was recovered, but a large amount remains outstanding.		
			Ct 16 The following day Jabbie attempted to gain access to the victim's house by kicking in the door. The victim heard the noise and saw Jabbie on a CCTV camera and called the police. Jabbie left and did not gain access to the house.		
			IND 1443 While incarcerated Jabbie put a sheet over a device he had set up through an electrical socket in his cell. The sheet ignited and the fire spread to the mattress before being extinguished. The fire caused around \$2,000 of damage.		
4.	Fleay v The State of Western Australia	38-41 yrs at time offending. 52 yrs at time sentencing.	11 x Stealing. 1 x Stealing as a director (ct 20).	Ct 1: 4 yrs imp (cum). Cts 2-3 & 5: 18 mths imp (conc). Ct 7: 4 yrs imp (partly conc – commences 2	Dismissed.  Appeal concerned totality principle.

		771	6. 1	
	Convicted after trial.	Fleay worked as a senior accountant	yrs after beginning ct 1).	
[2021] WASCA		and then director at an accounting firm.	Ct 10: 14 mths imp (conc).	At [46] The offences committed by the appellant are self-evidently
214	No prior criminal history.	He was the accountant for Mr and Mrs	Ct 11: 22 mths imp (conc).	serious and involved a very high degree of criminality. There were
1		Jabado and was involved in almost all	Cts 14; 22 & 24: 12 mths imp (conc).	three aggravating circ
Delivered	Educated; Bachelor of Business	aspects of their business and personal	Ct 19: 2 yrs 3 mths imp (conc).	circumstances of particular importance. First, the thefts involved a ver
16/12/2021	degree.	finances.	Ct 20: 20 mths imp (conc).	large sum of money, Second, the funds were stolen over a long
<b>!</b>			- ' '	period of time, Third, the victims reposed their total trust in the
	Married; loving and supportive	Over a period of just under three yrs	TES 6 yrs imp.	appellant, which he betrayed. Not only was the appellant their
!	father to two children; family	Fleay stole a total of \$4,662,825.79		accountant, but he was also their friend. A consequence of the victims
!	suffered economically and	from the Jabados' and their family	EFP.	total trust in the appellant was that they did not examine in detail their
<b>!</b>	emotionally as a result of the	company.		own financial records. This made them vulnerable to the appellant's
1	offending; supportive unwavering	company.	The sentencing judge found the offending	predations; a situation he exploited.
!		Elegy wood the stelen meaning to		predations, a situation he exploited.
!	devotion of his family.	Fleay used the stolen money to	serious; given the total value of the money	
!	XX 11 1 1 1 1 1	purchase or assist in the purchase of	stolen; the period of time over which it was	At [66] The seriousness of the appellant's offending and the need
!	Well regarded in the community;	expensive homes; meet various tax	stolen and the gross breach of trust involved.	for general deterrence required the imposition of a substantial TES
,	served as a councillor and actively	liabilities and for his general personal		we have not been persuaded that the TES infringed the first limb of
1	involved with his children's	expenditure.	The sentencing judge found a degree of	the totality principle It has not been demonstrated that a substantial
1	school.		sophistication in the offending, it involved the	wrong has occurred
1		In the hope that his offending would not	filing of inaccurate tax returns and	
1	Good physical and mental health.	be discovered Fleay began repaying the	misleading, if not inaccurate, entries on a	
1		money he had stolen. However, Mr	cheque butt; he successfully avoided	
1		Jabado eventually became suspicious.	detection to the extent that he was able to	
1			offend for a period of nearly three yrs.	
1		Fleay repaid all monies. In total he		
1		repaid \$6,857,862 to the Jabados', plus	Not remorseful.	
1		interest.	Tiot remoiseran	
		incoost.	Low risk of reoffending.	
Brooks v The State	39 yrs at time sentencing.	Indictment -Supreme	Indictment - Supreme	Dismissed (leave refused) – on papers.
of Western		Ct 1: Agg armed robbery.	Ct 1: 4 yrs 4 mths imp (cum).	
Åustralia	Indictment -Supreme	Ct 2: Armed so as to cause terror.	Ct 2: 9 mths imp (cum).	Indictment - Supreme
1 200001 00000	Convicted after trial.	0.2012000000000000000000000000000000000	ev zv z minis mip (eum).	Appeal concerned length of sentence and totality principle.
[2021] WASCA	Convicted after than.	Magistrate Court	TES 5 yrs 1 mth imp (cum on sentence	ripped concerned length of sentence and totality principle.
156	Magistrates Court	Offending comprised 19 offences on	imposed by Supreme Court).	Magistrate Court
130		S 1		
'				Amnaal aanaamad tatality minainlaa and aman (allayyin a ayummamy
D 1: 1	Convicted after PG (20%	various dates, including breaches of	EFP.	Appeal concerned totality principles and error (allowing summary
Delivered	discount).	bail, unlicensed possession of a firearm,		Appeal concerned totality principles and error (allowing summary charges to not be dealt with by superior court).
Delivered 03/09/2021	discount).	bail, unlicensed possession of a firearm, no authority to drive, trespass, burglary	Magistrate Court	charges to not be dealt with by superior court).
	discount).  Indictment - District	bail, unlicensed possession of a firearm,	Magistrate Court TES 1 yr 3 mths imp.	charges to not be dealt with by superior court).  Indictment - District
