# **Child Sex Offences**

### From 1 January 2021

Transitional Sentencing Provisions: This table is divided into thirds based on the three relevant periods of Sentencing Provisions:

- Post-transitional provisions period
- Transitional provisions period
- Pre-transitional provisions period

### Glossary:

aggravated agg attempted att

child exploitation material **CEM** 

concurrent conc cumulative cum count ct

conditional release order **CRO** 

**EFP** eligible for parole imprisonment imp

indecent indec

ISO intensive supervision order

PG plead guilty

sexual penetration without consent sex pen

suspended susp

sex offender treatment program **SOTP** 

total effective sentence **TES** 

## Child aged under 13 yrs

No.	Case	Antecedents	Summary/Facts	Sentence	Appeal
21.	PMY v The State	34-39 yrs at time offending.	8 x Indec deal child lineal relative.	TES: 14 yrs 6 mths imp.	Appeal allowed.
	of Western	40 yrs at time sentencing.	21 x Sex pen child lineal relative.	CED	
	Australia	Convicted after PG (10%	11 x Index record child lineal relative. 5 x Poss CEM.	EFP.	Appeal concerned the discount given by the sentencing judge for pleas
	[2025] WASCA	discount).	3 X POSS CEIVI.	The sentencing judge assessed the discount	of guilty.
	113	discount).	The appellant's charged offending	under s 9AA on the basis that the pleas of	Resentenced:
		Minor criminal history for driving	against B began when she was 11 yrs	guilty had been entered at the first reasonable	
	Delivered	offences.	old. B is the appellant's daughter. The	opportunity.	22% discount (cts 1-3) and 20% discount (all remaining cts)
	23/07/2025		offending continued until she was 14		
		Adopted at 2 yrs of age; estranged	yrs old, at which point B gave birth to	The sentencing judge found that the appellant	TES: 12 yrs imp.
		from adoptive family.	the appellant's daughter.	had a sexual interest in children.	EED
		Victim of savual abuse at 8 yrs	The charged offending against P took	The offending had a profound impact on B,	EFP.
		Victim of sexual abuse at 8 yrs old.	The charged offending against B took place of 14 separate incidents. The	her mental health has been badly affected.	At [46] 'in our opinion the ground of appeal has been made out.'
		old.	appellant also pleaded guilty to 5 counts	ner mentar nearth has been badry arrected.	The [40] in our opinion the ground of appear has been made out.
		Encountered difficulties at school;	of possessing CEM.		At [47] 'the appellant entered each of his pleas at the first reasonable
		dyslexic.			opportunity. Accordingly, the sentencing judge's discretion to give the
			The appellant would offend against B		maximum discount allowable under s 9AA was enlivened.'
		Worked in various capacities;	on the alternate weekends, or school		
		most recently as a forklift driver.	holidays, which he had custody of her.		At [51] 'although we accept that the utilitarian value to the State of the
			The offending consisted of penile/vaginal penetration,		appellant's pleas of guilty was diminished by the strength of the State's case, the benefits to the victim of the early guilty pleas is a
			digital/vaginal penetration, the use of	8	matter to be given substantial weight. By pleading guilty at the first
			sex toys, cunnilingus, and indecent		reasonable opportunity, the appellant spared B the additional trauma of
			touching and kissing. The appellant	$\sim$	the uncertainty of knowing what the outcome of the charges would be,
			recorded the majority of his offending.		the necessity of preparing to give evidence, and then the process of
					actually having to give evidence against the appellant.'
			The CEM offences related to five		A. 1547 (1)
			different Apple devices with over 3,000		At [54] 'in our opinion, the appellant must be resentenced in respect of
			images in category 1, and 1,500 in category 2. The CEM also contained		all of the offences. As will be seen, we would resentence the appellant to different individual sentences and to a different total effective
			recordings and images of B.		sentence.'
			recordings and integer of D.		
					At [64] 'there are a number of aggravating factors. The offending
			0'		involved a grave betrayal of parental trust. B was young and
			-C)		vulnerable. The offending persisted over a period of about four years,
					and became normalised. It continued after the appellant discovered that
		C)			B was pregnant, and only stopped when it was found that the appellant
			Y		was the father of his daughter's unborn child.'
					At [65] 'the offending was brazen. Sometimes, it occurred when there
					were other children in the house. On one occasion, it occurred when
					there were other children in the room.'
					At [66] 'the appellant engaged in penile/vaginal penetration of B
					without wearing a condom, thus exposing her to any sexually
					transmitted disease that he may have had, and exposing B to the risk of

20.	The State of Western Australia v LZR  [2025] WASCA 46  Delivered 01/04/2025	33–52 yrs at time offending. 56 yrs at time sentencing.  Convicted after trial.  No criminal history.  Born in Turkey; unremarkable childhood, spent some time in Austria and Germany.  Left school at 13 yrs to work for his brother; returned to work for his brother after completing military service; later worked various jobs, including at a market on the weekends.  Two children with former wife; children were 15 and 17 yrs at time sentencing.  No personality or major mental disorders.	5 x Indec deal child U13 yrs. 4 x Sex pen child U13 yrs. 12 x Indec deal child U16 yrs. 2 x Sex pen child U16 yrs. 1 x Indec assault. 1 x Encouraging child U13 yrs to do an indecent act. 4 x Indec deal child over 16 yrs under control or supervision.  Cts 1–6: RG  RG was the respondent's niece by marriage.  Cts 1, 3 and 5: Sex pen child U13 yrs (digital). Cts 2 and 4: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Ct 6: Indec assault.  Cts 7–14: SM  SM was the respondent's niece by marriage.  Cts 7 and 9: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Ct 8: Sex pen child U13 yrs (digital). Ct 10: Encourage a child U13 to do an indecent act. Cts 11 and 13: Indec deal child U16. Cts 12 and 14: Sex pen child U16 (digital).  Ct 15: EH  EH was the respondent's niece by marriage.	TES: 10 yrs imp.  The trial judge found that the offending was persistent over an 18–20 year period. As a result of the lack of complaint, the respondent became emboldened in what he could get away with. The persistent nature of the offending counterbalanced the characterisation of the offending as towards the lower end of seriousness.  The trial judge found that there was a risk of the respondent re-offending.  Victim impact statements were received from RG, EH, KV, TMC, AV and PB. The trial judge found that the common theme in the statements was a sense of betrayal by a relative or trusted family friend. The offending has had a profound impact on the victims' relationships with family, friends and partners.	pregnancy, which eventuated. When the appellant found out about B's pregnancy, he did not help her or support her, or attempt to provide prenatal care. Instead, he sought to conceal his responsibility.'  At [67] 'the only mitigating factor of significance was the appellant's pleas of guilty, which, as we have already explained, we entered at the first reasonable opportunity.'  At [69] 'there is some mitigation in the appellant's difficult childhood, including his dyslexia, bullying at school and sexual abuse. We have also had regard to the efforts the appellant has made towards his rehabilitation.'  Appeal dismissed (leave granted).  Appeal concerned first limb of the totality principle.  At [64] 'the only issue in the present appeal is whether the total effective sentence of 10 years' imprisonment fails to reflect the overall criminality involved in all of the respondent's offending considered as a whole in a way that enables error to be inferred from the result.'  At [67] 'the present case is unusual in that a large number of victims were exposed to the respondent's offending, the egregiousness of the individual offences was not as great as in most cases where a total effective sentence of 10 years or more is imposed. Many of the individual offences in the present case, particularly counts involving touching buttocks of employees in the respondent's food van, involve conduct towards the lower end of the scale of seriousness of the offences were not serious all sexual offending against children is deplorable. But the relative severity of much of the offending in the present case is not as egregious as the offending involved in most cases where total effective sentences of 10 years or more are imposed.  At [71] 'as counsel for the respondent properly conceded, the total effective sentence imposed in this case is lenient, particularly having regard to the number of victims, the impact of the offending on the victims and the absence of mitigating factors including guilty pleas. However, 10 years' imprisonment
-----	---	---	---	--	--

	Ct 15: Indec deal child U16 yrs.
<u> </u>	Cts 16–17: KV
	The respondent was a family friend of KV's parents.
	Ct 16: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Ct 17: Indec deal child U16 yrs.
<u> </u>	<u>Cts 18–22: TMC</u>
	Cts 18–22: TMC  The respondent was a family friend of TMC's parents.  Cts 18–22: Indec deal child U16 yrs.
	Cts 18–22: Indec deal child U16 yrs.
	<u>Cts 23–24: NC</u>
	Cts 18–22: Indec deal child U16 yrs.  Cts 23–24: NC  The respondent was a family friend of NC's parents.  Cts 23 and 24: Indec deal child over 16
	Cts 23 and 24: Indec deal child over 16 yrs under control or supervision.
	<u>Ct 25: AV</u>
	The respondent was a family friend of AV's parents.
	Ct 25: Indec deal child over 16 yrs under control or supervision.
	Cts 26–27: PB
	The respondent was a family friend of PB's parents.
	Ct 26: Indec deal child U16. Ct 27: Indec deal child over 16 yrs
	under control or supervision.
	Cts 28–29: TK
	The respondent was a family friend of TK's parents.
	Cts 28–29: Indec deal child U16 yrs.

	1				
19.	The State of	21 (cts 1–3) and 31 yrs (ct 4) at	Ct 1: Att indec deal child U16 yrs.	Ct 1: 4 mths imp (conc).	Appeal allowed.
	Western Australia	time offending.	Ct 2: Indec deal child U16 yrs.	Ct 2: 8 mths imp (conc).	
	v DRN		Ct 3: Sex pen child U16 yrs.	Ct 3: 1 yr 8 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned length of sentences for cts 3 and 4 and the first limb
		35 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 4: Sex pen child U13 yrs.	Ct 4: 2 yrs 2 mths imp (HS).	of the totality principle.
	[2025] WASCA 45				Ġ
		Convicted after trial.	The respondent and K had three	TES: 3 years 10 months' imp.	Resentenced:
	Delivered		children together. One of the children		
	01/04/2025	Limited criminal history; last	was S, who was 11 yrs old at the time of	EFP.	Ct 1: 4 mths imp (conc).
		offending was in 2013; no	offending.		Ct 2: 8 mths imp (conc).
		convictions for similar offending.		The trial judge found that each of the	Ct 3: 1 yr 9 mths imp (cum).
			<u>Cts 1–3:</u>	complainants were particularly vulnerable.	Ct 4: 4 yrs imp (HS).
		Born in a regional city and moved		The offending was persistent; the respondent	
		around as a child.	One evening, the respondent and K went to a housewarming party for the	was not deterred by H rolling over.	TES: 5 yrs 9 mths imp.
		Educated to yr 9 of high school;	respondent's sister. H, R, and C came to	The trial judge found that the offending	EFP.
		worked a variety of jobs until	the respondent's house to babysit S and	against H and R could be regarded as being at	
		incarceration.	her brother. H and R were K's	the lower end of seriousness for offending of	At [33] 'in our view, the individual sentence imposed for count 4
			biological sisters aged 14 yrs and 12–13	its type. However, the offending against C	clearly failed to reflect the criminality of that offence and was
		Primary carer of his youngest	yrs respectively. C was a friend of H	and S were serious examples of offending of	unreasonable or plainly unjust.'
		child.	and R who was aged 13 yrs.	its type.	A. F241 (1
			A 1 11 " 1 11 " 1		At [34] 'the sentence imposed on count 4 was only about 10% of the
			A double mattress had been set up in	The trial judge found that the respondent	maximum penalty. The offence was aggravated by the fact that the
			the loungeroom for two of the victims	displayed no remorse. The respondent was	complainant was the respondent's 11-year-old biological daughter. He
			to sleep on, with the other victim to sleep on the couch. Late on the evening,	found to be at a high risk of re-offending.	offended against her while she was sleeping There were no mitigating circumstances for that offence The respondent was
			the respondent returned from the party	Victim impact statements were provided by S	assessed by the trial judge as being at a high risk of re-offending.'
			to get some cigarettes. H awoke to the	and C. S felt betrayed by the respondent and	assessed by the trial judge as being at a high risk of re-offending.
			respondent kneeling beside her. The	had lost motivation in life. The offending had	At [35] 'in seeking to resist the appeal counsel for the respondent
			respondent then trued to put his hands	a profound impact on C and affected her	sought to contend that there was no "element of abuse" involved in the
			down H's pants (ct 1). H rolled onto her	<del>*</del>	offending other than the age disparity between the offender and the
			side removing the respondent's hands	Serio orang uno no muer murro o men	victims.'
			from her.		
					At [37] 'the concept of an "element of abuse" has no application to a
			The respondent then moved to R's side		situation, such as the present, where there is no factual consent to
			of the mattress. R awoke to the		sexual activity. The respondent's conduct of sexually penetrating his
			respondent touching her vaginal over		11-year-old daughter as she slept cannot be regarded as anything other
			the top of her pyjamas (ct 2). R pushed		than entirely abusive. The same can be said of the respondent's
			the respondent's hand away.		offences against H, R and C'
		C	The respondent then moved to where C		At [40] 'over the past 20 years, sentences for child sexual offending
		X	was sleeping. C woke to the feeling of		have progressively firmed up as society and the courts have gained an
			the respondent's hands in her shorts.		increased appreciation of the prevalence of this kind of offending
			The respondent inserted his fingers into		Th temptation for counsel representing an offender to cite older
			C's vagina (ct 3). The respondent		authorities is understandable but must be resisted.'
			whispered to her 'does that feel good?'		
					At [41] 'in our view, having regard to all of the circumstances of this
			<u>Ct 4</u>		case and the relevant sentencing principles, it was not reasonably open
					to the trial judge to view an individual sentence of 2 years 2 months'

		Once the respondent and K separated, S went to visit the respondent for a few weeks. On the night of the offence the respondent and S were sharing a bed. The respondent leant over and touched S, rubbing her clitoris with his fingers.		immediate imprisonment as commensurate with the offence charged in count 4 of the indictment.'  At [42] 'for similar reasons, we are satisfied that the individual sentence of 1 year 8 months' immediate imprisonment imposed for the sexual penetration offence alleged in count 3 of the indictment was manifestly inadequate.'
			a loir Prosecti	At [44] ' the sexual penetration charged in count 3 was a serious offence, involving predatory behaviour by the respondent. It involved a serious breach of trust placed in the respondent by C and her carers that she would be safe staying overnight at the respondent's house. C was asleep and in a vulnerable position when the respondent decided to offend against her. The offending continued until H intervened. It had a serious impact upon C's life. The only significant factor was the respondent's age at the time of committing the offence.'
				At [45] 'having regard to all the circumstances of the case and all relevant sentencing principle, the individual sentence of 1 year 8 months' immediate imprisonment for count 3 (which represents about 12% of the maximum penalty) was unreasonable or plainly unjust.'
				At [48] 'we are also satisfied that the total effective sentence of 3 years 10 months' immediate imprisonment fails to reflect the overall criminality involved in all of the offences viewed in their entirety, having regard to all relevant factors and circumstances including those referable to the respondent personally.'
KMO v The State of Western	36–37 yrs at time offending. 53 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Att sex pen child U13 yrs. Ct 2: Indec deal child U13 yrs.	Ct 1: 2 yrs 4 mths imp. Ct 2: 14 mths imp (cum).	Appeal dismissed (leave refused).
Australia [2025] WASCA 15	Convicted after trial.	The victim was 12 yrs old at the time of the offending. The appellant is the	TES: 3 yrs 6 mths imp.	Appeal concerned length of sentence imposed on ct 2 and the first limb of the totality principle.
	Lengthy criminal history; threats;	victim's cousin; the victim referred to	EFP.	At [24] 'in our view, there is no merit in either ground of appeal'.
Delivered 29/01/2025	assault of public officer; AOBH; agg AOBH and unlawful damage.  Born in Perth; one of seven	the appellant as his uncle.  Ct 1	The trial judge found that the appellant's criminal history elevated the significance of personal deterrence and community	At [26] 'while the offence charged in count 2 did not involve any actual physical contact, the appellant's conduct was not merely exposing his penis to the complainant. The appellant exposed his penis
	children.	The victim was living at the house of the appellant's father (victim's uncle) at	protection as sentencing considerations.	and demanded that the complainant perform fellatio upon him while they were alone in the house. This occurred in the context where the
	Completed high school to year 10 and has worked in a variety of difference capacities.	the time of the offending. The victim slept on a mattress in the lounge room of the house.	The trial judge found that there were minimal or no mitigating factors. The appellant had not demonstrated remorse.	vulnerable 12-year-old complainant regarded the appellant, who was 24 years older, as his uncle. As such, the offending involved a serious breach of trust. The offending had significant harmful impact on the complainant'
	Single at time of sentencing; 13 children ranging from 16 to 30 years and nine grandchildren.	One evening, the victim awoke and felt someone on top of him. The victim felt the appellant pushing his penis against his mouth. The victim kept his mouth	The trial judge found that the offending had significantly impacted the victim throughout his life. The victim struggled to trust others, suffers from anxiety and fear, and has	At [27] 'the trial judge correctly recognised that there were no mitigating circumstances While the appellant had not previously been convicted of any child sexual offences, his extensive criminal
	Regular alcohol use; intermittent cannabis use.	shut and teeth clenched. The appellant persisted for around 5 minutes before leaving the room.	experienced trouble acquiring stable employment.	history elevated the significance of personal deterrence and community protection as sentencing considerations.'

				<u>Ct 2</u>		absence of additional aggravating features of the offending. The appellant's counsel notes that the offending charged in count 2
				The victim and the appellant returned to		involved a "de-escalation of offending from Count 1", did not involve
				their house after spending some time		grooming or violence or persistence after the complainant retreated and
				crabbing. The house was empty when		did not involve the appellant approaching the complainant. However,
				they arrived. The appellant suggested that the victim follow him to his room,		the absence of these factors which would have increased the seriousness of the indecent dealing offence do not deny the seriousness
				which the victim complied with. When		of the offence which was committed'.
				the victim entered the appellant's room,		
				the appellant exposed his penis and told		At [31] ' as the trial judge correctly recognised, a degree of
				the victim to 'come here and suck this'.  The victim refused and left the house.		accumulation of sentences was required to reflect the overall criminality involved in the offending. The attempted sexual penetration
				The victim refused and left the nouse.		offence was a serious example of that kind of offence, involving the
						appellant pressing his penis against the mouth of the sleeping
						complainant. The appellant persisted with the attempt despite the
						complainant's resistance. The offence was aggravated by the particular
					~,C	vulnerability of the complainant in this situation, as well as the familial relationship and significant age difference between the appellant and
						the complainant.'
						At [32] 'in our view, the total effective sentence of 3 years 6 months'
					C	immediate imprisonment bears a proper relationship to the overall
-	17.	VDH v The State	Convicted after trial.	Cts 1, 2, 3 and 5: Sex pen child U13 yrs.	Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (cum).	criminality involved in both offences viewed in their entirety'  Appeal dismissed (leave refused).
-	17.	of Western	Convicted and than.	Ct 4: Indec dealing child U13 yrs.	Ct 1. 3 yrs imp (cum). Ct 2: 3 yrs imp (conc).	Appear dishinssed (leave refused).
		Australia	37–38 yrs at time offending.		Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).	Sentence appeal concerned both limbs of the totality principle.
		[2025] WASSA 10	60 yrs at time sentencing.	The offending was against the	Ct 4: 9 mths imp (conc).	A. [120] ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) (
		[2025] WASCA 10	Limited criminal history.	appellant's nieces.	Ct 5: 4 yrs imp (cum).	At [120] ' in our view, the total effective sentence in the present case did not arguably breach either limb of the totality principle.'
		Delivered	Enimed criminal instory.	Cts 1 and 2:	TES: 10 yrs imp.	cuse and not arguably breach either inne of the totality principle.
		15/01/2025	Demonstrated a good work ethic		-	At [122] 'the overall criminality in the appellant's offending was very
			throughout his life.	DM was at the appellant's house when	EFP.	high indeed. The appellant sexually abused three of his young nieces,
			Indigenous.	he invited her into his room. The appellant engaged in cunnilingus on	The sentencing judge found that the offending	for his own sexual gratification, with remarkable brazenness. The offences (other than count 4) were all committed in the presence of a
			margenous.	DM and penetrated her vagina with his	against DM was committed in the presence of	number of young girls — including his own stepdaughters — and, on
				finger. DM was about 6 or 7 yrs old at	other children. Similar findings of fact were	at least one occasion while the appellant's own wife was in close
				the time of the offending.	made with regards to the offences against RC.	proximity. The devastating effect that the offending would have had on
				Cts 3 and 4:	The sentencing judge found that ct 5 was the	the victim is obvious and is attested to by the victim impact statements of DM and KC, which we have read but will not repeat.'
				Cto 5 and 7.	most serious of the offences — KC was the	of Divi and Ixe, which we have read but will not repeat.
			Ç.	RC was at the appellant's house when	youngest niece and described the pain she felt	At [123] 'offending of this kind — by a relative, in the presence of
				he was masturbating on his bed. On a	from the offender's actions.	others, in a place that ought to be safe and protective — can, and in
				later date, the appellant told her to sit on his bed and he penetrated her vagine	The sentencing judge found that the appellant	this case did, have effects that pervade the entirety of a victim's life.'
				with his fingers. RC was about 8 yrs old	displayed no remorse.	At [124] 'that the appellant fell to be sentenced for violating not one,
				at the time of offending.		but three of his young relatives in this way made this case a very
				C. S		serious example of its type.'
				<u>Ct 5:</u>		At [125] ' no complaint is made on appeal in relation to the
<u> </u>						1 123 no complaint is made on appear in relation to the

			KC was hiding in the closet of the appellant's bedroom when he pulled her onto the bed. The appellant then penetrated her vagina with his penis. KC was between 5 and 6 yrs old at the time of offending.		individual sentences for the various offences. Nor could there be. The individual sentences, particularly after the reductions made by the learned trial judge for totality, may properly be described as modest.'  At [126] 'against the objective seriousness of the offending, there was very little by way of mitigation for the appellant. He did not have the benefit of pleas of guilty or the acceptance of responsibility. He had no remorse whatsoever the appellant's mature age afforded him little, if anything, by way of mitigation.'  At [127] 'in all the circumstances in our view there was nothing unreasonable or plainly unjust about the total effective sentence of 10 years imprisonment.'  At [139] 'in relation to the second limb of the totality principle, as noted above, the appellant relied upon his age at the time of sentencing (60 years) and the generally reduced life expectancy for Aboriginal men. In that respect, the appellant referred to general statistics in relation to life expectancy produced by the Australian Bureau of Statistics.'
				of Pills	At [140] 'for the purposes of sentencing, general statistics such as these are unlikely to be of any real use unless they are related, in some way, to the circumstances of the individual offender. For example, the life expectancy statistics referred to by the appellant were life expectancy tables estimated from birth, rather than life expectancy of persons who have already attained the age of 60 yrs.'
			Diffect		At [141] 'as it is, there is nothing to suggest that the appellant is, by reason of his age or state of general health, at any risk of a shortened life span. It hardly needs to be said, but 60 years cannot be regarded as advanced age. This court has held that an offender aged 68 or 69 at the time of sentencing could not be said to be of a very advanced age.'
					At [143] 'the fact that [the appellant] is now in his sixties as he serves his sentence is a consequence of the fact that, for over 20 years, he enjoyed undeserved liberty while his offending went undisclosed and unpunished. It does not now afford him any claim to leniency, nor does it lead to the conclusion that his total effective sentence is crushing.'
16.	XBX v The State	59 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Persistently engaged in sexual	Ct 1: 10 yrs imp (HS).	Appeal allowed (Mazza JA dissenting).
	of Western Australia	Convicted after PG (25%	conduct a child U16 yrs. Cts 2-3, 5–7, and 9–10: Indec deal child	Ct 2: 3 yrs imp (conc). Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (conc).	Appeal concerned length of sentence imposed on ct 1.
		discount).	U13 yrs.	Ct 4: 4 yrs imp (conc).	
	[2024] WASCA 43		Ct 4 & 8: Sex pen child U13 yrs.	Ct 5: 3 yrs imp (conc).	Resentenced:
	Delivered	No prior criminal record.	The victim's mother, TN, commenced a	Ct 6: 3 yrs imp (conc). Ct 7: 2 yrs imp (conc).	Ct 1: 7 yrs 4 mths imp.
	26/04/2024	Finished school at the end of yr	relationship with the appellant's son,	Ct 8: 3 yrs imp (conc).	or and year asserts and property of the proper
		10.	SB. The victim, TN, SB, and the	Ct 9: 2 yrs imp (conc).	TES: 7 yrs 4 mths imp.
		Number of trade related	victim's older brother all lived together.	Ct 10: 6 mths imp (conc).	EED
		Number of trade related	At the relevant times, the family would		EFP.

certificates; hardworking throughout his life.

Married with three children at time sentencing; no longer in contact.

Diagnosed with ADHD.

Minor misuse of alcohol.

frequently visit the appellant and spend the night there.

#### <u>Ct 1</u>

The appellant began sexually offending against the victim shortly after her 7<sup>th</sup> birthday. The last occasion was just before her 8<sup>th</sup> birthday.

#### Cts 2-4

Whilst in the appellant's swimming pool, the appellant approached the victim and told her to pull his penis. She placed her hand underneath his clothing and moved her hand up and down his penis. The appellant then told her to lick his penis. The victim licked his penis multiple times. The appellant directed her to do this multiple times and at one point, the victim sucked the appellant's penis.

#### <u>Ct 5</u>

On one occasion when the victim and the appellant were alone in his shed, the appellant showed the victim a DVD depicting pornographic material.

#### Ct 6 & 7

One two separate occasions when the appellant and victim were alone in the shed, the appellant used sex toys on the victim.

#### <u>Ct 8</u>

One another occasion in the swimming pool, the appellant ducked beneath the water and licked the victim's vagina.

#### Ct 9

On once occasion, the appellant presented the victim with a sex toy. He then exposed his erect penis in front of her.

The sentencing judge found the issue of totality largely fell away due to the operation of the statutory framework of s 321A.

The offending has traumatised the victim; the family have had to remove themselves from family events associated with the appellant's wife; victim worries people will discover the offending and is concerned people will make fun of her.

The sentencing judge found the offending constituted a significant amount of grooming. The appellant had emotionally manipulated the victim by telling her to keep the offending to herself.

The sentencing judge did not go as far to expressly find that the appellant was remorseful.

At [101] 'the 20-year maximum for s 321A sets a ceiling that must be reserved for cases falling into the worst possible category. However, the range of conduct that is encompassed by s 321A is extraordinarily wide...It cannot be assumed that there is a neat or evenly spaced graduation of seriousness such that a particular case to be readily placed at a definite point on that continuum. However, there must be room within that scale to reflect the relativities between cases.'

At [102] 'in assessing the seriousness of this offence, I would not view the offending as necessarily less serious because it did not include penile or digital penetration. On the other hand, the offending did not involve the use of violence or threats or the infliction of physical injuries.'

At [103] 'the personal circumstances of the appellant were unremarkable.'

At [105] 'in my view, the only cases that are relevantly comparable are *KMB*, *Coulter* and *NSA*. The outcomes in those cases support the appellant's contention that the sentence imposed on ct 1 was manifestly excessive.'

At [111] 'these cases [cases of similar offending not including s 321A cts] suggest that a total sentence of 10 years' imprisonment for the prescribed offences in this case would be unusually high. In saying that, I acknowledge that ct 1 included some additional sexual conduct that was not the subject of separate charges.'

At [112] 'the cases I have referred to do not suggest the sentence imposed on ct 1, whilst being inconsistent with other cases dealing with s 321A, is otherwise consistent with sentences imposed for similar offending more broadly. Indeed, they suggest to the contrary, particularly when the appellant's guilty pleas are taken into account.'

At [112] '... the appellant's sentence cannot be reconciled with the sentences imposed in other similar cases.'

At [158] 'for the avoidance of doubt, it should not be assumed that I would have imposed the same sentence had the appellant been charged only with individual prescribed offences.'

			<u>Ct 10</u>		
			On one occasion, the appellant told the		
			victim to kiss her cousin. As directed,		
			she went over to her cousin and kissed		
			her on the lips.		
15.	AAE v The State	32 yrs at time sentencing.	1 x Distribute CEM.	Cum	Appeal dismissed (leave granted).
	of Western		1 x Poss CEM.	1 x distribute CEM (10 mths imp).	, Oy
	Australia	Convicted after PG (20%	21 x Indec record child lineal relative	1 x possess CEM (8 mths imp).	Appeal concerned first limb of totality principle.
		discount).	U16 yrs.	1 x indec record child lineal relative (12 mths	
	[2024] WASCA 35		19 x Indec deal child lineal relative U16	1 /	At [85] 'it is beyond doubt, and not disputed by the appellant, that the
		No criminal history.	yrs.	1 x sex pen child lineal relative (5 yrs imp).	totality of his offending was extremely serious and deserving of a
	Delivered		7 x Sex pen child lineal relative U16	1 x sex pen child lineal relative (3 yrs imp).	substantial term of imprisonment.'
	09/04/2024	Born in NZ; youngest of three	yrs.	1 x sex pen child lineal relative (5 yrs imp).	
		children; moved to Australia at 9	2 x Att sex pen child lineal relative U16	1 x indec deal child lineal relative (2 yrs imp)	At [87] ' the appellant's offending was, taken as a whole, extreme
		yrs old; positive upbringing;	yrs.		serious. It involved persistent sexual offending over approximately o
		parents and sister supportive.	1 x Indec record child U13 yrs.	All other cts conc.	year against the appellant's two very young children.'
		Struggled at school; completed yr	The victims, A and K were the children	TES 17 yrs 6 mths imp.	At [88] 'the offending involved a gross breach of the appellant's
		12.	of AAE. At the relevant time A was 4	7	trusted role as a father. As a parent, he had privileged access to the
			yrs old and K was between 7 and 8 yrs	EFP.	children and was able to misuse their love for him to obtain their
		Gainfully employed since	old.		compliance with his sexual demands and to ensure their silence. It is
		finishing school: hospitality		The sentencing judge found that the appellant	telling that neither of the children revealed the offending and that the
		industry.	An UCO from Department of	offended for his own sexual gratification; he	prosecution case relied entirely on recordings.'
			Homeland Security engaged in	had groomed the victims, encouraged and	
		Met his wife at 16 yrs;	communication with the appellant on a	convinced them to allow his offending and	At [89] 'in respect of the appellant's 4-year-old daughter there wa
		relationship continued until arrest;	social media application. The substance	used scare tactics and bribes to prevent	an element of depravity in this offending. It is apparent that the
		three children, one of which was	of these communications constituted the	disclosure.	appellant's sexual interest prevailed over any concern for the physical
		born after arrest.	distribute CEM offence.		or psychological welfare of his children.'
				The sentencing judge did not accept the	
		No significant mental health	A WAPOL SW at the appellant's	appellant's disclosure to the psychologist that	At [90] 'his communications with the law enforcement officer reveal
		issues; emotional detachment and	parent's home located a USB thumb	A was a willing participant; the footage	a callous disregard for the welfare of his children and a willingness to
		socially avoidant.	drive containing CEM. The contents of	clearly showed A recoiling during the	exploit them for his own deviant purpose.'
			the USB constituted the poss CEM	offending. In particular, the offending against	
			offence.	A was 'towards the upper end of the scale.'	At [91] 'the appellant also possessed and distributed child exploitation
			X		material. The material he possessed was at all levels of seriousness a
			The appellant's hard drive and phone	The sentencing judge found that the appellant	included 12 still images and 20 videos in the most serious category. I
			were also seized, containing numerous	made no significant admissions to police	addition, he indecently recorded other children. This reveals that his
			explicit recordings of the appellant and	during the searches and pleaded guilty during	sexual interest in children extended beyond his own children.'
			his daughter, A. As well as explicit	negotiations.	
		C:	recordings of the appellant and his son,		At [96] 'we do not accept the appellant's submission to the effect that
			K. The recordings located by police	The sentencing judge found that the appellant	the sentence of 22 yrs 6 mths' imprisonment imposed in SCN operat
	i		1.1 .: 100		as a sailing for souteness of shild sound offending?

was genuinely remorseful, though he lacked

genuine insight into the severity of the

Offending had caused great stress to the

appellant's wife; vomits when she thinks of

the offences; financially impacted; difficult to

offending.

as a ceiling for sentences of child sexual offending.'

