## Possess child exploitation material and child pornography

s220 Criminal Code

s 60(1) Classification (Publications, Films and Computer Games) Enforcement Act

## From 1 January 2021

**Transitional Sentencing Provisions:** Each of the two tables is divided into thirds based on the three relevant periods of Sentencing Provisions:

- Post-transitional provisions period
- Transitional provisions period
- Pre-transitional provisions period

These periods are separated by a row which shows when the transitional provisions were enacted, and another showing when they were repealed.

## Glossary:

agg aggravated att attempted

CEM child exploitation material

conc concurrent cum cumulative ct count

CRO conditional release order

EFP eligible for parole imp imprisonment indec indecent

PCJ pervert the course of justice

PG plead guilty

sex pen sexual penetration without consent

susp suspended

SOTP sex offender treatment program

TES total effective sentence

No.	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal
11.	PMY v The State	34-39 yrs at time offending.	8 x Indec deal child lineal relative.	TES: 14 yrs 6 mths imp.	Appeal allowed.
	of Western	40 yrs at time sentencing.	21 x Sex pen child lineal relative.		
	Australia		11 x Index record child lineal relative.	EFP.	Appeal concerned the discount given by the sentencing judge for pleas
		Convicted after PG (10%	5 x Poss CEM.		of guilty.
	[2025] WASCA	discount).		The sentencing judge assessed the discount	Ċ
	113		The appellant's charged offending	under s 9AA on the basis that the pleas of	Resentenced:
		Minor criminal history for driving	against B began when she was 11 yrs	guilty had been entered at the first reasonable	
	Delivered	offences.	old. B is the appellant's daughter. The	opportunity.	22% discount (cts 1-3) and 20% discount (all remaining cts)
	23/07/2025		offending continued until she was 14		
		Adopted at 2 yrs of age; estranged	yrs old, at which point B gave birth to	The sentencing judge found that the appellant	TES: 12 yrs imp.
		from adoptive family.	the appellant's daughter.	had a sexual interest in children.	
					EFP.
		Victim of sexual abuse at 8 yrs	The charged offending against B took	The offending had a profound impact on B,	
		old.	place of 14 separate incidents. The	her mental health has been badly affected.	At [46] 'in our opinion the ground of appeal has been made out.'
		Engage 4 1166 16 4 1 1	appellant also pleaded guilty to 5 counts		A4 [47] (4) 11 - 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 4 - 1 6 4 1 1
		Encountered difficulties at school;	of possessing CEM.	· C Y	At [47] 'the appellant entered each of his pleas at the first reasonable
		dyslexic.	The appellant would offend against B	110	opportunity. Accordingly, the sentencing judge's discretion to give the maximum discount allowable under s 9AA was enlivened.'
		Worked in various capacities;	on the alternate weekends, or school		maximum discount anowable under 8 9AA was emivened.
		most recently as a forklift driver.	holidays, which he had custody of her.	Pilojic	At [51] 'although we accept that the utilitarian value to the State of the
		most recently as a forkint driver.	The offending consisted of		appellant's pleas of guilty was diminished by the strength of the State's
			penile/vaginal penetration,	C	case, the benefits to the victim of the early guilty pleas is a matter to be
			digital/vaginal penetration, the use of		given substantial weight. By pleading guilty at the first reasonable
			sex toys, cunnilingus, and indecent		opportunity, the appellant spared B the additional trauma of the
			touching and kissing. The appellant	3	uncertainty of knowing what the outcome of the charges would be, the
			recorded the majority of his offending.	Dy	necessity of preparing to give evidence, and then the process of
					actually having to give evidence against the appellant.'
			The CEM offences related to five		
			different Apple devices with over 3,000		At [54] 'in our opinion, the appellant must be resentenced in respect of
			images in category 1, and 1,500 in		all of the offences. As will be seen, we would resentence the appellant
			category 2. The CEM also contained		to different individual sentences and to a different total effective
			recordings and images of B.		sentence.'
			100		
					At [64] 'there are a number of aggravating factors. The offending
			X		involved a grave betrayal of parental trust. B was young and
					vulnerable. The offending persisted over a period of about four years,
					and became normalised. It continued after the appellant discovered that
					B was pregnant, and only stopped when it was found that the appellant
			E <sub>A</sub> O		was the father of his daughter's unborn child.'
		C			
			× -		At [65] 'the offending was brazen. Sometimes, it occurred when there
					were other children in the house. On one occasion, it occurred when
					there were other children in the room.'
					At [66] 'the appellant engaged in penila/veginal panetration of D
					At [66] 'the appellant engaged in penile/vaginal penetration of B without wearing a condom, thus exposing her to any sexually
					transmitted disease that he may have had, and exposing B to the risk of
					pregnancy, which eventuated. When the appellant found out about B's
					pregnancy, which eventuated. When the appenant round out about B s