	discount).  Indictment - District Convicted after late PG (15%	bail, unlicensed possession of a firearm, no authority to drive, trespass, burglary and stealing.	Magistrate Court	charges to not be dealt with by superior court).  Indictment - District Appeal concern error in cum sentences; totality principle (crushing
	discount).  Indictment - District	bail, unlicensed possession of a firearm, no authority to drive, trespass, burglary and stealing.  Magistrate Court appeal commenced in	Magistrate Court TES 1 yr 3 mths imp.	charges to not be dealt with by superior court).  Indictment - District Appeal concern error in cum sentences; totality principle (crushing
	discount).  Indictment - District Convicted after late PG (15%	bail, unlicensed possession of a firearm, no authority to drive, trespass, burglary and stealing.	Magistrate Court TES 1 yr 3 mths imp.	charges to not be dealt with by superior court).  Indictment - District Appeal concern error in cum sentences; totality principle (crushing
	discount).  Indictment - District Convicted after late PG (15%	bail, unlicensed possession of a firearm, no authority to drive, trespass, burglary and stealing.  Magistrate Court appeal commenced in	Magistrate Court TES 1 yr 3 mths imp. EFP.	charges to not be dealt with by superior court).  Indictment - District Appeal concern error in cum sentences; totality principle (crushing effect of accumulated sentences from different jurisdictions) and error
	discount).  Indictment - District Convicted after late PG (15% discount).	bail, unlicensed possession of a firearm, no authority to drive, trespass, burglary and stealing.  Magistrate Court appeal commenced in Supreme Court referred to Court of	Magistrate Court TES 1 yr 3 mths imp. EFP.  Indictment - District	charges to not be dealt with by superior court).  Indictment - District Appeal concern error in cum sentences; totality principle (crushing effect of accumulated sentences from different jurisdictions) and error
	discount).  Indictment - District Convicted after late PG (15% discount).  Lengthy criminal history;	bail, unlicensed possession of a firearm, no authority to drive, trespass, burglary and stealing.  Magistrate Court appeal commenced in Supreme Court referred to Court of	Magistrate Court TES 1 yr 3 mths imp. EFP.  Indictment - District Ct 1: 6 mths imp (conc).	charges to not be dealt with by superior court).  Indictment - District Appeal concern error in cum sentences; totality principle (crushing effect of accumulated sentences from different jurisdictions) and error (plea discount).  At [54] The Supreme Court judge was called upon to sentence the
	discount).  Indictment - District Convicted after late PG (15% discount).  Lengthy criminal history; including interstate offending.	bail, unlicensed possession of a firearm, no authority to drive, trespass, burglary and stealing.  Magistrate Court appeal commenced in Supreme Court referred to Court of Appeal.	Magistrate Court TES 1 yr 3 mths imp. EFP.  Indictment - District Ct 1: 6 mths imp (conc). Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc).	charges to not be dealt with by superior court).  Indictment - District Appeal concern error in cum sentences; totality principle (crushing effect of accumulated sentences from different jurisdictions) and error (plea discount).  At [54] The Supreme Court judge was called upon to sentence the appellant only for two offences: It was well open to her Honour to
	discount).  Indictment - District Convicted after late PG (15% discount).  Lengthy criminal history;	bail, unlicensed possession of a firearm, no authority to drive, trespass, burglary and stealing.  Magistrate Court appeal commenced in Supreme Court referred to Court of Appeal.  Indictment – District Cts 1 & 3: Criminal damage.	Magistrate Court TES 1 yr 3 mths imp. EFP.  Indictment - District Ct 1: 6 mths imp (conc). Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 15 mths imp (conc). Ct 4: 15 mths imp (conc).	charges to not be dealt with by superior court).  Indictment - District Appeal concern error in cum sentences; totality principle (crushing effect of accumulated sentences from different jurisdictions) and error (plea discount).  At [54] The Supreme Court judge was called upon to sentence the appellant only for two offences: It was well open to her Honour to order a degree of accumulation between [the] two offences, bearing in
	discount).  Indictment - District Convicted after late PG (15% discount).  Lengthy criminal history; including interstate offending.  Traumatic childhood; experienced death of older sister when he was	bail, unlicensed possession of a firearm, no authority to drive, trespass, burglary and stealing.  Magistrate Court appeal commenced in Supreme Court referred to Court of Appeal.  Indictment – District Cts 1 & 3: Criminal damage. Cts 2 & 4: Stealing.	Magistrate Court TES 1 yr 3 mths imp. EFP.  Indictment - District Ct 1: 6 mths imp (conc). Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 15 mths imp (conc). Ct 4: 15 mths imp (conc). Ct 5: 6 mths imp (conc).	charges to not be dealt with by superior court).  Indictment - District Appeal concern error in cum sentences; totality principle (crushing effect of accumulated sentences from different jurisdictions) and error (plea discount).  At [54] The Supreme Court judge was called upon to sentence the appellant only for two offences: It was well open to her Honour to order a degree of accumulation between [the] two offences, bearing in