At [103] 'having regard to the maximum penalties, the seriousness of

appellant and the limited guidance afforded by comparable cases, the

appellant has failed to establish that the total effective sentence of 17

yrs and 6 mths imprisonment breached the first limb of the totality

the offending taken as a whole, the personal circumstances of the

identified 20 separate incidents of

of penile-vaginal penetration of A,

stroking the appellant's penis. On

offending included numerous occasions

digital penetration of A, use of sex toys

on A, indec touching of A, as well as A

offending by the appellant. The

	,				
			numerous occasions A is recoiling from the appellant during the offending. The offending against K consisted of indec touching, K fondling the appellant's penis, and genital-genital touching.	gauge the impact on the children, have not disclosed the offending during interviews.	principle.'
			Further images were located of the appellant's 4-year-old niece, as well as numerous photos surreptitiously taken of unknown female victims at the		
			appellant's workplace.	C)	
14.	JTR v The State of	47 yrs at time sentencing.	43 x Sex pen child U13 yrs.	TES 25 yrs.	Dismissed (leave refused on ground 2).
	Western Australia	The second secon	1 x Att sex pen child U13 yrs.	125 25 315.	Distinstitution of ground 2).
	[2023] WASCA	Convicted after early PG (25% discount).	221 x Indec deal child U13 yrs. 122 x Indec recording child U13 yrs.	EFP.	Appeal concerned length of sentence and totality principle.
	131		6 x Producing CEM.	The sentencing judge found the appellant's	At [148] ' the appellant's offending, when viewed overall, is
		No prior criminal history.	25 x Poss CEM.	offending, viewed as a whole, one of the	disturbing and of the utmost seriousness the appellant persistently
	Delivered		1 x Procuring child U13 yrs to do indec	worst cases of its kind to come before the WA	engaged in predatory behaviour over a substantial period of time and in
	01/09/2023	Youngest of four siblings;	act.	courts; the mere reference to the number of	relation to an extraordinary number of children driven by an
		positive childhood; supportive		offences committed did not reveal that on	entrenched sexual interest in children.'
		parents.	Over a period of six yrs, and on an	many occasions the offending was prolonged	A - F1 407 (1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
			enormous number of occasions, JTR	or involved multiple offences; the number of	At [149] 'in almost every instance, the appellant's offending
		Schooling a positive experience;	sexually abused 22 children, including	offences did also not reveal the truly	constituted a breach of trust. Four of the victims were his ow children,
		completed university degree.	his four biological children, niece and nephew and the children of family	egregious and depraved nature of the offending.	who were entitled to expect his love and protection'
		Good employment history;	friends and neighbours.	offending.	At [150] 'of all the appellant's 419 offences, 274 of them were
		developed own business;	Triends and neighbours.	The sentencing judge referred to four factors	committed against his youngest daughter, over about six yrs and in the
		successful for a long period of	The children's ages ranged from 2 yrs	that required a 'very significant measure of	course of 153 separate events'
		time before experiencing financial	of age to 13 yrs of age. The majority of	accumulation in the sentences'; firstly, on	
		difficulties, business eventually	the offences were committed against	many occasions one episode of offending	At [153] 'most of the offences were committed with a brazen
		failed, millions of dollars in debt.	children under the age of 10 yrs.	against a particular victim involved multiple	assurance'
				offences; secondly, the offending against	
		Married; four children together;	JTR recorded all his offending conduct.	many of the children involved multiple	At [154] 'the fact that the appellant recorded all the offences that he
		separated before offending	Sometimes he used a hidden camera	offences and occurred on multiple occasions;	committed against children also marks the seriousness of his offending
		uncovered; commenced another	and on other occasions he used a	thirdly, the sheer magnitude of the offending	conduct'
		relationship.	handheld camera.	and fourthly, the poss of a significant quantity	A4 [155] (4
		Sustained serious injuries in an	In addition to his acts of child sexual	of CEM on so many devices.	At [155] 'it must also be remembered that the appellant was convicted of a considerable number of offences relating to his pass of CFM
		Sustained serious injuries in an accident in 2021, which also	abuse JTR was found in possession of	Offending had, and continues to have, a	of a considerable number of offences relating to his poss of CEM those offences concerned the poss and categorisation of approx 1
		resulted in the death of his new	approx 1 million images and 30,000	destructive effect on the lives of the children	million images and 30,000 videos depicting CEM. The appellant had
		partner.	videos of CEM, which he had	offended against.	collected a massive database of CEM which recorded offending that
			methodically classified across 26		had taken place against real children, including highly degrading and
		History of self-harm; att suicide	separate electronic devices.	Appellant not genuinely remorseful; no	painful abuse.'
		time of separation from former		acceptance of responsibility for his offending;	
		wife; experienced suicidal	The offences charged were based on the	nature and extent of the offending precluded a	At [172] ' the objective seriousness of the appellant's overall
		ideation following death of his	review of the large number of USBs and	finding that the offending was an aberration,	offending is at the very highest level, and there was a very clear need
		partner; engaged in serious self-	hard drives, as well as the 26 recording	or that unlikely to offend again.	for sentences to be imposed that satisfied the obvious requirement for
		harm when arrested; diagnosed	devices found in his home and business.		both general and specific deterrence'

		with major depressive disorder with anxious distress at time sentencing.  History of alcohol abuse and misuse of prescription medication; resorted to drug and alcohol use as a means of managing stress; in remission at time sentencing due to his detention.	None of the children offended against made any disclosures to police.	Q105Ci	At [176] 'the TES had to reflect the fact that the appellant committed a considerable number of offences against a total of 22 children. Many of the offences were not at the high end of the scale of seriousness when viewed in isolation. However, when taken as a whole, they establish that the appellant persistently and frequently acted on an entrenched sexual interest in very young and vulnerable children, and in doing so breached the trust reposed in him as a father, a family member, and a friend.'  At [177] 'additionally, substantial cumulation was necessary to reflect the repetitive and prolonged sexual offending against the appellant's youngest daughter, which occurred on 153 separate occasions'  At [178] 'finally, a further degree of cumulation was called for in order to adequately reflect the extreme serious nature of the offences concerning the appellant's poss of CEM and give some effect to the
					principles applicable in sentencing for such offences.'  At [207] 'in our opinion, the TES was not crushing. It follows that the second limb of the totality principle was not infringed.'
13.	OMC v The State	30-31 yrs at time offending.	IND X	IND X	Appeal dismissed (leave refused).
	of Western	33 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 1-6 & 8-9: Indec deal child U13 yrs.	Cts 1; 2 & 5: 18 mths imp (conc).	
	Australia	INID V	Ct 7: Att indec deal child U13 yrs. IND Y	Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (2000)	Appeal concerned totality principle.
	[2023] WASCA 86	IND X Convicted after trial.	Ct 1: Poss CEM.	Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (conc). Ct 5: 18 mths imp (conc).	At [39] ' the appellant took advantage of a vulnerable young child
	[2023] WASCA 00	IND Y	Ct 1. 1 055 CLIVI.	Cts 6 & 9: 2 yrs imp (cum).	by persistently sexually abusing her over a period of at least 18 mths.
	Delivered	Convicted after late PG.	The victim was aged between 10 and 11	Ct 7: 12 mths imp (conc).	The offences were particularly agg by the use of a degree of force and
	30/05/2023		yrs at the time of the offending. She was		that the appellant frequently persisted when the victim made it clear to
		Short criminal history; no prior	the daughter of OMC's then partner and	IND Y	him that she did not want him to touch her. The appellant sought to
		convictions for violence or sexual	he was a father figure to her.	Ct 1: 12 mths imp (conc).	manipulate the victim by telling her that if she complained about his
		offending.	The offen and were removed to the offen	TEC 6 vms imm	actions he would be out of her life and he would be unable to pay for
		Agad 12 mthe when parants	The offences were representative of a	TES 6 yrs imp.	the things that she liked [he] was undeterred by her protests and
		Aged 12 mths when parents separated; lived with his mother	course of ongoing sexualised conduct towards the victim over a period of 18	EFP.	attempts to resist this behaviour.'
		until aged 12 yrs, then resided	mths.		At [40] 'the appellant's actions have had and are likely to have an
		with his father; prosocial	X	IND X	ongoing adverse effect upon the victim.'
		upbringing; suffered adverse	The offending occurred in the family	The sentencing judge characterised the	
		psychological effects from	home, when OMC was alone with the	offending against the victim as 'very serious';	At [46] 'in our opinion, having regard to all of the relevant facts and
		parents' conflict.	victim.	the touching consisted a gross breach of trust;	circumstances of the present case and all relevant sentencing factors,
		Configuration	OMC index de la catala de la catala	the victim was aged between 10-11 yrs; a	the TES bears a proper relationship to the overall criminality in all
		Good family support.	OMC indec dealt with the victim by rubbing her vagina with his fingers or	degree of force was used in the offending and that it must have been clear to the appellant	of the offences committed by the appellant'
		Good employment history.	squeezing her breasts (cts 1-6). He	that the victim was unhappy as she repeatedly	
		Good employment instory.	touched her vagina both over and under	asked him to stop and leave her alone; he	
		Partner miscarried around time	her clothing.	manipulated her by telling her she could not	
		offending began; stress of FIFO		tell her mother or he would be in trouble and	
		work impact on his relationship.	On one occasion OMC pulled the	would no longer be in her life and the period	
			victim onto her bed and att to touch her	of time over which the offending occurred.	
			vagina (ct 7).		

			On another occasion OMC called out to the victim to come into his bedroom.  When the victim eventually did so he was standing, naked, in the doorway (ct 8).  The victim would try to prevent what was happening to her and would tell OMC to go away.  When arrested OMCs laptop was seized and was found to contain six videos depicting penetrative sex of a female child, including very young children, one of whom looked no more than 3 or 4 yrs old.	IND Y The sentencing judge found this offence serious and the material 'graphic and revolting'.  Offending significant negative impact on the victim.  No acceptance of responsibility; continued to deny the offending.	
12.	Guagliardo v The State of Western	36-40 yrs at time offending. 44 yrs at time sentencing.	IND 1475 Cts 3-4; 6-8: Indec deal child U13 yrs.	IND 1475 Ct 3: 12 mths imp (conc).	Dismissed (on papers).
	Australia	Try is at time semening.	Cts 5; 9-10: Sex pen child U13 yrs.	Ct 4: 12 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned length of sentence ct 4 (IND 2189) and totality
		Convicted after trial.		Ct 5: 3 yrs imp (conc).	principle.
	[2023] WASCA 71		IND 2189	Ct 6: 6 mths imp (cum).	
	Delivered	No criminal history.	Cts 1-4: Poss CEM.	Ct 7: 12 mths imp (conc).	At [60] In the present case the seriousness of the contact sex offences
	02/05//2023	Positive childhood; youngest of	IND 1475	Ct 8: 18 mths imp (conc). Ct 9: 4 yrs imp (cum).	was reflected in the fact that there were four victims and that the offences involved significant breaches of trust. In each case the
	02/03//2023	two children; strong relationship	The four female victims, P, M, R and S,	Ct 10: 4 yrs imp (conc).	appellant had access to the children because he was a trusted friend of
		with his mother; family remain	were all aged 10 yrs or under at the time	ce for type imp (cone).	the family. He obtained access by causing the families to believe that
		supportive.	of the offending.	TES 7 yrs 6 mths imp.	he was providing massages for therapeutic purposes. He used this access, and the opportunity to touch the children without arousing
		Educated to yr 12; commenced	Guagliardo was friends with the	IND 2189	suspicion, to satisfy his own perverted sexual desires. Whilst no
		university studies before	victims' parents.	Ct 1: 6 mths imp (conc).	physical or verbal coercion was involved, none was needed. On three
		completing TAFE diploma.		Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc).	occasions the touching advanced to actual sex pen. The victims were
			P, aged 10 yrs, was travelling as a	Ct 3: 12 mths imp (conc).	vulnerable having regard to their age. S was particularly vulnerable
		Employed computing field a	passenger seated in the front of	Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (conc).	due to her autism.
		number of yrs; past 19 yrs worked	Guagliardo's car. During the trip he put	TEG 2: ( '41 DID 1475)	
		as a labourer, delivery driver and	his hands on her inner thigh. He then touched and rubbed her vagina over her	TES 2 imp (cum with IND 1475).	At [67] Having regard to the max penalties for the offences, the
		storeman; sole financial provider; struggles financially.	clothing (ct 3).	TES 9 yrs 6 mths imp.	seriousness of the offending conduct (including the number of offences and the number of victims), the personal circumstances of the appellant
		struggles manerany.	cioning (ct 3).	TES 7 yrs 6 mins mip.	and the sentences imposed in broadly comparable cases, it is not
		Married 22 yrs; wife remains	Sometime later Guagliardo was with M.	EFP.	reasonably arguable that the TES imposed on IND 1475 was plainly
		supportive; two young children.	While she was sitting on the armrest of		unreasonable or unjust.
			a couch he told her he would massage	The trial judge found the offending very	
		Diagnosed and medicated for	her. During the massage he placed his	serious and not at the lowest or lower end of	At [69] In respect of the CEM offences committed by the appellant the
		ADHD from aged 12 yrs; suffers	hand under her underwear and around	the scale; the quantity of the CEM was	seriousness is reflected in the very large number of images and videos,
		chronic fatigue; gall bladder	her genital area, without touching it. He	significant; some of the material was classified in the worst category, including	the period of time over which the material was collected and the nature of that material. It included numerous images and videos in the most
		issues; abdominal pain; migraines; anxiety and	then touched her just above the clitoris.  M asked him to stop, but he continued.	material that displayed a significant level of	of that material. It included numerous images and videos in the most serious categories. Whilst there was no evidence that [he] had engaged
	l	ingianos, annioty and	1.1 abaca min to stop, out no continued.	material that displayed a significant level of	sorrous entegories. Trimist there was no evidence that [ne] had engaged

depression.

No issues with alcohol or illicit substance use.

(ct 4).

M got up and returned a short time later. Guagliardo again placed his hand under her underwear. She asked him to stop, but he did not do so. While his hands were inside her underwear he penetrated her labia with his fingers (ct 5).

R, aged between 7 and 9 yrs of age, was on her bed. Guagliardo offered to give her a massage and she agreed. He commenced massaging her, groping her breasts above her shirt. He stopped when R's mother came into the room (ct 6).

On another occasion, R, aged 9-10 yrs of age, was sitting next to Guagliardo. She agreed to a massage. When he commenced doing so she told him to stop, but he continued. He grabbed R's breasts under her shirt (ct 7) then moved down towards her hips. She again told him to stop but he continued. Guagliardo then put his hands in her pants and started rubbing her vaginal area (ct 8).

S, aged 7 yrs, has autism. She suffered from stomach pains. In consultation with her mother Guagliardo would sometimes massage her stomach to relieve her pain. On one occasion he was massaging her he put his fingers inside her vagina, causing her pain (ct 9). On another occasion he kissed and licked her vulva (ct 10).

#### IND 2189

On the investigation of Guagliardo in relation to allegations of sexual offending, his mobile telephone, and a number of his computer devices were seized. His mobile phone and three of the devices were found to contain CEM at Cat 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5. The material depicted children in the 8-13 yr age category engaging in sexual activity. The total number of images was 35,435

perversion or debauchery; the appellant committed the offending over a lengthy period, being a three-yr-period of consistent interaction with CEM files; the material was downloaded on numerous occasions and he copied it across other devices, indicative of a person with a real and significant interest in CEM; the children involved were vulnerable and he preyed on that vulnerability in order to take possession of the CEM; the offending ended only when the CEM was seized, it was not a case of him voluntarily desisting.

Offending profound emotional and psychological effects on the victims; all required counselling to cope with the effects of the offending.

Appellant continued to deny the offending; no demonstrated remorse; real risk of reoffending; guarded prospects of rehabilitation.

in this activity for commercial reward, the factors referred to place this into a serious category of offending of this type.

At [75] Having regard to the max penalty for the offence of poss of CEM, the seriousness of the offending conduct in this case (including the number of images and the nature of those images), the personal circumstances of the appellant and sentences imposed in broadly comparable cases, it is not reasonably arguable that the sentence ... on ct 4 on IND 2189 was manifestly excessive.

At [76] As to whether the overall TES of 9 yrs and 6 mths imp infringed the first limb of the totality principle, the offending on both indictments occurred within the same time period but involved separate and distinct conduct. In the circumstances cum sentences were appropriate, ...

		I	and 323 videos.		
			and 323 videos.		
			When spoken to by police Guagliardo denied the offending.		
11	Th. C. C.	40.42	Ch. 1 2 0 5. T 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Ct. 1. 10 miles in . /	A11 4
11.	The State of Western Australia	40-42 yrs at time offending.	Cts 1-3 & 5: Indec deal child U13 yrs.	Ct 1: 18 mths imp (cum).	Allowed.
	v THN	45 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 4: Sex pen child U13 yrs.	Ct 2: 15 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 12 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned length of individual sentence (ct 4) and totality
	VIIIIV	Convicted after trial.	Charges not representative of the	Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (cum).	principle.
	[2023] WASCA 18	Convicted after trial.	totality of THN's sexual offending	Ct 5: 18 mths imp (cum).	principle.
		Prior criminal history.	against A and B, and do not represent	et 3. To mais imp (com).	Resentenced:
	Delivered	1101 0111111111111111111111111111111111	isolated incidents.	TES 3 yrs 6 mths imp.	
	02/02//2023	Raised in loving and supportive		r	Ct 1: 18 mths imp (cum).
		family; close relationship with	The victims, two sisters A and B were	TES.	Ct 2: 15 mths imp (conc).
		siblings and other family	aged 10-11 yrs and 5-6 yrs respectively.		Ct 3: 12 mths imp (conc).
		members; family supportive.		The trial judge found significant aggravating	Ct 4: 4 yrs imp (cum).
			THN was a close and long-time friend	features in the respondent's offending; the	Ct 5: 18 mths imp (conc).
		Living and caring for mother with	of A and B's mother. When she	victims were vulnerable young children; he	
		various health issues.	separated from her husband THN began	held a privileged and entrusted role in the	TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.
			staying most weekends at the family	victims lives and the offences occurred in	
		Commenced, did not complete, yr	home. A and B regarded him as their	their own home; there was a significant age	EFP.
		10.	uncle.	difference and power disparity between him	A ( [40] I
		Ctable and leave and blade man	Dl-uu h-uh-dh-u TIINI	and each of the victims; there was an element	At [49] In our view, having regard to the serious nature of the
		Stable employment history;	B was alone on her bed when THN	of psychological coercion and grooming; it	offending charged in ct 4 the limited mitigating factors; and all
		various vocations; lost current role on conviction of current	entered the room. She told him to leave.	was persistent and sustained over time and	relevant sentencing principles, the sentence imposed after trial for ct
		offences.	He ignored her and put his fingers inside her underwear and touched her	included multiple and distinct offending behaviour and he exploited the vulnerability	4 (which represents only 10% of the max penalty) is unreasonable or plainly unjust
		offences.	anal area (ct 1). On another occasion B	of the immature victims for his own selfish	planify diljust
		Divorced; negatively impacted by	was lying on a bed he put his fingers	sexual gratification.	At [51] The TES imposed was less than the sentence which we
		breakdown of next relationship;	inside her underwear and rubbed his	Sexual gratification.	would regard as commensurate with the seriousness of the offence
		suffered depression and att	fingers on her vagina (ct 5).	The trial judge found the offending in ct 4 not	
		suicide.	imgers on her yagma (et e).	isolated, but rather part of (albeit an	respondent offended on multiple separate occasions against two
			Almost every weekend THN would	escalation of) a persistent course of conduct;	complainants requires some accumulation of the sentences in order for
		Abstained sexual behaviour time	regularly touch A's vagina. On one	it was accompanied by a threat of more	the TES to reflect the overall criminality involved in all of the
		of offending on belief suffering	occasion penetrating her vagina with his		offending
		STD; later testing indicated he	finger (cts 2-4).	punishment if she did not comply.	
		had not contracted the disease.			
				Offending devasting psychological impact on	
		Diagnosed with ADHD in high		victims.	
		school; various health issues;			
		kidney disease; four heart attacks;		Respondent not remorseful; continues to deny	
		first aged 21 yrs; heart surgery.		offending; no demonstrated insight or	
				acceptance of responsibility; no participating	
		Alcohol abuse and recreational		in sex offenders' treatment programs while in	
		illicit drugs use in teens; largely		custody.	
		abstained from drinking from 21 yrs; daily cannabis use from 17			
		yrs; daily cannabis use from 17			
10.	Newton v The	31-34 yrs at time offending.	Cts 1; 28; 30; 33; 35; 37 & 39: Indec	Cts 1; 28; 30; 33; 37 & 39: 18 mths imp	Dismissed.
100	-101110111 7 2110	22 2 1 jib at tillio offolionig.	5.5. 1, 20, 50, 55, 55, 57 & 57. Indee	2.5. 1, 20, 50, 55, 57 & 57. 10 multi mip	

State of V	Western	36 yrs at time sentencing.	deal child U13 yrs.	(conc).	
Australia		50 yrs at time senteneng.	Cts 2-6; 9; 10; 14; 16; 20; 22; 24 & 26:	Ct 2: 5 yrs imp.	Appeal concerned length of sentence (individual sentences not
11usii uiiu	u	Convicted after PG (25%	Sex pen child U13 yrs.	Cts 3; 4 & 20: 5 yrs imp (conc).	challenged).
[2023] W	VASCA 7	discount).	Cts 7; 8, 11-13; 15; 17-19; 21; 23; 25;	Ct 5: 4 yrs imp (cum).	chancinged).
[2023] **	VADCA	discount).	27; 29; 31; 32; 34; 36; 38 & 40: Indec	Cts 6; 7; 9; 11-13; 15; 17-19; 21; 23; 25; 27;	At [7] While we accept that the TES imposed on the appellant was
Delivered	d	No prior criminal history.	recording child U13 yrs.	29; 31; 32; 34; 36; 38; 40 & 42: 12 mths imp	certainly high, and at the upper end of the range of sentences
17/01//20		No prior criminal history.	Cts 41 & 42: Poss CEM.	(conc).	customarily imposed following pleas of guilty for offending of this
17/01//20	023	Only shild from parents' union	Ct 43: Fail to obey data access order.	Cts 8 & 35: 12 mths imp (cum).	type, we are not satisfied that the TES was so high as to manifest
		Only child from parents' union;	Ct 45. Fall to obey data access order.	1 \ /	
		three older half-siblings; parents	Newton was a close friend of the	Cts 10; 14; 16; 26; 22 & 24: 4 yrs imp (conc).	error. The sexual offending involved a high degree of criminality
		profoundly deaf; mother suffering		Ct 41: 15 mths imp (conc).	and the fact that he recorded the offending, for his own gratification,
		cancer time of sentencing.	victim's mother and her stepfather.	Ct 43: 3 mths imp (cum).	distinguished his offending from a number of the previous cases relied
		Left asheal well. TAEE attackers	Over a period of about four and a half	TES 12 runs 6 meths imm	upon by him. The offending, as a whole, called for a very substantial
		Left school yr 11; TAFE studies;	yrs Newton repeatedly sexually abused	TES 12 yrs 6 mths imp.	term of imp and we are not satisfied that the learned sentencing judge
		university degree.	the victim from when she was eight yrs	EED	erred in imposing the sentence that she did.
			old.	EFP.	
		Employed various roles; most			At [63] The sentence was certainly severe. It nevertheless fits
		recent work ceased following	The sexual activity occurred in a	The sentencing judge found the offending	broadly within the range of sentences imposed for offending of this
		charges.	caravan occupied by Newton and at	serious; the victim was very young; the	type, and the present case had a number of particular features not
		N	another address at which he resided.	significant age disparity between her and the	present in many of the authorities.
		Number of short-term		appellant; the gross breach of trust; the	
		relationships; no significant	The offences involved the penetration	persistence of the offending and the fact the	At [64] the offending itself was very serious. In particular it
		unions since aged 20 yrs.	of the victim's vagina with his penis.	appellant recorded much of it.	involved four distinct categories of offending, the presence of which
			He also penetrated her mouth and	_ ( \ \	called for accumulation of terms of imp, thus increasing the TES. The
		History of cannabis and alcohol	vagina with his penis and took	The sentencing judge found the CEM material	presence of these additional categories serves to distinguish the presen
		use.	photographs of the offending.	in the appellant's poss included material in	case from many of the cases on which the appellant relied.
				the more serious category of CEM.	
			On other occasions Newton took		At [65] the sexual offending against the victim was itself very
			photographs standing naked over the	Accepting of responsibility; evidence of	serious, given the victim's young age, the significant age disparity
			victim, while her legs were in the air	remorse; average risk of reoffending.	between the appellant and the victim, the gross breach of trust for his
			and his penis was pointed toward her		own sexual gratification and the significant period over which and
			vagina and while the victim was		numerous (18) occasions on which the offending occurred. The
					<u> </u>
			kneeling in front of his erect penis.		seriously damaging effects on the victim the appellant persistently
					1
			On the execution of a SW at Newton's		seriously damaging effects on the victim the appellant persistently
					seriously damaging effects on the victim the appellant persistently and callously treated the victim as a sexual plaything for his sexual
			On the execution of a SW at Newton's address, a computer and hard drive were located, which later revealed 11,009		seriously damaging effects on the victim the appellant persistently and callously treated the victim as a sexual plaything for his sexual gratification.  At [66] the offending included recording and retaining
			On the execution of a SW at Newton's address, a computer and hard drive were		seriously damaging effects on the victim the appellant persistently and callously treated the victim as a sexual plaything for his sexual gratification.  At [66] the offending included recording and retaining
			On the execution of a SW at Newton's address, a computer and hard drive were located, which later revealed 11,009		seriously damaging effects on the victim the appellant persistently and callously treated the victim as a sexual plaything for his sexual gratification.  At [66] the offending included recording and retaining photographs of his offending on the victim. That conduct contributed substantially to [his] overall criminality. [He] recorded his abuse of the
			On the execution of a SW at Newton's address, a computer and hard drive were located, which later revealed 11,009		seriously damaging effects on the victim the appellant persistently and callously treated the victim as a sexual plaything for his sexual gratification.  At [66] the offending included recording and retaining photographs of his offending on the victim. That conduct contributed
			On the execution of a SW at Newton's address, a computer and hard drive were located, which later revealed 11,009 images or videos of CEM material.		seriously damaging effects on the victim the appellant persistently and callously treated the victim as a sexual plaything for his sexual gratification.  At [66] the offending included recording and retaining photographs of his offending on the victim. That conduct contributed substantially to [his] overall criminality. [He] recorded his abuse of the victim for his own sexual gratification, in essence to extend and
			On the execution of a SW at Newton's address, a computer and hard drive were located, which later revealed 11,009 images or videos of CEM material.  Six comic books depicting children		seriously damaging effects on the victim the appellant persistently and callously treated the victim as a sexual plaything for his sexual gratification.  At [66] the offending included recording and retaining photographs of his offending on the victim. That conduct contributed substantially to [his] overall criminality. [He] recorded his abuse of the victim for his own sexual gratification, in essence to extend and prolong his gratification from abusing the victim into the future. In this
			On the execution of a SW at Newton's address, a computer and hard drive were located, which later revealed 11,009 images or videos of CEM material.  Six comic books depicting children engaged in sexual poses or activities		seriously damaging effects on the victim the appellant persistently and callously treated the victim as a sexual plaything for his sexual gratification.  At [66] the offending included recording and retaining photographs of his offending on the victim. That conduct contributed substantially to [his] overall criminality. [He] recorded his abuse of the victim for his own sexual gratification, in essence to extend and prolong his gratification from abusing the victim into the future. In this
			On the execution of a SW at Newton's address, a computer and hard drive were located, which later revealed 11,009 images or videos of CEM material.  Six comic books depicting children engaged in sexual poses or activities		seriously damaging effects on the victim the appellant persistently and callously treated the victim as a sexual plaything for his sexual gratification.  At [66] the offending included recording and retaining photographs of his offending on the victim. That conduct contributed substantially to [his] overall criminality. [He] recorded his abuse of th victim for his own sexual gratification, in essence to extend and prolong his gratification from abusing the victim into the future. In this way, the victim could be said to have been re-victimised each time [he
			On the execution of a SW at Newton's address, a computer and hard drive were located, which later revealed 11,009 images or videos of CEM material.  Six comic books depicting children engaged in sexual poses or activities were also found.		seriously damaging effects on the victim the appellant persistently and callously treated the victim as a sexual plaything for his sexual gratification.  At [66] the offending included recording and retaining photographs of his offending on the victim. That conduct contributed substantially to [his] overall criminality. [He] recorded his abuse of th victim for his own sexual gratification, in essence to extend and prolong his gratification from abusing the victim into the future. In thi way, the victim could be said to have been re-victimised each time [he viewed, and used, those images for his sexual gratification.
			On the execution of a SW at Newton's address, a computer and hard drive were located, which later revealed 11,009 images or videos of CEM material.  Six comic books depicting children engaged in sexual poses or activities were also found.  Also located was Newton's tablet		seriously damaging effects on the victim the appellant persistently and callously treated the victim as a sexual plaything for his sexual gratification.  At [66] the offending included recording and retaining photographs of his offending on the victim. That conduct contributed substantially to [his] overall criminality. [He] recorded his abuse of th victim for his own sexual gratification, in essence to extend and prolong his gratification from abusing the victim into the future. In this way, the victim could be said to have been re-victimised each time [he viewed, and used, those images for his sexual gratification.
			On the execution of a SW at Newton's address, a computer and hard drive were located, which later revealed 11,009 images or videos of CEM material.  Six comic books depicting children engaged in sexual poses or activities were also found.  Also located was Newton's tablet device, for which he refused to provide		seriously damaging effects on the victim the appellant persistently and callously treated the victim as a sexual plaything for his sexual gratification.  At [66] the offending included recording and retaining photographs of his offending on the victim. That conduct contributed substantially to [his] overall criminality. [He] recorded his abuse of the victim for his own sexual gratification, in essence to extend and prolong his gratification from abusing the victim into the future. In this way, the victim could be said to have been re-victimised each time [he viewed, and used, those images for his sexual gratification.  At [67] the very significant quantity of CEM in the appellant's possible.
			On the execution of a SW at Newton's address, a computer and hard drive were located, which later revealed 11,009 images or videos of CEM material.  Six comic books depicting children engaged in sexual poses or activities were also found.  Also located was Newton's tablet device, for which he refused to provide		seriously damaging effects on the victim the appellant persistently and callously treated the victim as a sexual plaything for his sexual gratification.  At [66] the offending included recording and retaining photographs of his offending on the victim. That conduct contributed substantially to [his] overall criminality. [He] recorded his abuse of the victim for his own sexual gratification, in essence to extend and prolong his gratification from abusing the victim into the future. In this way, the victim could be said to have been re-victimised each time [he viewed, and used, those images for his sexual gratification.  At [67] the very significant quantity of CEM in the appellant's possible called for a further increase in the TES.
			On the execution of a SW at Newton's address, a computer and hard drive were located, which later revealed 11,009 images or videos of CEM material.  Six comic books depicting children engaged in sexual poses or activities were also found.  Also located was Newton's tablet device, for which he refused to provide		seriously damaging effects on the victim the appellant persistently and callously treated the victim as a sexual plaything for his sexual gratification.  At [66] the offending included recording and retaining photographs of his offending on the victim. That conduct contributed substantially to [his] overall criminality. [He] recorded his abuse of th victim for his own sexual gratification, in essence to extend and prolong his gratification from abusing the victim into the future. In this way, the victim could be said to have been re-victimised each time [he viewed, and used, those images for his sexual gratification.  At [67] the very significant quantity of CEM in the appellant's possible.
XMB v T	The State	58 yrs at time offending.	On the execution of a SW at Newton's address, a computer and hard drive were located, which later revealed 11,009 images or videos of CEM material.  Six comic books depicting children engaged in sexual poses or activities were also found.  Also located was Newton's tablet device, for which he refused to provide	Cts 1 & 9: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	seriously damaging effects on the victim the appellant persistently and callously treated the victim as a sexual plaything for his sexual gratification.  At [66] the offending included recording and retaining photographs of his offending on the victim. That conduct contributed substantially to [his] overall criminality. [He] recorded his abuse of th victim for his own sexual gratification, in essence to extend and prolong his gratification from abusing the victim into the future. In thi way, the victim could be said to have been re-victimised each time [he viewed, and used, those images for his sexual gratification.  At [67] the very significant quantity of CEM in the appellant's possible called for a further increase in the TES.  At [68] As this Court has recognised, a cum sentence will often