10.	The State of Western Australia v Doyle  [2024] WASCA 161  Delivered 17/12/2024	24 yrs at time offending. 25 yrs at time sentencing.  Convicted after PG (25% discount).  Limited criminal history; two traffic offences.  Born to the short relationship between his parents; mother used heroin and methyl during pregnancy; surrendered to maternal grandparents from 2 mths old; father died from drug overdose.  Completed yr 11 of high school; struggled at school; was bullied and ostracised.  Worked since leaving high school; latest employment ended after being charged with current offences.  Diagnosed ADHD; experienced withdrawals from drug dependency for the first 18 mths of life; medicated for ADHD, anxiety, and depression.  Two previous relationships.  No issues with alcohol or illicit drugs.	Ct 1: Poss CEM. Ct 2: Poss CEM. Ct 1 related to images and videos located on the respondent's mobile phone. 741 images (436 in category 1 and 305 in category 2) were found on the phone.  Ct 2 related to images and videos located on the respondent's desktop computer, second mobile phone, and a hard drive. 309 images (107 in category 1 and 202 in category 2) and 44 videos (nine in category 1 and 35 category 2) were found on the three devices. One of the videos was 7 minutes and 43 seconds in length. It was found in a folder which stated that the victim was 12 years old.	Ct 1: 12 mths CSIO (conc). Ct 2: 18 mths CSIO (susp for 2 yrs).  The sentencing judge accepted that the respondent showed some remorse; however, it was limited.  The sentencing judge did not accept the respondent's explanation that he sought pornographic material that reminded him of his ex-partner (who is a similar age to the respondent). The images and videos in the respondent's possession obviously contained very young children, including children who were about 7 yrs old, who could not be mistaken for an adult.  The sentencing judge was not able to predict the respondent's prospects of reoffending or prospects of rehabilitation.  The respondent had completed over seven sessions with a clinical psychologist and continued to engage with both a psychologist and general practitioner.	pregnancy, he did not help her or support her, or attempt to provide prenatal care. Instead, he sought to conceal his responsibility.'  At [67] 'the only mitigating factor of significance was the appellant's pleas of guilty, which, as we have already explained, we entered at the first reasonable opportunity.'  At [69] 'there is some mitigation in the appellant's difficult childhood, including his dyslexia, bullying at school and sexual abuse. We have also had regard to the efforts the appellant has made towards his rehabilitation.'  Appeal dismissed (leave granted).  Appeal concerned type of sentence.  At [33] 'the general principles relating to sentencing for offences against s 220 of the <i>Criminal Code</i> were discussed by Mazza JA (McLure P & Buss JA agreeing) in <i>The State of Western Australia v McCarthy</i> [2014] WASCA 210.'  At [34] 'these principles have been applied by this court on many occasions.'  At [38] 'there will be cases where immediate imprisonment is the only sentencing option commensurate with the seriousness of the offence, even though it is counterproductive from the perspective of rehabilitation.'  At [39] 'as is illustrated by the decision in <i>McCarthy</i> , and the review of sentencing decisions under s 220 of the <i>Criminal Code</i> in that case, sentences other than immediate imprisonment are, as a matter of fact, unusual.'  At [41] ' this court has repeatedly recognised that possession of child exploitation material will ordinarily, as a matter of fact, be met with immediate imprisonment'  At [43] 'in the present case [t]he respondent was in possession of over a thousand images and videos which depicted the sexual abuse of many children. While there was no finding as to the period over which the respondent accessed this material, the volume of child exploitation material and the variety of locations in which it was stored indicated at least some degree of persistence in the offending.'  At [44] 'apart from his pleas of guilty at the first reasonable opportunity, there are significant m
-----	--	---	--	--	--

	·				_
					been able to overcome the difficulties following the circumstances of his birth is a mitigating factor. The respondent's neurodevelopmental vulnerabilities resulting from those circumstances have a causal relationship to the offending and can be seen to reduce the respondent's moral culpability to some extent. The proactive steps the respondent has taken to engage available medical support are also mitigatory.'
				Section of the sectio	At [49] 'however, the respondent has not been deprived of the capacity to control his actions, to appreciate right from wrong or to learn and reform. His childhood development is not such as to make this case a less appropriate vehicle for general deterrence or to remove the significance of personal deterrence as a relevant sentencing consideration.'
				10 Jic Pro	At [55] 'the impact of a term of immediate imprisonment was highly likely to be detrimental to the respondent's prospects of rehabilitation. The Damocles sword represented by a conditionally suspended imprisonment order would provide a significant incentive to the respondent to avoid reoffending and comply with the onerous conditions of the order. It was open to the sentencing judge to take the
				EPW .	view that the interests of the protection of the community against future offending by the respondent were best served by making a conditionally suspended imprisonment order.'
					At [57] ' the decision to impose a sentence of conditionally suspended imprisonment was not unreasonable or plainly unjust.'
9.	-	32 yrs at time sentencing.	1 x Distribute CEM.	Cum	Appeal dismissed (leave granted).
	Western Australia	Convicted after PG (20%	1 x Poss CEM. 21 x Indec record child lineal relative	1 x Distribute CEM (10 mths imp).	Appeal concerned first limb of totality principle.
	[2024] WASCA 35	discount).	U16 yrs.	1 x Possess CEM (8 mths imp).	Appear concerned first fillio of totality principle.
	[2024] WASCA 55	discount).			At [85] 'it is beyond doubt, and not disputed by the appellant, that the
	Delivered	No criminal history.	yrs.	imp).	totality of his offending was extremely serious and deserving of a
	09/04/2024	Ĭ	7 x Sex pen child lineal relative U16	1 x Sex pen child lineal relative (5 yrs imp).	substantial term of imprisonment.'
		Born in NZ; youngest of three	yrs.	1 x Sex pen child lineal relative (3 yrs imp).	
		children; moved to Australia at 9	2 x Att sex pen child lineal relative U16	1 x Sex pen child lineal relative (5 yrs imp).	At [87] ' the appellant's offending was, taken as a whole, extremely
		yrs old; positive upbringing;	yrs.	1 x Indec deal child lineal relative (2 yrs imp)	serious. It involved persistent sexual offending over approximately one
		parents and sister supportive.	1 x Indec record child U13 yrs.	All other cts conc.	year against the appellant's two very young children.'
		Struggled at school; completed yr	The victims, A and K were the children	An other ets cone.	At [88] 'the offending involved a gross breach of the appellant's
		12.	of AAE. At the relevant time A was 4	TES 17 yrs 6 mths imp.	trusted role as a father. As a parent, he had privileged access to the
		Ç	yrs old and K was between 7 and 8 yrs	·	children and was able to misuse their love for him to obtain their
		Gainfully employed since	old.	EFP.	compliance with his sexual demands and to ensure their silence. It is
		finishing school: hospitality	An IIC from Donostment of Homeles d	The contanging judge found that the appellant	telling that neither of the children revealed the offending and that the
		industry.	An UC from Department of Homeland Security engaged in communication	The sentencing judge found that the appellant offended for his own sexual gratification; he	prosecution case relied entirely on recordings.'
		Met his wife at 16 yrs;	with the appellant on a social media	had groomed the victims, encouraged and	At [89] 'in respect of the appellant's 4-year-old daughter there was
		relationship continued until arrest;	application. The substance of these	convinced them to allow his offending and	an element of depravity in this offending. It is apparent that the
		three children, one of which was	communications constituted the	used scare tactics and bribes to prevent	appellant's sexual interest prevailed over any concern for the physical
		born after arrest.	distribute CEM offence.	disclosure.	or psychological welfare of his children.'