	discount).  Indictment - District Convicted after late PG (15% discount).  Lengthy criminal history; including interstate offending.  Traumatic childhood; experienced	bail, unlicensed possession of a firearm, no authority to drive, trespass, burglary and stealing.  Magistrate Court appeal commenced in Supreme Court referred to Court of Appeal.  Indictment – District Cts 1 & 3: Criminal damage. Cts 2 & 4: Stealing. Cts 5-6: Poss stolen or unlawfully	Magistrate Court TES 1 yr 3 mths imp. EFP.  Indictment - District Ct 1: 6 mths imp (conc). Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 15 mths imp (conc). Ct 4: 15 mths imp (conc). Ct 5: 6 mths imp (conc). Ct 6: 12 mths imp (conc).	charges to not be dealt with by superior court).  Indictment - District Appeal concern error in cum sentences; totality principle (crushing effect of accumulated sentences from different jurisdictions) and error (plea discount).  At [54] The Supreme Court judge was called upon to sentence the appellant only for two offences: It was well open to her Honour to order a degree of accumulation between [the] two offences, bearing in mind that they involved distinct criminality and had different victims.
	Indictment - District Convicted after late PG (15% discount).  Lengthy criminal history; including interstate offending.  Traumatic childhood; experienced death of older sister when he was aged 6 yrs; mother a yr later.	bail, unlicensed possession of a firearm, no authority to drive, trespass, burglary and stealing.  Magistrate Court appeal commenced in Supreme Court referred to Court of Appeal.  Indictment – District Cts 1 & 3: Criminal damage. Cts 2 & 4: Stealing. Cts 5-6: Poss stolen or unlawfully obtained property.	Magistrate Court TES 1 yr 3 mths imp. EFP.  Indictment - District Ct 1: 6 mths imp (conc). Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 15 mths imp (conc). Ct 4: 15 mths imp (conc). Ct 5: 6 mths imp (conc). Ct 5: 6 mths imp (conc). Ct 6: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 7: 12 mths imp (conc) (no EFP).	charges to not be dealt with by superior court).  Indictment - District Appeal concern error in cum sentences; totality principle (crushing effect of accumulated sentences from different jurisdictions) and error (plea discount).  At [54] The Supreme Court judge was called upon to sentence the appellant only for two offences: It was well open to her Honour to order a degree of accumulation between [the] two offences, bearing in mind that they involved distinct criminality and had different victims.  At [56] What occurred in the District Court, mths after the Supreme
	Indictment - District Convicted after late PG (15% discount).  Lengthy criminal history; including interstate offending.  Traumatic childhood; experienced death of older sister when he was aged 6 yrs; mother a yr later.  Lived with physically violent	bail, unlicensed possession of a firearm, no authority to drive, trespass, burglary and stealing.  Magistrate Court appeal commenced in Supreme Court referred to Court of Appeal.  Indictment – District Cts 1 & 3: Criminal damage. Cts 2 & 4: Stealing. Cts 5-6: Poss stolen or unlawfully obtained property. Ct 7: Escaping lawful custody.	Magistrate Court TES 1 yr 3 mths imp. EFP.  Indictment - District Ct 1: 6 mths imp (conc). Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 15 mths imp (conc). Ct 4: 15 mths imp (conc). Ct 5: 6 mths imp (conc). Ct 6: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 7: 12 mths imp (conc) (no EFP). Ct 8: 14 mths imp (cum on Supreme Court	charges to not be dealt with by superior court).  Indictment - District Appeal concern error in cum sentences; totality principle (crushing effect of accumulated sentences from different jurisdictions) and error (plea discount).  At [54] The Supreme Court judge was called upon to sentence the appellant only for two offences: It was well open to her Honour to order a degree of accumulation between [the] two offences, bearing in mind that they involved distinct criminality and had different victims.  At [56] What occurred in the District Court, mths after the Supreme Court judge imposed sentence, does not (and cannot) provide any basis
	Indictment - District Convicted after late PG (15% discount).  Lengthy criminal history; including interstate offending.  Traumatic childhood; experienced death of older sister when he was aged 6 yrs; mother a yr later.	bail, unlicensed possession of a firearm, no authority to drive, trespass, burglary and stealing.  Magistrate Court appeal commenced in Supreme Court referred to Court of Appeal.  Indictment – District Cts 1 & 3: Criminal damage. Cts 2 & 4: Stealing. Cts 5-6: Poss stolen or unlawfully obtained property.	Magistrate Court TES 1 yr 3 mths imp. EFP.  Indictment - District Ct 1: 6 mths imp (conc). Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 15 mths imp (conc). Ct 4: 15 mths imp (conc). Ct 5: 6 mths imp (conc). Ct 5: 6 mths imp (conc). Ct 6: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 7: 12 mths imp (conc) (no EFP).	charges to not be dealt with by superior court).  Indictment - District Appeal concern error in cum sentences; totality principle (crushing effect of accumulated sentences from different jurisdictions) and error (plea discount).  At [54] The Supreme Court judge was called upon to sentence the appellant only for two offences: It was well open to her Honour to order a degree of accumulation between [the] two offences, bearing in mind that they involved distinct criminality and had different victims.