Australia		Cts 5; 7; 8 & 11-13: Indec deal child	Cts 5; 8 & 12: 18 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned length of sentence after retrial.
[2022] XVA CCA 4	Convicted after retrial.	U13 yrs.	Cts 7 & 11: 12 mths imp (cum).	A4 FC71 The affective in this case had a small an affect wiffice at
[2023] WASCA 4	No prior criminal history.	XMB lived with his son, who had two	Ct 13: 12 mths imp (conc).	At [67] The offending in this case had a number of significant aggravating features, including the age disparity, the breach of trust,
Delivered	No prior criminar instory.	children from a former relationship. A	TES 9 yrs imp.	the persistence of the offending and the use of grooming and threats to
05/01//2023	Born NZ; child when witnessed	daughter, C, and a son, X. The	126 / yrs imp.	ensure compliance and silence, the fact that there were two victim
	father's suicide.	children's mother had another	The sentencing judge found the offending	was also an important consideration.
		relationship with a man who had a	serious involving a high level of criminality;	
	Very strong work history;	daughter, M, of similar age to C.	agg by the young age of the victims; the large	At [73] Having regard to all relevant circumstances and sentencing
	employed since aged 13 yrs.		age disparity between the appellant and the	factors, including the number and circ of the offences, involving two
		C and X are therefore XMB's biological	victims; it occurred over an extended period	victims, taken together with the max penalties and the sentences
	Two significant relationships; commenced alcohol use	grandchildren and C and M stepsisters.	of time; the appellant groomed each of the	imposed in comparable cases, in our respectful view, even giving full
	breakdown of second relationship.	The offences were not isolated	victims and engaged in increasingly more serious offences against them; he provided the	weight to the mitigating factors in the appellant's favour, the first sentence was manifestly inadequate. We are satisfied that the
	breakdown or second relationship.	instances, they occurred during	victims with treats, consistent with masking	sentence was mannestry madequate. We are satisfied that the sentencing judge was correct to conclude that the original sentence of
		weekend visits over a period just short	what he was doing; and there were other	6 yrs and 6 mths' imp was manifestly inadequate in that it was not a
		of 19 mths. At the time the victims, C	uncharged acts.	proper reflection of the total criminal conduct, notwithstanding the
		and M, were between 8-9 yrs of age and		appellant's personal circumstances.
		between 8-10 yrs respectively.	Offending substantial impact on both victims.	
		WMD 1: 1 did di C	N 11 C	
		XMB engaged in sexual activity with C.	No evidence of remorse.	
		It involved digital pen, fellatio and cunnilingus. XMB also made C	00	
		masturbate him and there was an	Ç >	
		incident he masturbated in her presence.		
		The offences against M also involved		
		XMB digitally penetrating her. On one	O'	
		occasion he exposed his erect penis to		
		M and invited her to touch it. She		
		refused.		
CDL v The State	53-57 yrs at time offending.	Cts 1-3: Persistently engaged in sexual	Ct 1: 4 yrs imp (conc).	Dismissed (leave refused) – on papers.
CDL v The State of Western	60 yrs at time sentencing.	conduct child U16 yrs.	Ct 2: 4 yrs imp (cum).	Distributed (1941) on papers.
Australia		Cts 4-6 & 8: Produced CEM.	Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).	Appeal concerned totality principle.
	Convicted after trial (cts 1-6 & 8).	Ct 9: Poss CEM.	Ct 4: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	
[2022] WASCA 18	•		Ct 5: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	At [74] Without question, the offending was very serious. The
- · ·	(5% discount).	CDL maintained contact with his ex-	Ct 6: 2 yrs 4 mths imp (conc).	appellant committed offences in respect of four victims, all of whom
Delivered	N	wife who had re-married and given	Ct 8: 6 mths imp (cum).	were very young and highly vulnerable. B was a toddler. E and C were
18/02/2022	No prior criminal history.	birth to triplets. He would often look after the triplets and, on occasions, he	Ct 9: 12 mths imp (cum).	each young girls, The appellant took advantage of the relationships that he had with their mothers to sexually abuse the victims. The
	Born and raised in WA; good	took them on outings. CDL offended	TES 12 yrs 6 mths imp.	offending against E and C occurred over about a yr in the case of E and
	childhood; siblings with whom he	against two of the triplets, E and C, over		over a period of yrs in the case of C. While the offending in relation to
	still maintains contact.	a period of yrs.	EFP.	B occurred over a much shorter period and was less physically
				invasive, having regard to B's age and all the circumstances of the
	Educated to yr 12; did well at	Later CDL met and befriended B and	The trial judge found the offending against E,	offending, it involved a high degree of criminality.
	school.	M's mother. He would babysit the	C and B demonstrated a high degree of	
		children.	perversion or deviance on the appellant's part;	
	Employed variety of occupations.	The victim E	E, C and B were very young and could not	cts 1, 2 and 3, he recorded what he had done The only reasonable
		The victim, E, was aged between 6 and	have been more vulnerable; the offending was	inference that can be drawn from the appellant's recording of the

	T			T	
		Divorced; son from union.	8 yrs of age; the victim, C, was aged	brazen; there was 'a considerable age	material is that he wished to watch it in the future for his sexual
			between 6 and 10 yrs of age; the victim,	difference' between the appellant and each of	gratification. In addition, the appellant committed the offence against
		Involved in children's sport.	M, was aged 11 or 12 yrs of age and the	the victims; he was in a position of trust and	M and was found in poss of a very substantial amount of CEM
		_	victim B, was a toddler, aged 22 mths.	authority and the offending involved a	Some accumulation was required having regard to the number of
		Diabetic; experiences sciatic back		significant abuse of trust; he repeatedly used	victims and offences committed by the appellant, to the seriousness of
		pain following work injury.	CDL indec dealt with E, C, B and M.	the opportunity to look after the children to	the offences and to her Honour's reduction of the individual sentences
		pain rone wing work injury.	He made video recordings of E on 80	sexually abuse them; the offending was	for cts 1, 2, 3, 8 and 9 in applying the totality principle
		No issues with alcohol or illicit	separate occasions; C on 71 separate	repetitious and, in the case of E and C,	for ets 1, 2, 3, 6 and 7 in applying the totality principle
		drugs.	occasions and B on 30 separate	occurred on many occasions over a period of	
		drugs.	occasions.	yrs; the offending against B was limited to	
			occasions.		
			The shares in many 4 of E. C. and D. and	four occasions in the space of a matter of wks.	
			The charges in respect of E, C and B are		
			representative of the appellant's	No expressions of remorse and no effort made	
			offending behaviour.	towards rehabilitation.	
			CDL video recorded some of the		
			offences he committed against E, C, B		
			and M. The CEM he produced was	· C · Y	
			classified at Cat 1 to 3.	110	
				c Pilolic P	
			A number of computer devices were		
			seized from CDL's home. They		
			contained 26,425 videos and images of		
			children aged under 1 yr to approx 10	X Y	
			yrs of age. Cat 1: 893 videos and 21,260		
			images; Cat 2: 109 videos and 204		
			images; Cat 3: 111 videos and 1,237		
			images; Cat 4: 731 videos and 1,418	) <sup>'</sup>	
			and in Cat 5: 178 videos and 328		
			images.		
			· · · · · ·		
7.	LNV v The State	57 yrs time sentencing.	Ct 1: Indec dealings with child U13 yrs.	Ct 1: 12 mths imp (conc).	Dismissed (leave refused).
	of Western		Ct 3: Indec dealings with child U13 yrs.	Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc).	
	Australia	Convicted after trial.	Ct 4: Sex pen of a child U13 yrs.	Ct 3: 2 yrs imp (cu,).	Appeal concerned totality principle.
		3.2	2 21 0		rr Province Community Province
	[2021] WASCA	Minor prior criminal history.	LNV was in a relationship with the	TES 2 yrs imp. EFP.	At [54] They are self-evidently serious offences There was very
	203	F F	mother of the victim, JR, a male aged 8	y	little that could be said in mitigation the TES of 2 yrs' imp was
		Born Italy; raised in Australia	yrs.	Cum with two earlier terms of imp totalling	plainly an appropriate reflection of the appellant's overall criminality
	Delivered	from aged 3 yrs; good upbringing.	,,,,,	14 yrs 6 mths.	and could not, arguably, be said to infringe either limb of the totality
	02/12/2021	nom agea o jis, good uporniging.	On two separate occasions during the	1. 310 0 mmio.	principle.
		Left school aged 16 yrs;	relationship LNV sexually abused JR	EFP after 14 yrs 6 mths imp.	Principle.
		employed various roles;	while he was in his mother's bedroom	area 1. Jio o mano mip.	At [55] The offences against JR were separate and distinct in nature
		unemployed prior to offending.	on the bed watching television.	The trial judge found the offending 'serious'	and warranted, in our view, additional punishment. To do otherwise
		anemployed prior to offending.	on the bod watering television.	and a significant breach of trust against a	would be to fail to reflect the serious and additional criminality
		Divorced; three adult children.	On the first occasion LNV placed his	particularly vulnerable victim, given his youth	involved in this offending and would result in a TES that would not
		Divorced, tillee addit cillidiell.	hand over JR's clothing and onto JR's	and the presence of a deviant sexual interest	properly reflect all of what the appellant did. Nor would it have
		Suffering depression at time			
i		Suffering depression at time	genital area and squeezed his penis (ct	in children, together with a proven	properly recognised the serious harm done to the victim.
		offending; death of family	1).	willingness to commit crimes fuelled by	

		member and father's ill health.		hatred, gives rise to concerns about public	At [56] It is unarguable that, had the appellant been sentenced for
			On the second occasion, LNV lay near	protection.	the offences he committed against JR and was not subject to the other
		Good physical health.	JR, pulled down JR's pants and placed		sentences, he would have received a substantially higher TES.
			his hand on his genital area over the top	The trial judge found some additional	
		Regular user of cannabis since his	of his underwear and rubbed and	punishment was required to reflect the	At [59] We do not regard the TES of 16 yrs 7 mths' imp as being
		youth; occasional use of methyl.	slapped JR's penis (ct 3).	appellant's wrongdoing towards JR and that	crushing as that term is understood
			When JR rolled over and under the	any additional punishment would be moderate	5
			blankets LNV then inserted his finger	because of the lengthy sentence he was	
			into JR's anus, underneath his clothing	already serving.	
			(ct 4). This hurt JR.		
				Counselling and treatment undertaken during	
				4 ½ yrs in custody; but no meaningful steps	
				made towards rehabilitation; continues to	
				deny any sexual interest in children; no victim	
				empathy or insight into his offending.	
	CAI w The State of	41 yrs at time sentencing.	IND 673	IND 673	Dismissed (leave refused - plea discount).
6.	SAL v The State of Western Australia	41 yrs at time sentencing.	2 x Indec recorded a child U13 yrs.	9 yrs imp, cum.	Dismissed (leave ferused - piea discount).
	Western Australia	IND 673	12 x Sex pen of a child U13 yrs.	9 yrs mp, cum.	Appeal concerned plea discount and totality principle.
	[2021] WASCA	Convicted after PG (15%	3 x Indec dealings with a child U13 yrs.	IND 469	Appear concerned pica discount and totality principle.
	192	discount).	3 x mace dealings with a clinic 013 yis.	13 yrs imp, cum.	At [101] Although it may be accepted that the appellant's childhood
	1,72	IND 469	IND 469	13 yrs mp, cum.	deprivation and, in particular, the sexual abuse she suffered, has had an
	Delivered	Convicted after PG (10%	17 x Indec dealings with a child U13	IND 625	adverse psychological effect upon her and, perhaps, damaged her
	16/11/2021	discount).	yrs.	6 yrs imp.	personality and her ability to properly parent her children, it did not
		IND 625	13 x Sex pen of a child U13 yrs.		diminish her ability to know that to perpetrate childhood sexual abuse
		Convicted after late PG (5%	4 x Procured a child U13 yrs to do indec	TES 28 yrs imp. EFP.	upon the victims in this case was morally wrong, and thus did not
		discount).	act.		diminish her moral culpability for the offending.
			3 x Encouraged a child U13 to engage	The sentencing judge found the circumstances	
		Minor prior criminal history.	in sexual behaviour.	of the offending 'truly unique'; almost the	At [103] any diminution in the appellant's moral culpability is well
			3 x Stupefying in order to commit	worst imaginable and in a class of their own;	and truly outweighed by the prodigious, deliberate, planned and
		Dysfunctional and traumatic	indictable offence.	the offending was 'shocking' and 'one of the	systematic offending she engaged in.
		background; victim of child	1 x Procured a child U13 yrs to engage	most serious examples of sex offending	
		sexual abuse; ward of State aged	in sexual behaviour.	within a family to have come before a court in	
		14 yrs.	2 x Engaged in conduct knowing it may	this State'.	673 was unreasonable or plainly unjust. It was not manifestly
			result in a child suffering harm as a		inadequate.
		Left school yr 9.	result of sexual abuse (while under her	The sentencing judge found the appellant and	A. 51201 V
		F 1 1 ' 1'11 1	care and control).	W engaged in conduct that was both	At [128] Having regard to all circumstances relevant to IND 469,
		Employed various unskilled	IND 625	perverted and born out of a warped sense of	we have not been persuaded that a reduction of 10% was unreasonable
		occupations; worked		desire for carnal lust without any regard whatever to the victims and in doing so had	or plainly unjust. It was not manifestly inadequate.
		intermittently as a sex worker, encouraged or pressured to do so	2 x Stupefying in order to commit indictable offence.	stolen the victims' innocence.	At [120] [120] The appellant entered has DC (in respect of IND)
		by W.	7 x Sex pen of a child 13-16 yrs.	Storen the victims innocence.	At [129]-[130] The appellant entered her PG [in respect of IND 625] at a late stage in the proceedings, after the matter had been set
		by II.	2 x Encouraged a child 13-16 yrs to	The sentencing judge found the offending was	
		Three long-term relationships	engage in sexual behaviour.	aggravated by the fact the appellant was the	regard to all of the circumstances, the reduction of 5% was not
		commencing aged 14-15 yrs		biological mother of two of the victims,	unreasonable plainly unjust. It was not manifestly inadequate.
		marred by physical and sexual	The victims of the offending the subject	whose duty was to protect and nurture them;	
		violence.	of IND 673 and IND 469 were SAL's	the offending constituted 'enormous	At [153] The seriousness of the appellant's offending is self-evident. It
			natural daughter and son, who were	breaches' of the mother/child relationship and	involves such a high level of overall criminality that its seriousness can
		Satisfactory physical health;	both U13 yrs at the time of offending.	she delivered the children into the hands of	hardly be overstated. The offending taken as a whole is, having regard
L		history of self-harm; suicidal	This offending was committed over a	other adult offenders; the offences were	to other cases that have come before this court, the worst we have seen.

throughs; chronic symptoms of depression and anxiety; medicated.

Commenced using cannabis aged 10 yrs; alcohol from age 12 yrs; methyl from aged 28 yrs.

period spanning between 2011 and 2015.

The victim of the offences the subject of IND 625 was DMC, who was a female aged 13-14 yrs. These offences were committed in one prolonged incident in 2011.

SAL's partner, W, and their friend, Mr Coulter, were co-offenders in respect of the above offending.

#### IND 673

All offences occurred on the same day and involved SAL's daughter, who had just turned 8 yrs old. They were committed by SAL, together with W and Mr Coulter.

At various stages during the offending SAL said and did things designed to secure the child's cooperation and normalise the behaviour.

The offences were recorded and disseminated and came to light when a memory card containing the video footage was found and handed to police.

The three victims were subsequently interviewed and disclosed the offending the subject of IND 469 and IND 625.

#### IND 469

These offences involved SAL's daughter and son, then aged as young as 4 yrs.

The victims were shown pornographic movies of sexual activity involving children and adults; some of the offending involved the use of a vibrator.

During some of the offending SAL's daughter, and on at least one occasion her son, were administered the drug methyl by having them smoke a pipe.

Some of the sexual activity was filmed,

depraved and perverted and in order to commit the offences she administered a stupefying drug,

At [154] The appellant's role in the offending was as an active participant, a facilitator and an aider of her co-offenders. The appellant was not an unwilling or unwitting participant. To the contrary, she actively encouraged her own children to participate in their abuse and normalised it. [Her children] were completely and utterly vulnerable. They were made available to other adults, both men and women, to sexually abuse. The offences were in no way isolated. They were repeated. ...

At [155] ... We note the appellant's use of stupefying substances and the high degree of perversion and deviancy frequently employed in the commission of the offences. ... The SD memory card, which was discovered some yrs after the offending, gives rise in [the victim] that the recording has been distributed to others. The possibility of her being re-victimised in the future by the distribution of the recording remains.

At [156] The seriousness of the offending against DMC must not be overlooked. The appellant groomed DMC [and she was] provided with methyl and sex pen on multiple occasions by the appellant and W over an extended period of time.

At [166] ... We recognise the appellant had a dysfunctional upbringing, including the childhood sexual abuse ... However, having regard to the sheer magnitude and seriousness of the crimes committed by the appellant and the need for proper punishment, denunciation and general and specific deterrence, very little weight can be given to those personal circumstances. ...

At [167] ... The offending the subject of the three indictments was so serious and the mitigating factors so few, that, ..., we remain unpersuaded that the first limb of the totality principle has been infringed.

			T	т	
			but the footage has not been recovered.		
			IND 625 DMC was good friends with one of W's children and she would regularly visit SAL and W's home. She became close with SAL.		
			When DMC was 13 or 14 yrs old SAL and W told her they had a surprise for her. They then injected her with methyl.		
			W, in the presence of SAL, then subjected DMC to numerous sexual acts that continued over an extended period of time. Some of the sexual activity caused her extreme pain and were accompanied by threats.	A) ji CP to section	
				010/1	
				\$ X	
5.	VRE v The State of Western	<ul><li>19 yrs at time offending.</li><li>23 yrs at time sentencing.</li></ul>	1 x Sex pen child U13 yrs.	18 mths imp.	Dismissed (leave refused).
	Australia		The victim, A, was 6-7 yrs old and was	EFP.	Appeal concerned type of sentence.
	[2021] WASCA	Convicted after trial.	VRE's stepsister.	The trial judge found, while the offending did	At [34] Contrary to the submission of the appellant, the present offence
	185	No prior criminal history.	At the time of the offending VRE and A	not involve physical threats, coercion or	was not 'so minor'. Such a characterisation fails to have regard to the
	Delivered	Difficult upbringing; bullied.	lived in the same house, along with VRE's mother and stepfather.	violence, the appellant engaged in 'serious offending'; he took advantage of a young and	very young age of the victim, her vulnerability and the impact of the offending upon her While perhaps opportunistic, it must be said
	19/10/2021			vulnerable victim who was his stepsister and	that the appellant plainly took advantage of his stepsister who, was
		Occasional contact with his mother; no contact with biological	Early in the day, VRE kissed and licked A in the mouth. Later that same day he	who was entitled to expect his protection.	entitled to the appellant's protection
		father; supportive grandmother.	removed A's clothes and, for a very brief period, he performed cunnilingus	The trial judge found prison would be more difficult for the appellant due to his language	At [35] We reject the proposition that the offending has had little effect upon the victim. It is clear that the offending has not been forgotten
		Completed yr 10 high school.	upon her.	disability; however a susp term of imp	by her and has adversely affected her wellbeing and happiness she
		Never worked; in receipt of		inappropriate given the nature, gravity and extent of the offending.	feels guilt for reporting what occurred and for the appellant's subsequent incarceration
		unemployment benefits at time sentencing.		Low risk of reoffending.	At [39] in our opinion, the sentence of 18 mths' immediate imp was
					a merciful sentence which properly took into account the mitigating
		Severe expressive language disorder.		Offending confusing and difficult on victim.	circumstances. The sentence is not unreasonable or plainly unjust.

4.	NE v The State of	53 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 1-3; 9-10 & 12: Indec deal child	Cts 1; 3 & 10: 18 mths imp (conc).	Dismissed.
	Western Australia	26-32 yrs at time offending.	U13 yrs.	Ct 2: 3 mths imp (cum).	
			Cts 4-5; 7-8 & 11: Sex pen child U13	Cts 4; 7; 8 & 12: 3 yrs imp (conc).	Appeal concerned totality principle.
	[2021] WASCA	Convicted after late PG (20%	yrs.	Ct 5: 3 yrs imp (cum).	
	172	discount).	Ct 6: Procured child U13 yrs to do	Cts 6 & 9: 2 yrs imp (conc).	At [57] The appellant's tetraplegia did not give him a license to engage
		,	indec act.	Ct 11: 5 yrs imp.	in a course of very serious child sexual offending without appropriate
	Delivered	Minor criminal history.		The state of the s	punishment
	17/09/2021		The cts on the ind representative of an	TES 8 yrs 3 mths imp.	
		Two siblings; lived with various	ongoing course of conduct over a period	r y v r r r r r	At [59] there are a number of features of the appellant's offending
		family members after death of his	of six yrs.	EFP.	which, even in light of his early PG, would ordinarily make a sentence
		mother aged 5 yrs; portion of his			in excess of 10 yrs appropriate. These include the very young age of
		childhood spent living in	The victim was NE's de facto daughter.	The sentencing judge found the offending agg	the victim, who was only about 6 yrs old when the abuse began, the
		children's homes and with foster	The sexual abuse commenced when she	by the appellant's repetitive, sustained and	persistence and nature of the offending, and the devastating effect
		families; no meaningful	was 6 yrs old and continued until she	persistent conduct; the gross breach of trust	which the offending had on the victim. The victim was also in a
		relationship with his father since	was 11 yrs old.	and the manipulation and grooming of a	particularly vulnerable position, even after the appellant and the
		mother's death.	, was 11 yrs star	young and vulnerable victim and subjecting	victim's mother separated In our view, the agg features of the
			NE is, and was at the time of the	her to a high level of psychological coercion	offending which the sentencing judge identified placed the offending
		Seriously injured motor vehicle	offending, a tetraplegic.	and, given his medical condition, she had to	in this case at the higher end of the range of seriousness of sexual
		accident aged 18 yrs; requires 16-		be an active physical participant in her own	offending against a single child complainant.
		18 hrs care a day; faces serious	Cts 1 & 2	abuse; the offending the subject of ct 12	
		health issues and future surgical	When the victim was about 6 yrs old	involved another child and the large age	At [60] We are not persuaded that the sentencing judge erred in
		intervention; physical health	NE asked her to select and watch a	disparity between him and the victim.	balancing the mitigating and agg factors in this case. To the contrary,
		continuing to deteriorate.	pornographic video with him. During		in our view, the TES imposed properly reflected the overall
			the video he got the victim to remove	The sentencing judge found prison would be	criminality involved in all of the appellant's offences viewed in their
		Not in a relationship at time	her underwear. He then placed his hand	more onerous for the appellant due to his	entirety, having regard to all of the circumstances of the case including
		sentencing; two sons with	on her vagina.	tetraplegia and ongoing deterioration of his	those personal to the appellant
		victim's mother; primary carer of	X	physical health; however the seriousness of	
		his children during their	Cts 3 & 4	the offending such that imp the only	
		childhood.	On another date, when the victim was	appropriate sentencing option.	
			aged about 7 yrs old, NE asked her to		
		Drug use when young.	put on a pornographic video depicting a	Remorseful and accepting of responsibility;	
			man performing cunnilingus on a	insight into his offending; negligible risk of	
			woman. He then told the victim to	reoffending.	
			remove her underwear and lay down on		
			a bench. He then positioned his	Continuing devastating impact on victim.	
			wheelchair alongside the bench and		
			performed cunnilingus on her.		
			<u>Ct 5</u>		
			NE was lying in bed when he asked the		
			victim, aged 8 yrs, to sit on his face.		
			The victim complied and he performed		
			cunnilingus on her.		
			<u>Ct 6</u>		
			On another occasion, when the victim		
			was 8 yrs old, NE told her to pull out a		
			vibrator and turn it on. On his		
			instructions she placed the vibrator on		

		the cyteide of her vesing	
		the outside of her vagina.	
		Cts 7 & 8 On another occasion, when the victim was 8 yrs old, NE asked her to look at his erect penis. He then told her to kiss his penis with her lips and put his penis in her mouth. She complied.	
		Cts 9 & 10 When the victim was 11 yrs old NE's relationship with her mother ended. She and her mother moved out of NE's home, but after a few wks she returned to live with NE.	
		The victim was sleeping on a mattress in NE's room when he asked her to come on the bed next to him. He then asked her to masturbate his penis, which she did. As she did so he rested his hand on her vagina.	
		Ct 11 NE's disability required him to wear a condom to hold the tubes of his urinary bag in place. It was changed regularly as part of his care. When the victim was 11 yrs old NE asked the victim to remove the condom. He then asked her to sit on his penis and put it into her vagina as far as she could without it hurting. The victim complied.	
		Ct 12 The victim was 11 yrs old when she and a friend went to NE's house. The victim's friend was asked and encouraged to change NE's condom while the victim instructed her how to do it. In order to remove the condom	
	O <sup>S</sup>	NE's penis needed to be erect, so the victim told her friend how to do that.  They both then played with his penis until it became erect.	
3.	YNT v The State of Western Australia 59 yrs at time sentencing.	2 x Sex pen child U13 yrs (digital pen). Ct 1: 2 yrs imp (cum). Ct 2: 4 yrs imp (cum).	Dismissed.
	Convicted after trial.	The victim was the granddaughter of	Appeal concerned length of individual sentences and totality principle.
	[2021] WASCA 89 No prior criminal history.	YNT's de facto partner. She was aged 10-11 yrs old and, at the time of the	At [209] there were a number of seriously aggravating features of
	1 to prior criminal history.	10 11 y15 old and, at the time of the	The [207] there were a number of seriously aggravating reatures of

	Delivered 27/05/2021	Good worth ethic; series of long-term steady employment; FIFO worker at time offending.  Dysfunctional home life; suffering depression; living with an alcoholic at time offending; unexpected breakdown of his first marriage.	offending, she and her mother were living with YNT and her grandmother.  The offences occurred over a period of about 7 months and the two charged acts were part of an ongoing course of conduct.  The conduct occurred at night, when the victim's mother was at work and when her grandmother was drunk and had taken antidepressants.  Ct 1  One night YNT came into the victim's bedroom and sat next to her on her bed. He placed his hand on her thigh, moved his hand up and ultimately penetrated her vagina with his finger. He then tried	EFP.  The trial judge found the offending a gross breach of trust; the victim a vulnerable child who had never had a father figure and who saw the appellant as a family member.  The trial judge found the offending sexually motivated and that the appellant's generosity to the victim and her mother fostered in him a sense of entitlement.  Significant psychological damage suffered by victim; periods of self-harming and attempt at suicide.  Continued to deny the offending; very low risk of reoffending on account of his age.	the appellant's offending. The appellant was the only father figure whom the complainant had ever known and was treated by her as a family member. The impact of that breach of trust on the complainant's ability to trust others in the future is likely to be profound. The psychological impact of the offending on the complainant was severe, and at least contributed to the complainant's self-harming. The offending was not an isolated or out-of-character event, but part of an established pattern of similar offending. The offending in ct 2 had the aggravating factor of the use of force to overcome the complainant's resistance to the offending. Covering the complainant's mouth, while forcefully and painfully digitally penetrating [her] vagina and verbally abusing her, were particularly traumatic and egregious aspects of that offending.
			victim's mother was at work and when	to the victim and her mother fostered in him a	overcome the complainant's resistance to the offending. Covering the
			taken antidepressants.	Significant psychological damage suffered by	penetrating [her] vagina and verbally abusing her, were particularly
			One night YNT came into the victim's		
			He placed his hand on her thigh, moved his hand up and ultimately penetrated		
			her vagina with his finger. He then tried multiple times to have sexual intercourse with her, becoming angry		
			and frustrated when he was unsuccessful. YNT told her not to tell	PUL	
			her mother or grandmother what had happened.		
			After this incident YNT repeatedly came into the victim's bedroom when		
			her mother was at work. Each time the same scenario occurred.		
			Ct 2 One of these occasions occurred not		
			long after the victim's 11 <sup>th</sup> birthday.  YNT came into her room and got into		
			her bed. She tried to push him away. This made YNT mad, and he called her		
			a 'stupid bitch' He then pinned her to the bed and, instead of penetrating her		
			vagina with one finger as on other occasions, he forced three of his fingers into her vagina while covering her		
			mouth with his other hand to muffle her screams. This caused the victim		
			extreme pain. He penetrated her forcefully for some time before leaving.		
2.	The State of Western Australia	45-47 yrs time offending. 49 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 1 & 2: Indec dealings with de facto child U16 yrs.	Ct 1: 9 mths imp (conc). Ct 2: 9 mths imp (cum).	Allowed.
	v AHD	Convicted after PG (25% discount	Ct 4: Sex pen of de facto child U16 yrs (penile/vaginal pen).	Ct 4: 3 yrs 9 mths imp (cum). Ct 5: 3 yrs 9 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned length of sentences cts 4, 5, 6 & 7 and totality principle.