		No significant mental health issues; emotional detachment and socially avoidant.	A WAPOL SW at the appellant's parent's home located a USB thumb drive containing CEM. The contents of the USB constituted the poss CEM offence.  The appellant's hard drive and phone were also seized, containing numerous explicit recordings of the appellant and his daughter, A. As well as explicit recordings of the appellant and his son, K. The recordings located by police identified 20 separate incidents of offending by the appellant. The offending included numerous occasions of penile-vaginal penetration of A, digital penetration of A, use of sex toys on A, indec touching of A, as well as A stroking the appellant's penis. On numerous occasions A is recoiling from the appellant during the offending. The offending against K consisted of indec touching, K fondling the appellant's penis, and genital-genital touching.  Further images were located of the appellant's 4-year-old niece, as well as numerous photos surreptitiously taken of unknown female victims at the appellant's workplace.	The sentencing judge did not accept the appellant's disclosure to the psychologist that A was a willing participant; the footage clearly showed A recoiling during the offending. In particular, the offending against A was 'towards the upper end of the scale.'  The sentencing judge found that the appellant made no significant admissions to police during the searches and pleaded guilty during negotiations.  The sentencing judge found that the appellant was genuinely remorseful, though he lacked genuine insight into the severity of the offending.  Offending had caused great stress to the appellant's wife; vomits when she thinks of the offences; financially impacted; difficult to gauge the impact on the children, have not disclosed the offending during interviews.	At [90] 'his communications with the law enforcement officer revealed a callous disregard for the welfare of his children and a willingness to exploit them for his own deviant purpose.'  At [91] 'the appellant also possessed and distributed child exploitation material. The material he possessed was at all levels of seriousness and included 12 still images and 20 videos in the most serious category. In addition, he indecently recorded other children. This reveals that his sexual interest in children extended beyond his own children.'  At [96] 'we do not accept the appellant's submission to the effect that the sentence of 22 yrs 6 mths' imprisonment imposed in <i>SCN</i> operates as a ceiling for sentences of child sexual offending.'  At [103] 'having regard to the maximum penalties, the seriousness of the offending taken as a whole, the personal circumstances of the appellant and the limited guidance afforded by comparable cases, the appellant has failed to establish that the total effective sentence of 17 yrs and 6 mths imprisonment breached the first limb of the totality principle.'
8.	JTR v The State of Western Australia	47 yrs at time sentencing.	43 x Sex pen child U13 yrs.	TES 25 yrs.	Dismissed (leave refused on ground 2).
	[2023] WASCA 131  Delivered 01/09/2023	Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  No prior criminal history.  Youngest of four siblings; positive childhood; supportive parents.  Schooling a positive experience; completed university degree.  Good employment history; developed own business; successful for a long period of	1 x Att sex pen child U13 yrs. 221 x Indec deal child U13 yrs. 122 x Indec recording child U13 yrs. 6 x Producing CEM. 25 x Poss CEM. 1 x Procuring child U13 yrs to do indec act.  Over a period of six yrs, and on an enormous number of occasions, JTR sexually abused 22 children, including his four biological children, niece and nephew and the children of family friends and neighbours.  The children's ages ranged from 2 yrs	EFP.  The sentencing judge found the appellant's offending, viewed as a whole, one of the worst cases of its kind to come before the WA courts; the mere reference to the number of offences committed did not reveal that on many occasions the offending was prolonged or involved multiple offences; the number of offences did also not reveal the truly egregious and depraved nature of the offending.  The sentencing judge referred to four factors that required a 'very significant measure of	Appeal concerned length of sentence and totality principle.  At [148] ' the appellant's offending, when viewed overall, is disturbing and of the utmost seriousness the appellant persistently engaged in predatory behaviour over a substantial period of time and in relation to an extraordinary number of children driven by an entrenched sexual interest in children.'  At [149] 'in almost every instance, the appellant's offending constituted a breach of trust. Four of the victims were his ow children, who were entitled to expect his love and protection'  At [150] 'of all the appellant's 419 offences, 274 of them were committed against his youngest daughter, over about six yrs and in the course of 153 separate events'

		time before experiencing financial	of age to 13 yrs of age. The majority of	accumulation in the sentences'; firstly, on	
		difficulties, business eventually	the offences were committed against	many occasions one episode of offending	At [153] 'most of the offences were committed with a brazen
		failed, millions of dollars in debt.	children under the age of 10 yrs.	against a particular victim involved multiple	assurance'
				offences; secondly, the offending against	
		Married; four children together;	JTR recorded all his offending conduct.	many of the children involved multiple	At [154] 'the fact that the appellant recorded all the offences that he
		separated before offending	Sometimes he used a hidden camera	offences and occurred on multiple occasions;	committed against children also marks the seriousness of his offending
		uncovered; commenced another	and on other occasions he used a	thirdly, the sheer magnitude of the offending	conduct'
		relationship.	handheld camera.	and fourthly, the poss of a significant quantity	
				of CEM on so many devices.	At [155] 'it must also be remembered that the appellant was convicted
		Sustained serious injuries in an	In addition to his acts of child sexual	)	of a considerable number of offences relating to his poss of CEM
		accident in 2021, which also	abuse JTR was found in possession of	Offending had, and continues to have, a	those offences concerned the poss and categorisation of approx 1
		resulted in the death of his new	approx 1 million images and 30,000	destructive effect on the lives of the children	million images and 30,000 videos depicting CEM. The appellant had
		partner.	videos of CEM, which he had	offended against.	collected a massive database of CEM which recorded offending that
			methodically classified across 26		had taken place against real children, including highly degrading and
		History of self-harm; att suicide	separate electronic devices.	Appellant not genuinely remorseful; no	painful abuse.'
		time of separation from former	The effect of the state of the	acceptance of responsibility for his offending;	A4 [170] (
		wife; experienced suicidal	The offences charged were based on the	nature and extent of the offending precluded a	At [172] ' the objective seriousness of the appellant's overall
		ideation following death of his	review of the large number of USBs and	finding that the offending was an aberration,	offending is at the very highest level, and there was a very clear need
		partner; engaged in serious self- harm when arrested; diagnosed	hard drives, as well as the 26 recording devices found in his home and business.	or that unlikely to offend again.	for sentences to be imposed that satisfied the obvious requirement for
		with major depressive disorder	devices found in his nome and business.		both general and specific deterrence'
		with major depressive disorder with anxious distress at time	None of the children offended against		At [176] 'the TES had to reflect the fact that the appellant committed a
		sentencing.	made any disclosures to police.		considerable number of offences against a total of 22 children. Many
		senteneng.	made any disclosures to police.	Ç >	of the offences were not at the high end of the scale of seriousness
		History of alcohol abuse and			when viewed in isolation. However, when taken as a whole, they
		misuse of prescription		. 0	establish that the appellant persistently and frequently acted on an
		medication; resorted to drug and			entrenched sexual interest in very young and vulnerable children, and
		alcohol use as a means of	×	<b>3</b> ′	in doing so breached the trust reposed in him as a father, a family
		managing stress; in remission at			member, and a friend.'
		time sentencing due to his			
		detention.			At [177] 'additionally, substantial cumulation was necessary to reflect
					the repetitive and prolonged sexual offending against the appellant's
					youngest daughter, which occurred on 153 separate occasions'
					At [178] 'finally, a further degree of cumulation was called for in order
			C		to adequately reflect the extreme serious nature of the offences
					concerning the appellant's poss of CEM and give some effect to the
			Oy		principles applicable in sentencing for such offences.'
					A. [207] (:
					At [207] 'in our opinion, the TES was not crushing. It follows that the
7	OMC v The State	20.21 yrs at time offending	IND V	IND X	second limb of the totality principle was not infringed.'
/•	of Western	30-31 yrs at time offending. 33 yrs at time sentencing.	IND X Cts 1-6 & 8-9: Indec deal child U13 yrs.	<u>IND X</u>   Cts 1; 2 & 5: 18 mths imp (conc).	Dismissed (leave refused).
	Australia	33 yrs at time sentenenig.	Ct 7: Att indec deal child U13 yrs.	Ct 3: 2 yrs imp.	Appeal concerned totality principle.
	1 I W S I W I W	IND X	IND Y	Ct 4: 2 yrs imp.	reposit concerned totality principle.
	[2023] WASCA 86		Ct 1: Poss CEM.	Ct 7. 2 yrs hip (cone). Ct 5: 18 mths imp (cone).	At [39] The appellant took advantage of a vulnerable young child
		IND Y	CULTURE CENTIL	Cts 6 & 9: 2 yrs imp (cum).	by persistently sexually abusing her over a period of at least 18 mths.
	Delivered	Convicted after late PG.	The victim was aged between 10 and 11	Ct 7: 12 mths imp (conc).	The offences were particularly agg by the use of a degree of force and
	30/05/2023	Convicted after fate 1 G.	yrs at the time of the offending. She was	Ct 8: 8 mths imp (conc).	that the appellant frequently persisted when the victim made it clear to
	30,00,2020	Short criminal history; no prior	the daughter of OMC's then partner and	IND Y	him that she did not want him to touch her. The appellant sought to
L		, no prior	substitution of other building	<u> </u>	in the first was man to touch her. The appendix bought to

