Stealing as a servant or public servant

ss 378(6) and 378(7) Criminal Code or analogous instances of stealing

From 1 January 2021

Transitional Sentencing Provisions: This table is divided into thirds based on the three relevant periods of Sentencing Provisions:

- Post-transitional provisions period
- Transitional provisions period
- Pre-transitional provisions period

These periods are separated by a row which shows when the transitional provisions were enacted, and another showing when they were repealed.

Glossary:

agg	aggravated
att	attempted
burg	burglary
conc	concurrent
cum	cumulative
EFP	eligible for parole
imp	imprisonment
PG	plead guilty
susp	suspended
TES	total effective sentence

No.	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal
1.	Lambert v The	44 yrs time sentencing.	4 x Stealing as a servant.	Ct 1: 18 mths imp (cum).	Dismissed (leave refused).
	State of Western			Ct 2: 3 yrs imp (cum).	
	Australia	Convicted after PG (25%	Lambert was employed as a	Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (conc).	Appeal concerned totality principle.
		discount).	bookkeeper. She was responsible for	Ct 4: 3 yrs imp (conc).	
	[2021] WASCA		accounts payable and for the employee		At [50] the objective facts and circumstances of the appellant's
	199	No prior criminal history.	payroll, leave and superannuation	TES 4 yrs 6 mths imp.	overall offending were, no doubt, very serious The offending
			entitlements.		involved a degree of sophistication and planning. No restitution has
	Delivered	Married; dysfunctional		EFP.	been made.
	30/11/2021	relationship with her husband; in	Lambert used two different methods to		V
		poor financial position; no home	steal from her employer over a period of	The sentencing judge found the offences fell	At [52] In our opinion, the TES was not unreasonable or plainly
		equity and significant debts.	about two yrs. Each ct alleged a single	'towards the high end of the range of	unjust.
			offence of stealing by way of a general	seriousness' and the offending required a	
		Gambling addiction well before	deficiency and all of the cts comprised	'degree of sophisticated planning'.	
		offending commenced; significant	more than 300 discrete transactions.		
		mental health issues; depression;		Insight into her offending; expressed shame	
		anxiety, self-esteem and self-	The total amount stolen was	and remorse; no reparation made and no	
		worth.	\$1,157,555.46.	prospect of any reparation.	
			The first method involved deliberately	Excellent prospects of rehabilitation; steps	
			overpaying her own wages and making	taken to overcome her gambling addiction and	
			false payments of wages in the name of	mental health problems; low risk of	
			her husband, who had previously	reoffending, however if employed in a	
			worked for the company. Using this	position with financial responsibility at risk of	
			method she stole \$66,755.69 (ct 1) and	reoffending given her vulnerability to	
			\$131,254.23 (ct 3).	gambling.	
			The second method was more elaborate.		
			Lambert was responsible for paying		
			people and entities who supplied goods		
			or services. When an invoice was		
			received she entered the invoice into the		
			accounting system for payment.		
			However, instead of paying the supplier		
			she arranged for an amount greater than		
			that legitimately invoiced by the		
			supplier to be paid into her own bank accounts. She would then send the		
			legitimate amount to the supplier from		
		_	her own bank accounts and retain the		
		C	difference between the inflated amount		
			and the legitimate amount. Using this		
			method she obtained \$472.871.86 (ct 2)		
			and \$486,673.68 (ct 4).		
			απα φ 100,073.00 (οι τ).		
			The money stolen by Lambert was		
			gambled and lost at casinos in Perth and		
			Melbourne.		
<u> </u>	I	I .	1,1010001110.	<u> </u>	I .