[2021] WA
Delivered 29/01/2021

[2021] WASCA 13 ct 7; 20% discount cts 4-6 and 15% discount cts 1-2).

PG accepted in full discharge of the ind.

Prior criminal history; no previous convictions for sex offending.

Mostly stable childhood; some alcohol and violence between his parents.

No formal qualifications.

Consistent work history.

Occasional use of methyl.

Suffers diabetes and depression.

Cts 5 & 7: Sex pen of de facto child U16 yrs (penile/anal pen). Ct 6: Sex pen of de facto child U16 yrs (penile/oral pen).

#### **Breach**

1 x Breach of CBO.

The victim was ADH's de facto daughter, she was aged between 6-7 at the time of the offending the subject of cts 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6 and aged 8 when ct 7 was committed.

The cts on the ind were a representative of an ongoing course of conduct over a period of two and a half yrs.

AHD sexually abused the victim in the family home.

The victim complained to her mother about the offending the subject of cts 1 and 2. However her mother believed ADH's denials.

When the victim complained to her grandmother ADH was charged with the offences the subject of cts 1 and 2. He was released to bail, subject to protective bail conditions. However, he returned to live with the victim at the family home. His offending against the victim escalated and cts 4, 5 and 6 were committed while he was on bail and subject to the protective bail conditions.

AHD used coercion to secure the victim's submission and as the offending progressed, it became a normal part of her life, to be tolerated, until it became unnecessary for him to coerce her.

When committing the offences the subject of ct 4, 5 and 7 AHD covered the victim's face. He told the victim not to tell anyone what had happened.

At the time of committing ct 7 ADH

Ct 6: 3 yrs imp (conc).

Ct 7: 4 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).

#### Breach

3 mths imp (conc).

TES 9 yrs imp.

EFP.

The sentencing judge found the victim vulnerable; she was subject to the respondent's power and authority and his offending constituted a gross breach of trust; when the victim complained to her mother and her mother believed the respondent's denials this increased the victim's vulnerability, as he knew that her mother would provide no assistance to the victim.

The sentencing judge found the respondent most likely motivated by sexual gratification; the victim was young and she became so accustomed to the abuse she became compliant; the sex abuse the subject of cts 4, 5, 6 and 7 was premediated and planned; ct 7 was committed when the respondent had gonorrhoea, which he transmitted to the victim.

Offending profound impact on the victim; highly disturbed and traumatised; continues to suffer complications from the sexually transmitted disease including ongoing pelvic pain and increased risk of infertility.

Expressed remorse but no demonstrated insight into his offending; high risk of reoffending.

Ct 1: 9 mths imp (conc).

Ct 2: 9 mths imp (conc).

Ct 4: 6 yrs imp (cum)

Ct 5: 6 yrs imp (cum)

Ct 6: 5 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).

Ct 7: 7 yrs imp (conc).

TES 12 yrs imp.

EFP.

At [53]-[76] Discussion of comparable cases.

At [78] The respondent's offending in relation to ct 7 was extremely serious. The offending was not isolated. The sexual abuse against the complainant was ongoing. It is true that the respondent did not use force or threats in relation to this ct. However, force or threats were unnecessary having regard to the age of the complainant and the respondent having normalised the sexual abuse because of its regularity and frequency. The respondent was the complainant's stepfather and therefore was in a position of authority and power in relation to her. His offending constituted a gross breach of trust. The complainant was especially vulnerable because of her very young age, the respondent's status as her step-father and her mother's ongoing failure or refusal to protect her. ... The offending on ct 7 was premediated and planned. [He] was not deterred by his arrest and prosecution for the offending the subject of cts 1 and 2. He indulged his sexual preoccupation with the complainant and cared nothing for her welfare and well-being. ...

At [88] ... the offending in relation to each of ct 4 and ct 5 was significantly agg by the offending having occurred while the respondent was on bail for the offences charged in cts 1 and 2. [He] deliberately breached the protective conditions of the grant of bail. ... [that] demonstrated an attitude of defiance of the law and a determination not only to continue, but indeed to escalate, his offending in the knowledge that the complainant's mother would not protect her.

At [92] ... the offending in relation to ct 6 was significantly agg by the offending having occurred while the respondent was on bail ... and by the respondent having ejaculated into the complainant's mouth.

		had a venereal disease, which he transmitted to the victim. As a result the victim suffered severe pelvic inflammatory disease and peritonitis. She required hospitalisation and surgery.  Breach of CBO ADH punched his partner in the head and struck her with a mop handle. He was convicted in the Magistrate Court of common assault and placed on a CBO.	A. P. Mosecial	
UGN v The State	49-55 yrs at time offending.	Ct 1 & 6: Sex pen child U13 yrs.	Ct 1: 3 yrs 6 mths imp.	Dismissed.
of Western Australia	68 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 2-5; 7-8: Indec dealing child U13 yrs.	Ct 2: 21 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 12 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned both limbs of the totality principle. Individual
1 Iudii will	Convicted after trial.	<i>y</i> 15.	Ct 4: 18 mths imp (com).	sentences not challenged.
[2021] WASCA 10		The victim, C, was a female aged 7-12	Ct 5: 4 mths imp (conc).	
<b>.</b>	Extremely limited criminal	yrs.	Ct 6: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	At [45] The offences committed by the appellant were plainly serious.
Delivered	history; no prior sexual offending.	The age gap between LICN and the	Ct 7: 21 mths imp (conc).	
28/01/2021	Born Vietnam; five siblings;	The age gap between UGN and the victim was about 41 ½ yrs.	Ct 8: 8 mths imp (conc).	At [47] The offences involved five separate incidents and were agg by
	difficult and impoverished life;	vicini was about 41 /2 yis.	TES 8 yrs 6 mths imp.	having been committed over a period of about five yrs. Some
	parents died when he was young;	The offending occurred over a period of		accumulation of the individual sentences was therefore warranted. The
	maintains regular contact with	five yrs and involved five separate	EFP.	offences were not isolated events and were, in effect, representative of
	only one of his siblings.	incidents. The offences of sexual		ongoing sexual behaviour towards C. The offending was motivated by
	Smoot 2 vma reference as well-fee	penetration involved UGN penetrating	The sentencing judge found the appellant	the appellant's sexual attraction towards C.
	Spent 2 yrs refugee camp before being granted asylum in Australia	C's vagina with his finger.	offended against C in the same manner as described in cts 1 and 2 on other uncharged	At [48] The offending was further agg because the appellant groomed
	in 1979.	UGN was a friend of C's mother. He	occasions.	and rewarded C to the point where the appellant's behaviour was
		regularly visited the family home and		normalised. C's mother trusted the appellant The offending
	Very little formal education; left	C's mother frequently entrusted him	The sentencing judge found the offending was	breached the trust that had been placed in [him]. Some of the offences
	school aged 7 yrs; significant	with her care.	agg by being part of a course of sexual	were premeditated. Some were committed in C's home where she was
	literacy issues and struggled to	On one accession HCM11-1 C'-	conduct that occurred over a period of at least	entitled to be safe.
	learn English.	On one occasion UGN rubbed C's	five yrs; he groomed C by buying her treats,	
		vagina before sexually penetrating her.	and as the yrs went by, money and clothes	At [52] The objective circumstances of the offending were, in our

	living with his wife and	he ejaculated (cts 1 and 2).	him and C.	the penile penetration of C's vagina is not to the point. Having regard
	stepdaughter, her husband and			to what the appellant actually did and the effect of his offending upon
	very young child at time	On another occasion UGN grabbed C's	The sentencing judge found the appellant took	C, it cannot reasonably be said that the sentencing judge overestimated
	sentencing.	hand and put it on his penis. She pulled	advantage of the trust C's mother had placed	the objective seriousness of what the appellant did to C.
		her hand away. He continued to lean	in him, in order to abuse a vulnerable child;	
	Stable employment history;	over her and masturbate until he	some of the offending took place in C's home	
	reliable and diligent worker;	ejaculated (cts 3 and 4).	where she was entitled to feel safe and some	S
	employed 40 yrs various		of the offending was clearly premeditated.	
	processing plants.	On another occasion, in the presence of		, O <sub>Y</sub>
		a neighbour, UGN grabbed C in the	Offending serious effect on victim; continues	
	No physical health difficulties.	area between her buttocks (ct 5).	to have profound effects upon her life as an	
			adult; ruined her relationship with her mother.	
		On another occasion UGN masturbated,		
		while at the same time he rubbed C's	Low risk of reoffending; no demonstrated	
		vagina. He then sexually penetrated her	genuine remorse; continued to deny the	
		(cts 6 and 7).	offending.	
ĺ		On another occasion UGN showed C a		
		DVD depicting adults engaging in	1,0	
		sexual activity (ct 8).		

## Child aged 13-16 yrs

Antecedents

Case

No.

22.	Hodges v The	30-31 yrs at time offending.	1 x Persistent sexual conduct with a	TES: 6 yrs 10 mths imp.	Appeal dismissed (leave granted ground 2).
	State of Western	51 yrs at time sentencing.	child U/16		
	Australia			EFP.	Appeal concerned a finding that the offending was a serious breach of
		Convicted after PG (25%	At the time of the offending the		trust and the length of the sentence imposed.
	[2025] WASCA	discount).	appellant was living in a regional	The sentencing judge found that HR and the	
	136		location. She was married and had a	appellant had engaged in sexual activity	At [37] 'the sentencing judge did not find that there was a breach of
		Lived with her family in a	teenage son. The appellant's son went	around 100 times over the relevant period.	trust similar to that where there was sexual offending in the context of
	Delivered	regional town until 12 yrs of age;	to a local high school and was friends		a relationship between a teacher and student. Nor, in terms of how the
	12/09/2025	suffered severe physical violence	with the victim, HR. HR would often	The sentencing judge characterised PO 6 as	finding is expressed in ground 1, did the sentencing judge find that the
		and sexual abuse; became a ward	visit the appellant's house.	the most serious, as HR had made it plain that	circumstances of the appellant's offending had "little distinction" from
		of the State until 16 yrs of age.	The appellant would later begin to text	he did not wish to engage in sexual conduct.	circumstances where a teacher offends against a student. Rather,
			HR, and the messages turned sexual.	Overall, the offending was characterised as	understood fairly in the context of the sentencing remarks and the
		Gainfully employed.	Eventually, sexual activity followed for	falling 'somewhere in the middle range'.	sentencing hearing as a whole, the sentencing judge was saying that
			a period of nine months.		the distinction between teacher/student and the appellant/HR did not
		Used alcohol and drugs from 13	. ()	The sentencing judge found that the appellant	make a difference so far as the appellant's offending constituted a
		yrs of age.	<u>PO 1</u>	had displayed remorse. There was also no	serious breach of trust.'
				evidence that she had any sexual interest in	
		Three long-term relationships;	The appellant instigated a tongue kiss	children.	At [44] 'on the evidence there is no doubt that the appellant had
		multiple children.	with the victim.		authority, power or influence over HR. at the material time HR was 14
			200		to 15 years old. HR had commenced visiting the appellant's home, to
			<u>PO 2</u>		visit his same-age friend when he was 13 years old. HR's agency was
			B '1 / ' 1 1 /		no more than that of his friend. The appellant was 30 to 31 years old
			Penile/vaginal intercourse occurred		and the mother of the appellant's friend. As an adult in charge of the
			after the appellant gave HR alcohol and		home, and the mother of HR's same-age friend, the appellant was in a
			cannabis.		position of ascendancy over HR where she had authority, power or

Sentence

Appeal

**Summary/Facts** 

#### PO 3 - 5

Penile/vaginal intercourse and mutual oral sex occurred in the appellant's bedroom. The same conduct occurred sometime later.

Later that same year, HR had consumed alcohol and cannabis at the appellant's house, and the pair engaged in penile/vaginal intercourse. The appellant's nephew walked in on the appellant and HR having intercourse.

#### <u>PO 6</u>

After the appellant brought the relationship to an end, HR was again intoxicated. The appellant asked HR multiple times to have sex with her. HR refused. However, later HR awoke in the middle of having sexual intercourse with the appellant in her bedroom.

influence over him. That was all the more so given that the sexual offending occurred when the appellant's husband was working away from home. One those occasions the appellant was the sole adult in charge of the home and – by reason of her situational ascendancy as the adult in charge of the home where HR was visiting – was in a position of authority, power or influence over all of the children who were in her home and under her care.'

At [64] 'it must be accepted that HR's age (which puts him towards the upper end of a child under the age of 16 years) is relevant to the seriousness of the appellant's offending. But this is not to accept the tenor of the submission made on behalf of the appellant seeking to diminish the seriousness of "taking advantage of a *willing* teenage victim". It is generally not meaningful to talk about children who are below the age of consent as being willing participants in sexual conduct.'

At [68] 'three things should be said of the appellant's offending, and the submission on appeal that HR was a "willing" victim ... First, there is an unchallenged factual finding by the sentencing judge that the appellant groomed HR. The sexual activity that followed must be seen in the prism of that conscious and deliberate action by the appellant rather than any lack of active resistance by HR. Second, throughout the course of the sexual activity the appellant provided HR with alcohol and cannabis ... It cannot be said that a 14 or 15-year-old boy who has been provided with alcohol or cannabis is capable of consenting to, or being a willing participant in, sexual activity at the behest of a woman who is twice his age. Third, the last of the particularised incidents cannot on any basis be seen as one where the appellant consented to or was a willing participant in the sexual activity. The sentencing judge's finding of reluctant acquiescence is not akin to knowledge on the part of the appellant of free and voluntary consent ...'

At [69] 'these three features of the appellant's offending ... demonstrate the abuse inherent in the appellant's offending. Separately, while ordinarily it is not possible to obtain confirmation that a child's apparent cooperation or participation in such sexual offending is not based on a mature understanding of the nature and consequences of the activity, this is not the usual case. The passage of time has allowed HR to reflect on the sexual activity that occurred between him and the appellant. HR's victim impact statement makes it abundantly clear that any apparent cooperation or participation by HR in the sexual involved, at best, a profound misunderstanding as to the real nature and consequences of what was occurring.'

At [70] 'we are, in the circumstances, well satisfied that the primary judge was correct to characterise the appellant's offending as falling somewhere in the middle range. If anything that characterisation tended to downplay the seriousness of the offending ... this was

					particularly serious offending under s 321A.'
					At [91] ' in all the circumstances were are satisfied that the sentence
					of 6 years 10 months' imprisonment was within the range open on a
					proper exercise of the sentencing discretion.'
21.	The State of	28 yrs at time offending.	Cts 1 & 2: Using elec comm to expose a	Ct 1: 21 mths imp (conc).	Appeal allowed.
	Western Australia	32 years at time sentencing.	person U16 yrs to indec matter.	Ct 2: 24 mths imp (conc)	
	v Visser		Cts 3, 4, 5 & 7: Agg indec deal with a	Ct 3: 6 mths imp (cum).	Appeal concerned length of sentence imposed on ct 6 and an allegation
	F404 F1 VV A G G A 40	Convicted after trial.	child U16 yrs.	Ct 4: 12 mths imp (conc).	that the total effective sentence infringed the first limb of the totality
	[2025] WASCA 90	X	Ct 6: Agg sex pen child U16 yrs.	Ct 5: 12 mths imp (conc).	principle.
	D 1' 1	No criminal history.	Cts $8 - 13$ : Indec deal with a child over	Ct 6: 3 yrs imp (hs).	D 1
	Delivered	Danis Danis Danis	16yrs under care, supervision or	Ct 7: 2 yrs imp (conc).	Resentenced:
	19/06/2025	Born in Perth; grew up in a	authority.	Ct 8: 12 mths imp (cum).	Ct 2. 6 miles imm (over)
		supportive family.	Ct 14: Sex pen child over 16 yrs under	Ct 9: 2 yrs imp (conc).	Ct 3: 6 mths imp (cum)
		Educated at a private school.	care, supervision or authority.	Ct 10: 2 yrs imp (conc).	Ct 6: 4 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 7: 2 yrs imp (cum).
		Educated at a private school; Bachelor's degree in exercise	At all material times, the respondent	Ct 11: 9 mths imp (conc). Ct 12: 16 mths imp (conc).	Other sentences unchanged.
		sports science, and a graduate	was a teacher a suburban high school.	Ct 12: 16 mins imp (conc). Ct 13: 16 mths imp (conc).	Other sentences unchanged.
		diploma in teaching.	The victim was a student at the school,	Ct 13: 10 filds imp (cone).	TES: 7 yrs imp.
		dipionia in teaching.	aged 15, and later, 16 years at the time	Ct 14. 5 yis mip (cone).	TLS. 7 yrs mip.
		Good physical health.	of the offending.	TES: 4 yrs 6 mths imp.	EFP.
		Good physical nearth.	of the offending.	125. Tyrs o mais imp.	
		No drug abuse.	Towards the end of the victim's year 9,	EFP.	At [47] 'the facts of the offending, the other conduct engaged by the
		1	the respondent sent the victim a friend		respondent, and the aggravating circumstances show that the
		Married with supportive wife.	request on Snapchat. Eventually, the	The offending was found to be persistent,	respondent's offending was very serious and involved a high degree of
			school principal was informed of what	occurring over a period of eight months.	overall criminality.'
			had occurred, and the respondent		
			'unfriended' the victim on the	The sentencing judge found that the offending	At [48] 'the respondent abused his position as the victim's outdoor
			application.	involved a profound breach of trust. The	education teacher, and the resulting power imbalance between them, to
				respondent was well aware of the	sexually exploit her on multiple occasions over a period of almost nine
			<u>Ct 1</u>	wrongfulness of his behaviour due to his	months. It is clear that he was sexually attracted to her and pursued her
				occupation.	without any apparent regard for her welfare. He used Snapchat to
			One month later, the respondent again		communicate with her in a manner that he believed would not be
			'friended' the victim on Snapchat, and	The sentencing judge found that the	traced.'
			sent her a series of messages, including	respondent had deliberately used snapchat to	
			a photograph of his penis.	as a protective mechanism. Relying on the	At [49] 'even when his initial contact with the victim via Snapchat was
			0.2	application's instantaneous deletion of	revealed, despite his acknowledgement of the wrongfulness of this
			Ct 2	messages.	behaviour towards the victim, he continued to contact her. He sent her
			D-6		indecent material to groom her, following which he indecently dealt
			Before the next school year		with the victim and later sexually exploited her.'
			commenced, the respondent sent the victim a video of himself masturbating.		At [50] 'the offending was brazen, with counts 3-14 being committed
		X	victini a video of minisch masturbatting.		on school grounds and, on some occasions, during schooltime. The
			Ct 3		respondent contrived situations in which he and the victim could be by
			<u> </u>		themselves. The incidents of offending on the victim's birthday, and
			As the respondent was the victim's		those in connection with [ct 10], show the lengths he was willing to go
			outdoor education teacher, he requested		to in order to sexually abuse the victim. When the offending first came
			that the victim and her friend assist him		to light and an enquiry commenced, the respondent contacted the
			in the school's bicycle shed. There, the		victim and, in effect, told her to lie; which she initially did.'
			respondent hugged the victim, and later		
	ı	I .		<u> </u>	I.

squeezed her buttocks.

#### Cts 4-7

The respondent contacted the victim over Snapchat and asked her to come to the school gym. There, he hugged her and touched her breasts underneath her shirt (count 4). He then squeezed her buttocks over her clothing (count 5). The respondent then placed his hand down her shorts and rubbed her clitoris (count 6). Finally, the respondent took the victim's hand and made her masturbate his penis (count 7).

#### <u>Ct 8</u>

On another occasion, the respondent asked the victim to assist him outside the classroom. The respondent used this opportunity to squeeze her buttocks.

#### <u>Ct 9</u>

On the morning after the victim's 16<sup>th</sup> birthday, the respondent asked her to meet him in a storeroom before class. There, he took the victim's hand and placed it under his clothing onto his penis.

#### <u>Ct 10</u>

After confiscating the victim's handball, the respondent told the victim to meet him in his office after school. There, he put one ball down his shorts, and asked the victim to retrieve it. He then tried to force her hand onto his penis (count 10).

#### Cts 11-14

On another occasion in the school's storeroom. The respondent kissed the victim (count 11). He then undid her bra and touched her breasts (count 12). The respondent then touched her buttocks (count 13) and inserted one finger into

At [51] 'not surprisingly, the offending has had a very serious adverse psychological impact on the victim, who was highly vulnerable.'

At [52] 'in truth, there was only modest mitigation available to the respondent. He did not have the mitigation of youth. Nor did he plead guilty. He was brought up in a supportive family environment and is intelligent. He knew that what he was doing was wrong. The fact that he is a man of prior good character is ... of limited weight. The respondent continues to deny his guilt and is without remorse, but it appears that his risk of reoffending is low and he will be supported in the community upon his release from prison.'

At [56] '... the absence of any meaningful comparable cases does not mean that this court is unable to conclude that the individual sentence on count 6, or the total effective sentence, are infected by implied error. In the end, what is most important in determining the existence of implied error are the particular facts and circumstances of the case at hand having regard to all relevant sentencing factors.'

At [58] 'it is important to note that count 6 carried a maximum penalty of 20 years' imprisonment... In the course of this offending, the respondent put his hand down the victim's underwear and then touched her vagina and rubbed her clitoris. There was, as we have said, only limited mitigation for the respondent's offending. The sentence imposed of 3 years' immediate imprisonment is the kind of sentence that might have been imposed had the respondent had the advantage of an early plea of guilty and genuine remorse. To our minds, it is manifestly inadequate having regard to all relevant sentencing considerations ...'

At [59] 'the total effective sentence of 4 years 6 months' immediate imprisonment fails to have regard to the seriousness of what the respondent did, its repetition and persistence, and the respondent's abuse of his position of authority as the victim's teacher. It also fails to properly reflect the impact of the offending on the victim. It appears from the sentencing remarks that her Honour regarded the respondent's prospects of rehabilitation as good and it may be that this, together with the respondent's favourable antecedents, is what led to the erroneously lenient sentences... The total effective sentence was not merely lenient. It infringed the first limb of the totality principle and was therefore erroneous.'

		T			T
			her vagina (count 14).		
20.	The State of Western Australia v LZR  [2025] WASCA 46  Delivered 01/04/2025	33–52 yrs at time offending. 56 yrs at time sentencing. Convicted after trial. No criminal history.	5 x Indec deal child U13 yrs. 4 x Sex pen child U13 yrs. 12 x Indec deal child U16 yrs. 2 x Sex pen child U16 yrs. 1 x Indec assault. 1 x Encouraging child U13 yrs to do an	TES: 10 yrs imp.  The trial judge found that the offending was persistent over an 18–20 year period. As a result of the lack of complaint, the respondent became emboldened in what he could get	Appeal dismissed (leave granted).  Appeal concerned first limb of the totality principle.  At [64] 'the only issue in the present appeal is whether the total effective sentence of 10 years' imprisonment fails to reflect the overall
		Born in Turkey; unremarkable childhood, spent some time in Austria and Germany.  Left school at 13 yrs to work for his brother; returned to work for his brother after completing military service; later worked various jobs, including at a market on the weekends.  Two children with former wife; children were 15 and 17 yrs at time sentencing.  No personality or major mental disorders.	indecent act.  4 x Indec deal child over 16 yrs under control or supervision.  Cts 1–6: RG  RG was the respondent's niece by marriage.	away with. The persistent nature of the offending counterbalanced the characterisation of the offending as towards the lower end of seriousness.  The trial judge found that there was a risk of the respondent re-offending.  Victim impact statements were received from RG, EH, KV, TMC, AV and PB. The trial judge found that the common theme in the statements was a sense of betrayal by a relative or trusted family friend. The offending has had a profound impact on the victims' relationships with family, friends and partners.	criminality involved in all of the respondent's offending considered as a whole in a way that enables error to be inferred from the result.'  At [67] 'the present case is unusual in that a large number of victims were exposed to the respondent's offending, the egregiousness of the individual offences was not as great as in most cases where a total effective sentence of 10 years or more is imposed. Many of the individual offences in the present case, particularly counts involving touching buttocks of employees in the respondent's food van, involve conduct towards the lower end of the scale of seriousness of the offences of which the respondent was convicted. That is not to say that the offences were not serious all sexual offending against children is deplorable. But the relative severity of much of the offending in the present case is not as egregious as the offending involved in most cases where total effective sentences of 10 years or more are imposed.'  At [71] 'as counsel for the respondent properly conceded, the total effective sentence imposed in this case is lenient, particularly having
		Cts 7 and 9: Indec deal child U13 yrs. Ct 8: Sex pen child U13 yrs (digital). Ct 10: Encourage a child U13 to do an indecent act. Cts 11 and 13: Indec deal child U16. Cts 12 and 14: Sex pen child U16 (digital).  Ct 15: EH  EH was the respondent's niece by marriage.  Ct 15: Indec deal child U16 yrs.  Cts 16-17: KV  The respondent was a family friend of KV's parents.  Ct 16: Indec deal child U13 yrs.			
			Ct 17: Indec deal child U16 yrs.		

	Cts 18–22: TMC
	The respondent was a family friend of TMC's parents.
	Cts 18–22: Indec deal child U16 yrs.
	Cts 23–24: NC
	The respondent was a family friend of NC's parents.
	The respondent was a family friend of NC's parents.  Cts 23 and 24: Indec deal child over 16 yrs under control or supervision.
	<u>Ct 25: AV</u>
	Ct 25: AV  The respondent was a family friend of AV's parents.  Ct 25: Indec deal child over 16 yrs under control or supervision.
	Ct 25: Indec deal child over 16 yrs under control or supervision.
	Cts 26–27: PB
	The respondent was a family friend of PB's parents.
	Ct 26: Indec deal child U16. Ct 27: Indec deal child over 16 yrs under control or supervision.
	Cts 28–29: TK
	The respondent was a family friend of TK's parents.
	Cts 28–29: Indec deal child U16 yrs.
19. The State of Western Australia 21 (cts 1–3) and 31 yrs (ct 4) at time offending.	Ct 1: Att indec deal child U16 yrs. Ct 2: Indec deal child U16 yrs. Ct 2: 8 mths imp (conc). Ct 2: 8 mths imp (conc). Appeal allowed.
v DRN  35 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 3: Sex pen child U16 yrs.  Ct 4: Sex pen child U13 yrs.  Ct 4: 2 yrs 2 mths imp (HS).  Ct 3: Math imp (cone).  Appeal concerned length of sentences for cts 3 and 4 and the first limb of the totality principle.
[2025] WASCA 45	
Delivered Convicted after trial.	The respondent and K had three children TES: 3 years 10 months' imp.  Resentenced:

01/04/2025 Limited criminal history; last offending was in 2013; no convictions for similar offending. Born in a regional city and moved around as a child. Educated to yr 9 of high school; worked a variety of jobs until incarceration. Primary carer of his youngest child.

was S, who was 11 yrs old at the time of | EFP. offending.

#### Cts 1–3:

One evening, the respondent and K went to a housewarming party for the respondent's sister. H, R, and C came to the respondent's house to babysit S and her brother. H and R were K's biological sisters aged 14 yrs and 12–13 yrs respectively. C was a friend of H and R who was aged 13 yrs.

A double mattress had been set up in the loungeroom for two of the victims to sleep on, with the other victim to sleep on the couch. Late on the evening, the respondent returned from the party to get some cigarettes. H awoke to the respondent kneeling beside her. The respondent then trued to put his hands down H's pants (ct 1). H rolled onto her side removing the respondent's hands from her.

The respondent then moved to R's side of the mattress. R awoke to the respondent touching her vaginal over the top of her pyjamas (ct 2). R pushed the respondent's hand away.

The respondent then moved to where C was sleeping. C woke to the feeling of the respondent's hands in her shorts. The respondent inserted his fingers into C's vagina (ct 3). The respondent whispered to her 'does that feel good?'

### <u>Ct 4</u>

Once the respondent and K separated, S went to visit the respondent for a few weeks. On the night of the offence the respondent and S were sharing a bed. The respondent leant over and touched S, rubbing her clitoris with his fingers.

The trial judge found that each of the complainants were particularly vulnerable. The offending was persistent; the respondent was not deterred by H rolling over.

The trial judge found that the offending against H and R could be regarded as being at the lower end of seriousness for offending of its type. However, the offending against C and S were serious examples of offending of its type.

The trial judge found that the respondent displayed no remorse. The respondent was found to be at a high risk of re-offending.

Victim impact statements were provided by S and C. S felt betrayed by the respondent and had lost motivation in life. The offending had a profound impact on C and affected her schooling and now her married life.

Ct 1: 4 mths imp (conc).

Ct 2: 8 mths imp (conc).

Ct 3: 1 yr 9 mths imp (cum).

Ct 4: 4 yrs imp (HS).

TES: 5 yrs 9 mths imp.

EFP.

At [33] 'in our view, the individual sentence imposed for count 4 clearly failed to reflect the criminality of that offence and was unreasonable or plainly unjust.'

At [34] 'the sentence imposed on count 4 was only about 10% of the maximum penalty. The offence was aggravated by the fact that the complainant was the respondent's 11-year-old biological daughter. He offended against her while she was sleeping ... There were no mitigating circumstances for that offence ... The respondent was assessed by the trial judge as being at a high risk of re-offending.'

At [35] 'in seeking to resist the appeal ... counsel for the respondent sought to contend that there was no "element of abuse" involved in the offending other than the age disparity between the offender and the victims.'

At [37] 'the concept of an "element of abuse" has no application to a situation, such as the present, where there is no factual consent to sexual activity. The respondent's conduct of sexually penetrating his 11-year-old daughter as she slept cannot be regarded as anything other than entirely abusive. The same can be said of the respondent's offences against H, R and C ...'

At [40] 'over the past 20 years, sentences for child sexual offending have progressively firmed up as society and the courts have gained an increased appreciation of the prevalence of this kind of offending ... Th temptation for counsel representing an offender to cite older authorities is understandable but must be resisted.'

At [41] 'in our view, having regard to all of the circumstances of this case and the relevant sentencing principles, it was not reasonably open to the trial judge to view an individual sentence of 2 years 2 months' immediate imprisonment as commensurate with the offence charged in count 4 of the indictment.'

At [42] 'for similar reasons, we are satisfied that the individual sentence of 1 year 8 months' immediate imprisonment imposed for the sexual penetration offence alleged in count 3 of the indictment was manifestly inadequate.'