6	Guagliardo v The	convictions for violence or sexual offending.  Aged 12 mths when parents separated; lived with his mother until aged 12 yrs, then resided with his father; prosocial upbringing; suffered adverse psychological effects from parents' conflict.  Good family support.  Good employment history.  Partner miscarried around time offending began; stress of FIFO work impact on his relationship.	he was a father figure to her.  The offences were representative of a course of ongoing sexualised conduct towards the victim over a period of 18 mths.  The offending occurred in the family home, when OMC was alone with the victim.  OMC indec dealt with the victim by rubbing her vagina with his fingers or squeezing her breasts (cts 1-6). He touched her vagina both over and under her clothing.  On one occasion OMC pulled the victim onto her bed and att to touch her vagina (ct 7).  On another occasion OMC called out to the victim to come into his bedroom. When the victim eventually did so he was standing, naked, in the doorway (ct 8).  The victim would try to prevent what was happening to her and would tell OMC to go away.  When arrested OMCs laptop was seized and was found to contain six videos depicting penetrative sex of a female child, including very young children, one of whom looked no more than 3 or 4 yrs old.	Ct 1: 12 mths imp (conc).  TES 6 yrs imp.  EFP.  IND X  The sentencing judge characterised the offending against the victim as 'very serious'; it was a gross breach of trust; the victim was aged between 10-11 yrs; a degree of force was used in the offending and it must have been clear to the appellant that the victim was unhappy as she repeatedly asked him to stop and leave her alone; he manipulated her by telling her she could not tell her mother or he would be in trouble and would no longer be in her life and the period of time over which the offending occurred.  IND Y  The sentencing judge found this offence serious and the material 'graphic and revolting'.  Offending significant negative impact on the victim.  No acceptance of responsibility; continued to deny the offending.	
6.	Guagliardo v The State of Western Australia	<ul><li>36-40 yrs at time offending.</li><li>44 yrs at time sentencing.</li><li>Convicted after trial.</li></ul>	IND 1475 Cts 3-4; 6-8: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Cts 5; 9-10: Sex pen child U13 yrs.	IND 1475 Ct 3: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 4: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 5: 3 yrs imp (conc).	Dismissed (on papers).  Appeal concerned length of sentence ct 4 (IND 2189) and totality principle.
	[2023] WASCA 71  Delivered 02/05//2023	No criminal history.  Positive childhood; youngest of	IND 2189 Cts 1-4: Poss CEM. IND 1475	Ct 6: 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 7: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 8: 18 mths imp (conc). Ct 9: 4 yrs imp (cum).	At [60] In the present case the seriousness of the contact sex offences was reflected in the fact that there were four victims and that the offences involved significant breaches of trust. In each case the
		two children; strong relationship with his mother; family remain	The four female victims, P, M, R and S, were all aged 10 yrs or under at the time	Ct 10: 4 yrs imp (conc).	appellant had access to the children because he was a trusted friend of the family. He obtained access by causing the families to believe that

supportive.

Educated to yr 12; commenced university studies before completing TAFE diploma.

Employed computing field a number of yrs; past 19 yrs worked as a labourer, delivery driver and storeman; sole financial provider; struggles financially.

Married 22 yrs; wife remains supportive; two young children.

Diagnosed and medicated for ADHD from aged 12 yrs; suffers chronic fatigue; gall bladder issues; abdominal pain; migraines; anxiety and depression.

No issues with alcohol or illicit substance use.

of the offending.

Guagliardo was friends with the victims' parents.

P, aged 10 yrs, was travelling as a passenger seated in the front of Guagliardo's car. During the trip he put his hands on her inner thigh. He then touched and rubbed her vagina over her clothing (ct 3).

Sometime later Guagliardo was with M. While she was sitting on the armrest of a couch he told her he would massage her. During the massage he placed his hand under her underwear and around her genital area, without touching it. He then touched her just above the clitoris. M asked him to stop, but he continued. (ct 4).

M got up and returned a short time later. Guagliardo again placed his hand under her underwear. She asked him to stop, but he did not do so. While his hands were inside her underwear he penetrated her labia with his fingers (ct 5).

R, aged between 7 and 9 yrs of age, was on her bed. Guagliardo offered to give her a massage and she agreed. He commenced massaging her, groping her breasts above her shirt. He stopped when R's mother came into the room (ct 6).