physically and emotionally abusive.

Left school aged 13 yrs; commenced using drugs.

Left home aged 15 yrs; reconciled with his family aged 28 yrs.

Inconsistent early employment history; trade work late twenties; self-employed roof plumber early thirties.

2 yr relationship at time offending; young son together; partner history of substance abuse and offending behaviour, reported to have made significant positive changes in her lifestyle; partner and her parents supportive.

Severe symptoms of anxiety, depression and stress; diagnosed with PTSD.

Entrenched drug use.

custody.

Ct 10: Assault public officer.

Ct 11: Assault with intent to rob.

Ct 13: Burg.

Ct 14: Agg burg.

Ct 15: Steal MV.

<u>Indictment – Supreme Court</u>

Brooks and a co-offender decided to rob a newsagency. With their faces covered and each carrying a knife they rushed into the newsagency.

The co-offender shouted at the woman working behind the counter to give him money. When the co-offender went behind the counter the woman picked up a cricket bat, so he pushed the woman with force, causing her to fall on the floor. He put the knife near her neck and repeated his demand for money.

The woman's daughter heard her mother's screams and began to telephone the police. Brooks screamed at her to put the phone away and pointed his knife at her, telling her that he would stab her.

The co-offender grabbed the till drawer and took about \$450 in cash before running. Brooks pushed the daughter off balance and followed.

When Brooks was chased by two men, he stopped and threatened one of them with his knife.

Brooks hid some items of clothing in an att to avoid being caught. He was arrested some wks later. He denied any involvement in the offence.