At [44] '... the sexual penetration charged in count 3 was a serious

					offence, involving predatory behaviour by the respondent. It involved a serious breach of trust placed in the respondent by C and her carers that she would be safe staying overnight at the respondent's house. C was asleep and in a vulnerable position when the respondent decided to offend against her. The offending continued until H intervened. It had a serious impact upon C's life. The only significant factor was the respondent's age at the time of committing the offence.'  At [45] 'having regard to all the circumstances of the case and all relevant sentencing principle, the individual sentence of 1 year 8 months' immediate imprisonment for count 3 (which represents about 12% of the maximum penalty) was unreasonable or plainly unjust.'  At [48] 'we are also satisfied that the total effective sentence of 3 years 10 months' immediate imprisonment fails to reflect the overall
				RYO	criminality involved in all of the offences viewed in their entirety, having regard to all relevant factors and circumstances including those referable to the respondent personally.'
18.	The State of Western Australia v WRH	23 yrs at time offending. 25 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 1: Indec deal child U16 yrs. Ct 2: Sex pen child U16 yrs.	Ct 1: 4 mths imp (conc). Ct 2: 2 yrs imp.	Appeal dismissed (leave granted).
	VWKH	Convicted after PG (15%	The victim, FNH was 14 yrs old at the	TES: 2 yrs imp.	Appeal concerned length of sentence.
	[2025] WASCA 29	discount)	time of offending. The respondent and FNH had known each other for six	EFP.	At [60] 'in the present case the sentencing judge's positive finding that FNH was a willing participant in the sexual activity that constituted the
	Delivered	Limited criminal history; poss	months.		offending is an important feature that distinguishes it from [similar
	22/01/2025	stolen or unlawfully obtained		The offending the subject of ct 1 was	cases].
		property.	The respondent and FNH had arranged to meet up and the respondent invited	characterised as within the low range of seriousness. The offending the subject of ct 2	At [61] 'in this case, the sentencing judge used the terminology of
		Youngest of three children; left by	FNH to his house.	was characterised as within the upper end of	"willing participant" rather than "free and voluntary consent". The
		his mother as an infant; lived with		the low range of seriousness for its type.	differing implications arise in cases where, as a matter of fact, a
		his father then paternal	FNH accompanied the respondent to his		child instigates sexual activity or agrees to engage in sexual activity
		grandparents; later lived with maternal grandparents; exposed to	bed and the respondent began kissing FNH (ct 1).	The sentencing judge found that there was a 'reasonably significant' age difference	without pressure or grooming. A situation of that kind is sometimes referred to as a case where there is free and voluntary "consent" or
		drinking and violence during childhood.	The respondent then undressed FNH	between the respondent and FNH. The	where the child victim is a "willing participant".'
		cinidilood.	and engaged in penile-vaginal	sentencing judge found that the respondent knew FNH was 14 or 15 yrs of age at the time	At [62] 'neither label is entirely satisfactory. In many circumstances it
		Learning difficulties at school;	intercourse in which FNH was a willing	of offending.	will not be meaningful to refer to a child who does not have the
		graduated yr 12; worked a variety	participant. The respondent did not		maturity to lawfully consent to or understand the nature and
		of jobs.	wear a condom and ejaculated into FNH's vagina. FNH remained with the	The sentencing judge found accepted that FNH was a willing participant in the	consequences of the activity as consenting to or being a will participant in the activity It should be remembered that the purpose of the
		Suffered from mental health	respondent with rest of the night.	offending and that the respondent provided a	statutory provision is the protection of children. It is the responsibility
		issues; had previously attempted		degree of support to FNH.	of adults not to engage in sexual activity with children who are under
		suicide; hindered socioemotional	FNH became pregnant and gave birth to		the age of consent.'
		development.	a female child. Parentage testing confirmed that the respondent was the	The sentencing judge found that the respondent's circumstances were such that the	At [71] 'the respondent's offending was objectively serious. He knew
		Three children; two with an ex-	father of the child.	community's interests were best served by	FNH was aged 14 or 15. FNH was undoubtedly a vulnerable child.
		partner and one with the victim of		determined efforts to rehabilitate a youthful	Yet, despite FNH's age, the respondent had unprotected penile-vaginal
		the current offending.		offender.	sex with her. The risk of pregnancy eventuated – as did the
					concomitant potentially harmful consequences of a child bearing and mothering a child. The respondent, while youthful, ignored what was
					mountaing a sinite respondent, white youthan, ignored what was

			Alphic Prosect		an obvious risk. Even though FNH agreed to engage in the sexual activity without pressure or grooming, the age difference between the respondent and FNH was marked.'  At [72] 'the was, however, no predatory behaviour on the part of the respondent. The sentencing judge found, without challenge in this appeal, that FNH was a "willing participant" in the sexual activity. The respondent and FNH were well known to each other. Abuse is not established by a mere disparity in age In the present case the chronological disparity between the age of the respondent and the age of FNH is not reflective of their respective levels of maturity. The psychological evidence demonstrated that the respondent's background has hindered his socioemotional development and the resulting relational vulnerabilities had driven his offending.'  At [75] 'there was, in addition, some — albeit limited — mitigation in the respondent's actions in supporting FNH during her pregnancy and immediately after the birth of FNH's child, and in the respondent's expressed desire to be a part of FNH's child's life. In so doing the respondent demonstrated a degree of personal responsibility for his actions. This is not a weighty matter. The indicia of acceptance of
					responsibility is moderated by the lack of insight demonstrated in the respondent attributing blame to FNH on the basis that she pursued him.'  At [76] 'the objective seriousness of the respondent's offending is such that the sentence of 2 years' immediate imprisonment is lenient —
			itect		perhaps very lenient.'  At [78] 'the sentence of 2 years' immediate imprisonment approached but did not reach the point where the sentence was manifestly inadequate.'
17.	The State of	24 yrs at time offending.	1 x Sex pen child U16 yrs.	3 yrs imp.	Appeal allowed.
	Western Australia v Dorsett	26 yrs at time sentencing.  Convicted after PG (25%	The respondent knew the victim, D, was 14 yrs old at the time of the offending.	EFP.	Appeal concerned length of sentence.
	[2025] WASCA 13	discount).	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	The sentencing judge found that the	Resentenced:
	_	,	Prior to the offence, the respondent and	respondent plied D with alcohol to achieve	
	Delivered	No criminal history at time	D had been communicating on	his ultimate goal, but he did not intend to	4 yrs 6 mths imp.
	17/01/2025	offending.	Snapchat. D asked the respondent if she	incapacitate her or render he unconscious.	EDD
		Porn in NCW: navanta samueta d	and a friend could stay the night at his	The offending had a traumatic never alocical	EFP.
		Born in NSW; parents separated not long after birth; struggled with	house.	The offending had a traumatic psychological effect upon D, she had to terminate the	At [41] 'the objective criminality involved in the offending in this case
		confidence as an early child.	The respondent met D and her friend at	pregnancy and felt tormented by what has	was undoubtedly high. The respondent deliberately took advantage of
			a local shopping centre and drove them	happened to her.	a vulnerable child for his own sexual gratification'.
		Completed school in yr 10;	back to his home. Once there, he		
		terminated from gainful	provided them with alcohol, which D	The sentencing judge did not consider the	At [42] 'the aggravating circumstances, when considered both
		employment as a result of illicit drug use; joined the Royal	consumed.	respondent was of an age where youth was still a mitigating factor.	individually and collectively, made the offence a particularly serious instance of this type.'
		Australian Navy ('RAN');	D could not remember what happened	sun a mugaung factor.	instance of this type.
		Australian Navy ( KAIV ),	D could not remember what happened		

		discharged from RAN as a result of the offending  Posted to WA from the RAN; felt isolated due to COVID-19; spent most of his time seeking sexual partners.  Displayed symptoms of an	next; however, she and the respondent engaged in penile/vaginal sexual intercourse. D later discovered she was pregnant and forensic analysis revealed the respondent as the biological father.	The sentencing judge found that the respondent's risk of reoffending was 'above average'.	At [43] 'the respondent's offending was highly predatory. HE brought the victim to his house. Despite his knowledge that the victim was 14 years of age, he plied her with alcohol with the intention that it would render her more willing to engage in sexual activity with him The respondent and the victim were not in any kind of romantic relationship, nor was there any evidence that the victim wanted such a relationship.'  At [44] 'the respondent deliberately engaged in unprotected
		adjustment disorder with depressed mood.		ar osecia	penile/vaginal intercourse. At the risk of repetition, this was a highly aggravating circumstance in the present case The risk of pregnancy eventuated. The pregnancy was terminated, causing great distress to the victim and other members of her family. While an unintended outcome, it is an obvious risk which, in the present case, the respondent apparently ignored'
				S. P. Jolico	At [45] 'there were some mitigating circumstances, the most significant of which was the respondent's plea of guilty for which he received a discount of 25% The respondent's antecedents were favourable. He had not prior criminal record; had served in the RAN; and as the character references show, he supported others, particularly members of his family'
					At [46] 'While the respondent appeared to have some insight into the consequences of his offending, he was not found to be genuinely remorseful for what he had done.'
			C		At [48] 'In our opinion, the sentence of 3 years' immediate imprisonment imposed upon the respondent was manifestly inadequate'
16.	The State of	37 yrs at time offending.	1 x sex pen child U16 yrs.	3 yrs imp.	Appeal allowed.
	Western Australia   v MGT	39 yrs at time sentencing.	The respondent had been a close friend	EFP.	Appealed concerned length of sentence.
	VWGI	Convicted after PG (25%	with the victim's stepmother. The	Err.	Appeared concerned length of sentence.
	[2024] WASCA	discount).	respondent lived with the victim and her	The sentencing judge did not sentence the	Resentenced:
	136		stepmother for a period of time. At the	respondent on the basis that there was a lack	
	Delivered	Limited criminal history.	time of offending, the victim was 14.	of consent.	4 yrs 9 mths imp.
	04/11/2024	Parents separated at 5 yrs old; supportive childhood.	While living with the victim, the respondent sexually penetrated her,	The sentencing judge was not satisfied there was a romantic relationship between the	EFP after 2 yrs 9 mths.
		Left school during yr 9;	causing her to fall pregnant. The paternity test confirmed the respondent	respondent and the victim.	At [56] ' free and voluntary "consent" in fact by the child is not irrelevant in sentencing an offender who has committed an offence
		frequently bullied.	was the father.	The offending has had a significant impact upon the victim; sole carer of the child;	against s 321(2), but its relevance and weight will vary considerably, depending upon the particular circumstances.'
		Victim of sexual abuse on three	The respondent claimed the sexual	bullied and assaulted at school; mental health	
		occasions as a child.	penetration occurred in the context of a	has suffered; overwhelmed by the financial,	At [61] 'in the present case, the respondent's offending was egregious.
		Intermittent work history.	'romantic relationship' between him and the victim. The victim said the respondent had raped her. The victim	emotional and practical difficulties of parenting.	That is readily apparent from our summary of the facts and circumstances of the offending'
		Diagnosed ADHD.	was unable to complete an interview	The sentencing judge found the respondent	At [62] 'the respondent knew, when he committed the offence, that the

		Psychiatric testing placed the respondent's intellectual function in the low end of the mild to moderate range of intellectual disability; likely suffers from autism spectrum disorder.  Three significant relationships; three children; abused by former partner.	with police about the offending. She is the sole carer of the child.	regretted his offending and that the regret was substantial; however, he lacked an understanding of what he had done to the victim.	victim was aged 14. There was a very significant age disparity (about 23 years) between them. The respondent knew, when he committed the offence, that the age of consent was 16 and that there would be legal implications for him if he had sex with an underage girl. He did not wear a condom.'  At [64] 'when a male offender commits a sexual offence against a female victim that involves unprotected penile/vaginal intercourse, the offender's failure to wear a condom is an aggravating factor because it exposes the victim to the risk of pregnancy and the risk of contracting a sexually transmissible disease or infection. The risk is realised if the victim actually becomes pregnant or actually contracts a sexually transmissible disease or infection.'  At [65] 'in the present case, the victim's pregnancy and the birth of the child were very serious aggravating features of the respondent's offending. The victim was confronted with the invidious decision of whether to terminate the pregnancy or have the child.'  At [66] 'the victim has the responsibility of endeavouring to care for and nurture a baby when she is still a child herself At some stage it will be necessary for the victim to decide upon the explanation she will give to the child about the circumstances of her conception.'  At [67] ' we do not accept, on the basis of Dr Vidovich's report, that there was a causal connection in any other sense between the respondent's conditions, on the one hand, and his behaviour at the time of the offending, on the other.'
			Difference		At [70] 'the respondent's neurodevelopmental and psychological conditions diminished, to some extent, the respondent's moral culpability for the offending. But, on the other hand, those conditions increased the importance of personal deterrence.'
					At [81] 'the sentence was not merely lenient or at the lower end of the available range. It was significantly less than the sentence that was open to the primary judge on a proper exercise of her discretion.'
15.	The State of	35–36 yrs at time offending.	Cts 1, 2, 3, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12: Sex pen	Cts 1, 2, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12: 18 mths imp (conc).	Appeal allowed.
	Western Australia v MGA	37 yrs at time sentencing.	child U16 yrs. Cts 4, 7: Indec deal child U16 yrs.	Cts 3: 18 mths imp (cum). Ct 9: 18 mths imp (cum)	Appeal concerned length of individual sentences and first limb of
	, 1/10/1	Convicted after trial.	Ct 5: Encouraging child to engage in	Cts 4, 7: 6 mths imp (conc).	totality principle.
	[2024] WASCA	Q = Q	sexual behaviour.	Ct 5: 12 mths imp (conc).	
	108	Criminal history; traffic offences;	The respondent was invited to live at a	TES: 3 yrs imp.	Resentenced:
	Delivered	stealing; assault; drug offences; multiple breaches of FVRO; no	The respondent was invited to live at a friend's house. His friend had a 14-yr	1123. 3 yrs mp.	Cts 1, 6, 8, & 11: 2 yrs 9 mths imp (conc).
	17/09/2024	sexual offences.	old daughter who was also living at the	EFP.	Cts 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).
			house. After staying at the house for a		Ct 9: 3 yrs imp (cum)
		Dysfunctional childhood	month, the respondent began to engage	The respondent was sentenced on the basis	Cts 2, 10, & 12: 3 yrs imp (conc).
		characterised by violence, instability, and neglect; parents	in sexual activity with the child.	that the complainant was a willing participant in the sexual activity.	TES: 6 yrs imp.
<u> </u>		, and neglect, parents	ı	1	

separated at 6 yrs old; lived with father who was strict.

Left school mid yr 8 to work as a tiler; struggled with literacy; bullied.

Worked in hospitality after tiling; planned to return to tiling.

Three significant relationships; 18 yr old son from first relationship; four children from second relationship.

Injured from a motorcycle accident; may be suffering PTSD.

Long history of drug and alcohol abuse; commenced alcohol at 13 yrs; cannabis at 12 yrs; methyl at 15 yrs.

#### Cts 1 & 2

On an occasion when the respondent and the victim were together in the living room, the respondent penetrated the victim's vagina with his fingers. He then later penetrated the victim's vagina with his penis.

### Cts 3 & 4

On an occasion when the victim was with the respondent in the shed, he pulled the victim on top of him and penetrated her vagina with his penis. Sometime later he rested his hand on the complainant's leg and rubbed her thigh.

### <u>Ct 5</u>

On a separate occasion, the respondent threw a condom at the victim and told her to come and get him when she wanted to 'use this'.

### Ct 6

On a separate occasion when the victim and respondent were in the shed, he asked for oral sex. The victim complied.

### Ct 7

In the living room of the property, the respondent touched the thigh and knee of the victim.

### Cts 8, 9 & 10

After the respondent had moved out of the home, he arranged for the victim to visit him in a caravan park. There, the respondent sexually penetrated the victim with his fingers, then twice with his penis.

#### Cts 11 & 12

The night following the offending

The sentencing judge found that the respondent did not use any force or bribery or physical violence to procure the victim's involvement.

Offending has resulted in the victim having feelings of embarrassment and 'grossness'; has constant memories of the events; found the trial experience horrible.

The sentencing judge found that the respondent was aware the victim was at school but made no express finding that he was aware she was 14 yrs old.

Lacked insight into the offending; failed to take responsibility for his actions.

EFP.

At [67] 'whilst [the respondent] was not in a position of care, supervision or authority over the complainant (which would have attracted a higher maximum penalty), he occupied a privileged position in the household. He had unsupervised access to the house and to the complainant. He abused that trusted position by engaging in sexual conduct with the complainant.'

At [68] 'the complainant was vulnerable both having regard to her age and the fact that the respondent was residing in her home. There was a very significant age disparity...'

At [69] 'the offending was not a momentary aberration; the respondent engaged in sexual conduct with the complainant over an approximately four-month period.'

At [73] 'we would accept that if there had been a finding that the respondent knew that the complainant was 14 yrs old at the time of the offences and persisted in the offending notwithstanding that knowledge, that would have been an aggravating factor. However, the absence of such knowledge is not a mitigating factor, it is simply the absence of an aggravating factor. Clearly, it is incumbent on a mature adult man, as the respondent was, to ensure that the young person with whom he was engaging sexually is not under the age of 16 yrs.'

At [74] 'it is generally not meaningful to talk about children who are below the age of consent as being willing participants in sexual conduct. The cooperation or participation of a child in such conduct can never be based on a mature understanding of the nature and consequences of the activity.'

At [78] 'General deterrence was a very important sentencing consideration ... The respondent was convicted after trial and the presentence report indicated that he lacked insight and had failed to take responsibility for his offending.'

At [79] 'there was nothing remarkable about the respondent's personal circumstances...Whilst he had a good work record, he did not have the benefit of prior good character.'

At [93] 'making allowance for any differences, [the comparable cases] support a conclusion that both the individual sentences of 18 mths imprisonment for the sexual penetration offences and the total effective sentence of 3 yrs immediate imprisonment are inconsistent with sentences imposed in comparable cases.'

At [94] 'in our view ... the sentence of 18 mths imprisonment imposed for each of those offences was unreasonable or plainly unjust.'

his brother at 10 yrs old; mocked by village.  Worked in managerial roles; struggled to find engineering employment; managed supermarket; assaulted at work and returned a short time later.  Began drinking excessively following assault at work; suicide attempt whilst on bail.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Met JA's mother.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Met JA's mother.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Met JA's mother.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  C17  On a separate occasion the appellant took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  C17  The sentencing judge found that the offending of its kind.  The sentencing judge found that the offending of its kind.  The sentencing judge found that the offending of the kiphest order, and at the 'highest order, and at the 'highest order', and at	
Abstratile  Convicted after PG (15% discount).  Convicted after PG (15% discount).  Convicted after PG (15% discount).  Delivered 31/07/2024  Born in India; older brother and parents remain in India; poor family.  Attended college in India; completed un Engineering degree in WA.  Sexually assaulted by friends of his brother at 10 yrs old; mocked by village.  Worked in managerial roles; struggled to find engineering employment; managed supermarket; assaulted at work; suicide attempt whitst on bail.  Met IA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his sown with JA's mother.  Convicted after PG (15% discount).  Ct 2.7, 9, 15, 16, 19, 20 & 21; Sex pen child de factor relative U16 yrs.  Cts 2, 7, 9, 15, 16, 19, 20 & 21; Sex pen child de factor relative U16 yrs.  Cts 2, 7, 9, 15, 16, 19, 20 & 21; Sex pen child de factor relative U16 yrs.  Cts 2, 7, 9, 15, 16, 19, 20 & 21; Sex pen child de factor relative U16 yrs.  Cts 2 yrs imp (come).  Ct 9: 4 yrs imp (come).  Ct 16: 4 yrs imp (come).  Ct 16: 4 yrs imp (come).  Ct 21: 4 yrs imp (come).  Ct 2: 4 yrs imp (come).  Ct 2: 4 yrs imp (come).  Ct 16: 4 yrs imp (come).  Ct 2: 4 yrs	
Convicted after PG (15% discount).   Cl s 2.7, 9, 15. 16, 19, 20 & 21: Sex pen child de facto relative U16 yrs.	of the totality principle.
Delivered 31/07/2024  Born in India; older brother and parents remain in India; poor family.  Attended college in India; completed an Engineering degree in WA.  Sexually assaulted by friends of his brother at 10 yrs old; mocked by village.  Worked in managerial roles; struggled to find engineering employment; managed supermarket; assaulted at work and returned a short time later.  Began drinking excessively following assault at work; suicide attempt whilst on bail.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  C121  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  C132  C14 JYRS imp conc).  C1 21: 4 yrs imp (conc).  C1 21: 4 yrs imp (co	
Delivered 31/07/2024  Born in India; older brother and parents remain in India; poor family.  Attended college in India; completed an Engineering degree in WA.  Sexually assaulted by friends of his brother at 10 yrs old; mocked by village.  Worked in managerial roles; struggled to find engineering employment; managed supermarker; assaulted at work and returned a short time later.  Began drinking excessively following assault at work and returned a short time later.  Began drinking excessively following assault at work attempt whilst on bail.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after, had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after, had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Do a separate occasion JA was in the and to Jay as an asparate occasion JA was in the offending.  The victim of the offending is JA, the appellant placed in spellant is a spellant is a stant place. Ct 21: 18 mths imp (conc). Ct 21: 4 yrs imp (conc). The sentencing judge found that the offending was 'of the highest order', and at the fill to be punished for matters for empl	
The victim of the offending is JA, the appellant set is departed by silvage.   The victim of the offending is JA, the appellant set is departed by silvage.	
family.  Attended college in India: completed an Engineering degree in WA.  Sexually assaulted by friends of his brother at 10 yrs old, mocked by village.  Worked in managerial roles: struggled to find engineering employment: managed supermarker; assaulted at work and returned a short time later. Began drinking excessively following assault at work: suicide attempt whilst on bail.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Met JA's mother of line and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  C12  TES: 11 yrs 6 mths.  EFP.  The sentencing judge found that the offending was representative in metal to be punished for matters for does place the offences into a prop of continuing and persistent sexual ends for the scale? for offending for its kind.  The sentencing judge found that the offending was representative in metal to be punished for matters for does place the offences into a prop of continuing and persistent sexual ends for the scale? for offending had a severe impact on the vicitin; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suircidad to pendent the pendent pendent had the pendent pendent had not on the vicitin; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suircidad to pendent pendent pendent pendent pendent pendent pendent pendent pendent	
Attended college in India; completed an Engineering degree in WA.  Sexually assaulted by friends of his brother at 10 yrs old; mocked by village.  Worked in managerial roles; struggled to find engineering employment; managed supermarket; assaulted at work and returned a short time later. Began drinking excessively following assault at work; suicide attempt whilst on bail.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his work with JA's mother.  Worked in managerial roles; struggled to find engineering employment; managed supermarket; assaulted at work and returned a short time later. Began drinking excessively following assault at work; suicide attempt whilst on bail.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his work with JA's mother.  Worked in managerial roles; struggled to find engineering employment; managed supermarket; assaulted at work and returned a short time later.  On the same occasion or around the same time as ct 1, the appellant statend touching JA and asked her to suck his penis. Add in our understand; the appellant and towo children of his work with JA's mother.  CL5  On a separate oceasion the appellant and took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  CL7  On a separate oceasion JA was in the	
Attended college in India; completed an Engineering degree in WA.  Sexually assaulted by friends of his brother at 10 yrs old; mocked by village.  Worked in managerial roles; struggled to find engineering employment; managed supermarket; assaulted at work and returned a short time later.  Began drinking excessively following assault at work; suicide attempt whilst on bail.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  On a separate occasion the appellant took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  On a separate occasion JA was in the  offending.  TES: 14 yrs imp.  EFP.  At [85] 'the totality of the appellad deserving of a substantial term of offending was "of the highest order', and at the 'highest end of the scale' for oftending of its kind.  The sentencing	
completed an Éngineering degree in WA.  Sexually assaulted by friends of his brother at 10 yrs old; mocked by village.  Worked in managerial roles; struggled to find engineering employment; managed supermarker, assaulted at work and returned a short time later.  Began drinking excessively following assault at work; suicide attempt whilst on bail.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  C15  On a separate occasion the appellant took JA's underwear off and attempted too penetrate her vagina with his penis.  C17  The sentencing judge found that the offending was representative in a fellow be punished for matters for does place the offences into a project of the seale' for offending of its kind.  The sentencing judge did not consider that the appellant started touching JA and asked her to suck his penis, and she opened her mouth.  C15  On a separate occasion the appellant took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  C17  On a separate occasion JA was in the offending.  C18  The sentencing judge found that the offending of its kind.  The sentencing judge found consider that the offending of its kind.  The sentencing judge found to consider that the appellant's stepdaughter over was an indicator of remorse. The sentencing judge did accept that the appellant had embarked on a pathway towards being remorseful.  The offending had a severe impact on the victim; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg depression and axively; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  C17  On a separate occasion JA was in the offending.  C17  On a separate occasion JA was in the offending of its kind.  The sentencing judge did not consider that the offending of its kind.  The sentencing judge did accept that the appellant as twicted on a pathway towards being remorseful.  The offending had a severe impact on the victim; she has resorted to emoti	
Sexually assaulted by friends of his brother at 10 yrs old; mocked by village.  Worked in managerial roles; struggled to find engineering employment; managed supermarket; assaulted at work and returned a short time later.  Began drinking excessively following assault at work; and other head onto his penis, and she opened her mouth.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Worked in managerial roles; struggled to find engineering employment; managed supermarket; assaulted at work and returned a short time later.  On the same occasion or around the same time as ct 1, the appellant started touching JA and asked her to such his penis. JA did not understand; the appellant pushed her head onto his penis, and she opened her mouth.  Ct 5  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  On a separate occasion the appellant took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  Ct 7  On a separate occasion JA was in the  On a separate occasion JA was in the  Ct 1  Label Day is bedroom, the appellant placed his hand beneath JA's shirt and squeezed her breast.  EFP.  The sentencing judge found that the offending was representative in no offending is a soft the highest order', and at the 'highest order', and a	
Sexually assaulted by friends of his brother at 10 yrs old; mocked his hand beneath JA's shirt and squeezed her breast.  Worked in managerial roles; struggled to find engineering employment; managed supermarket; assaulted at work and returned a short time later.  Began drinking excessively following assault at work; suicide attempt whilst on bail.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  On a separate occasion the appellant took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  On a separate occasion JA was in the discovered by village.  EFP.  The sentencing judge found that the offending of its kind.  The sentencing judge found that the offending was 'of the highest order', and at the 'highest end of the scale' for offending of its kind.  The sentencing judge found that the offending was 'of the highest order', and at the 'highest end of the scale' for offending of its kind.  The sentencing judge found that the offending was 'of the highest order', and at the 'highest end of the scale' for offending of its kind.  The sentencing judge did not consider that the appellant at stempt at suicide after his arrest was an indicator of remorse. The sentencing judge did accept that the appellant had embarked on a pathway towards being remorseful.  The offending had a severe impact on the victim; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal throughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [91] 'from the outset, was lidicator of remorse. At [91] 'from the outset, the appellant's stepdaughter over the appellant had embarked on a pathway towards being remorseful.  The offending had a severe impact on the victim; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; s	lant's offending was very serious and
Sexually assaulted by friends of his brother at 10 yrs old; mocked by village.  Worked in managerial roles; struggled to find engineering employment; managed supermarket; assaulted at work and returned a short time later.  Began drinking excessively following assault at work; suicide attempt whilst on bail.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Met JA's mother.  In JA's bedroom, the appellant placed his hand beneath JA's shirt and squeezed her breast.  C12  On the same occasion or around the same time as ct 1, the appellant started touching JA and asked her to suck his penis, and she opened her mouth.  C15  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  C15  On a separate occasion the appellant to try it with her. The appellant took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  C17  On a separate occasion JA was in the  On a separate occasion JA was in the	<u> </u>
was 'of the highest order', and at the 'highest end of the scale 'for offending of its kind.  Worked in managerial roles; struggled to find engineering employment; managed supermarket; assaulted at work and returned a short time later.  Began drinking excessively following assault at work; suicide attempt whilst on bail.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  On a separate occasion the appellant took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  Ct 7  On a separate occasion JA was in the work of the scale 'for offending of its kind.  The sentencing judge did not consider that the appellant's attempt at suicide after his arrest was an indicator of remorse. The sentencing judge did accept that the appellant had embarked on a pathway towards being remorseful.  The offending of its kind.  The sentencing judge did not consider that the appellant's attempt at suicide after his arrest was an indicator of remorse. The sentencing judge did accept that the appellant had embarked on a pathway towards being remorseful.  The offending of its kind.  The sentencing judge did not consider that the appellant's attempt at suicide after his arrest was an indicator of remorse. The sentencing judge did accept that the appellant had embarked on a pathway towards being remorseful.  The offending of its kind.  The sentencing judge did not consider that the appellant is attempt at suicide after his arrest was an indicator of remorse. The sentencing judge did accept that the appellant had embarked on a pathway towards being remorseful.  The offending of its kind.  The sentencing judge did not consider that the appellant is attempt at suicide after his arrest was an indicator of remorse. The sentencing judge did accept that the appellant had he victim; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant ni	nature does not mean that the appellant
Worked in managerial roles; struggled to find engineering employment; managed supermarket; assaulted at work and returned a short time later.  Began drinking excessively following assault at work; suicide attempt whilst on bail.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Met JA's mother of line and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  Ct 7  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  Ct 7  The sentencing judge did not consider that the appellant stated tat that the appellant that the appellant stated touching JA and asked her to suck his penis, and she opened her mouth.  The offending had a severe impact on the victim; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicid	or which he was not convicted, but it
Worked in managerial roles; struggled to find engineering employment; managed supermarket; assaulted at work and returned a short time later.  Began drinking excessively following assault at work; suicide attempt whilst on bail.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  Ct 5  On a separate occasion the appellant took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  Ct 7  The sentencing judge did not consider that the appellant's attempt at suicide after his arrest was an indicator of remorse. The sentencing judge did accept that the appellant had embarked on a pathway towards being remorseful.  The offending had a severe impact on the victim; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  On a separate occasion JA was in the on the victim; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [9] 'the offending involved a trusted role as a stepfather. He has a pepllant the appellant and embarked on a pathway towards being remorseful.  The offending had a severe impact on the victim; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [9] 'the offending involved a trusted role as a stepfather. He has a pepllant to the appellant of the victim; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [9] 'the offending involved a trusted role as a stepfather. He has a pepllant to the population of the population of the proceedings.	roper context That context was one
struggled to find engineering employment; managed supermarket; assaulted at work and returned a short time later.  Began drinking excessively following assault at work; suicide attempt whilst on bail.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  On a separate occasion JA was in the on a sparate occasion JA was in the on the same occasion or around the same time as ct 1, the appellant started touching JA and asked her to suck his penis. JA did not understand; the appellant that the appellant that the appellant that appellant the appellant that the appellant to trusted role as a stepfather. He had was an indicator of remorse. The sentencing judge did accept that the appellant to a pathway towards being remorseful.  The offending had a severe impact on the victim; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  On a separate occasion JA was in the on a separate occasion JA was in the on the victim; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [89] 'the offending involved a trusted role as a stepfather. He had the appellant had embarked on a pathway towards being remorseful.  The offending had a severe impact on the victim; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [93] 'th	tual abuse of a serious nature against
employment; managed supermarket; assaulted at work and returned a short time later.  Began drinking excessively following assault at work; suicide attempt whilst on bail.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  On a separate occasion the appellant tool JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  On a separate occasion JA was in the order to suck his penis, and she opened her wanted to rease referred to.  On a separate occasion JA was in the order to suck his penis, and she opened her mouth.  Ct 5  On a separate occasion the appellant tool JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  On a separate occasion JA was in the order to suck his penis, and she opened her mouth.  Ct 5  On a separate occasion the appellant tool JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  On a separate occasion JA was in the order of a papellant started touching JA at a tatempt at suicide after his arrest was an indicator of remorse. The sentencing judge did accept that the appellant had embarked on a pathway towards being remorseful.  The offending had a severe impact on the victim; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [89] 'the offending involved a was a stepfather. He had vexers old. However, it is important embarted on a pathway towards being remorseful.  The offending had a severe impact on the victim; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [89] 'the offending involved a trusted role as a stepfather. He had we embarked on a pathway towards being remorseful.  At [91] 'the offending involved a trusted role as a stepfather. He had we embarked on a pathway	er a three-year period.'
supermarket; assaulted at work and returned a short time later.  Began drinking excessively following assault at work; suicide attempt whilst on bail.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  On a separate occasion the appellant took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  Ct 7  Same time as ct 1, the appellant started touching JA and asked her to suck his penis, JA did not understand; the appellant the appellant touching JA and asked her to suck his penis, JA did not understand; the appellant the appellant touching JA and asked her to suck his penis. JA did not understand; the appellant the appellant town with spenis.  Ct 5  On a separate occasion the appellant took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  Ct 7  On a separate occasion JA was in the open during and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  JA's mother has struggled financially and emotionally since the offending.  At [105] 'the sentencing judge conduction the victim; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  JA's mother has struggled financially and emotionally since the offending.  The offending had a severe impact on the victim; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [105] 'the sentencing judge form other cases nature of the aggravating factors. Case. The aggravating factors were materially more serious than the other cases referred to.'	1 0 0 1 1
and returned a short time later.  Began drinking excessively following assault at work; suicide attempt whilst on bail.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  On a separate occasion the appellant took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  Ct 7  On a separate occasion JA was in the appellant took JA's underwear of conduct by the appellant at work; suicide attempt whilst on bail.  The offending had a severe impact on the victim; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [93] 'as to the appellant bundents and the wick is important element of the offence and thus not element of the off	
Began drinking excessively following assault at work; suicide attempt whilst on bail.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  On a separate occasion the appellant took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  Ct 7  Denis. JA did not understand; the appellant pushed her head onto his penis, and she opened her mouth.  The offending had a severe impact on the victim; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  On a separate occasion JA was in the offences of the offence and thus not remorseful.  At [91] 'from the outset, the appel disclose the offending by telling here with a population and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [105] 'the sentencing judge conduct by the distinguished from other cases nature of the appellant at took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  Ct 7  The sentencing judge found that the offences of conduct by the other cases referred to.'	
Began drinking excessively following assault at work; suicide attempt whilst on bail.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  On a separate occasion the appellant took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  Ct 7  On a separate occasion JA was in the  remorseful.  The offending had a severe impact on the victim; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [91] 'from the outset, the appell family.'  At [93] 'as to the appellant took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  Ct 7  On a separate occasion JA was in the  The offending had a severe impact on the victim; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [105] 'the sentencing judge conduct by the disclose the offending by telling had a severe impact on the victim; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [105] 'the sentencing judge conduct by the disclose the offending by telling had a severe impact on the victim; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [91] 'from the outset, the appellant to the victim; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [91] 'from the outset, the appellant to the victim; she has resorted to emotional eating a	
following assault at work; suicide attempt whilst on bail.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  On a separate occasion the appellant took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  Ct 7  On a separate occasion JA was in the following assault at work; suicide attempt whilst on bail.  The offending had a severe impact on the victim; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [91] 'from the outset, the appell disclose the offending by telling her victim; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [93] 'as to the appellant thoughts; low self-esteem; significant mitigating factor was here distinguished from other cases and told proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [105] 'the sentencing judge conduct by the distinguished from other cases and told proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [93] 'as to the appellant thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [105] 'the sentencing judge conduct by the disclose the offending by telling here.  At [105] 'the sentencing judge conduct by the disclose the offending by telling here.  At [93] 'as to the appellant thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [105] 'the sentencing judge conduct by the disclose the offending by telling here.  At [93] 'as to the appellant thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [105] 'the sentencing judge found that the offences proceedings is a supplied to proceeding is a supplied to proceeding is a s	not an additional aggravating factor.
attempt whilst on bail.  Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  On a separate occasion the appellant took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  Ct 7  The offending had a severe impact on the victim; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [93] 'as to the appellant thoughts; low self-esteem; significant mitigating factor was he distinguished from other cases nature of the aggravating factors.  At [105] 'the sentencing judge conduct by the other cases referred to.'	pellant sought to ensure that IA did not
Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  On a separate occasion the appellant took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  Ct 5  On a separate occasion the appellant took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  Ct 7  On a separate occasion the appellant took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  Ct 7  On a separate occasion the appellant took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  On a separate occasion JA was in the  Victim; she has resorted to emotional eating and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [105] 'the sentencing judge cond be distinguished from other cases nature of the aggravating factors were materially more serious than the arother cases referred to.'	
Met JA's mother online and formed a relationship shortly after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  On a separate occasion the appellant told JA about sex and told her he wanted to try it with her. The appellant took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  Ct 7  On a separate occasion the appellant told JA about sex and told her he wanted to try it with her. The appellant took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  Ct 7  The sentencing judge found that the offences represented a course of conduct by the  and gained 20kg; depression and anxiety; self-harmed frequently; frequent suicidal thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [93] 'as to the appellant thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [105] 'the sentencing judge constant of the aggravating factors were materially more serious than the another cases referred to.'	,
after; had two children of his own with JA's mother.  took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  Ct 7  The sentencing judge found that the offences represented a course of conduct by the  toold JA about sex and told her he wanted to try it with her. The appellant took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  Ct 7  The sentencing judge found that the offences represented a course of conduct by the  thoughts; low self-esteem; stress from court proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [105] 'the sentencing judge conduct was he distinguished from other cases nature of the aggravating factors was he wanted to try it with her. The appellant took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  The sentencing judge found that the offences represented a course of conduct by the  other cases referred to.'	
with JA's mother.  wanted to try it with her. The appellant took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  Ct 7  Ct 7  On a separate occasion JA was in the  wanted to try it with her. The appellant took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  The sentencing judge found that the offences represented a course of conduct by the  proceedings; constant nightmares.  At [105] 'the sentencing judge conduct be distinguished from other cases nature of the aggravating factors were materially more serious than the and other cases referred to.'	rsonal circumstances, the only
took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  Ct 7  Ct 7  On a separate occasion JA was in the  Took JA's underwear off and attempted to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  JA's mother has struggled financially and emotionally since the offending.  JA's mother has struggled financially and emotionally since the offending.  The sentencing judge found that the offences represented a course of conduct by the  At [105] 'the sentencing judge conductors and the sentencing judge found that the offences represented a course of conduct by the	s his pleas of guilty.'
to penetrate her vagina with his penis.  Ct 7  Ct 7  On a separate occasion JA was in the  To penetrate her vagina with his penis.  JA's mother has struggled financially and emotionally since the offending.  The sentencing judge found that the offences represented a course of conduct by the  JA's mother has struggled financially and emotionally since the offending.  The sentencing judge found that the offences represented a course of conduct by the  The sentencing judge found that the offences represented a course of conduct by the  The sentencing judge found that the offences represented a course of conduct by the	
emotionally since the offending.  Ct 7  The sentencing judge found that the offences On a separate occasion JA was in the  emotionally since the offending.  nature of the aggravating factors. In the aggravating factors were materially more serious than the aggravating factors were materially more serious than the aggravating factors. In the aggravating factors were materially more serious than the aggravating factors. In the aggravating factors were materially more serious than the aggravating factors were materially more serious than the aggravating factors. In the aggravating factors were materially more serious than the aggravating factors were materially more serious than the aggravating factors. In the aggravating factors were materially more serious than the aggravating factors.	<u>-</u>
Ct 7 The sentencing judge found that the offences of conduct by the Case. The aggravating factors were materially more serious than the a other cases referred to.'	
On a separate occasion JA was in the The sentencing judge found that the offences represented a course of conduct by the other cases referred to.'	
On a separate occasion JA was in the represented a course of conduct by the other cases referred to.'	
	aggravating factors in many of the
appellant's bedroom. The appellant appellant over a period of about three years,	
	oosed here is higher by a significant
cunnilingus on her. sentencing judge characterised the offending margin than many of the compara	
	ence imposed here and those imposed in
	r of implied error. Whilst there are comparable cases, the importance of
On a separate occasion, the appellant  Assessed as being of average risk of  consistency in sentencing cannot be	