On another occasion, R, aged 9-10 yrs of age, was sitting next to Guagliardo. She agreed to a massage. When he commenced doing so she told him to stop, but he continued. He grabbed R's breasts under her shirt (ct 7) then moved down towards her hips. She again told him to stop but he continued. Guagliardo then put his hands in her pants and started rubbing her vaginal area (ct 8).

S, aged 7 yrs, has autism. She suffered

TES 7 yrs 6 mths imp.

IND 2189

Ct 1: 6 mths imp (conc).

Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc).

Ct 3: 12 mths imp (conc).

Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (conc).

TES 2 imp (cum with IND 1475).

TES 9 yrs 6 mths imp.

EFP.

The trial judge found the offending very serious and not at the lowest or lower end of the scale; the quantity of the CEM was significant; some of the material was classified in the worst category, including material that displayed a significant level of perversion or debauchery; the appellant committed the offending over a lengthy period, being a three-yr-period of consistent interaction with CEM files; the material was downloaded on numerous occasions and he copied it across other devices, indicative of a person with a real and significant interest in CEM; the children involved were vulnerable and he preyed on that vulnerability in order to take possession of the CEM; the offending ended only when the CEM was seized, it was not a case of him voluntarily desisting.

Offending profound emotional and psychological effects on the victims; all required counselling to cope with the effects of the offending.

Appellant continued to deny the offending; no demonstrated remorse; real risk of reoffending; guarded prospects of rehabilitation.

he was providing massages for therapeutic purposes. He used this access, and the opportunity to touch the children without arousing suspicion, to satisfy his own perverted sexual desires. Whilst no physical or verbal coercion was involved, none was needed. On three occasions the touching advanced to actual sex pen. The victims were vulnerable having regard to their age. S was particularly vulnerable due to her autism.

At [67] Having regard to the max penalties for the offences, the seriousness of the offending conduct (including the number of offences and the number of victims), the personal circumstances of the appellant and the sentences imposed in broadly comparable cases, it is not reasonably arguable that the TES ... imposed on IND 1475 was plainly unreasonable or unjust.

At [69] In respect of the CEM offences committed by the appellant the seriousness is reflected in the very large number of images and videos, the period of time over which the material was collected and the nature of that material. It included numerous images and videos in the most serious categories. Whilst there was no evidence that [he] had engaged in this activity for commercial reward, the factors referred to place this into a serious category of offending of this type.

At [75] Having regard to the max penalty for the offence of poss of CEM, the seriousness of the offending conduct in this case (including the number of images and the nature of those images), the personal circumstances of the appellant and sentences imposed in broadly comparable cases, it is not reasonably arguable that the sentence ... on ct 4 on IND 2189 was manifestly excessive.

At [76] As to whether the overall TES of 9 yrs and 6 mths imp infringed the first limb of the totality principle, the offending on both indictments occurred within the same time period but involved separate and distinct conduct. In the circumstances cum sentences were appropriate, ...

			from stomach pains. In consultation with her mother Guagliardo would sometimes massage her stomach to relieve her pain. On one occasion he was massaging her he put his fingers		
			inside her vagina, causing her pain (ct 9). On another occasion he kissed and licked her vulva (ct 10).		
			<u>IND 2189</u>		
			On the investigation of Guagliardo in		
			relation to allegations of sexual		
			offending, his mobile telephone, and a	-10 <sup>5</sup> C(1)	
			number of his computer devices were		
			seized. His mobile phone and three of the devices were found to contain CEM	- 40	
			at Cat 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5. The material		
			depicted children in the 8-13 yr age		
			category engaging in sexual activity.	A*AC	
			The total number of images was 35,435		
			and 323 videos.	1011CP1C	
			When spoken to by police Guagliardo denied the offending.		
5.	NSA v The State of	49-55 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1: Persistently engaged in sexual	Ct 1: 5 yrs imp (cum).	Allowed.
	Western Australia	57 yrs at time sentencing.	conduct child U16 yrs.	Ct 2: 1 yr imp (cum).	
			Ct 2: Sex pen child U13 yrs (digital).	Ct 3: 4 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned error in law (cum of sentence of ct 2 with ct 1).
	[2023] WASCA 53	Convicted after PG (20%	Ct 3: Poss CEM.	Ct 4: 8 mths imp (cum).	Individual sentences not challenged.
	D 1' 1	discount).	Ct 4: Att PCJ.		D (200/ 1)
	Delivered	Chart and minor animinal history	The victime C and T are brother and	Ct 2 reduced from 3 yrs imp for totality and	Resentenced (20% discount):
	06/04//2023	Short and minor criminal history.	The victims, S and T, are brother and sister and NSA's children. T has a	Ct 4 reduced from 18 mths imp for totality.	Ct 1: 5 yrs imp (cum).
		Good childhood; supportive	cognitive impairment.	TES 7 yrs imp.	Ct 2: 3 yr imp (conc).
		parents and younger siblings.	cognitive impairment.	TES / yts mip.	Ct 2: 3 yr mp (cone). Ct 3: 4 mths imp (cum).
		parents and younger storings.	By reason of a Family Court order S	EFP.	Ct 4: 11 mths imp (cum).
		Victim of sexual abuse aged 10	was placed in the care of her father.		
		yrs.	Over a period of five yrs, from the time	The sentencing judge found the offending	Ct 4 reduced from 18 mths for totality.
			she was 11 or 12 yrs old, NSA engaged	against S was prolonged and insidious having	·
		Dyslexic; left school yr 10.	in varying kinds of sexual conduct with	regard to the pretexts created by the appellant	TES 6 yrs 3 mths imp.
			S (ct 1).	in order to cover his offending and his	
		Regular employment history;		ongoing sexualisation of S; S was particularly	EFP.
		worked variety of jobs.	When S was 12 yrs old NSA penetrated	vulnerable and T a very vulnerable young	A4 [40] = 221 A (12) = == leded the content in the form and single
		Two adult children in addition to	her vagina with his finger (ct 2).	person by reason of his cognitive impairment.	At [49] s 321A(13) precluded the sentencing judge from ordering
		S and T; at time of sentencing	In addition to the conduct the subject of	The sentencing judge found the att to PCJ	the sentence she imposed on ct 2 to be served cum upon the term imposed on ct 1 it was not open to the sentencing judge to order the
		with current partner four yrs.	cts 1 and 2 NSA would engage in other	serious; he enlisted the assistance of others	accumulation of the sentence on ct 2 with the sentence on ct 1
		mai carrent paraner rour yrs.	inappropriate conduct towards S.	close to his daughter to guilt her into	accompanion of the solitonee on et 2 with the sellience on et 1
		Reasonable physical health.	Trr	withdrawing her assertions.	At [75] the sexual acts the subject of ct 1, did not include the
			NSA's mobile phone was found to		offending the subject of ct 2.
			contain three photographs of T, aged	Demonstrated lack of victim empathy and	