#### Indictment – District Court

Brooks drove a stolen truck up to the double gates of a business. After trying to break the padlock to the gates with bolt cutters, he att to smash through them with the truck. The gates and the

Ct 10: 3 mths imp (conc).

Ct 11: 3 mths imp (cum).

Ct 12: 21 mths imp (cum).

Ct 13: 15 mths imp (conc).

Ct 14: 2 yrs imp (conc). Ct 15: 9 mths imp (conc).

Sentenced in the Supreme Court, District Court and the Magistrates Court for a total of 36 offences. The most serious offences, were committed in a period of about three wks. The result of the three sentencing exercises:

TES 9 yrs 6 mths imp. EFP.

#### Indictment - Supreme

The trial judge found the armed robbery objectively very serious; the offence was planned; both offenders were armed and disguised; they chose a vulnerable target and threatened two vulnerable women, both shouting and screaming.

The trial judge took into account time spent by the appellant on remand for the murder charge and time already spent in protective custody, and would in the future serve, for the current offending.

Letter of apology tendered; otherwise no demonstrated genuine remorse; not at a low risk of reoffending; reasonable prospects of rehabilitation; steps taken to become a better father while on remand.

### <u>Indictment – District</u>

The sentencing judge found the appellant's offending the subject of cts 1-4 serious and premediated acts of dishonesty; it would have been a terrifying experience for the victims of cts 11 and 12, were ordinary members of the community going about their daily business; the offending necessitated a sentence that sufficiently denounced the appellant's conduct and provided appropriate personal and general deterrence.

At [83] ... we are satisfied that there is no reason to suppose that, had the summary offences, and the indictable offences all been dealt with together, the overall disposition would have been any more favourable from the appellant's perspective. ... the sentencing judge in the District Court was acutely aware of, and carefully weighed, the sentences that had already been imposed ... in determining what sentences should be imposed for the offences dealt with in the District Court.

At [87]-[88] In our view, the appellant's offending conduct that was the subject of his sentence in the Magistrates Court was of a nature and extent that demanded a sentence that was cum on the sentence in the Supreme Court to a not insubstantial extent. ... Not is it reasonably arguable that the sentences imposed by the Chief Magistrate produced a result that was, in the relevant sense, crushing, so as to infringe the second limb of the totality principle. ...

At [117]-[119] The appellant was sentenced in the District Court for 15 offences. Several of them involved appalling offending that would have terrified or endangered members of the public. Further, [he] used violence to escape from legal custody. ... the appellant's offending the subject of cts 7 – 12 of itself would ordinarily have justified and required a TES substantially higher than the TES ... imposed ... in the District Court. As the judge observed, cts 11 and 12 were each very serious offences in which the appellant used violence towards entirely innocent members of the public in an att to steal their cars, the second att of which was successful. ... Other elements of the appellant's offending were also serious. ... the two home burglaries, ... were both serious offences warranting substantial terms of imp.

At [126] ... the [District Court] judge did not err in failing to award a 25% discount for the appellant's PG. Indeed, it was not open to the judge to have done so.

linked chain fence were extensively damaged (ct 1). Brooks drove a stolen truck to the entry of a business. After cutting the lock to a gate he drove to a parked caravan valued at \$45,000 and hitched the caravan to the back of his vehicle. As he drove away the chain snapped, so he left, leaving the caravan behind (ct 2). At a car wash Brooks, driving the same stolen truck, reversed at speed into two industrial vacuum units causing \$29,358.20 in damage. He and his male passenger then att unsuccessfully to take one of the units. They left and returned a short time later with a chisel and hammer, which they used to separate one of the units from its base. They then carried it to the truck and left (cts 3 and 4). During a burglary, a dinghy, boat trailer, boat engine and a fuel jerry can were stolen. Brooks arranged to store a boat at a rural property. The owner agreed and a short time later he attended the property with a boat, a boat motor and fuel jerry Some wks later a stealing offence occurred. The stolen items included a bobcat and trailer. The bobcat was fitted with a GPS tracking device. The same day Brooks attended the same rural property with the stolen bobcat to store it at the property. The bobcat was tracked to its location and police were alerted. A search of the property located the stolen bobcat (cts 5 and 6). Brooks was apprehended in connection with an armed robbery (the Supreme Court offence). He was conveyed to a police station and detained. His partner was also held in the same detention