			locked JA in her bedroom and put his penis in her anus.	reoffending.	
			<u>Cts 15 &amp; 16</u>		
			On a separate occasion the appellant told JA to go to his bedroom. The appellant then penetrated JA's anus, then her vagina with his penis.		
			<u>Cts 19 &amp; 20</u>		
			Whilst the JA was in the appellant's bedroom, he asked her to suck his penis. JA complied and the appellant later had penile/vaginal sex with her.	Aije Ryosecii	
			<u>Ct 21</u>	V. C.	
			On another occasion, the appellant told JA to come to his bedroom. When JA complied, he had sex with her.		
13.	The State of	45–46 yrs at time offending.	Cts 1–5, 9, & 15: Agg sex pen child	Ct 1: 3 yrs imp (conc).	Appeal allowed.
	Western Australia v ZER	56 at time sentencing.	U16 yrs. Ct 11: Agg indec deal U16 yrs.	Ct 2: 4 yrs imp (cum). Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (conc).	Appeal concerned first limb of totality principle.
	ZER	Convicted after trial.	et 11. 11gg maee dear e 10 yis.	Ct 4: 3 yrs imp (conc).	ripped concerned hist inno of totality principle.
	[2024] WASCA 84	X 1	The respondent and his wife were	Ct 5: 3 yrs imp (conc).	Resentenced:
	Delivered	No relevant criminal history.	approved foster carers. The victim, D was placed in the respondent's care as a	Ct 9: 3 yrs imp (conc). Ct 11: 18 mths imp.	Ct 15: 3 yrs 9 mths imp (cum).
	16/07/2024	Born in SA; grew up on a farm;	foster child. At the time of offending, D	Ct 15: 18 mths imp (cum).	et 13. 3 yıs 7 mais imp (cum).
		happy childhood; one of four	was between 13 and 15 yrs old.	_	TES: 7 yrs 9 mths imp.
		children.	Ct 1 & 2	TES: 5 yrs 6 mths imp.	At [65] 'as D's foster parent, the respondent was responsible for her
		Left school in yr 11; not	<u>Ct1&amp;2</u>	EFP.	care, had supervision of her, and authority over her.'
		academically inclined and	The respondent messaged D to come to		
		struggled to keep up.	his room. When she arrived, he locked	The sentencing judge found D was vulnerable	At [66] 'the seriousness of the offending in this case is readily
		Worked as a shearer from 16 yrs;	the door behind her, placed her on the bed and licked her vagina. The	due to her age, and because she had been placed in the respondent's care after having	apparent. The respondent committed multiple sexual offences over approximately 12 months against a 14-year-old girl who was his foster
		later worked in a grain handling	respondent then penetrated D's vagina	been sexually abused in another home.	child. The vulnerability of the victim as a foster child was heightened
		business.	with his penis.		by the fact that she had been sexually abused previously, a fact known
		One serious relationship — his	<u>Ct 3</u>	The sentencing judge found the offending occurred in the context of the respondent	to the respondent To describe his actions as an infatuation places a gloss of legitimacy on what was plainly very serious illegal conduct.'
		wife since 25 yrs old; family are		developing an 'infatuation' with D that	gioss of legitimacy on what was planny very serious megal conduct.
		supportive of him; youngest son	On another occasion, whilst D was	crossed boundaries. Accordingly, the	At [68] 'general deterrence was a very important sentencing
		diagnosed with autism.	driving in the car with the respondent, he asked her to suck his penis. D did so.	sentencing judge found personal deterrence was not a factor, as re-offending seemed unlikely.	consideration in the present caseThe need to ensure the protection of children is no less significant with children in foster care arrangements than with other children.'
			<u>Ct 4 &amp; 5</u>	difficity.	than with other children.
			On two other and	The sentencing judge made no finding as to	At [69] 'as to personal deterrence, it is generally safe to assume that a
			On two other occasions, the respondent	remorse, but did note a degree of remorse	person who has been prepared to repeatedly cross legal and moral

			was driving with D in the front passenger seat. The respondent told D to suck his penis, which she did.	from the respondent displayed in the pretext calls.	boundaries will need to be deterred from doing so againThe fact that such an offender has been unable to restrain their sexual interest despite knowing that the object of their interest is a child will usually justify personal deterrence being afforded some weight in the
			<u>Ct 9</u>		sentencing exercise.'
			When D was in the family's shed with the respondent, the respondent pulled D's pants down and put his fingers inside her vagina.  Ct 11		At [70] 'as to rehabilitation, the basis for the finding that the respondent had good prospects of rehabilitation was that there was nothing to indicate that he offended in a similar way in the 10 years that had elapsed since the offendingThere was nothing remarkable in this. It is not unusual for offenders who commit sexual offences against children not to be convicted until many years later and for them
			On a separate occasion in the shed, the		to have otherwise exemplary characters and supportive families.'
			respondent caused D to hold his penis.	0103	At [71] ' if there was any fleeting moment of remorse at the time of the pretext calls it was not sustained. It was much more likely that his
			<u>Ct 15</u>		conduct in [the pre-text] calls was a self-serving attempt to placate D so that she would not pursue the matter. In any event, when viewed as
			Whilst at the respondent's place of work, the respondent penetrated D's vagina with his penis.		a whole, it could not be sensibly maintained that the respondent was truly remorseful.'
			vagina with his penis.	of Pills	At [72] 'although the respondent has sought to distinguish his case on the basis of what is said to be an unusual combination of personal factors, when seen in proper context there is nothing remarkable about his personal circumstances.'
			ineck	5	At [84] 'when regard is had to the statutory maximum penalties, the seriousness of the offending, the particular vulnerability of the victim, the need for the sentence to reflect general deterrence and appropriate punishment of offending of this naturethe total effective sentence of 5 yrs 6 mths imprisonment fails to adequately reflect the high level of criminality of the respondent's overall offending.'
12.	RHW v The State	37 yrs at time offending.	2 x Sex pen child U16 yrs	Ct 1: 6 mths imp (cum).	Appeal allowed (leave refused on grounds 1 and 5).
	of Western Australia	39 yrs at time sentencing.  Convicted after PG (25%	The victim of the offending is the appellant's biological daughter, A. At	Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). TES: 3 yrs imp.	Appeal concerned the sentencing judge's finding that the appellant had minimised his conduct, and the type and length of sentence imposed.
	[2024] WASCA 83	discount).	the time of offending A was 14 yrs old.	EFP.	Resentenced:
	Delivered	No relevant criminal history.	<u>Ct 1</u>		
	16/07/2024	Raised in a large family; father	On one evening the appellant was home	The sentencing judge accepted that A was particularly vulnerable because, to the	Ct 1: 4 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 20 mths imp.
		used excessive physical	with A watching a movie. The appellant	knowledge of the appellant, A was struggling	•
		punishment.	fell asleep with A lying in front of him. The appellant was awoken by A's	with mental health issues and bullying at the time of offending.	TES: 2 yrs imp.
		Left school before yr 12 to	bottom moving next to his groin area.	differentiality.	EFP.
		commence an apprenticeship; worked in building trade.	The appellant became sexually aroused, and after initially mistaking A for his	The victim wrote a letter to the sentencing judge that omitted any mention of the	At [61] 'it is apparent from a consideration of the materials before the
		Suffered from depression and anxiety.	wife, reached over and penetrated A's vagina by rubbing her clitoris.	offending. The letter stated that she wished for the appellant to return home, and she could not cope without seeing him.	sentencing judge that, in our opinion, her Honour erred in finding that the appellant "minimised" his offending in the statement he made to [the psychologist].

		No substance abuse; regular alcohol consumption.  Wife and children remain supportive and want him to return home.	Immediately after committing ct 1, the appellant inserted his finger into A's vagina for a further one or two minutes before removing his hand.  A did not initially complain about the offending, but her behaviour changed. Some 18 months later, the appellant presented himself to a police station and confessed to the offending. The appellant was not the subject of any investigation, and the confession was completely unbidden.	The sentencing judge found that there was a level of persistence to the offending; ct 2 was a more serious offences as the appellant had realised that A was not his wife.  The sentencing judge found that the appellant had minimised the offending when interviewed by the psychologist.  The sentencing judge found the appellant was genuinely remorseful.	At [62] 'in our view, there was no material difference between that the appellant said to detectives in the VRI and what he later said to [the psychologist]. During the VRI, the appellant consistently said that he did not initially realise that the person lying next to him on the couch was his daughter. But after a short period of time, perhaps 20 to 30 seconds into the commission of the act of sex pen that constituted ct 1, and prior to the further act of sex pen that constituted ct 2, the appellant realised that it was A whom he was penetrating.'  At [78] 'the statement that an offender's voluntary disclosure of guilt is "ordinarily a significant matter to the credit of the offender", is to be understood to mean that it is a matter of mitigation in addition to the discount given for a plea, or pleas, of guilty.'  At [79] 'the appellant's confession was not motivated by fear of discovery or acceptance of the likelihood of being proven guiltyin this case, the appellant made a completely voluntary disclosure of his guilt, apparently against the wishes of A, in circumstances where the offending may not otherwise have ever come to light'  At [80] 'on any objective analysis, the appellant's offending was very serious.'  At [83] 'as we have said, the appellant's voluntary disclosure of his guilt was a significant additional mitigating factor. Nevertheless, in our opinion, even when viewed with all the other circumstancesit could not justify the imposition of any sentencing option other than immediate imprisonment'  At [86] 'however, the appellant's voluntary disclosure of his offending was a mitigating factor that required, by itself, a substantial additional degree of moderation to the sentence to be imposedthere is a strong public interest in offenders voluntarily confessing to their wrongdoings'  At [87] 'in our opinion, the individual sentence imposed on ct 2 in this case did not appropriately reflect the fact, and the importance, of the appellant's voluntary disclosure and subsequent cooper
11.	JFB v The State of Western Australia	31–35 yrs at time offending. 40 yrs at time sentencing.	Cts 1–4, 7, 9, and 11–14: Indec deal child de facto relative U16 yrs. Cts 5–6, and 8: Sex pen child de facto	Ct 1: 2 yrs imp (cum). Ct 2: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 2 yrs imp (conc).	Appeal dismissed (leave granted).  Appeal concerned first limb of totality principle.
	[2024] WASCA 41	Convicted after late PG (cts 1–4 and cts 11–14 25% discount).	relative U16 yrs.	Ct 4: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 5: 3 yrs 10 mths imp (cum).	At [12] 'while we accept that the total effective sentence imposed on
	Delivered	Convicted after trial (cts 5–9).	Over a period of four years, the	Ct 6: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	the appellant was certainly high, and at the upper end of the range of
	24/04/2024	Criminal history, driving drive	appellant sexually abused his de facto	Ct 7: 12 mths imp (conc).	sentences customarily imposed for offending of this type, we are not
		Criminal history; driving, drug and dishonesty offences; no prior sexual offending.	daughter, a child who was between 8 and 12 yrs during the period of her abuse. The offending occurred almost	Ct 8: 4 yrs 2 mths imp (HS). Ct 9: 12 mths imp (conc). Ct 11: 2 yrs imp (conc).	satisfied that the total effective sentence was so high as to manifest error.'

Born in Perth; eldest of two siblings; father left the family; mother formed another relationship; maintained close relationship with mother.

Left school in yr 10.

Worked consistently in construction and labouring, later in a furniture removal business.

Past issues of substance abuse; used cannabis in high school; three separate periods of 12–18 mths of methyl use.

every time the victim's mother went out.

#### Cts 1-4

On each occasion, the appellant was in his bedroom masturbating. The appellant then called the victim into the room and asked her to touch his penis, which she did. On each occasion the appellant continued to masturbate while touching the victim on the vaginal area outside of clothing.

### <u>Ct 5</u>

The appellant invited the victim into his bedroom to watch a movie. The appellant locked the bedroom door, removed the victim's pants and digitally penetrated her vagina.

### <u>Ct 6</u>

On another occasion, the victim was awoken to the appellant lying behind her digitally penetrating her vagina.

### Cts 7 & 8

Whilst on the couch with the appellant, he asked her to suck his penis. The victim refused and the appellant placed his hand down her pants and touched her buttocks. The appellant then sat across the victim's lap, grabbed the victim's jaw and forced his penis into her mouth.

## Ct 9

On a separate occasion, the appellant sat next to the victim on the couch and played with her hair and touched her breasts.

# Cts 11-14

On two separate occasions, the appellant invited the victim into his

Ct 12: 16 mths imp (conc).

Ct 13: 2 yrs imp (conc).

Ct 14: 16 mths imp (conc)

TES: 10 yrs imp.

EFP.

The sentencing judge found that the appellant had a degree of remorse given some of his admissions. However, the appellant was not entirely remorseful.

Victim described the pervasive effect of the offending; prevented her from having a close relationship with her mother; difficult relationship with her brother as he resembled the appellant; left isolated.

The sentencing judge found that the offending had escalated over time, as the appellant became emboldened by the victim having not complained. The offending only stopped due to the appellant's separation from the victim's mother.

The sentencing judge did not accept that appellant had no sexual interest in the victim. The appellant had used the victim for his sexual gratification, and he did so because he did not want to use prostitutes. The offending against the victim was 'nothing short of callous.'

At [13] 'in addition, the offences of sexual penetration for which the appellant was found guilty after trial all occurred on separate days and were serious example of their type. Not only did they involve the inherent seriousness and breach of trust involved in any intrafamilial sexual offending ... the offences also involved persistence over the protest of the victim, a degree of force (such as grabbing her jaw and pulling her mouth open) and caused pain to the victim. Furthermore, the offences for which the appellant was convicted were not isolated occasions but representative of more extensive sexual abuse, the effect of which has had a profound and pervasive effect on the victim's life.'

At [61]–[62] 'while recognising the limited utility of previous cases in an appeal such as the present one, the appellant identified a number of decisions which he submitted supported the conclusion that the TES in the present case did not bear a proper relationship to the overall criminality... A number of those previous decisions ... concerned sentences imposed by this Court more than 10 years ago.

At [72] '... the offending as a whole was committed despite the victim's repeated protest and was, as the learned sentencing judge recognised, callously indifferent to the victim's wishes and had a profound and pervasive effect on her.'

At [73] 'it was appropriate, therefore, that there be accumulation of a number of the sentences to recognise the variety of the offending, the separate occasions upon which it occurred, and the period of time over which the appellant abused the victim. To have accumulated the sentences for three of the 13 offences was a sound exercise of sentencing discretion.'

At [81] 'in a case such as the present, where the appellant did not plead guilty to the most serious of the offences for which he was convicted, and the victim was required to give evidence and be cross-examined, the impact of the guilty pleas will necessarily carry less weigh in determining the appropriate total effective sentence. The risk of further trauma and psychological harm to the victim, in such a case, cannot be said to have been avoided.'

At [94] '... the total effective sentence imposed by the learned sentencing judge was severe, and at the upper limit of sentences customarily imposed for offending of its type.'

	ı			T	T
			bedroom and asked her to touch his		
			penis. On each occasion the victim		
			touched and rubbed his penis, as he		
			masturbated. As he masturbated, he		
			placed his hand down her pants and		
			rubbed her vaginal area.		
10.	DWG v The State	46-57 yrs at time offending.	Cts 1-2; 6; 10-11; 15; 17-18 & 21:	Cts 1-2; 6; 10-11 & 15: 18 mths imp (conc).	Appeal dismissed.
	of Western	65 yrs at time sentencing.	Indec deal child 13-16 yrs.	Cts 5 & 22: 2 yrs imp (cum).	
	Australia		Cts 5; 7 & 16: Sex pen child 13-16 yrs.	Cts 7 & 20: 3 yrs imp (cum).	Appeal concerned length of sentence (principle of restraint).
		Convicted after very late PG (2%	Ct 9: Att sex pen child 13-16 yrs.	Cts 9; 17-18; 21 & 23: 2 yrs imp (conc).	The state of the s
	[2023] WASCA	discount).	Ct 20: Att sex pen child U13 yrs.	Ct 16: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	At [152] ' the TES imposed on the appellant, and the time before the
	133	discounty.	Cts 22 & 23: Agg indec assault.	et 10. 2 yrs o mais imp (cone).	appellant is EFP, is 8 mths shorter than was the case under the original
	133	No criminal history.	Cts 22 & 23. rigg mace assault.	TES 10 yrs imp.	sentence. The appellant has gained a benefit from the success of his
	Delivered	No criminal history.	The offending involved three victims,	TES TO YIS IMP.	appeal against conviction, There can be no perception that the
	07/09/2023	Mamiada tuya ahilduan fuam	,	Appellant aniginally convicted after this of 24	== = = =
	07/09/2023	Married; two children from	SB; JW and BB.	Appellant originally convicted after trial of 24	appellant is being punished for having instituted the appeal against
		previous marriage; estranged	Ct 1 2 5 7 0 11 15 116	cts involving child sex offences against the	conviction. There is no infringement of the principle of restraint in
		since being charged with current	Cts 1, 2, 5-7, 9-11, 15 and 16	three victims. A TES of 10 yrs 8 mths imp	these circumstances.'
		offences; parents in deteriorating	The victim, SB, was 14-15 yrs old and a	was imposed. The appellant appealed	1.54.557 (1.54.55)
		health; younger brother with	neighbour of DWG's wife. The	conviction and a new trial was ordered. The	At [157] 'in our view, the only potentially significant differences in the
		whom he has no relationship since	offending occurred over a period of 1	second trial was aborted. The third trial	criminality found in the two sentencing exercises concern the
		offending became apparent.	yr.	commenced, during which the appellant	appellant's PG at the third trial and the lesser number of cts of which
				entered PG to 16 of the 24 cts in full	he was convicted at the third trial.'
		Good employment history.	DWG agreed to help SB with his go-	satisfaction of indictment.	
			karting interests. SB would often attend	X	At [160] ' in all the circumstances of this case, an 8-mth reduction
		Some physical health conditions;	DWG's home where he would engage	The sentencing judge found a number of agg	was proportionate to the reduced overall criminality involved in the
		manageable in prison.	in sexual behaviour with SB, including	features of the offending; the disparity in ages	offences of which the appellant was convicted at the third trial as
			masturbation and fellatio and, on one	between the appellant and the complainants;	compared to the first trial'
		No reported illicit substance use	occasion, DWG had SB push his penis	the breach of trust involved in the offending;	
		or excessive alcohol consumption.	into his anus. SB felt disgusted by what	two of which were within the family unit; the	At [162] 'this court has not previously considered the application of
		1	had happened and took a shower.	persistent nature of the offending against SB	the principle of restraint where the offender is sentenced for a lesser
			11	and JW, which included an element of	number of offences after retrial'
			Cts 17, 18, 20 and 21	grooming and normalisation of conduct; the	
			JW was DWG's step-grandson, who	lack of resistance by the complainants, who	
			was 11-12 yrs old at the time of the	did not consider that they were in a position	
			offending.	to offer any residence; the offending against	
			oriending.	all complainants was planned and	
			The offences took place at DWG's	premediated; the various sexual acts involved	
			<u> </u>	included some of the most serious types of	
			home, while thy were alone in his house. DWG would masturbate JW's	• •	
				offending and the degrading and humiliating	
			penis and he would have JW masturbate	nature of the offending.	
			him.		
		X		The sentencing judge found that a term of imp	
			On one occasion DWG convinced JW	the only appropriate sentencing option; to	
			to put his penis into his mouth. DWG	reflect there were three complainants and that	
			then tried to put JW's penis into his	the offending occurred on numerous	
			mouth. JW blocked his face with his	occasions over 10.5 yrs.	
			hands. He told JW it was normal and it		
			would feel good. He then att to force	Limited remorse.	
			JW's head onto his penis, but JW		
			resisted.		
-	1	•	•	•	

				T	
			Cts 22 and 23 The victim BB was DWG's nephew. He was 16 yrs old at the time of the offending.		
			After giving BB driving lessons DWG told BB to suck his penis. When BB did not want to, he encouraged him to try, telling him there was nothing to be afraid of. BB, petrified, repeatedly told DWG he did not want to do it. DWG masturbated, removed BB's shorts and		
			underwear and then touched his penis with his own. BB froze. He then placed BB's hand on his penis and moved it up and down.	P40sech	
9.	Coutts v The State	29-30 yrs at time offending.	Cts 1-8: Sex pen child 13-16 yrs.	Cts 1 & 5: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	Allowed.
	of Western	32 yrs at time sentencing.	Ct 9: Indec deal child 13-16 yrs.	Cts 2 & 3: 4 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	
	Australia			Cts 4 & 8: 4 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned totality principle.
		Convicted after early PG (20%	The two victims, were B, a boy aged 15	Ct 6: 4 yrs imp (conc).	
	[2023] WASCA 38	discount).	yrs, and D, a girl aged 14 yrs.	Ct 7: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	Resentenced (20% discount):
	Delivered	Drior oriminal history	The offending in respect of P gross out	Ct 9: 1 yr 6 mths imp (cum).	Ct 2: A vrs imp (our)
	01/03/2023	Prior criminal history.	The offending in respect of B arose out of one incident. The offending in	TES 10 yrs 6 mths imp.	Ct 2: 4 yrs imp (cum). Ct 9: 1 yr 6 mths imp (conc).
	01/03/2023	Traumatic and dysfunctional	respect of D occurred over a six-mth	TES TO YIS O mais mip.	Ct 9. 1 yr 6 mais mip (conc).
		childhood; eldest of two sons and	period and the charges representative of	EFP.	All other individual sentences and orders for cum or conc unaffected.
		two older half-brothers; parents	ongoing sexual conduct.	Lit.	An other individual sentences and orders for cum of cone unaffected.
		separated when aged 4 yrs; in care	oligoling sexual conduct.	Earlier proceedings:	TES 8 yrs 6 mths imp.
		of his father until aged 12 yrs;	Cts 1 & 2	Earner proceedings.	125 6 yis 6 mais mp.
		father often wheelchair-bound due		Coutts PG to the separate charges against B	TES.
		to muscular disorder; returned to	application. B told Coutts he was 18 yrs	and was sentenced to 12 mths imp and 6 mths	TEO.
		live with his mother after period	old. They exchanged sexualised	imp respectively, both sentences conditionally	At [36] We have set out the earlier proceedings they are relevant to
		in foster care; mother own	indecent messages, including images	susp 18 mths.	the present appeal for the following reasons. First, they provide context
		difficulties, including misuse of	and recordings.	1	to the offences that are the subject of the appeal. Secondly, it is now
		prescription medication and		The sentencing judge found the offending agg	apparent that the appellant was untruthful in the earlier proceedings
		mental health issues.	On meeting for the first time Coutts and	by the fact there were two victims; there was	about when the sexual relationship with B ceased the appellant's
			B engaged in sexual intercourse. This	a significant age difference between the	lack of honesty regarding his conduct in relation to B is relevant in
		Subjected to severe, repeated and	marked the beginning of a sexual	appellant and each of the victims; it involved	assessing his remorse and the need for personal deterrence. Thirdly,
		degrading sexual and physical	relationship. Coutts believed that B was	breaches of trust; D was sexually	the appellant was on bail for the earlier offences at the time he
		abuse by his father; removed from	over the age of 16 yrs. Sometime later	inexperienced and, as a result of the offending	committed the offences against D
		his care by child protection aged	he discovered that B was 15 yrs old.	conduct, suffered an infection; the offending	A . F701
		12 yrs; six-mths spent in foster	A.C. 1	in each case was repeated; he secured the	At [78] the appellant had some significant mitigating factors
		care; father subsequently imp for	After becoming aware of B's age Coutts	cooperation of the victims by friendship and	Whilst [he] had not been honest about his conduct or sexual behaviour
		the abuse; father deceased.	met B and drove him to his home where they engaged in further sexual activity.	in the case of D, she believed they were in a relationship.	when dealt with for the prior offences, he was completely frank when dealt with for these offences Further, whilst personal factors are
		Close relationship with mother;	me, engaged in further sexual activity.	Totalonomp.	usually accorded lesser weight, the appellant's history of childhood
		no longer in contact with other	The earlier proceedings:	The sentencing judge found the appellant's	trauma was relevant. It explained, without justifying, his sexual
		family members.	Coutts also exchanged sexual images	childhood trauma impacted his offending and	conduct and was relevant in assessing his moral culpability.
			with B after he became aware he was	would make him a more vulnerable prisoner.	