			about 12 years old, posing in women's	insight into consequences of his behaviour.	At [120] the appellant's offending the subject of ct 1 had a number
			lingerie and high-heeled shoes. The		of serious elements. The appellant's offending involved an egregious
			photographs were classified at Cat 1 (ct		breach of the position of trust occupied by the parent of a child. As the
			3).		appellant's daughter, S was, 'particularly vulnerable'. The
			In and to NICA and intermediation to		offending was extremely prolonged, occurring over a period spanning
			In custody, NSA used intermediaries to		five yrs. The appellant engaged in a series of pretexts to facilitate his
			suborn S to not cooperate in the		carrying out of the various sexual acts. Further, the offending has
4.	De Mouilpied v	50 yrs at time offending.	prosecution against him (ct 4). Ct 1: Producing CEM.	Ct 1: 2 yrs 10 mths imp.	had a profound adverse effect upon S.  Dismissed (leave refused).
4.	The State of	30 yrs at time offending.	Ct 2-10 & 12: Indec deal child 13-16	Ct 1. 2 yrs 10 mins mip. Ct 2-10 & 12: 5 mths imp (conc, cum ct 1).	Distrissed (leave fefused).
	Western Australia	Convicted after early PG (25%	yrs.	Ct 11 & 13: 2 mths imp (conc, cum ct 1).	Appeal concerned error (characterisation of seriousness of offending
	Western Australia	discount).	Ct 11 & 13: Indec act.	Ct 14: 15 mths imp (cone, cum et 1).	subject of ct 1); length of sentence on ct 1 and totality principle.
	[2023] WASCA 22		Ct 14: Poss CEM.	et i i. 13 mm (cone).	subject of et 1), length of sentence on et 1 and totality principle.
	[2020] Wilson 22	No prior criminal history.	Ct 11. 1 055 CEIVI.	TES 3 yrs 5 mths imp.	At [52] It cannot reasonably be said that the offending the subject of ct
	Delivered	The prior diminim instery.	The three female victims, all aged 15	125 c yii c mais imp.	1 was at the lower or lowest end of the scale of offending of its kind.
	07/02//2023	Supportive parents.	yrs, would walk past De Mouilpied's	EFP.	Over an extended period of time, the appellant produced 62 video clips
			home on the way to school. De	<b>7</b> Y	in which he filmed himself masturbating while a significant number of
		Bachelor of Nursing.	Mouilpied would stand at his window	The sentencing judge found the offending the	young children watched. The appellant's behaviour had the capacity to
			facing the street and masturbate. His	subject of ct 1 'a very serious offence and is	disturb, shock and corrupt his young victims. Moreover, eight of the
		Good employment history; police	behaviour was seen by the victims (cts	not offending at the lower or lowest level end	video clips involved the appellant enticing children, who were very
		officer aged 19-26 yrs; paediatric	2-13).	of the scale of offending of this kind'.	young, to engage in sexual behaviour. The worst instance was the
		nurse time of arrest.			video clip the appellant produced that showed a very young child being
			During a search of De Mouilpied's	The sentencing judge found the appellant's	sex pen twice by an adult.
		Married 16 yrs; separated; two	home his mobile phone was located.	offending serious; he procured or encouraged	
		further relationships; single at	Sixty-two video clips of CEM were	children to engage in sexual behaviour, one	At [53] Although the appellant was not in the same room as his child
		time sentencing.	found on the device. These recordings	child as young as four yrs of age; by this	victims, nor did he touch them, the use of video chat rooms to remotely
			were made when he would interact over	conduct he exploited, humiliated and	entice victims to engage in sexual behaviour or to watch an adult
		Suffered stroke aged 26 yrs; heart	webcam with female children under 16	corrupted the children; he also deliberately	engage in sexual behaviour involves serious and substantial
		condition, not causing any	yrs of age on an internet chat site and he	masturbated and exposed children to that	criminality
		significant long-term health	would invite the children to 'play', that	sexual behaviour; he recorded the conduct on	At [54] It is along the appellant produced the CEM for his served
		issues; experienced number of traumatic events, including	is engage in sexual activity.	his mobile phone to do with it as he saw fit; continually re-victimising the children	At [54] It is clear the appellant produced the CEM for his sexual gratification and for the thrill it provided. While there is nothing to
		episodes of violence and suicide	On at least eight occasions the child or	involved every time he viewed the footage.	suggest [he] intended to distribute the CEM he produced, had [he]
		as police officer and nurse;	children complied with De Mouilpied's	involved every time he viewed the lootage.	intended to distribute the CEM, whether or not for profit, his offending
		antidepressants at time	requests. On other occasions, the child	Remorseful; developed insight into his	would have been worse. The absence of these circumstances does not
		sentencing.	or children did not engage in sexual	offending on undertaking psychological	mean that his actions did not constitute serious, or very serious,
		sements.	activities but were present and watched	treatment.	offending.
			De Mouilpied masturbate (cts 1 & 14).		
					At [64] it is clear that the sentence imposed on ct 1 properly
			Eight of the video clips were classified		reflected the seriousness of the appellant's offending The sentence
			at Cat 1; 2 and Cat 4. The Cat 4 video		is not manifestly excessive.
		C	clip depicted a child of about 4 yrs of		
			age engaging in sexual acts with an		At [67] The offending the subject of cts 2 – 13 involved deliberate,
			adult male.		persistent and highly offensive behaviour towards three separate
					victims over the course of eight days. The victims were vulnerable
			Also located on De Mouilpied's mobile		children walking to school, as the appellant well knew and relied upon.
			phone were video clips of him		Given its separate and distinct nature, the offending required
			masturbating to school children walking		additional overall punishment to the offending the subject of ct 1.
			past his window. These videos were not		ALECOLE CONTROL OF CON
			the subject of any of the charges dealt		At [68] In our opinion, the TES was a proper reflection of the