area. The two shouted at each other and

		became increasingly agitated. When an officer opened his cell door he grabbed the officer and during a struggle took the officer's swipe card. After freeing his partner he ran away (cts 7-10).		
		After fleeing custody Brooks ran in front of a vehicle, opened the driver's door, grabbed hold of the driver and tried to forcibly remove her from the car. Fearing for herself and her passenger she accelerated away (ct 11).		
		Brooks then got in the passenger seat of a stationary vehicle. He shouted at the driver to go and, fearing for his safety, he complied. He ignored the driver's request to get out and became more agitated. At a red light he told the driver to get out, which he did. Brooks threatened the driver if he called the police. The vehicle was later found extensively damaged (ct 12).	Piloise Ci	
		Brooks gained entry to a home by smashing a sliding door. He cut the phone line and searched a bedroom. He left the premises by forcing open a rear window. No items were stolen (ct 13).	Of Office of the second se	
		On the same day Brooks broke into a different residence. The occupants were home at the time. Manipulating a locked door he entered the premises and stole an iPhone, a laptop and the keys to a vehicle. Using the car keys he stole the		
		occupants vehicle. He was later seen by police driving the vehicle and failed to stop when requested to do so, leading to a police pursuit (cts 14-15).	1 11007	
2. Beynon v The State of Western Australia	32 yrs at time offending. 33 yrs at time sentencing.	Ind 1237 Ct 1: Agg burg. Ct 2: Stealing.	Ind 1237 Ct 1: 12 mths imp (cum ct 2 Ind 2149). Ct 2: No punishment.	Dismissed (leave refused).  Appeal concerned totality principle.
[2021] WASCA 153	Ind 1237 Convicted after early PG (25% discount ct 1).	Ind 2149 Ct 1: Stealing. Ct 2: Agg burg.	Ind 2149 Ct 1: 3 mths imp (conc). Ct 2: 16 mths imp (conc).	At [40] While the commission of each offence did not involve the agg features sometimes seen in offending of this kind, such as the use of weapons, direct confrontations with the occupiers of the house, or the
Delivered 31/08/2021	Ind 2149 Convicted after PG (20% discount).	Ind 2149 Shortly after midnight Beynon went to	TES 2 yrs 4 mths imp.	theft of more valuable property, the offences were not without serious features. Each offence was committed at night when the occupant was at home and asleep. The appellant then proceeded to steal valuable

	Criminal history; dishonesty offences; numerous outstanding charges in New Zealand.  Raised in New Zealand; mother multiple male partners with whom he did not get along.  Left school aged 15-16 yrs.  Worked a number of roles; joined New Zealand army; 3 yrs active service, including East Timor.  Mother and younger brother killed motor vehicle accident.  Struggled following sudden loss of mother and brother; experienced anxiety, nightmares and flashbacks on return from East Time.  Commenced using ecstasy and methyl aged 21 yrs; regular user of methyl; some periods of abstinence; increased use of alcohol when not using methyl.	the victim's home. From a vehicle parked in the driveway he stole a number of items, including the remote control to the home's garage roller door.  Using the stolen remote control Beynon gained access to the garage. Once inside he placed a trolley underneath the roller door to prevent it closing. He then stole a mountain bike valued at about \$1,000. He left with all the stolen items.  In the meantime, the victim, awoken by her dog barking, noticed the security light on. She also saw her vehicle was open. From inside the house she tried unsuccessfully to close the garage roller door. Afraid, she called her husband, who was overseas, and while on the telephone with him she investigated and discovered someone had broken into the garage and stolen the bike.  Ind 1237  About a week and a half later Beynon and a co-offender were driving a stolen motor vehicle searching for open garages from which to steal property. In the early hrs of the morning, they stopped at the victim's home. Beynon entered the property through the garage door, while the co-offender waited in the vehicle as a lookout and getaway driver.  Inside the victim's premises Beynon stole a number of items, including a purse, bank card, cash, sunglasses and	EFP.  The sentencing judge found the two agg home burg offences 'particularly serious'.  The sentencing judge accepted that in relation to the agg burg offences, no violence was used; there was no evidence the appellant was armed with any weapon and there was minimal damage to the properties.  The sentencing judge found that some accumulation of the sentences was appropriate; the appellant engaged in two separate and distinct episodes of offending on different days and involving different victims.	property. In respect of the offence [the subject of Ind 2149], the mode of entry and the manner in which the appellant prevented the garage door from closing had a degree of ingenuity. It also instilled fear into the occupant of the house. The offence [the subject of Ind 1237] was premediated and involved the use of a co-offender as a look-out and getaway driver.  At [44] The appellant committed two serious agg home burglaries in the space of 10 days. Accumulation of the sentences was appropriate to properly reflect the total criminality of the offending The allegation that the TES infringed the first limb of the totality principle is without merit and must fail.
		some jewellery.		
1. The State of Western Australia v Quartermaine	22 yrs at time offending.  Convicted after PG (25%	Ct 1: Agg burg. Ct 2: Steal MV. Ct 3: Agg burg.	Ct 1: 2 yrs imp (conc). Ct 2: 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 3: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	Allowed.  Appeal concerned length of individual sentences cts 1, 3 and 5 and
[2021] WASCA	discount).	Ct 4: AOBH.	Ct 4: 6 mths imp (cum).	totality principle.
145	Extensive criminal history; previous terms of imp.	Ct 5: Agg burg. Ct 6: Stealing.	Ct 5: 2 yrs imp (cum). Ct 6: No penalty.	Resentenced (25% discount):
Delivered 16/08/2021	Difficult up-bringing; raised	Quartermaine was drinking excessively at his mother's home. Upset at being	TES 3 yrs imp.	Ct 1: 12 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 15 mths imp (conc).