		Disrupted education; completed yrs 1 and 2 at primary school; then home schooled by his father; rarely completed homework and schoolwork; later attended three primary schools; diagnosed with ADHD; struggled with schoolwork; victimised by peers; repeated yr 7; frequently susp and expelled in high school; continuing limitations with literacy and numeracy; certificates in education and hospital/patient care assistance.  Employed various jobs from aged 16 yrs; no regular work since 28-29 yrs; on disability support pension due to mental health issues.  History of self-harm from aged 9 yrs; suffers depression, anxiety and trauma symptoms; visual and auditory hallucinations when stressed; diagnosed with McArdle's disease, same medical condition as his father.  Abuse of opioid prescription medication from aged 18 yrs; some alcohol and cannabis use.	under the age of 16 yrs. This conduct resulted in Coutts being charged and dealt with separately with one ct each of using an electronic communication with intent to expose a person U16 to indec material and possess CEM.  Cts 3-9 Coutts was a friend of D's family and he had gained the trust of her mother. He would spend time with D and invite her to spend weekends at his home.  D came to believe she was in a relationship with Coutts and the relationship became a sexual one. Coutts and D engaged in sexual conduct, including intercourse and digital penetration.	Victims adversely affected by offending.  Expressed remorse; well above average risk of reoffending.	At [91] When the appellant's PG are taken into account the difference between the sentences is greater than would be expected, even allowing for the fact that there were two victims  At [99] Taking all relevant factors into account the TES is disproportionate to the overall offending The TES is unreasonable and unjust
8.	Amedi v The State	22 yrs 7 mths at time offending.	Cts 1; 3-7: Sex pen child 13-16 yrs.	Ct 1: 2 yrs imp (cum).	Dismissed (leave refused).
	of Western Australia	24 yrs at time sentencing.	The victim, D, was aged between 15 yrs	Ct 3: 2 yrs imp (conc). Ct 4: 2 yrs imp (conc).	Appeal concerned first limb of totality principle and length of
		No prior criminal history.	10-and-a-half mths and 15 yrs 11 mths.	Ct 5: 4 yrs imp (cum).	individual sentences.
	[2022] WASCA 172	Convicted after very late PG (cts	Amedi met D online via a messaging	Ct 6: 2 yrs imp (conc). Ct 7: 2 yrs imp (conc).	At [58] The individual sentences imposed for each of cts 1, 3, 4, 6 and
		3 and 7) (5% discount).	application in a chat group		7 concerned offences in which it was not alleged that the offending
	Delivered 23/12/2022	Convicted after trial (cts 1, 4-6).	predominantly used by swinging couples.	TES 6 yrs imp.	was agg by the absence of consent on the part of the complainant. It is also the case that D was close to the age of 16. However, the offending
	23/12/2022		Couples.	EFP.	concerned a vulnerable victim and a not insignificant age disparity
		Second youngest of six children	D told Amedi she was aged 17 yrs, but still at school and wore a uniform.	The contending judge rejected submissions a	between her and the appellant.
		to Kurdish parents; raised in a nurturing and supportive family;	sum at seniour and wore a uniform.	The sentencing judge rejected submissions a conditionally susp term of imp should be	At [59] On each of the two occasions on which the appellant engaged
		close relationship with parents	The communications between Amedi	imposed.	in sexual activity with D, he exerted some pressure on her to engage in
		and siblings.	and D through the messaging application were sexually explicit,	The sentencing judge found ct 5 the most	that activity. The offending on ct 1 was agg by the appellant's threats to distribute intimate images of D if she did not meet with him for the
		Completed yr 12; Bachelor of	including sending each other nude	serious offence as anal pen occurred without	purpose of sexual activity all of the offending was agg because the
		Applied Science; TAFE diploma.	photographs of their genital areas. They	D's consent and ct 1 was agg by the fact he	appellant did not wear a condom. Cts 6 and 7 involved forceful oral

		Exclusive relationship with current partner; partner supportive; intends to marry a Kurdish woman.  Disability support work; employed draftsman at time sentencing.  No significant health issues; addicted to sexual behaviour and history of use of online sites to meet others for sexual behaviour.  Cannabis use.	eventual met in person.  On the first occasion they arranged to meet, D did not turn up. So Amedi sent her messages to the effect that unless she met him he would distribute nude photographs of her which she had sent to him. When she messaged him, asking what he wanted he told her he wanted sex. They arranged to meet at her workplace.  On Amedi's arrival at D's workplace they met in a toilet, where they engaged in anal intercourse (ct 1).  Following this first encounter, Amedi and D continued to exchange messages about meeting each other, and others, for sexual activity. D told Amedi she did not want to engage in anal sex again.  About two weeks later Amedi and D again met D, this time at her home. They smoked cannabis and again engaged in sexual activity, including anal intercourse (cts 3; 4-7).	threatened to distribute intimate images of her and he did not wear a condom.  The sentencing judge found the victim vulnerable; there was an age disparity of almost seven yrs; the appellant exerted some pressure on D to engage in sexual activity and he attempted to secure her participation in sexual activity by offering to pay her.  Offending adverse effects on victim; requires ongoing counselling.  Very little demonstrated remorse, insight or victim empathy.	pen. Cts 6 and 7 occurred after the act of anal pen the subject of ct 5.  At [61] Ct 5, was, The most serious offence committed by the appellant involving, as it did, an act of anal pen which, to the appellant's knowledge occurred despite D's express refusal of consent.  At [62]-[63] it is not reasonably arguable that any of the individual sentences imposed was manifestly excessive. They were not unreasonable or plainly unjust. To the contrary, each represented an appropriate exercise of the sentencing discretion the offending involved two separate incidents. Some accumulation of the sentences was appropriate
7.	OTR v The State of Western Australia  [No 2] [2022] WASCA 123  Delivered 27/09/2022	20-43 yrs at time offending. 57 yrs at time sentencing.  Convicted after trial.  Limited criminal history.  Youngest of three children; father died aged two yrs; mother remarried; volatile relationship with step-father; spent time with grandparents.  Bullied at school; suffered physical injuries; attended a psychologist on exhibiting potential for self-harm.  Strong employment history; Bachelor of Education; qualified schoolteacher; 27-yr teaching	Ct 1: Indec deal child U14 yrs. Cts 4-7: Indec deal child 13-16 yrs. Cts 8-10, 12, 13, 15-18: Sex pen child U13 yrs. Ct 11: Procured a child U13 yrs to engage in sex behaviour.  OTR engaged in sexual activity with three victims, GN and JP, both boys and his biological daughter CT.  The offences against JP and CT were representative of an overall pattern of conduct towards each of them over time.  Ct 1 – offending against GN OTR was aged 20 or 21 yrs.  OTR and the victim GN are second cousins. GN was 11 yrs old.	Ct 1: 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 4, 6, 7 & 18: 2 yrs imp (conc). Ct 5: 1 yr 6 mths imp (cum). Cts 8-10 & 15: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc). Ct 11: 2 yrs imp (cum). Cts 12 & 17: 4 yrs imp (conc). Ct 13: 4 yrs 6 mths imp (conc). Ct 16: 5 yrs imp.  TES 9 yrs imp (IND/667).  IND/667 cum on earlier TES of 5 yrs imp imposed on IND/666 concerning sexual offences committed against two boys U13 yrs.  TES 14 yrs imp.  The sentencing judge found the offences involved a significant abuse of trust; all three victims had a familial connection and close	Dismissed (leave refused –totality principle).  Appeal concerned length of TES and totality principle.  At [81] It was necessary, in our view, in order to properly mark the appellant's overall criminality, to order some accumulation of the sentences concerning CT. CT was subjected to repeated and prolonged sex offending which has had severe consequences for CT's mental and physical wellbeing In all the circumstances it was appropriate to order that the term for [ct 16] and the term for [ct 11] be served cum. So too it was necessary to provide for accumulation of the sentence concerning GN and some accumulation of the sentences concerning JP. A degree of accumulation is to be expected where there are multiple victims.  At [82] In addition, in order to properly mark the seriousness of the overall offending, [it] was correct to order that the TES in relation to the offending the subject of IND/667 should be served cum upon the TES in relation to the offending the subject of IND/666 The objective seriousness of the offending against GN, JP and CT as a whole – and in particular the offending against CT – demanded

		1 12010 6 1	I		
		career; ended 2010 after charges		relationship with the appellant; who took	condign punishment.
		of sex offending against a child	OTR provided GN with alcohol. Drunk	advantage of his position of trust to deprive	
		(acquitted).	and feeling dizzy and a little bit ill, GN	each child of his or her innocence for his own	At [84] The TES of 14 yrs' imp bears a proper relationship to the
		100 1	lay down on a mattress. OTR put his	sexual gratification; there was an element of	overall criminality involved in all of the offences, viewed in their
		Married aged 23 yrs; three	hand on GN's penis and stroked it.	coercive or forceful behaviour in the	entirety, having regard to all relevant facts and circumstances and all
		children; separated.		offending involving GN and CT.	relevant sentencing factors.
			Cts 4-7 – offending against JP		5
		Treated for anxiety; depression;	The offending against JP occurred over	Offending significant impact on victims;	
		hypertension and gastric ulcers.	a period of about 2 yrs, when OTR was	offending considerable harm to both GN and	, O y
			aged between 36 and 38 yrs.	CT and psychological consequences likely to	
				affect them for the rest of their lives.	
			JP is OTR's nephew. At the time of the		
			offending OTR was aged between 13	No remorse or contrition; no acceptance of	
			and 14 yrs. OTR would engage in	responsibility for his criminal conduct.	
			sexual activity with JP, involving		
			mutual masturbation and masturbating		
			in front of JP.		
				· C \ Y	
			Cts 8-13; 15-18 – offending against CT	110	
			The offending against CT occurred over		
			a period of about 3 or 4 yrs, very soon		
			after the offending against JP ended.	e Rilolic V	
				C. X	
			At the time of the offending OTR was		
			aged between 38 and 43 yrs and CT was	O	
			aged between 4 and 7 yrs.	X	
			OTD 1: 1 (: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :		
			OTR engaged in sexual activity with		
			CT, involving touching; digital and		
			penile penetration and fellatio. On one		
			occasion OTR placed an electric		
	CHE TI C.	(0)	toothbrush on her clitoris.		
6.	GUE v The State	69 yrs at time sentencing.	3 x Sex pen child 13-16 yrs.	Ct 1: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).	Dismissed (leave refused – length of sentence).
	of Western	Convicted often trial	The victim was 7 and 11 -1 - CHE	Ct 2: 3 yrs 3 mths imp (cum).	Annual concerned totality minerials and length of contract Cod' '1 1
	Australia	Convicted after trial.	The victim was 7 yrs old when GUE	Ct 3: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).	Appeal concerned totality principle and length of sentence (individual
	[2022] WASCA	No animinal history	entered a relationship with her aunt.	TES 6 years 0 method imm	sentences not challenged).
	[2022] WASCA	No criminal history.	The offending occurred when the victim	TES 6 yrs 9 mths imp.	At [61] the appellant's offending had somious features [11]
	121	Marriad 22 year three core	was 'at the very latest' 13 yrs and a few mths old.	EFP.	At [61] the appellant's offending had serious features. [He]
	Delivered	Married 23 yrs; three sons;	muis oiu.	EFF.	groomed the complainant in order to facilitate his abuse of her. His
		divorced.	CIVE agreed to tooch the wisting to all	The trial judge found the offeres the	offences were not isolated; they were part of a course of sexual
	20/09/2022	Current partner (victim's sunt)	GUE agreed to teach the victim to play	The trial judge found the offences 'very	offending against the complainant. There was a very substantial age
		Current partner (victim's aunt)	the drums. Her lessons spanned a period	serious instances of offences of their kind';	disparity between the complainant and the appellant. Being a girl of 12
		very significant ongoing physical	of about two yrs.	the offending agg by having occurred over a	or 13 yrs of age at the time of the offending, the complainant was
		disabilities; requires physical assistance; her full-time carer.	CITE who had a qualification in	period of grooming calculated to make the	vulnerable. The appellant abused his position of trust as the partner of
		assistance, her full-time caref.	GUE, who had a qualification in	victim receptive to the abuse; each offence	the complainant's aunt who was treated by her as an uncle and who
		Long work history and loved	massage, would sometimes give various	was part of a course of sexual offending; the	was trusted to teach her drumming
		Long work history; employed	members of the victim's family	large age disparity of 41 ½ yrs between the	At [62] In our view it was sman to the taid in land or a sman
		various business enterprises;	massages. When the victim had muscle	victim and the appellant; the appellant's abuse	At [63] In our view, it was open to the trial judge, on a proper
		retired at time sentencing.	soreness she asked GUE for massages.	of a position of significant trust.	exercise of her Honour's discretion, to impose the sentences that were
			The massages took place after drum		ultimately imposed.

	Currently in good health; heart attack 2015; medicated for cholesterol and blood pressure.	lessons.  At some point after the massages began GUE began to groom the victim to accept him touching her in a sexual manner.  GUE engaged in a pattern of sexual abuse. On multiple occasions he would stimulate her clitoris and, on occasions, would massage her breasts.	The trial noted the effect the appellant's imp would have on his partner.  Little risk of reoffending.	At [72] given the seriousness of the appellant's offending, the mitigatory effect of his partner's debilitating health problems can be given only quite limited weight.
		On one occasion GUE digitally pen the victim's vagina. When she told him it hurt he stopped (ct 1).  On another occasion he touched the	Rioseci	
		victim's clitoris and performed oral sex on her (cts 2 and 3).	7. C	
5. Oreo v The State of Western Australia	48-49 yrs at time offending. 50 yrs at time sentencing.  Convicted after early PG (25%	Cts 1-2; 6-8: Indec deal child 13-16 yrs. Cts 3-5; 9: Sex pen child 13-16 yrs. Ct 10: Procured a child U13 yrs to do indec act.	Cts 3 & 4: 18 mths imp (conc). Ct 5: 3 yrs imp (cum).	Allowed.  Appeal concerned miscarriage of justice (erroneous understanding
[2022] WASCA		Ct 11: Poss CEM.	Cts 6 & 7: 4 mths imp (conc). Cts 8 & 10: 12 mths imp (conc).	conduct in relation to J was criminal in that J was U18 yrs and any belief J was at least 16 yrs not mitigating).
Delivered 03/06/2022	Minor prior criminal history.  Two siblings; loving and caring parents; not subjected to abuse; father alcohol-dependent; witnessed his father assault his mother.  Parents deceased; supportive sister.  Homosexual; came out 3 yrs prior to sentencing; difficulties dealing with his sexuality.  Completed yr 10 high school.  Sound work history; employed at time of offending.  History of amphetamine and methyl abuse.	The offending involved two separate victims, J and T, both 14-yr old boys, and three separate incidents.  The first victim, J, met Oreo on an online dating application. On the site J indicated he was about 20 yrs old.  When Oreo questioned J as to his age he told Oreo he was 16 yrs old.  Oreo met J at a public toilet. J was wearing his school uniform. Inside a toilet stall they kissed and engaged in a number of sexual acts (cts 1-6).  Oreo and J continued to communicate with each other about meeting for sex.	Ct 9: 18 mths imp (cum).  Ct 11: 12 mths imp (cum).  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  EFP.  The sentencing judge found there was a significant likelihood the appellant was aware J was under the age of 16 yrs and that he was aware T was 14-yrs old.  The sentencing judge found the offending aggravated by the fact it involved two different 14-yr-old males; the offending and surrounding text messages indicated a sexual interest in underage males and his willingness to act on that interest; it was premediated; involved unprotected pen sexual activity; there was a significant age disparity; he sent messages and intended to distribute the image of T's penis in an att to enlist other adult males to engage in sexual activity with T and	Returned to District Court for re-sentencing.  At [48] it was an admitted fact that J had told the appellant he was 16 yrs old. While we accept that the appellant may have faced some challenges in proving an honest belief, we cannot conclude that he had no reasonable prospect of doing so. The fact that counsel's misapprehension effectively deprived the appellant of the opportunity to att to prove that fact constitutes a miscarriage of justice in these circumstances.  At [52] we are satisfied that the misunderstanding of defence counsel gave rise to a miscarriage of justice in all the circumstances of this case.

			(cts 7-9).  After this incident J's mother found text messages on her son's phone about meeting men for sex and contacted the police. When interviewed J disclosed the offending and identified Oreo from a digiboard.  About a month later Oreo met the second victim, T, through a dating application. They began communicating by text and in one text message T told Oreo he was 14 yrs-old.  Oreo then sent T multiple sexually explicit text messages and arranged to meet him, implicitly for the purpose of engaging in sexual activity (ct 10).	accumulation was appropriate to reflect the fact that there were two separate complainants and three separate incidents.  High risk of reoffending; some acceptance of responsibility; no insight into the impact of his offending behaviour or taken full responsibility for his offending behaviour.	
			During the text messages Oreo asked T to send him a photo of his penis and he complied. On receiving the photo Oreo messaged another phone contact stating, 'I have a horny 14-yr-old for you tomorrow'. He then attempted to send the photo of T's penis to this person, but the message failed to send (ct 11).  The meeting with T did not occur. Oreo		
			was arrested the following morning.		
4.	Tullock v The State of Western	45 yrs at time offending.	1 x Sex pen of a child U16 yrs.	7 yrs 8 mths imp.	Dismissed (leave refused) - on papers.
	Australia	Convicted after trial.	The victim, K, was aged 15 yrs. She	EFP.	Appeal concerned length of sentence.
	[2022] WASCA 11  Delivered 11/02/2022	Very long and serious criminal history; significant period of his adult life spent in custody.  Born and raised WA.  Left school yr 7.  Limited employment history.  Four children.  Entrenched and extensive history of illicit substance use; commenced using alcohol and	was intoxicated, having consumed a significant amount of alcohol earlier in the day.  Tullock, who was not previously known to K, met her in the Perth CBD. He gave her sips from an alcopop drink and told her that he could provide her with more alcohol. K agreed to walk with him to collect it.  It would have been obvious to Tullock that K was intoxicated.  In a stairwell of a carpark, K was too drunk to speak, leaning against a wall	The sentencing judge found the appellant's meeting with K opportunistic and his conduct 'somewhat predatory'; he was much older than K, who was vulnerable by reason of her age and level of intoxication; he took her to a secluded location, described by K as a 'dirty stairwell in a public carpark' under the pretext of providing an already drunk K with more alcohol and he used some degree of physical force on K.  The sentencing judge found the serious features of the offending and the appellant's criminal history underscored the need to give	At [29] It was indisputably a various serious example of its type. The offence involved a high degree of criminality. The appellant enticed K to an isolated location with the promise of more alcohol. Her state of intoxication was such that she could, in no way, protect herself. The appellant took sexual advantage of a child who was vulnerable by reason of her age and her state of intoxication. K plainly did not consent and was, at one point, unconscious. The appellant engaged in sexual intercourse with K that culminated in his ejaculation inside her His actions exposed her to the risk of pregnancy and disease. At the time of the offence, he had a positive hepatitis C status. The offence was accompanied by a degree of force which left K bruised  At [30] Her Honour was correct to find that there were no mitigating factors in the case The appellant poses a risk of further serious

		cannabis early teens; using methyl and heroin 15 yrs; intoxicated by methyl and alcohol at time offending, but not to a significant degree.  No diagnosed mental health conditions or disorders, but on remand prescribed medication for depressive-like-symptoms.	and trying to stay awake.  Just before 6.10 pm, Tullock grabbed K's forearm, bruising it. He then pulled down her pants and had sexual intercourse with her, without a condom. He ejaculated inside her. During intercourse K passed out so he poured water on her face, after which she regained consciousness.	of punishment, protection of the public and personal and general deterrence and expressly found no mitigating circumstances.  Offending serious and ongoing adverse effect on victim.  No demonstrated victim empathy or remorse.	offending. While his prior criminal record is not an aggravating factor, her Honour was entitled to regard the record as underscoring the need to impose a sentence which, among other things, emphasised the sentencing objectives of punishment, personal and general deterrence and the protection of the public. Unfortunately, the appellant's prospects of rehabilitation, at this point, do not appear strong.
			At the time of the offence K's blood alcohol level was close to 0.18%.		
3.	WNO v The State of Western Australia  [2021] WASCA 141  Delivered 12/08/2021	27 yrs at time offending. 29 yrs at time sentencing.  Convicted after trial.  Criminal history; no prior convictions of a sexual nature.  Upbringing marked by degree of deprivation and disadvantage; very close to his mother; grief-stricken after her death.  Completed yr 9 high school.  Worked in IT with older brother; employment prospects upon release from prison.  Using methyl on a daily basis at time offending.	Cts 1; 2; 4-6; 8-10 & 12: Indec deal child 13-16 yrs. Cts 3; 7 & 11: Sex pen child 13-16 yrs. The victim, J, was aged 14 yrs. She was WNO's niece.  J's parents were on a week-long overseas holiday. She and her 17 yr old brother were staying at the family home by themselves.  The morning after J's parents departed Perth WNO rang J and asked her if she wanted to go out. She declined. He then asked if she wanted to come to his house instead. J again declined. Upset by J's refusals he travelled to her house and yelled at her. He then apologised and asked her to go with him to the shops. She agreed. On the way WNO pulled over his vehicle and kissed her on the lips. He also put his hand inside her pants and touched her buttocks (ct 1).  Later that day WNO asked J to give him 'a proper kiss'. Despite her refusal he	Cts 1; 2; 4 & 5: 6 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum). Ct 6: 9 mths imp (cum). Ct 7: 2 yrs imp (cum). Cts 8 & 9: 9 mths imp (conc). Cts 10 & 11: 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 12: 18 mths imp (conc).  TES 6 yrs 9 mths imp.  EFP.  The sentencing judge found the offending serious; it was sustained over a period of five days; was persistent; overbearing and oppressive conduct and to a degree premeditated; it was a gross breach of trust and J was particularly vulnerable, given the absence of her parents and the inability of her grandfather and older brother to offer her protection.  The sentencing judge found no other penalty other than imp was appropriate.  Offending profound and adverse effect upon J.	Dismissed (leave refused).  Appeal concerned totality principle.  At [38] His Honour rightly regarded the offences committed by the appellant as serious  At [40] All of the appellant's offending was serious. The appellant treated J not as his niece, but as his girlfriend. He did so in a controlling and sometimes forceful way. Without in any way minimising the seriousness of the unwelcome kissing, some of which was accompanied by behaviour which could be described as 'groping', the acts of digital pen were particularly serious. The act of masturbating while touching [her] buttocks in her bed was also highly offensive.  At [41] In our opinion, the TES imposed did not infringe the totality principle. The appellant's offending, considered as a whole, exhibited a high degree of criminality Some accumulation of the sentences was required, given that the offending occurred on different days in separate incidents
		O Y	again kissed her on the lips (ct 2).  That evening WNO drove to J's house.  J was in her bedroom. He entered her room locked the door and put on a movie. She told him he was not meant to be in her room and attempted to leave. Before she could do so he grabbed her, pulled her onto her bed	Appellant not truly remorseful; risk of reoffending dependent upon his methyl use in the future.  Although not the subject of charges the appellant had, on previous occasions, slept in J's bed and touched her breasts, bottom and vagina.	

and put his hand under her top and pants, squeezing her breast and rubbing the outside and inside of her vagina (ct WNO spent the night in her bedroom and the next morning, while they were outside, he gave J a hug and kissed her on the lips. She wiped her lips, he told her not to do that and kissed her again (ct 4). He then left J's house. Later that day, as she was walking to the shops, WNO stopped to talk with her. He pulled her close and kissed her on the lips (ct 5). He then drove J to the shops, behaving as if they were in an intimate relationship. On the drive home he squeezed one of her breasts over her clothing (ct 6). The next evening WNO again went to J's home. In her bedroom he squeezed her breasts under her bra and touched and penetrated her vagina with his fingers (ct 7). Two days later WNO drove to J's house in the morning and told her not to go to school. She ignored him. Angry, he screamed at her and slapped her hard across the cheek. When he continued to prevent her from leaving she gave up attempting to get to school. WNO then drove J to a family member's house, on the way kissing her on the lips (ct 8). On the way back he hugged and kissed her in the car and, on one occasion, touched her breasts (ct 9). Back at her house he again kissed her on the lips (ct 10). The following day WNO picked J up as she walked home from school. He stayed the night in her bedroom. He squeezed her breasts on top of her bra and put his hand in her pants and, over her underwear, pushed his fingers inside her vagina. She told him to stop and

pulled his hand out of her pants (ct 11). Later that night J woke up to find he

was still next to her in her bed. He had his hand no her butteds and was masteristing (et 12).    DRH v The State of Western (and the state of the s						
2.   DRH + The State of Western Australia   35-37 yrs at time orifeending. 58 yrs at time sentencing.   Convicted after trial.   Convicted after						
2.   DRII + The State of Vestern   Australia   S-37 yrs at time offending.   Convicted after trial   Color				his hand on her buttocks and was		
2. DRII • The State of Western Australia  1. Jetter v The State of Western Australia  2. DRII • The State of Western Australia  2. Drivered (17/05/20/21)  2. Drivered (17/05/20/21)  3. Jetter v The State of Western Australia  2. Drivered (17/05/20/21)  3. Jetter v The State of Western Australia  2. Drivered (17/05/20/21)  3. Jetter v The State of Western Australia  4. Jetter v The State of Western Australia  4. Jetter v The State of Western Australia  4. Jet				masturbating (ct 12).		
## Sys at time sementing.    2021 WASCA 97	2.	DRH v The State	35-37 yrs at time offending.		3 vrs imp.	Dismissed.
Councied after trial.   The victim. BM, was aged 13-14 yrs.	_,				o jis imp	
Delivered   Delivered   Delivered   Oz/06/2021   Single.   The victim, BM, was aged 13-14 ys.   The trial judge was satisfied beyond reasonable doubt that the offending the subject of cf 5 was not an in louted cocasion.   The trial judge found the offending serious; there was not inconsistent with the jury's verdices of not may support in a cleaniag business at time of sentencing.   The trial judge found the offending serious; the began meeting with DRH. BM was unkneable as a result of present and EMR was unkneable as a result of present and the formating the word also occasionally spend and the would also occasionally spend to support in person.   The trial judge found the offending serious; there was not inconsistent with the jury's verdices of not misolated occasion.   The trial judge found the offending serious; there was not inconsistent with the jury's verdices of a sexual nature with BM hefore the appellant as a fixed, carring and supporting person.   The trial judge found the offending serious; there were not a supporting person.   The trial judge found the offending serious; there were not a supporting person.   The trial judge found the offending serious; there were not a supporting person.   The trial judge found the offending serious; the appellant as faithed repeated acquitation or trusted the appellant and supporting person.   The trial judge found the offending serious; there were not involved consideration or trusted the appellant and supporting of the sexual and active trusted or personal circumstance; BM trusted the appellant and such as a presented BM resulting in BM having become acceptuage of the sexual and active trusted the popular and BM free studing in BM having become acceptuage of the sexual and active trusted the popular and BM free studing in BM having become acceptuage of the sexual and active trusted to an all found and here there are a become acceptuage of the sexual and active trusted to an all found and the norm of the personal proposal and BM free and active trusted to an al		•	30 yis at time senteneing.	engage in sexual behaviour.	EED	Appeal concerned length of centence and finding offence charged on ct
Delivered   Deli		Austratia	Convicted often twick	The victim DM was aged 12 14 years	LIT.	
Delivered Delive		[2021] XX A C C A 07	Convicted after trial.	The victili, blvi, was aged 15-14 yis.	The deid independent of the second	3 not an isolated incident.
Delivered 02/06/2021 Single.  Partner in a cleaning business at time of sentencing.  Character references describe the appellant as a kind, carrig and supporting person.  In good health time of sentencing.  In		[2021] WASCA 97		1 100 ( P P 11 ) 1 5	v c	
O2/06/2021   Single.   Segmining of 1997 BM entered secondary school and around this time began meeting with DRII. BM under greater should also occasionally spend the nappellant as a kind, caring and supporting person.   In good health time of semencing.   At the time of the offending BM was staying at DRII's house because he had been kicked out of home. They both drank atoloho and were naked. DHI was on all fours and been when BM tief to anally penetrate in with his penis, however he could not achieve penetration.   Afterwards BM felt disgusted at himself.   In 2017 BM contacted the police and reported the offending.   Canviced after early PG (25% discount).   Delivered (77.05/2021   Oronizoned in the policy of the was all and pixel in the policy of the was all stores and pixel in the policy of the wash and single found the offending serious; the hegan meeting with DRII at his home and he would also occasionally spend the night. DRI would so occasionally spend to the appellant, which trusts arose originally out of the appellant and enduring impact on victim. No evidence of remorse or steps taken towards rehabilitation.  1. Jetter v The State of Western  Afterwards BM felt disgusted at himself			No prior relevant criminal history.	_	=	
Earther in a cleaning business at time of sentencing.  Large references describe the appellant as a kind, caring and supporting person.  In good health time of sentencing.  I		Delivered		1 2	subject of ct 5 was not an isolated occasion.	
he began meeting with DRH. BM would regularly visit DRI at his hom and the would visit of the displacement of sentencing.  Character references describe the appellant as a kind, caring and supporting person.  In good health time of sentencing.  I		02/06/2021	Single.	beginning of 1997 BM entered		committed ct 5 was not inconsistent with the jury's verdicts of not
he began meeting with DRH. BM would regularly visit DRI at his hom and the would visit of the displacement of sentencing.  Character references describe the appellant as a kind, caring and supporting person.  In good health time of sentencing.  I				secondary school and around this time	The trial judge found the offending serious;	guilty on cts 1, 2 and 7 or with the directed acquittals on cts 3, 4 and 6.
time of sentencing.  Character references describe the appellant as a kind, caring and supporting person.  In good health time of sentencing.  At the time of the offending BM was sulforth in the appellant and alcohol. The offending BM was stuying at DRH's house because he has been kicked out of home. They both drank alcohol and were naked. DRH was on all flours and best over a bed when BM tried to anally penetrate him with his penis, however he could not achieve penetration.  Afterwards BM felt disgusted at himself.  In 2017 BM contacted the police and reported the offending.  Character references describe the appellant as a kind, caring and supporting person.  At the time of the offending BM was stuying at DRH's house because he has been kicked out of home. They both drank alcohol and were naked. DRH was on all floures and better over a bed when BM tried to anally penetrate him with his penis, however he could not achieve penetration.  Afterwards BM felt disgusted at himself.  In 2017 BM contacted the police and reported the offending.  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  They state of Western Australia  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  They state in the production of the more than and the permitted BM to drain a demonstration.  No evidence of remorse or steps taken down and reported the offending.  Cli 1: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).  Cl. 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp.  They state of the sexual activity or the appellant, which trust arose originally enter the both drank alcohol and were naked. DRH was on all floures and better she would be more accepting of the sexual activity.  Detrimental and enduring impact on victim.  No evidence of remorse or steps taken downards rehabilitation.  Cl. 1: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).  Cl. 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).  Cl. 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).  Cl. 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).  Cl. 1: 3 mths imp (cum).  Cl. 2: 6 mths imp (com).  Cl. 2: 6			Partner in a cleaning business at		there was an age difference of 22 vr between	
and the would also occasionally spend the night. Delth would sometimes speak to BM about nudity and other matters and give him cigarettes and alcohol.  In good health time of sentencing. In good health time of sentencing. At the time of the offending BM was staying at DRH's house because he had been kicked out of home. They both drank alcohol and were naked. DRH was on all fours and hent over a bed when BM tried to anally penetrate him with his penis, however he could not achieve penetration.  Afterwards BM felt disgusted at himself.  In 2017 BM contacted the police and reported the offending.  Afterwards BM felt disgusted at himself.  In 2017 BM contacted the police and reported the offending.  Offost/2021  Offost/2021  After v The State of Western Australia  Elect and the victim did not know each other. The victim was aged 15 yrs, 11 miths and 1 w.  Delivered Offost/2021  The victim told Jetter she was 18 yrs olden by an untr, raised in adopted by an untr, raised in adopted by an untr, raised in the night. Delta was proceeded by the grooming of BM. At all material times BM was reginally out of the appellant having been his teacher before the sexual activity commenced; he groomeded BM resulting in BM having become accepting of the sexual activity of the appellant having been his teacher before the sexual activity of the appellant having been his teacher before the sexual activity of the appellant having been his teacher before the sexual activity of the appellant having been his teacher before the sexual activity of the appellant having been his teacher before many the premitted BM to drik and smoke earnabis so that he would he more ache to the might be a single plant. He did not evince any remore a between them and he permitted BM to drik and smoke earnabis so that he would he more ache to the might be a sexual activity.  No evidence of remorse or steps taken to work and the might be a sexual activity.  No evidence of remorse or steps taken to work and the might be a sexual activity.  No evidence of remorse o						
Character references describe the appellant as a kind, caring and supporting person.  In good health time of sentencing. In good health time of sentencing. In good health time of sentencing.  In good health time of sentencing. In good health time of sentencing. In good health time of sentencing. In good health time of sentencing. In good health time of sentencing. In good health time of sentencing. In good health time of sentencing. In good health time of sentencing. In good health time of sentencing. In good health time of sentencing. In good health time of sentencing. In good health time of sentencing. In good health time of sentencing. In good health time of sentencing. In good health time of sentencing. In good health time of sentencing. In good health time of sentencing. At the time of the offending BM was staying at DRH's house because he had been kicked out of home. They both drank alcohol and were naked. DRH was on all flowrs and bent over a bed when BM tried to anally penetrate him with his penis, however he could not achieve penetration.  Afterwards BM felt disgusted at himself.  In 2017 BM contacted the police and reported the offending.  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  The offending was not isolated or an aberration The offending been his teacher before the sexual acts between the appellant as the would be more accommodating.  Detrimental and enduring impact on victim.  No evidence of remorse or steps taken towards rehabilitation.  The offending was not isolated or an aberration The offending been his teacher before the sexual acts between the analytic penemented by the drawn was good the spread and to driving in BM having become accepting of the sexual acts between the would be more accommodating.  Detrimental and enduring impact on victim.  No evidence of remorse or steps taken towards rehabilitation.  The offending was not isolated or an aberration The offend was the peneming of the wexual acts between the would be more accommodating.  Ct 1: 2 ys 6 mths imp (cum).  Ct 2: 6 mths			vario er convencing.			At [99] In our opinion, the appellant's offending on ct 5 was serious
appellant as a kind, caring and supporting person.  In good health time of sentencing.  In good health time of sentencing.  At the time of the offending BM was staying at DRH's house because he had been kicked out of home. They both drank alcohol and were naked. DRH was on all fours and bent over a bed when BM frield to anally penetrate him with his penis, however he could not achieve penetration.  1. Jetter v The State of Western Australia  2021   WASCA 80    2022   WASCA 80    2023   WASCA 80    2024   WASCA 80    2024   WASCA 80    2025   WASCA 80    2026   WASCA 80    2027   WASCA 80    2027   WASCA 80    2028   WASCA 80    2029   WASCA 80    2020   WASCA 80    2021   WASCA 80    2022   WASCA 80    2023   WASCA 80    2024   WASCA 80    2024   WASCA 80    2024   WASCA 80    2025   WASCA 80    2026   WASCA 80    2027   WASCA 80    2027   WASCA 80    2028   WASCA 80    2028   WASCA 80    2029   WASCA 80    2029   WASCA 80    2020   WASCA 80    2021   WASCA 80    2022   WASCA 80    2023   WASCA 80    2024   WASCA 80    2024   WASCA 80    2024   WASCA 80    2025   WASCA 80    2026   WASCA 80    2026   WASCA 80    2027   WASCA 80    2028   WASCA 80    2028   WASCA 80    2029   WASCA 80    2020   WASCA 80    2020			Character references describe the			
and give him cigarettes and alcohol. In good health time of sentencing.  At the time of the offending BM was staying at DRH's house because he had been kicked out of home. They both drank alcohol and were naked. DRH was on all fours and been lover a bed when BM tried to anally penetrate him with his penis, however he could not achieve penetration.  Afterwards BM felt disgusted at himself.  In 2017 BM contacted the police and reported the offending.  Orovicted after early PG (25% discount).  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Delivered Or0x5/2021  Prior criminal history; no prior sexual offending; history of using offending; history of using offending; history of using offending history of using offending; history of using offending history of using offending; history of using offending; history of using offending						
At the time of the offending BM was staying at DRH's house because he had been kicked out of home. They both drank alcohol and were naked. DRH was on all fours and bent over a bed when BM tried to anally penetrate him with his penis, however he could not achieve penetration.  1. Jetter v The State of Western Australia  [2021] WASCA 80  [2022] WASCA 80  [2023] WASCA 80  [2024] WASCA 80  [2024] WASCA 80  [2024] WASCA 80  [2024] WASCA 80  [2025] WASCA 80  [2026] We stern and the yictim did not know each other. The victim was aged 15 yrs, 11 mfs and 1 wk.  [2027] WASCA 80  [2027] WASCA 80  [2027] WASCA 80  [2028] Wasca 80  [2029] Wasca 80  [2029] Wasca 80  [2020] W				<u> </u>		
At the time of the offending BM was saying at DRH's house because he had been kicked out of home. They both drank alcohol and were naked. DRH was on all fours and been over a bee when BM tried to anally penetrate him with his penis. however he could not achieve penetration.  Afterwards BM felt disgusted at himself.  In 2017 BM contacted the police and reported the offending.  Cls 1. 2 Sex pen child 13-16 yrs. Cl 3: GBH.  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Cls 2 Sex pen child 13-16 yrs. Cl 3: GBH.  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Prior criminal history; no prior sexual affending; history of using violence.  Prior criminal history; no prior sexual affending; history of using violence.  The victim told Jetter she was 18 yrs old.  Born to very young parents; adopted by an aunt; raised in a dopted by an aunt; raised in a content of the offending and parents; and the victim approached Jetter and the victim approached Jetter and the victim approached Jetter and the victim melling him she was aged 18 yrs; she  at the time of the offending BM was saying at DRH's house because he had been him and how reand he permitted BM to drink and smoke eamands so that he would be more accommodating.  Detrimental and enduring impact on victim.  No evidence of remorse or steps taken towards rehabilitation.  No evidence of remorse or steps taken towards rehabilitation.  Afflowed.  Ct 1: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).  Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).  Appeal concerned length of sentence cts 1 and 2 and totality princ class the would be more accommodating.  Althe was on all four and penetrate him with his permish here would be more accommodating.  Detrimental and enduring impact on victim.  No evidence of remorse or steps taken towards rehabilitation.  Allowed.  Ct 1: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).  Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).  Ct 2: 3 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).  Ct 2: 6 mths imp (conc).  Ct 3: 2 yrs 9 mths imp (cum).  Ct 2: 6 mths imp (conc).  Ct			supporting person.	and give him cigarettes and alcohol.		
staying at DRH's house because he had been kicked out of home. They both drank alcohol and were naked. DRH was on all fours and bent over a bed when BM tried to analty penetrate him with his penis, however he could not achieve penetration.  Afterwards BM felt disgusted at himself.  In 2017 BM contacted the police and reported the offending.  Cts 1 & 2: Sex pen child 13-16 yrs. Ct 3: GBH.  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Prior criminal history; no prior sexual offending; ivolence.  Delivered (77/05/2021  Prior criminal history of using violence.  Born to very young parents; adopted by an aunt; raised in the surface of the police and pen between them and be permitted BM to drink and smoke campabis so that he would he more accommodating.  Detrimental and enduring impact on victim. No evidence of remorse or steps taken towards rehabilitation.  No evidence of remorse or steps taken towards rehabilitation.  Ct 1: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp.  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  The sentencing judge found the appellant's moral culpability was decreased; by the victim felling him she was aged 18 yrs; she  TES 3 yrs imp.  The victim approached Jetter and  TES 3 yrs imp.  The victim approached Jetter and  TES 3 yrs imp.  The victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she  TES 3 yrs imp.						predatory behaviour by the appellant. He did not evince any remorse.
been kicked out of home. They both drank alcohol and were naked. DRH was on all fours and bent over a bed when BM tried to anally penetrate him with his penis, however he could not achieve penetration.  Afterwards BM felt disgusted at himself.  In 2017 BM contacted the police and reported the offending.  Cts 1 & 2: Sex pen child 13-16 yrs.  Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).  Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).  Ct 1: 3 mths imp (cum).  Ct 1: 3 mths imp (cum).  Ct 2: 6 mths imp.  Ct 1: 3 mths imp (cum).  Ct 2: 6 mths imp.  The victim told Jetter she was 18 yrs old.  The victim told Jetter she was 18 yrs old.  The victim told Jetter she was 18 yrs old.  The victim told Jetter she was 18 yrs old.  The victim approached Jetter and the victim told ling him she was aged 18 yrs; she  Tes 3 yrs imp.  Tes 3 yrs imp.  Tes 3 yrs imp.			In good health time of sentencing.			
drank alcohol and were naked. DRH was on all fours and bent over a bed when BM tried to anally penetrate him with his penis, however he could not achieve penetration.  Afterwards BM felt disgusted at himself.  In 2017 BM contacted the police and reported the offending.  Of Western Australia  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Prior criminal history; no prior sexual offending; history of using 07/05/2021  Delivered 07/05/2021  Born to very young parents; adopted by an aunt; raised in  The victim approached Jetter and  drank alcohol and were naked. DRH was on all fours and bent over a bed when BM tried to anally penetrate him with his penis, however he could not achieve penetration.  Afterwards BM felt disgusted at himself.  In 2017 BM contacted the police and reported the offending. Ct 1: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (com). Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (com). Ct 2: 3 yrs imp (cum).  Appeal concerned length of sentence cts 1 and 2 and totality princ offending; history of using violence.  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  Ct 1: 3 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 3 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 3 yrs 9 mths imp (cum). TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 3 yrs 9 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 3 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 3 yrs 9 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 5 yrs 9 mths imp (cum). TES 3 yrs imp.				staying at DRH's house because he had	between them and he permitted BM to drink	
drank alcohol and were naked. DRH was on all fours and bent over a bed when BM tried to anally penetrate him with his penis, however he could not achieve penetration.  Afterwards BM felt disgusted at himself.  In 2017 BM contacted the police and reported the offending.  Of Western Australia  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Prior criminal history; no prior sexual offending; history of using 07/05/2021  Delivered 07/05/2021  Born to very young parents; adopted by an aunt; raised in  The victim approached Jetter and  drank alcohol and were naked. DRH was on all fours and bent over a bed when BM tried to anally penetrate him with his penis, however he could not achieve penetration.  Afterwards BM felt disgusted at himself.  In 2017 BM contacted the police and reported the offending. Ct 1: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (com). Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (com). Ct 2: 3 yrs imp (cum).  Appeal concerned length of sentence cts 1 and 2 and totality princ offending; history of using violence.  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  Ct 1: 3 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 3 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 3 yrs 9 mths imp (cum). TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 3 yrs 9 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 3 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 3 yrs 9 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 5 yrs 9 mths imp (cum). TES 3 yrs imp.				been kicked out of home. They both	and smoke cannabis so that he would be more	
was on all fours and bent over a bed when BM tried to anally penetrate him with his penis, however he could not achieve penetration.  Afterwards BM felt disgusted at himself.  In 2017 BM contacted the police and reported the offending.  of Western Australia  [2021] WASCA 80  [2021] WASCA 80  Detrimental and enduring impact on victim. No evidence of remorse or steps taken towards rehabilitation.  Cts 1 & 2 : Sex pen child 13-16 yrs. Ct 3 : BH.  Cts 2 : Sex pen child 13-16 yrs. Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (comp.) Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum). Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  First of minal history; no prior sexual offending; history of using or violence.  Born to very young parents; adopted by an aunt; raised in  The victim approached Jetter and  The victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she  TES 3 yrs imp.  Detrimental and enduring impact on victim. No evidence of remorse or steps taken towards rehabilitation.  No evidence of remorse or steps taken towards rehabilitation.  Allowed. Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (comp.) Ct 2: 3 yrs imp.  Allowed. Ct 1: 3 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 6 mths imp (comp.) Ct 3: 2 yrs 9 mths imp (cum). The sentencing judge found the appellant's moral culpability was decreased; by the victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she TES 3 yrs imp.					accommodating.	
when BM tried to anally penetrate him with his penis, however he could not achieve penetration.  Afterwards BM felt disgusted at himself.  In 2017 BM contacted the police and reported the offending.  Cts 1 & 2: Sex pen child 13-16 yrs.  Ct 3: GBH.  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Prior criminal history; no prior sexual offending; history of using 07/05/2021  Prior criminal history; no prior sexual offending; history of using 07/05/2021  Born to very young parents; adopted by an aunt; raised in  When BM tried to anally penetrate him with his penis, however he could not achieve penetration.  Afterwards BM felt disgusted at himself.  In 2017 BM contacted the police and reported the offending.  Ct 1: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).  Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).  Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  The sentencing judge found the appellant's moral culpability was decreased; by the victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she  TES 3 yrs imp.  TES 3 yrs imp.  TES 3 yrs imp.						
with his penis, however he could not achieve penetration.  Afterwards BM felt disgusted at himself.  In 2017 BM contacted the police and reported the offending.  Cts 1 & 2: Sex pen child 13-16 yrs.  Ct 3: GBH.  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Ctoric riminal history; no prior sexual offending; history of using 07/05/2021  Delivered 07/05/2021  Delivered 07/05/2021  Delivered 07/05/2021  Delivered 07/05/2021  The victim told Jetter she was 18 yrs old.  Born to very young parents; adopted by an aunt; raised in The victim approached Jetter and the victim deling proached Jetter and victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she towards rehabilitation.  No evidence of remorse or steps taken towards rehabilitation.  No evidence of remorse or steps taken towards rehabilitation.  Allowed.  Ct 1: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (comp.  Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (comp.)  Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  The sentencing judge found the appellant's moral culpability was decreased; by the victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she restricted in the victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she restricted the offending.  Ct 1: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (comp.  Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (comp.)  Ct 3: 3 yrs imp.  Ct 1: 3 mths imp (cum).  Ct 2: 6 mths imp (comp.)  Ct 3: 2 yrs 9 mths imp (comp.)  Ct 3: 2 yrs 9 mths imp (comp.)  Ct 3: 3 yrs imp.					Detrimental and enduring impact on victim	
achieve penetration.  Afterwards BM felt disgusted at himself.  In 2017 BM contacted the police and reported the offending.  In 2017 BM contacted the police and reported the offending.  Cts 1 & 2: Sex pen child 13-16 yrs. Ct 3: GBH.  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Cts 1 & 2: Sex pen child 13-16 yrs. Ct 3: GBH.  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Jetter and the victim did not know each other. The victim did not know each other. The victim was aged 15 yrs, 11 mths and 1 wk.  Prior criminal history; no prior sexual offending; history of using violence.  Delivered (07/05/2021)  Delivered (07/05/2021)  Delivered (07/05/2021)  Delivered (07/05/2021)  Delivered (07/05/2021)  The victim told Jetter she was 18 yrs old.  Born to very young parents; adopted by an aunt; raised in the victim approached Jetter and the victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she towards rehabilitation.  No evidence of remorse or steps taken towards rehabilitation.  No evidence of remorse or steps taken towards rehabilitation.  No evidence of remorse or steps taken towards rehabilitation.  Allowed. Ct 1: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).  The sentencing judge found the appellant's moral culpability was decreased; by the victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she  TES 3 yrs imp.  The victim approached Jetter and towards rehabilitation.					Detrimental and enduring impact on victim.	
Afterwards BM felt disgusted at himself.  In 2017 BM contacted the police and reported the offending.  Cts 1 & 2: Sex pen child 13-16 yrs. Ct 3: GBH.  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Prior criminal history; no prior sexual offending; history of using 07/05/2021  Prior to very young parents; adopted by an aunt; raised in The victim approached Jetter and the victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she  Afterwards BM felt disgusted at himself.  towards rehabilitation.  Ct 1: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (conc). Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  Ct 1: 3 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum). TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).					N: 1 f 4-1	
Afterwards BM felt disgusted at himself.  In 2017 BM contacted the police and reported the offending.  1. Jetter v The State of Western Australia  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Delivered Delivered O7/05/2021  Delivered O7/05/2021  Delivered O7/05/2021  Delivered Sexual offending; history of using O7/05/2021  Delivered Sexual offending of the victim did not know each other. The victim was aged 15 yrs, 11 mths and 1 wk.  EFP.  The sentencing judge found the appellant's moral culpability was decreased; by the victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she  TES 3 yrs imp.				achieve penetration.	-	
himself.  In 2017 BM contacted the police and reported the offending.  1. Jetter v The State of Western Australia  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Jetter and the victim did not know each other. The victim was aged 15 yrs, 11 mths and 1 wk.  Prior criminal history; no prior sexual offending; history of using 07/05/2021  Delivered of Western Australia  Eight Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Jetter and the victim did not know each other. The victim was aged 15 yrs, 11 mths and 1 wk.  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  The sentencing judge found the appellant's moral culpability was decreased; by the victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she  TES 3 yrs imp.  TES 3 yrs imp.					towards rehabilitation.	
In 2017 BM contacted the police and reported the offending.  1. Jetter v The State of Western Australia  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Letter and the victim did not know each other. The victim was aged 15 yrs, 11 mths and 1 wk.  Delivered 07/05/2021  Prior criminal history; no prior sexual offending; history of using violence.  Delivered 07/05/2021  Born to very young parents; adopted by an aunt; raised in  In 2017 BM contacted the police and reported the offending.  Ct 1: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).  Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (comc).  Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  Ct 1: 3 mths imp (cum).  Ct 2: 6 mths imp (conc).  Ct 2: 6 mths imp (conc).  Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  TES 3 yrs imp.				-		
reported the offending.  1. Jetter v The State of Western Australia  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Letter and the victim did not know each other. The victim was aged 15 yrs, 11 mths and 1 wk.  Delivered 07/05/2021  Prior criminal history; no prior sexual offending; history of using 07/05/2021  The victim told Jetter she was 18 yrs old.  Born to very young parents; adopted by an aunt; raised in  The victim approached Jetter and  Test of mths imp (cum).  Ct 1: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).  Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).  Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).  Ct 1: 3 mths imp (cum).  Ct 2: 6 mths imp (cum).  Ct 3: 2 yrs 9 mths imp (cum).  Ct 3: 2 yrs 9 mths imp (cum).  The victim told Jetter she was 18 yrs moral culpability was decreased; by the victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she  TES 3 yrs imp.				himself.		
reported the offending.  1. Jetter v The State of Western Australia Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Letter and the victim did not know each other. The victim was aged 15 yrs, 11 mths and 1 wk.  Delivered 07/05/2021 Prior criminal history; no prior sexual offending; history of using 07/05/2021  Born to very young parents; adopted by an aunt; raised in  Teported the offending.  Ct 1: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  Ct 1: 3 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 2: 6 mths imp (cum). Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).  The sentencing judge found the appellant's moral culpability was decreased; by the victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she TES 3 yrs imp.						
reported the offending.  1. Jetter v The State of Western Australia  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Letter and the victim did not know each other. The victim was aged 15 yrs, 11 mths and 1 wk.  Delivered 07/05/2021  Prior criminal history; no prior sexual offending; history of using 07/05/2021  The victim told Jetter she was 18 yrs old.  Born to very young parents; adopted by an aunt; raised in  The victim approached Jetter and  Test of mths imp (cum).  Ct 1: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).  Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).  Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).  Ct 1: 3 mths imp (cum).  Ct 2: 6 mths imp (cum).  Ct 3: 2 yrs 9 mths imp (cum).  Ct 3: 2 yrs 9 mths imp (cum).  The victim told Jetter she was 18 yrs moral culpability was decreased; by the victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she  TES 3 yrs imp.				In 2017 BM contacted the police and		
1. Jetter v The State of Western Australia  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Jetter and the victim did not know each other. The victim was aged 15 yrs, 11 mths and 1 wk.  Delivered 07/05/2021  Prior criminal history; no prior sexual offending; history of using violence.  The victim told Jetter she was 18 yrs old.  Born to very young parents; adopted by an aunt; raised in  The victim approached Jetter and  Ct 1: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).  Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).  Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).  Ct 1: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).  Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  EFP.  Ct 1: 3 mths imp (cum).  Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (cum).  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  The victim told Jetter she was 18 yrs oral culpability was decreased; by the victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she  TES 3 yrs imp.				*		
of Western Australia  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Delivered 07/05/2021  Prior criminal history; no prior sexual offending; history of using violence.  Delivered 07/05/2021  Delivered 07/05/2021  Delivered 07/05/2021  Delivered 07/05/2021  The victim told Jetter she was 18 yrs old.  Born to very young parents; adopted by an aunt; raised in  Delivered 07/05/2021  The victim approached Jetter and the victim did not know each other. The victim did not know each other. The victim was aged 15 yrs, 11 mths and 1 wk.  EFP.  Ct 2: 2 yrs 6 mths imp (conc).  Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  Ct 1: 3 mths imp (cum).  Ct 2: 6 mths imp (conc).  Ct 3: 2 yrs 9 mths imp (cum).  The victim approached Jetter and  TES 3 yrs imp.  TES 3 yrs imp.	1.	Jetter v The State	44 vrs at time offending	·	Ct 1: 2 vrs 6 mths imp (cum)	Allowed
Australia  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  [2021] WASCA 80  Delivered 07/05/2021  Born to very young parents; adopted by an aunt; raised in  Convicted after early PG (25% discount).  Jetter and the victim did not know each other. The victim was aged 15 yrs, 11 mths and 1 wk.  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  EFP.  Ct 1: 3 mths imp (cum).  Ct 2: 6 mths imp (cum).  Ct 2: 6 mths imp (cum).  Ct 3: 3 yrs imp (cum).  The sentenced (25% discount):  The sentencing judge found the appellant's moral culpability was decreased; by the victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she  TES 3 yrs imp.  TES 3 yrs imp (cum).  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  Ct 2: 6 mths imp (cum).  Ct 3: 2 yrs 9 mths imp (cum).  TES 3 yrs imp.			Try is at time offending.			Tillo wed.
discount).  Jetter and the victim did not know each other. The victim was aged 15 yrs, 11 mths and 1 wk.  Delivered 07/05/2021  Delivered violence.  Delivered 07/05/2021  Born to very young parents; adopted by an aunt; raised in other. The victim did not know each other. The victim was aged 15 yrs, 11 mths and 1 wk.  EFP.  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  EFP.  Ct 1: 3 mths imp (cum).  Ct 2: 6 mths imp (core).  The sentencing judge found the appellant's moral culpability was decreased; by the victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she  TES 3 yrs imp.		•	Convicted after early DC (25%	Ct 3. GBH.		Annual concerned length of contange etc. 1 and 2 and totality principle
[2021] WASCA 80  Prior criminal history; no prior sexual offending; history of using 07/05/2021  Delivered o7/05/2021  Prior criminal history; no prior sexual offending; history of using 07/05/2021  The victim told Jetter she was 18 yrs old.  Born to very young parents; adopted by an aunt; raised in  TES 5 yrs 6 mths imp.  The sentenced (25% discount):  The sentencing judge found the appellant's moral culpability was decreased; by the victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she  TES 3 yrs imp.		Australia	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T // 1/1 / 1	Ct 3: 5 yrs mip (cum).	Appear concerned length of sentence cts 1 and 2 and totality principle.
Delivered sexual offending; history of using 07/05/2021 mths and 1 wk.  Delivered sexual offending; history of using violence.  The victim told Jetter she was 18 yrs old.  The victim told Jetter she was 18 yrs old.  The victim told Jetter she was 18 yrs old.  The sentencing judge found the appellant's moral culpability was decreased; by the victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she  TES 3 yrs imp.		[2024] <b>XX</b> /4 CC/4 OO	discount).		mra r	D 1/070/ 11
Delivered o7/05/2021 sexual offending; history of using violence.  The victim told Jetter she was 18 yrs old.  The victim told Jetter she was 18 yrs old.  The sentencing judge found the appellant's moral culpability was decreased; by the adopted by an aunt; raised in  The victim approached Jetter and  EFP.  Ct 1: 3 mths imp (cum).  Ct 2: 6 mths imp (cum).  Ct 3: 2 yrs 9 mths imp (cum).  The victim approached Jetter and  TES 3 yrs imp.		[2021] WASCA 80			TES 5 yrs 6 mths 1mp.	Resentenced (25% discount):
violence.  The victim told Jetter she was 18 yrs old.  The sentencing judge found the appellant's moral culpability was decreased; by the adopted by an aunt; raised in  The victim told Jetter she was 18 yrs old.  The sentencing judge found the appellant's moral culpability was decreased; by the victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she  TES 3 yrs imp.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	mths and 1 wk.		
Born to very young parents; adopted by an aunt; raised in The victim approached Jetter and The sentencing judge found the appellant's moral culpability was decreased; by the victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she TES 3 yrs imp.			, ,		EFP.	
Born to very young parents; adopted by an aunt; raised in The victim approached Jetter and The victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she TES 3 yrs imp.		07/05/2021	violence.	The victim told Jetter she was 18 yrs		Ct 2: 6 mths imp (conc).
Born to very young parents; adopted by an aunt; raised in The victim approached Jetter and The victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she TES 3 yrs imp.			C	old.	The sentencing judge found the appellant's	Ct 3: 2 yrs 9 mths imp (cum).
adopted by an aunt; raised in The victim approached Jetter and victim telling him she was aged 18 yrs; she TES 3 yrs imp.			Born to very young parents:	LY		
				The victim approached letter and		TES 3 vrs imp.
						120 0 Jib imp.
						EED
younger sisters; maintained In the stairwell of a carpark they had willingly participated in the acts of sexual EFP.			, · · · · ·			EFF.
contact with biological parents sexual intercourse. The victim was a intercourse.					intercourse.	
			and their other children.	willing participant (ct 1).		At [12] The State conceded that the sentence of 2 yrs 6 mths imp for
The sentencing judge found the gravemen of each of cts 1 and 2 was manifestly excessive as to length (but not a					The sentencing judge found the gravemen of	each of cts 1 and 2 was manifestly excessive as to length (but not as to
Sexually assaulted as a child; in Later that same day the victim and the sexual offending was that having only just type)			Sexually assaulted as a child; in	Later that same day the victim and	the sexual offending was that having only just	type)

his 20s when adoptive mother Jetter travelled to the house at which met the victim and not knowing anything Jetter was staying. The house belonged about her, he did not do more to ascertain her At [63] ... the appellant's culpability in relation to the sexual died. to his aunt. age before embarking in sexual activity with offending was ameliorated by ... [his] honest belief that the Left school yr 11; excelled at complainant was aged 18 and the absence of any reason for him to her. sport; bullied by other children; doubt that the complainant was of that age; ... the complainant was The victim stayed at the house with disciplined by teachers when he Jetter for a few nights. During that time very close to the legal age of consent, namely 16 yrs; ... [and] the The sentencing judge characterised the sexual retaliated. she and Jetter had sexual intercourse. offending as falling at the lower end of the complainant was a willing participant in the acts of sexual intercourse; The victim was a willing participant (ct scale of seriousness for offending of this type. Worked on a station before leaving school; undertook Seriousness of the offence of GBH increased At [64] However, on the other hand, there was a very substantial age traineeships and completed On her third day at the house Jetter and by the appellant's use of a weapon; the disparity between the appellant and the complainant. The complainant victim's young age; her vulnerability and that certificate in civil construction was especially vulnerable because, like the appellant, she was indigent, his aunt spoke to the victim about the and engineering; unemployed recent death of the aunt's brother. When she suffered a serious injury, requiring homeless and a drug abuser. In those circumstances, the public interest since leaving school. the victim laughed in response the aunt surgery. which underpins the offence in question required that the appellant slapped her in the face. Jetter then obtain some reliable confirmation (apart from the complainant's Two children; aged 18 yrs and 9 swung a baseball bat at the victim, the No sexual interest in children; not especially assertion) as to her age before engaging in sexual intercourse with her. yrs; limited contact with them. second swing hitting her in the arm (ct troubled by having struck the victim with a bat, regarded this violence as a normal Attempts at self-harm and suicidal response. ideations in his 20s; methyl use The victim ran from the house. A from aged 22; never undertaken Cooperative; remorseful and disgusted by the neighbour intercepted the victim and programs or rehabilitation to called the police. A short time later he fact he engaged in sexual intercourse with a address his substance abuse. was arrested. 15 yr old; high risk of future offending involving violence; an average risk of future The victim suffered a fractured arm and sexual offending due to his impulsivity and underwent surgery, involving the open unaddressed drug abuse. reduction and internal fixation of the humerus and the application of a brace. Jetter admitted having had consensual intercourse with the victim, believing she was aged over 18 yrs. He also admitted striking her with the bat and breaking her arm.

Transitional provisions repealed – 14/01/2009					
		<b>,</b>			
Transitional provisions enacted – 31/08/2003					