			with.		appellant's overall criminality involved in all of the offences, viewed in their entirety, having regard to the circumstances of the case,
3.	Newton v The State of Western Australia  [2023] WASCA 7  Delivered 17/01//2023	31-34 yrs at time offending. 36 yrs at time sentencing.  Convicted after PG (25% discount).  No prior criminal history.  Only child from parents' union; three older half-siblings; parents profoundly deaf; mother suffering cancer time of sentencing.  Left school yr 11; TAFE studies; university degree.  Employed various roles; most recent work ceased following charges.  Number of short-term relationships; no significant unions since aged 20 yrs.  History of cannabis and alcohol use.	Ct 1; 28; 30; 33; 35; 37 & 39: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Cts 2-6; 9; 10; 14; 16; 20; 22; 24 & 26: Sex pen child U13 yrs. Cts 7; 8, 11-13; 15; 17-19; 21; 23; 25; 27; 29; 31; 32; 34; 36; 38 & 40: Indec recording child U13 yrs. Cts 41 & 42: Poss CEM. Ct 43: Fail to obey data access order.  Newton was a close friend of the victim's mother and her stepfather. Over a period of about four and a half yrs Newton repeatedly sexually abused the victim from when she was eight yrs old.  The sexual activity occurred in a caravan occupied by Newton and at another address at which he resided.  The offences involved the penetration of the victim's vagina with his penis. He also penetrated her mouth and vagina with his penis and took photographs of the offending.  On other occasions Newton took photographs standing naked over the victim, while her legs were in the air and his penis was pointed toward her vagina and while the victim was kneeling in front of his erect penis.  On the execution of a SW at Newton's address, a computer and hard drive were located, which later revealed 11,009 images or videos of CEM material.  Six comic books depicting children engaged in sexual poses or activities were also found.  Also located was Newton's tablet	Cts 1; 28; 30; 33; 37 & 39: 18 mths imp (conc). Ct 2: 5 yrs imp. Cts 3; 4 & 20: 5 yrs imp (conc). Ct 5: 4 yrs imp (cum). Cts 6; 7; 9; 11-13; 15; 17-19; 21; 23; 25; 27; 29; 31; 32; 34; 36; 38; 40 & 42: 12 mths imp (conc). Cts 8 & 35: 12 mths imp (cum). Cts 10; 14; 16; 26; 22 & 24: 4 yrs imp (conc). Ct 41: 15 mths imp (conc). Ct 43: 3 mths imp (cum).  TES 12 yrs 6 mths imp.  EFP.  The sentencing judge found the offending serious; the victim was very young; the significant age disparity between her and the appellant; the gross breach of trust; the persistence of the offending and the fact the appellant recorded much of it.  The sentencing judge found the CEM material in the appellant's poss included material in the more serious category of CEM.  Accepting of responsibility; evidence of remorse; average risk of reoffending.	Dismissed.  Appeal concerned length of sentence (individual sentences not challenged).  At [7] While we accept that the TES imposed on the appellant was certainly high, and at the upper end of the range of sentences customarily imposed following pleas of guilty for offending of this type, we are not satisfied that the TES was so high as to manifest error. The sexual offending involved a high degree of criminality and the fact that he recorded the offending, for his own gratification, distinguished his offending from a number of the previous cases relied upon by him. The offending, as a whole, called for a very substantial term of imp and we are not satisfied that the learned sentencing judge erred in imposing the sentence that she did.  At [63] The sentence was certainly severe. It nevertheless fits broadly within the range of sentences imposed for offending of this type, and the present case had a number of particular features not present in many of the authorities.  At [64] the offending itself was very serious. In particular it involved four distinct categories of offending, the presence of which called for accumulation of terms of imp, thus increasing the TES. The presence of these additional categories serves to distinguish the present case from many of the cases on which the appellant relied.  At [65] the sexual offending against the victim was itself very serious, given the victim's young age, the significant age disparity between the appellant and the victim, the gross breach of trust for his own sexual gratification and the significant period over which and numerous (18) occasions on which the offending occurred. The seriously damaging effects on the victim the appellant persistently and callously treated the victim as a sexual plaything for his sexual gratification.  At [66] the offending included recording and retaining photographs of his offending on the victim. That conduct contributed substantially to [his] overall criminality. [He] recorded his abuse of the victim for
			device, for which he refused to provide the passcode.		At [67] the very significant quantity of CEM in the appellant's poss called for a further increase in the TES.

					At [68] As this Court has recognised, a cum sentence will often be appropriate for failure to comply with a data access order.
2.	Oreo v The State of Western Australia  [2022] WASCA 62  Delivered 03/06/2022	48-49 yrs at time offending. 50 yrs at time sentencing.  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Minor prior criminal history.  Two siblings; loving and caring parents; not subjected to abuse; father alcohol-dependent; witnessed his father assault his	Cts 1-2; 6-8: Indec deal child 13-16 yrs. Cts 3-5; 9: Sex pen child 13-16 yrs. Ct 10: Procured a child U13 yrs to do indec act. Ct 11: Poss CEM.  The offending involved two separate victims, J and T, both 14-yr old boys, and three separate incidents.  The first victim, J, met Oreo on an online dating application. On the site J	Cts 1 & 2: 4 mths imp (conc). Cts 3 & 4: 18 mths imp (conc). Ct 5: 3 yrs imp (cum). Cts 6 & 7: 4 mths imp (conc). Cts 8 & 10: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 9: 18 mths imp (cum). Ct 11: 12 mths imp (cum). TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp. EFP.	Allowed.  Appeal concerned miscarriage of justice (erroneous understanding conduct in relation to J was criminal in that J was U18 yrs and any belief J was at least 16 yrs not mitigating).  Sent back to District Court for re-sentencing.  At [48] it was an admitted fact that J had told the appellant he was 16 yrs old. While we accept that the appellant may have faced some challenges in proving an honest belief, we cannot conclude that he had no reasonable prospect of doing so. The fact that counsel's
		mother.  Parents deceased; supportive sister.	indicated he was about 20 yrs old. When Oreo questioned J as to his age he told Oreo he was 16 yrs old.  Oreo met Let a public toilet. Lyos	The sentencing judge found there was a significant likelihood the appellant was aware J was under the age of 16 yrs and that he was aware T was 14-yrs old.	misapprehension effectively deprived the appellant of the opportunity to att to prove that fact constitutes a miscarriage of justice in these circumstances.  At [52] we are satisfied that the misunderstanding of defence
		Homosexual; came out 3 yrs prior to sentencing; difficulties dealing with his sexuality.	Oreo met J at a public toilet. J was wearing his school uniform. Inside a toilet stall they kissed and engaged in a number of sexual acts (cts 1-6).	The sentencing judge found the offending aggravated by the fact it involved two different 14-yr-old males; the offending and surrounding text messages indicated a sexual	counsel gave rise to a miscarriage of justice in all the circumstances of this case.
		Completed yr 10 high school.  Sound work history; employed at time of offending.	Oreo and J continued to communicate with each other about meeting for sex.  A few days later they again arranged to	interest in underage males and his willingness to act on that interest; it was premediated; involved unprotected pen sexual activity; there was a significant age disparity; he sent	
		History of amphetamine and methyl abuse.	meet. Oreo picked J up in his car before he went to school. J was again wearing his school uniform. After parking the car Oreo kissed J on the mouth, touched his penis and performed oral sex on him, before dropping J near his school	messages and intended to distribute the image of T's penis in an att to enlist other adult males to engage in sexual activity with T and he suggested J use illicit drugs as a sexual aid.  The sentencing judge concluded that some	
			(cts 7-9).  After this incident J's mother found text messages on her son's phone about meeting men for sex and contacted the	accumulation was appropriate to reflect the fact that there were two separate complainants and three separate incidents.  High risk of reoffending; some acceptance of	
			police. When interviewed J disclosed the offending and identified Oreo from a digiboard.	responsibility; no insight into the impact of his offending behaviour or taken full responsibility for his offending behaviour.	
			About a month later Oreo met the second victim, T, through a dating application. They began communicating by text and in one text message T told Oreo he was 14 yrs-old.		

	1		O 4 (T 12.1 11		
			Oreo then sent T multiple sexually		
			explicit text messages and arranged to		
			meet him, implicitly for the purpose of		
			engaging in sexual activity (ct 10).		
			During the text messages Oreo asked T		
			to send him a photo of his penis and he		
			complied. On receiving the photo Oreo		
			messaged another phone contact stating,		
			'I have a horny 14-yr-old for you		
			tomorrow'. He then att to send the		
			photo of T's penis to this person, but the		
			message failed to send (ct 11).		
			The meeting with T did not occur. Oreo		
			was arrested the following morning.		
1.	CDL v The State of	53-57 yrs at time offending.	Cts 1-3: Persistently engaged in sexual	Ct 1: 4 yrs imp (conc).	Dismissed (leave refused) – on papers.
	Western Australia	60 yrs at time sentencing.	conduct child U16 yrs.	Ct 2: 4 yrs imp (cum).	Distinissed (leave related) on papers.
	Western Australia	oo yis at time sentenenig.	Cts 4-6 & 8: Produced CEM.		Appeal concerned totality principle.
	[2022] WACCA 10	C		Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).	Appear concerned totality principle.
	[2022] WASCA 18	Convicted after trial (cts 1-6 & 8).	Ct 9: Poss CEM.	Ct 4: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	A
		Convicted after very late PG (ct 9)		Ct 5: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	At [74] Without question, the offending was very serious. The
	Delivered	(5% discount).	CDL maintained contact with his ex-	Ct 6: 2 yrs 4 mths imp (conc).	appellant committed offences in respect of four victims, all of whom
	18/02/2022		wife who had re-married and given	Ct 8: 6 mths imp (cum).	were very young and highly vulnerable. B was a toddler. E and C were
		No prior criminal history.	birth to triplets. He would often look	Ct 9: 12 mths imp (cum).	each young girls, The appellant took advantage of the relationships
			after the triplets and, on occasions, he	( )	that he had with their mothers to sexually abuse the victims. The
		Born and raised in WA; good	took them on outings. CDL offended	TES 12 yrs 6 mths imp.	offending against E and C occurred over about a yr in the case of E and
		childhood; siblings with whom he	against two of the triplets, E and C, over		over a period of yrs in the case of C. While the offending in relation to
		still maintains contact.	a period of yrs.	EFP.	B occurred over a much shorter period and was less physically
					invasive, having regard to B's age and all the circumstances of the
		Educated to yr 12; did well at	Later CDL met and befriended B and	The trial judge found the offending against E,	offending, it involved a high degree of criminality.
		school.	M's mother. He would babysit the	C and B demonstrated a high degree of	offending, it involved a high degree of eliminancy.
		senooi.	children.		At [75] Not only did the appellant commit the offences the subject of
		Employed variety of occupations.	Cilitaren.		cts 1, 2 and 3, he recorded what he had done The only reasonable
		Employed variety of occupations.	The sisting E and the town C and	E, C and B were very young and could not	
		D: 1 6 .	The victim, E, was aged between 6 and	have been more vulnerable; the offending was	inference that can be drawn from the appellant's recording of the
		Divorced; son from union.	8 yrs of age; the victim, C, was aged	brazen; there was 'a considerable age	material is that he wished to watch it in the future for his sexual
			between 6 and 10 yrs of age; the victim,	difference' between the appellant and each of	gratification. In addition, the appellant committed the offence against
		Involved in children's sport.	M, was aged 11 or 12 yrs of age and the	the victims; he was in a position of trust and	M and was found in poss of a very substantial amount of CEM
			victim B, was a toddler, aged 22 mths.	authority and the offending involved a	Some accumulation was required having regard to the number of
		Diabetic; experiences sciatic back		significant abuse of trust; he repeatedly used	victims and offences committed by the appellant, to the seriousness of
		pain following work injury.	CDL indec dealt with E, C, B and M.	the opportunity to look after the children to	the offences and to her Honour's reduction of the individual sentences
			He made video recordings of E on 80	sexually abuse them; the offending was	for cts 1, 2, 3, 8 and 9 in applying the totality principle
		No issues with alcohol or illicit	separate occasions; C on 71 separate	repetitious and, in the case of E and C,	
		drugs.	occasions and B on 30 separate	occurred on many occasions over a period of	
			occasions.	yrs; the offending against B was limited to	
				four occasions, in the space of a matter of	
			The charges in respect of E, C and B are	wks.	
			representative of the appellant's	W AD.	
			1 *	No avaragions of removes and re-effect and	
			offending behaviour.	No expressions of remorse and no effort made	
			CDI wide we 1.1 Cd	towards rehabilitation.	
			CDL video recorded some of the		

offences he committed against E, C, B and M. The CEM he produced was classified at Cat 1 to 3.	
A number of computer devices were seized from CDL's home. They contained 26,425 videos and images of children aged under 1 yr to approx 10 yrs of age. Cat 1: 893 videos and 21,260 images; Cat 2: 109 videos and 204 images; Cat 3: 111 videos and 1,237 images; Cat 4: 731 videos and 1,418 and in Cat 5: 178 videos and 328	
images.	