family environment marred by domestic violence; drug and alcohol abuse.

Difficult education; changed schools on a number of occasions; left aged 13 yrs.

Relationship at time offending; two children aged 5 yrs and a new born.

Substance abuse issues; commenced drinking alcohol aged 14 yrs.

ejected from the premises and wanting a vehicle to get home he went to a house occupied by a couple who, along with their 2 yr old son, were asleep inside. He entered the house through an open window and stole the keys to a BMW motor vehicle. From a vehicle he stole a bag containing items valued at about \$400. He then then stole the BMW, later abandoning it after crashing it.

Quartermaine was later identified by his fingerprints and DNA. He admitted the offences when interviewed (cts 1 & 2).

Several hrs later Quartermaine went to another home. The victims, a couple and their 20 yr old daughter, were asleep in the home at the time.

Quartermaine entered the home by kicking open the front door. This woke the victims. The male victim got out of bed and was confronted by Quartermaine, who demanded his keys and threatened to kill him. The victim repeatedly told him to leave. During a scuffle ensued he punched the victim in the face about three times. The victim suffered soreness and a mark on his cheek. Quartermaine then ran from the house.

Quartermaine was captured on CCTV and identified by one of the victims. He made no admissions when interviewed (cts 3 & 4).

Several wks later Quartermaine went to another home in the early hrs of the morning. The victim was asleep inside. After kicking open the front door to gain entry he stole a set of car keys. Awoken by the noise the victim got out of bed and confronted him walking through the house. Quartermaine fled the premises.

Quartermaine was identified through a DNA match from blood recovered at

EFP.

A 'repeat offender' as a result of offending subject of ct 5.

The sentencing judge found the offending very serious.

Remorseful; high risk of reoffending; alcohol and drug abuse needs to be addressed.

Ct 3: 4 yrs imp (cum).

Ct 4: 10 mths imp (conc).

Ct 5: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).

Ct 6: No penalty.

TES 5 yrs imp.

EFP.

At [78] In our opinion, the sentence for each of cts 3 and 5 was not commensurate with the seriousness of the offence. The offending on ct 5 was not the least serious type of agg home burglary and, consequently, a sentence in excess of the statutory min penalty should have been imposed. ... We are satisfied ... that the length of each sentence was unreasonable or plainly unjust.

At [80] The sentence for each of cts 3 and 5 was substantially less than the sentence that was open to her Honour on a proper exercise of her discretion. Each sentence was manifestly inadequate.

At [83] In our opinion, the TES imposed on the respondent did not bear a proper relationship to the overall criminality involved in all of his offences, viewed together ... The TES imposed ... was unreasonable or plainly unjust. It was not merely 'lenient' or 'at the lower end of the available range'. ...

the premises. When interviewed he	
made no admissions (cts 5 & 6).	

Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions